19 K

pcoll. IV-2c (ii)

JUNE

AUGUST

RD 405 MVS 79 DE 7-8-75

HD 167 PEC 79 25-6-77

P. INDOOR

P 14 PSHSS

LUGS 6-15

HUP 78 MAY 79 SI

1650

Q 75 / 08

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No SWL 91 LLD 79

Bangalore dated 9th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri R. Mahalingaiah s Silk Factory, No. 67, Anjaneya Temple Street, Bangalore on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication .

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947). the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri M. Kumara, Weaver with effect from 20th August 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

603—S.O. 1285

Order No. SWL 117 LLD 79

Bangalore. dated 9th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Hemavathi Saw Mills, Arkalgud on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947

(Central Act No 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri Sanj evachari ?

II. If not, to what other reliefs the said workmen is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department

604—S. O. 1286

Order No. SWL 118 LLD 79(ii)
Bangalore, dated 9th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. L V Gokavi, S G. Ragi and V. V. Karemani, Powerloom Owners of Sulebhavi, District Belgaum on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the workmen of M/s. L.V. Gokavi and S.G. Ragi, powerloom owners of Sulebhavi, District Belgaum, justified in demanding payment of bonus for the accounting years 1975 and 1976 as per the payment of Bonus Act, 1965 ?

II. If so, to what relief (s) the said workman are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

605—S.O. 1287

Order No. SWL 197 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 9th March 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Kamath Restaurant, Unity Buildings, Bangalore on the points noted below ;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing Shri Shankara Rama Poojari, Supplier with effect from 13th April 1978 and Shri Srirarga Vishnu Shanbhag, supplier with effect from 31st March 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

6\3 - S.O. 1288

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 315 CLS 78 (II)

Bangalore, dated the 14th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Governments of Karnataka hereby nominate the following on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Sindgi, Bijapur District, with immediate effect and until further orders :

1. Sri H. M. Alamel of Sindgi.
2. Sri Veerupaxayya Swamy Hiremath Devarabipparagi
3. Sri S. G. Patil, Hannalli, Sindgi Tq

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government.
Rural Devpt. and Co-opn. Department.

1081—S.O. 1289

Notification No. RDC 57 CPS 79

Bangalore, dated 17th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act 1975 (Karnataka Act No 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate Sri Narasing S/o Manik Rathod, Sindola Tanda, Bidar Taluk, on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd, Bidar as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders:

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-op. Department.

1080—S. O. 1290

Notification No. RDC 339 CLS 78

Bangalore, dated 15th May 1979.

The nomination of Sri Chowde Gowda s/o Narayana Gowda, Nilawagilu Hunsur Taluk made in Notification No. RDC 339 CLS 78 (ii) dated 12th December 1978 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd., Hunsur, Mysore District is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
RDC. Department.

1079—S. O, 1291

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 50 MMN 79

Bangalore, dated 11th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Ltd. for Lime Stone and Dolomite for a period of 20 (twenty) years over an area of 1,061 acres of land in Lokapur Village, Mudhol Taluk, Bijapur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the Mining Leaze is subject to the terms and conditions given below:

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Limestone and Dolomite only. If other Minerals are found in association with Limestone and

Dolomite they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Limestone and Dolomite he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 ;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers :

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(c) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed;

(d) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(e) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Bijapur District.

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

M. G. KRISHNA SINGH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1043—S. O. 1292

Notification No. CI 45 MPN 79
Bangalore, dated 23rd May 1979

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting licence in favour of Smt. Shobarani for soapstone and its quarts for a period of 2 (Two) years over an area of 6 acres (24.28 hectares) of land in Idolokavalli village Taluk Belur, District Hassan as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions are appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the license for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2–50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free or royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule II respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Section 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometers or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Hassan District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

3146—S. O. 1293

Notification No. CI 54 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 16th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining lease in favour of Mir Ali Raza for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Rub'y cu rundum over an area of 150 acres (60.70 Hectares) of land in Venkatapura and other villages, Pavagada Taluk, Tumkur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining lease is subject to the terms and conditions mentioned below.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Ruby Corrundum only. If other Minerals are found in association with Ruby Corrundum they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desirer to mine these minerals along with Ruby Corrundum he /they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writting;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hec are per annum, whichever i greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the firse year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25 00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged.

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to therules issued under section 18 of the rivers, Minerals (Regulation) and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rule 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kelometers :

(l) Goverument shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates :

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other Lessee as prevalent in Tumkur District.

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1075—S. O. 1294

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 69 PEG 79

Bangalore, dated 12th February 1979

In pursuance of the Provisions of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act 1946, the Government of Karnataka hereby accord sanction to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment, Bangalore for exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka and for registering and investigation of a case under sections 5 (2) read with 5 (1) (d) of P. C. Act, and any other offences against Sri Thomas David, while he was working as Income Tax Officer, Mysore during 1973-74.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. A. SMITH,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Home Department (Police)

415.—S. O. 1295

Order No. HD 7) PEG 79.

Bangalore, dated 12th February 1979.

In pursuance of the Provisions of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act 1946, the Government of Karnataka hereby accord sanction to the memebers of the Delhi Special Police Establishment, Bangalore for exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka and registering the investigation of a case for offences u/s 420 IPC and Sec. 5 (2) rw. 5 (1) (d) of PC. Act and Any other offences against Sri K. Kamalaksha Rao Assistant Branch Manager (Admn). Branch Office, L.I.C. of India, Mangalore, in respect of certain offences committed by him, while he was working in the L.I.C. of India, Mangalore Branch Office.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. A. SMITH,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Home Department (Police).

416—S. O. 1296

Notification No. HD 73 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 1st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 218 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the public service vehicle of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore, mentioned in the Schedule below, intended for use on long distance routes, from the operation of the provisions of Rule 216 (2) of the said rules and empowers the Regional Transport Authority to fix the maximum number of passengers that may be carried in the said vehicle and to prescribe the manner in which passengers in excess of the actual sitting accommodation may be carried.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Wheel Base
1	ALB 102309	ALI 82598	210"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

984—S. O. 1297

Housing and Urban Development Secretariat

Notification No. HUD 178 MIB 78

Bangalore, dated 16/18th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Improvement Board Act 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1976) and in partial modification of Notification No. HUD 178 MIB 78 dated 22nd January 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Deputy Director of Town Planning, Thungabhadra Valley Region, Hospet as a member of the Improvement Board, Hospet with immediate effect and until further orders in place of the Assistant Director of Town Planning, Hospet.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MUDDUMALLAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
881—S.O. 1298 *Housing and Urban Development Department.*

Karnataka Legislature Secretariat

Legislature Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
P. B No. 5 74, Bangalore-1
Notification No. 2092/L A
Dated 22nd May 1979

Under Rule 8 o (1) of the Karnataka Legislature Secretariat (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 9 9 as amended in Notification No. LAW 113 LG . 77 dated 5th May 1979 Sri K. Munigowda, Retired Section Officer of Karnataka Legislature Secretariat is appointed as private Secretary to the Governmen Chief Whip in the Karnataka Legislative Council on contract basis for the period from 5th May 1979 to 20th March 1980 or so long as the Government Chief whip Legislative Council desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

The terms and conditions of contract appoin ment mentioned above are as indicated in the annexure.

By Order of the Board,

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary
1181—S O. 1299 *Karnataka Legislature.*

ANNEXURE

Terms and conditions of appointment of Shri K. S. Hebbar, retired Editor of Debates of Karnataka Legislature Secretariat as Private Secretary to the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Council on contract basis ;

(i) Shri K. S. Hebbar shall perform the function of the Private Secretary to the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Council and attend to all work entrusted to him by the Leader of Opposition in Legislative Council from t me to time·

(ii) He shall discharge his duties diligently ;

(iii) He shall maintain strict secrecy in respect of Official information which he comes to know in the course of his duties.

(iv) The term of appointment on contract of Shri K. S. Hebbar shall be for a period with effect from 5th May, 1979 to 2nd April, 1980 or so long as the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Council desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

(v) His pay shall be regulated under Rule 313 (b) of the K. C. S. Rs *viz.*, pay during contract appointment plus pension (including pension equivalent of Death-cum-retirement Gratuity or Gratuity in lieu of Pension) shall not exceed the pay drawn by the Officer before his retirement He shall be paid the special pay of 100 p m. attached to the post, in addition to the pay as above and all other allowances admissible to him in accordance with the instructions printed below Rule 313 (bb) *ibid*

(vi) He shall be entitled to T. A. and Daily Allowance at the rates admissible to an Official of Government of his status ;

(vii) He shall be entitled to casual leave, earned leave and other kinds of leave as are admissible to temporary Government Servants, under the K. C. S. Rs.

(viii) He shall be entitled to medical facilities on the same scale and subject to the same conditions as are applicable to Government Servants of equivalent rank ;

(ix) The appointment of Shri K. S. Hebbar may be terminated at any time :—

(a) by one month's notice on either side ; or

(b) for misconduct, for breach of orders or breach of any of the conditions of the agreement if any, commitied by Sri K. S. Hebbar

(x) He shall be liable to reimburse to Government all losses which the Goverement may sustain due to misconduct of any sort on his part.

2. Sri K. S. Hebbar shall execute an aggreement on stamped paper incorporating the above mentioned terms and conditions within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 301 MMD 78

Bangalore, dated 30th May 1979.

Whereas by Government Notification No. APM 3055 (1) dated the 28th January 1955 issued under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act. 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939), the Government of Bombay directed that the area of Belgaum Taluka of Belgaum District shall be a market area (hereinafter referred to as the said area) for the purposes of the said Act in respect of Gur, Potato, Sweet-Potato, Green Chillies and dry chillies ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. A & F 240 CMD 60, dated the 26th April 1961 issued under sub-section (4) of the said Act, the Government of Karnataka directed that the said area shall be a market area for the purpose of the said Act in respect of Paddy (husked and un-husked) Groundnut (Shelled & un-shelled), Peas, Onion and Garlic in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 240 CMD 69, dated the 28th February 1972 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Govarnment of Karnataka regulated the marketing of Wheat, Jowar, Ragi, Bajra, Gram, Gram-dhal, Toor, Toor-dhal Udid, Moong, Horsegram, Alesandi, Masoor, Masoor-dhal, and Coconut in the said area in addition to the Agricultural produce already regulated.

And whereas by Government Notification No, DPC 358 CMD 72, dated the 22nd March 1975 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 7th April 1975 the marketing of livestock (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated in the said market area ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. S. O. 637 (RDC 301 MMD 78, dated 3rd March 1979) published in Part IV-2-C (ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 8th March 1979 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1956 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka declares its intension to exclude regulation of the marketing of Green Chillies in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Belgaum.

And whereas no objections or suggestions have been received by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in *Karnataka Gazette* the Marketing of Green chillies shall be excluded in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Belgaum.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI.

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn., Department.

120g—S. O. 1303

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No SWL 393 LLD 77
Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sujatha Textile Mills, Nanjanagud, Mysore District on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"Are the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Sultan Singh with effect from 21st July 1975?

II. If not to what relief the above said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

788 S.O.—1304

Order No. SWL 123 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of P. K. Estate. Kodambur Village, Murnad on the points noted below :

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in refusing employment to Sri Koragappa with effect from 16th June 1978?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

607—S. O. 1305

Order No. SWL 158 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 6/9th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Janatha Bazaar, Chitradurga on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Management justified in dismissing the workmen Kum. J. H. Rajamani from service with effect from 4th October 76?

II. If not, what relief the workwomen is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

608—S. O. 1306

Order No. SWL 159 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 19th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Krishna Motor Service. 263. Arya Durgamma Street, Shimoga on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in refusing employment to Sri Malla K. Gowda. Conductor, with effect from 19th August 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

609—S. W. 1307

Order No. SWL 201 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Shri. Nagappa Basappa Kamkar, Powerloom Factory, H. No. 335, Basavanagalli, Khusbag, Belgaum on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Smt. Shakuntala Devendra Kamble with effect from 13th June 1978 ?

II. If not, what relief the work women is entitled to ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

614—S. W. 1308

Order No. SWL 208 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. A. Albuquerque and Sons, Hoige Bazar, Mangalore on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the Management justified in striking off the name of Sri A. Rodrigues, Clerk when he applied for leave from 18th January 1978 and refusing him employment from 31st March 78 when he reported for duty ?

II. If not, to what other relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

615—S.O. 1309

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Bharath Earth Movers Limited, Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, Published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. IT 955/78-79, dated 26th February 1979 from Shri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 21st February 1979.

PRESENT :

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer

I. D. No. 32 of 1972.

I Party :	*II Party :*
Workmen represented by :—	**The Managements of :—**
(a) The General Secretary, Bharat Earth Movers Employees' Association, H. A L. Post, Bangalore-17.	(a) Bharat Earth Movers Ltd , Unity Buildings, Bangalore.
(b) The General Secretary, Bharat Earth Movers Employees Association, 48, IV Block Robertsonpet, Kolar Gold Fields.	(b) The General Manager, Bharat Earth Movers Ltd.. Rail Coach Division, Bangalore.
(c) The General Secretary, Bharat Earth Movers Union, A. P. K. Building, Hendrys Colomodal Post, K.G.F.	(c) The General Manager, BEML Earth Movers Division, K.G.F.

Appearances

For I (a) & (b) Parties :—Sri A. Nagesh Rao, Advocate, Bangalore.

For I (c) Party :—Sri K. Subba Rao, Advocate, Bangalore.

For II (a) (b) & (c) Parties :—Sri B. R. Dolia and G. Venkataraman, Advocates, Madras-1.

Order of Reference,

(Government Order No. FCL 1077 LLD 72(i) dated 28th August 1972)

AWARD

As per Government Order No. FCL 1077 LLD 72(i) dated 28th August 1972 issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1)(d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the State Government has referred the following points of dispute to this Tribunal for adjudication :—

"(i) Are the Management of Bharat Earth Movers Limited, Bangalore, justified in declaring 12.62% of wages as bonus for their workmen for the year 1971-72 ?

(ii) If not, what quantum of bonus are the workmen entitled to ?".

2. In the first instance, Parties I(a) and (b) filed a brief statement of claim annexing a statement containing the calculation of bonus for the year 1971-72 made by it (also extracted here below) and alleging that the II Party has earned huge profits so much so the grant of bonus for the year 1971-72 at 12.62% was wholly unreasonable and arbitrary, contended that the I Party workmen are entitled to a higher percentage of bonus.

Calculation of Bonus for 1971-72.

1.	Net Profit as per P & L Account.		2,84,58,000
2.	Add back provision for :		
	(a) Bonus to employees	31,0[illegible],000	
	(b) Depreciation	99,54 000	
	(c) Direct taxes	1,45,00,000	
	(d) Int. relating to previous years.	11,56 000	
	(e) Development Rebate/ allowance.	45,00,000	
	(f) Any other Reserves :		
	(i) Reserve for bad and doubtful debts.	7,37,000	
	(ii) Provision for warranty.	20,91,000	
		3,60,38,000	
3.	Add back also :		
	(a) Bonus paid in respect of previous years.	18,96,000	
	(b) Donation paid in excess	2,00,000	
		3,81,34,000	
4.	Total of items 1, 2 and 3 (Gross profits).		6,65,92 000
5.	Deduct prior charges :		
	(a) Depreciation	1,12,92,181	
	(b) Development rebate/ allowance	35,13,09[illegible]	
	(c) Direct taxes	1,56,51,000	
	(d) 8 5% on paid up capital	1,01,13,000	
	(e) Int. at 6% on reserves	19,86,000	
		4,25,55,575	4,25,55 575

Available Surplus Rs. 2,30,35,525
Allocable Surplus Rs. 1,43,01,315

Bangalore
Date 13-10-1972

Sd.....
For First Party (a) & (b)

3 Party I (c) averred as follows :—

3. The Bharat Earth Movers Limited, viz., is having two separate establishments, one at Bangalore, where its Rail Coach Division is located, and the other at K G.F., where its Earth Movers Division is located. They are separately registered as 'Factories' under Factories Act and for the purpose of payment of bonus Act under Section 3, they are distinct and separate Establishments. About 4000 workmen are employed at each one of the Divisions. The uniform declaration of bonus at 12.62% for the workmen employed in both the Divisions is not justified. The calculation of allocable surplus ought to have been done separately for the two Divisions. This was necessary in view of the fact that the two Divisions are separate Establishments and as a consequence, the workmen became entitled to bonus having regard to the profits earned by the Establishment in which they work. The II Party was not justified in consolidating the accounts of the two distinct and separate Establishments. Separate Accounts are also being maintained for the two Establishments. There is no connection between the two establishments except the fact that they are owned by the same Company. One Establishment is not depending upon the other. The products manufactured at the two Divisions are different. The raw materials required for the production of the equipment are purchased separately. There are separate Sales Organisations for the two Establishments. Therefore, the workmen are justified in contending that the Earth Movers Division at K.G.F. has to be treated as a separate and distinct Establishment for the purpose of bonus for the Accounting Year 1971-72 Launching production in 1967, the Earth Movers Division, K G F., made rapid strides and started earning fabulous profits, but the wages and the other benefits paid to the workmen due to whose hard work huge profits realised are shockingly meagre and low. A careful perusal of the Profits & Loss Account and the Balance Sheet and other accounts will indicate that what has been paid to the workmen as bonus is not in accordance with law. The profit & Loss Account cannot be relied upon as it contains many inaccurate statements. The II Party has deliberately inflated certain items of expenditure with a view to show that the profits earned do not justify the claim for higher percentage of bonus. After inspection of the accounts maintained and obtaining the necessary particulars, a separate statement of calculation would be filed to show that the II Party was not justified in declaring 12.62% as bonus and the workmen are entitled to the maximum of 20% according

to law. The II Party's plea that the workmen are not entitled to the claim of the Profit sharing Bonus in view of the Settlement dated 21st April 1958 alleged to have been entered into by the workmen and the management of Hindustan Aircraft Limited is not sustainable that such a contention was raised in the dispute between the workmen and the management of Hindustan Aircraft Limited and the said contention was repelled by the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore. The said Settlement is also not binding upon the I party workmen who are employed in the Earth Movers Division K. G F. Further, the said Settlement its as not been entered into in accordance with law. In the II Party-Establishment, The Earth Movers Division, K. G. F., has made sufficient profits justi ying the workmen's claim for 20% bonus.

4. The II Party filed a Statement Containing the following averments:—

The II Party is a Government Company established in the public Sector and functioning under the Administrative Control of the Ministry of Defence, Department of Defence Production, Government of India. All the shares of the Company are held by the Central Government. Both the Rail Coach Factory at Bangalore and the Earth Movers Factory at K. G F. are presently owned by the said Company. The Rail-Coach Factory was originally a Division of the Hindustan Aircraft, Limited. By an order dated 28th September 1974, the Rail Coach Division was transferred from the Hindustan Aircraft Limited (which, upon amalgamation with Aeronautics (India) Limited, came to be known as Hindustan Aeronautics Limited)? to Bharat Earth Movers Limited, a company which was incorporated on 11th May 1964. Similarly, with the transfer of Rail coach Division, the Earth Movers Division, which also had its beginning as part Hindustan Aircraft, Ltd., stood transfered to Bharat Earth Movers Limited. These transfers did not bring about any break in service of the employees in the two Divisions. Their services stood transferred to the II Party and continued uninterruptedly with the same conditions of service. The result of such transfer was that agreements and obligations pertaining to the two divisions stood transferred to the II Party. On 21st April 1958, when the Rail Coach Division was part of the Hindustan Aircraft, Limited, a Memorandum of Settlement was entered into between the Management of Hindustan Aircraft, Limited, as it then was, on the one hand, and its workmen, which included the employees of the Rail Coach Division, on the other hand, in accordance with law. One, of the 18 demands dealt with by the said Memorandum related to the claim of Profit Sharing Bonus. As the Hindustan Aircraft Limited, was an Establishment in the Public Sector, the management was not free to pay any Profit Sharing Bonus, as such, according to the Government Policy then in force and: therefore, agreed that in lieu of it, they would pay an Annual Bonus linked to production. Even at that

fime, there was a system of individual/group Incentive Scheme, based on performance, und r which saving in estimated time in actual performance was rewarded by additional payment of wages. There was no demand from the employees for a further Incentive Scheme such as, Production Bonus. The Management offerred Production Bonus Scheme in lieu of Bonus passed on Profits, since Profit Sharing Bonus demanded by he employees could not be paid as such, being a public Sector Establishment. This was in addition to the pre-existing scheme of Incentives which also continued. In accordance with the provisions of the said Settlement and Schemes, which is now also binding on the II Party and its employees in the Rail Coach and Earth Movers Divisions, the II Party has been paying Production Bonus to its employees. Therefore, the II Party's Workmen who are receiving Production Bonus are ineligible to any Profit Sharing Bonus under Section 32 (vii) (a) of the Payment of Bonus Act. Practically, all workmen, on whose behalf, demands have been made for bonus for the year 1971-72 and for whose benefit the present reference has been made, are entitled to Annual Production Bonus linked with production or Productivity in lieu of bonus based on profits under the existing scheme introduced persuant to their Agreement dated 21st April 1958. Hence, none of the provisions of the Payment of Bonus Act are applicable to the I Party-workmen and the said workmen are not entitled to Profit Sharing Bonus for 1971-72. The II Party is not under any legal obligation to justify declaration of 12.62% as bonus for the year 1971-72 for the reason that such declaration is purely an *exgratia* payment. The answer to the first point of dispute would, therefore, be that the II Party is under no duty to justify the declaration of 12.62% of wages as bonus for their workmen for the year 1971-72 and the answer to the second point of dispute would be that the said workmen are not entitled to any bonus for the said year. The above position is not to any extent, altered by the Payment of Bonus Amendment Ordinance because the said Ordinance does not amend Section 32 (vii) (a) of the Act, on the basis of which the II Party contends that the Act is not applicable to its workmen. The II Party further stated that in an earlier Reference of a claim to Profit Sharing Bonus for the 3 years ending with March 1965 and which was the subject-matter of A I D 12 of 1966 before the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, a similar contention based on Section 32 (vii) (a) of the Act was raised by the II Party but the same was negatived by the Tribunal in its Award dated 2nd August 1971. The II Party has filed W. P. No 2384/71 against the said Award in which a method of calculation of available surplus, which is not in accordance with the Bonus Act, was adopted by the Tribunal. The Writ petition is pending. Based on the Award dated 2nd August 1971, the workmen put forward a claim for Profit Sharing Bonus even for the subsequent years. Since the said claim was not accepted by the II Party, an Industrial Dispute arose and

the same was taken up in conciliation. Eventually, on 9th October 1971, a Settlement was arrived at under Section 18 (3) of the Industrial Disputes Act without prejudice to the contentions and rights of the Parties involved in A.I D. No 12 of 1966 and pending in W.P. No. 2384/71. In accordance with the said Settlement, for the years 1964-65 to 1969-70, the amount of production bonus deducted from the workmen by adjusting it against the *Ex gratia* Bonus which the II Party had paid was refunded. In this manner, the workmen have received *Ex-gratia* Profit Sharing Bonus for all the said years without deduction of the Production Bonus th refrom. In the same manner, pursuant to the said Settlement, for the years 1970-71 also *Ex-gratia* Profit-sharing Bonus was paid at 12% without deducting the Production Bonus Clause (ix) of the said Settlement also provides for the payment of *ex-gratia* Bonus for the year 1971-72. It will thus be seen that so far as 1971-72 is concerned, Profit Sharing Bonus is payable to the workmen either as *ex-gratia* bonus. if the payment of Bonus Act was not applicable as contended by the II Party, or as under the said Act, if the said Act was applicable as contended by the workmen. But, what is more important is that according to the said Agreement, bonus to be so paid is to be calculated in the manner prescribed by the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965, as amended from time to time.

5 Producing along with its objection Statement, (1) a Statement of Account showing the gross profits computed as specified in the II Schedule to the Act, (2) a statement of account showing sums deductable from the gross profits for the purpose of computing the available surplus as per Section 5 and 6 of the Act, (3) a statement of account showing the direct taxes which the employer is entitled to deduct from the gross profits calculated as per Section 7 of the Act, and (4) Balance Sheet-cum-Profit & Loss Account for the year 1971-72 as audited by its statutory Auditors and also by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, the II Party proceeded to urge that the available surplus for the year 1971-72 comes to Rs. 53.29 Lakhs and the allocable surplus on this basis according to Section 2(4) (b) comes to Rs. 31.97 lakhs, that on this basis, the Profit Sharing Bonus that could be paid in accordance with the Act, if the Act is applicable is thus 11.53% only, that however, the II Party decided to give a larger amount of 12,62% by adding to the profit as per profit & Loss Account, a sum of Rs. 11.56 lakhs being interest paid under Section 217 of the Income Tax Act, though on strict interpretation of law, it need not have been so added and accordingly, announced payment of it by its General Bulletin No. 175 dated 22nd August 1972. At the request of the Unions, the II Party disbursed the amount without prejudice to the rights of the parties in W. P. No. 2484/71 and the present proceedings. Therefore, the II Party is not liable to pay any Profit Sharing Bonus to the workmen as the Act was not applicable to them and in case it is held that the Act was

applicable to them, the Bonus payable in accordance with the Act is 11,53% only.

6. Dealing with the Statement of Claim, filed by Parties I (a) and (b) and the calulation of bonus in the Annexure 2, the II Party further contended as follows :—

Parties I (a) and (l) are not entitled to contend that the declaration of 12.62% is not justified and that the maximum should have been granted. The calulation of allocable surplus in the Statement as Rs. 1,43,01,315/- is not in conformity with law and is opposed to the provisions of the Act laying down the manner in which the available surplus and the allocable surplus are to be arrived at. Some of the errors in the calculations made by Parties I-A and B are the following :—

The I Party was not entitled to add to the net Profit as per Profit and Loss Account, the sum of Rs. 11,56,000 paid as interest under Section 217 of the Income Tax Act and is an item of expenditure wholly or principally incurred for purposes of the business of the Company and as such, is deductable in arriving at the Net Profits. There is, therefore, no justification to add back the same, though for technical reasons, it may not be a permissible deduction under the Income Tax Act for the year 1971-72. (2) In the Profit and Loss Account for the year 1971-72, the Company made a provision of Rs. 20,90,803 to meet the warranty claims. The actual expenditure on this account amounted to Rs. 13,92,673 since the former amount, which was only a provision, has been added back to the net profit as per the Profit and Loss Account, it follows that the latter amount, which was the actual expenditure incurred should be deducted. This is what has been done by the Company. But, the I Party, while retaining the benefit of the add back of the former amount, has omitted to deduct the later amount. The I Party is not justified in adding back the former amount without deducting the latter amount. (3) The II Party has deducted, from out of the gross profit, a sum of Rs. 1,69,48,188 as depreciation admissible under Section 32 (1) of the Income Tax Act and a sum of Rs. 57,25,740 as Development Rebate under Section 35 (2) of the Income Tax Act, both being permissible deductions under the Payment of Bonus Act and having been certified by the Auditors. But the I Party has indicated smaller sums as deductable without assigning any reasons or the basis therefor. (4) As against the sum of Rs. 2,32,82,584 deducted by the II Party towards Direct Taxes calculated on the gross profit as per the Payment of Bonus Act, the I Party has indicated a sum of Rs. 1,56,51,000 only. There is no justification for taking this lesser sum and the I Party has also not given any reason for substituting a smallar sum. The deduction made by the II Party is in accordance with the Supreme Court's decisions. (5) The II Party has deducted as Prior Charges the 6% on the Reserves shown in its

Balance Sheet as at the commencement of the Accounting Year. Reserves amounted to Rs. 702.09 Lakhs and 6% thereon worked out to Rs. 42,12,569. As against these figures, the I Party has adopted a much smaller sum of Rs. 19,86,300 without any basis. If the above errors in calculation committed by the I Party are rectified, the allocable surplus works out to Rs. 31,97,288 as against Rs. 1,43,01,315 indicated by the I Party.

7. With reference to the contentions raised by Party I-c, a separate statement was filed by the II Party saying that the Earth Movers Division took its birth in Hindustan Aircraft Ltd., of which the Rail Coach Factory was a constituent Division. The processing of the Project and the initial spade work were carried out in the Earth Mover Division within the Hindustan Aircraft, Limited, pending the formation of a new Company in the Public Sector. After that, new Company was found and given the name of BHARAT EARTH MOVERS, LIMITED, which took over from Hindustan Aircraft, Ltd., the Rail Coach Factory as well as the Earth Mover Division. The Earth Mover Division then had no assets. The Assets which were so taken over by the New Company were of the Rail Coach Factory valued at six crores of rupees and that formed the intial capital of the Bharth Earth Movers, Limited, and which Rail Coach as well as Earth Mover Undertaking were parts. Even now, the Earth Mover Division and the Rail Coach Division are only constituent parts of one Division, *viz.*, the Bharth Earth Movers, Limited. The Share Capital, Reserves, interest and Tax liabilities for the Company in its entirety is one. There has been no allocation of Capital, Reserves, interest and tax liabilities of the Company between the two Divisions. Whatever funds are required for the projects of any of the Divisions, the same are drawn from the common fund. Only one Balance Sheet has all along been prepared for the entire Company in accordance with the Companies Act. It is only for administrative and accounts control that separate Profit and Loss Accounts are prepared for the two Division. Except for local variations. the conditions of service in the Certified Standing Order, which is common to both the Divisions are almost identical. The top management which consists of the Board of Directors, the Managing Director. the Financial Controller, the Industrial Relations Manager and Chief Administrative Officer is the same and common to the two Divisions. In the face of these facts, it is wholly untenable to contend that the Earth Mover Division should be regarded as an independent establishment for the purpose of bonus computation. If the Earth Mover Division was an Independent Establishment, then the employees in the said Establishment would not have been entitled to any bonus in view of section 16 of the Payment of Bonus Act for it was newly set up and went into production in 1967. Further. in such event, there would have been no ground to introduce 'production Bonus' which was a legacy from the Hindustan Air 'Craft

Limited. Under Section, 3 of the Act where an establishment consists of different Undertakings, whether situated in the same place or in different places all the Undertakings should be treated as parts of the same Establishment for bonus computation. It is only when a separate Balance Sheet and Profit & Loss Account are prepared, that the question of treating the different Undertakings separetely arises. The workmen have also accepted bonus computed on the basis that the two Divisions are parts of one and the same Establishment. This is also evident from the Memorandum of Settlement dated 9th October 1971 dealing with the bonus for the years 1964-65 to 1971-72. It is, therefore, impermissible in law now for the workmen to require that the Earth Mover Division should be treated separetely for bonus computation. The I Party-workmen are estopped from taking up a different stand now through a different Union. The Workmen are disentitled from contending that the Computation of bonus in the case of Earth Mover Division's workmen should be made separetely for that Division. The II Party's Accounts have been duly audited and certified, not merely by its qualified Auditors, but also by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India. There is a presupmtion under Section 23 of the Act that those Accounts are accurate. The Balance Sheet and the Profit & Loss Accounts have been prepared in the due and regular course of business. The I Party has not pointed out any of the particular items of expenditure as having been deliberately inflated with a view to showing less profits and in what other particulars, the accounts are incorrect The I Party's allegations, in this behalf are vague and baseless. The II Party is not liable to pay any Profit Sharing Bonus and if it is held that the Act applies, then, nothing more than what has already been paid is due.

8. A rjoinder was filed by the workmen at Parties I (a) and (b) in connection with some of the allegations made by the II Party :—

It is incorrect to say that the provisions of the Payment of Bonus Act are not applicable to the workmen by virtue of Section 32 (vii) (a) of the said Act as they are paid production Bonus only pursuant to an Agreement dated 21st April 1958 and that, therefore, they are not entitled to any Profit Sharing Bonus for the year under Reference. The II Party's contention that the Rail Coach Factory and the Earth Mover Factory were part of Hindustan Aircraft, that after their transfor to Bharat Earth Movers, Limited, what applied to Hindustan Aircraft, Limited, equally applied to BEML Undertaking, including the Memorandum of Settlement dated 21st April 1958 which, provided for Payment of Annual Production Bonus in lieu of Proft Sharing Bonus, and that the workmen are disentitled to any Profit Sharing Bonus are incorrect and untenable. The II Party is not entitled to contend that it is under no legal obligation to pay Profit Sharing Bonus to justify the declaration of only 12.62% as bonus for 1971-72 and to urge that the amendment of the Bonus Act does not alter he

position. The II Party's Industry is a new and independent one and has nothing to do with the Hindustan Aeronautics, Limited, or the alleged Agreement dated 21st April 1958. Neither the workmen nor any of their Unions have signed any Agreement or Settlement with the II Party for payment of Annual Production Bonus in lieu of Profit sharing Bonus and, therefore, the applicability of Section 32 (v i) ( ) of the Payment of Bonus Act to the workmen or their exclusion from the purview of the Payment, of Bonus Act does not arise. There was also no Agreement or Settlement between the workmen and the management of Hindustan Aeronautics, Limited, at any time with regard to the payment of any annual bonus linked with production or productivity in lieu of bonus based on profits. The alleged settlement dated 21st April 1958 is not legal valid or binding under the Industrial Disputes Act The persons who are said to have signed the same had no right or authority to negotiate, settle or sign an agreement with the management on behalf of the workmen. The said Agreement also does not contain any scheme for the payment of bonus linked with production or productivity in lieu of bonus based on profits. Therefore, the payment of production bonus to workmen is not in pursuance of the said Agreement. But, is a condition of service which the workmen are entitled to and the same is linked to efficiency and forms part of Production cost. The II Party therefore, cannot dispute or deny the workmen's right Profit sharing Bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act. Similar contentions alleged by the II Party in A I D No. 12 of 1966 were negatived and it was held that the Payment of Bonus Act applied to the workmen and that they are entitled to Profit Sharing Bonus. The II Party's averment made in connection with the Settlement dated 9th October 1971 and the Payment of the so called exagratia payment are untenable and irrelevant and cannot take away the workmen's right to Profit Sharing Bonus in accordance with the Payment of Bonus Act. The II Party's computation of the allocable surplus is wrong and erroneous. On a proper comuptation of the same, the workmen are entitled to nothing less than 20% for 1971-72. The calculations made by Parties I (a) and (b) in the Annexure to its original statement is in accordance with the Payment of Bonus Act.

9. Subsequently, the II Party filed an Additional Statement in connection with the contentions raised by the Party I-c.

10. In addition to the points of dispute scheduled in the Order of Reference, the following Issues arising out of the pleadings were framed :—

"1. Does II Party- Management prove that the declaration of the bonus at 12.62% for the year 1971-72 is in accordance with law and is justified ?

2. Do I Party-(A) and (B) workmen prove that the II Party-Management has earned huge profits due to the efforts of the workers

for the year in question and that therefore, they are entitled to higher percentage of bonus *viz.*, 20% of the total annual earnings as per the calculation made in accordance with the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965, as contained in the Annexure filed along with their claim statements ?

3. Do I Party (C) workmen prove that the Rail Coach Division, Bangalore and Earth Movers Division, K.G.F. are two separate and distinct establishments for the purpose of Payment of Bonus Act. that allocable surplus as per the provisions of the Payment of Bonus Act, ought to have been separately calculated by the Management having regard to the profits earned by each of the establishment, that the Mangement ought to have declared separate rate of bonus for I Party (C) workmen and the uniform declaration of bouns at 12.62% of wages is not justified ?

4. Do I Party-(C) workmen further prove that having regard to the profits earned by the Earth Movers Division, K.G.F. during 1971-72, they are entitled to get maximum bonus at 20% of the total annual earnings for the year 1971-72 ?

5. Does II Party-Management prove that the provisions of the Payment of the Bonus Act, do not apply to the I Party-workmen that the I Party-workmen are not eligible to receive Profit Sharing Bonus and they are only entitled to annual production bonus linked with production or productivity in lieu of bonus based on profits under the existing scheme introduced pursuant to an Agreement dated 21st April 1958 ?

OR

Does II Party-Management prove that even if the Payment of Bonus Act were to apply the bonus payable in accordance with the Act is only 11.53%.

6. Does II Party Management prove that the Settlement dated 21st April 1958 is binding on I Party-workmen and whether they could take such a contention in view of the Order of Reference ?

7. To what reliefs, if any, are the parties entitled ?

8. What Award.

The oral evidence in the case was adduced in the first instance by the II party who examined (1) M. W.-1 R. Gopalan, Deputy Financial Controller of the II Party, (2) M W. 2. C. B. Venkata Rao, Chartered Accountant and Partner of M/s. B. K. Radadyani & Company and (3) M. W. 3. N. T. Raju, Consulting Actuary. Parties I A, B and C have not adduced any oral evidence (vide Order Sheet dated 1st June 1978 in respect of parties I-A and B and order sheet dated 5th June 1978 in respect of Party I-C and the Memos respectively filed by them). I have heard the Counsels appearing for the parties and perused the records,

11. **Issue No. 3** :—The contention covered by this Issue has been raised by Party I-C who urges that the divisions of the II Party, namely, the Rail-Coach Division, Bangalore, and tha Earth Movers Division, K. G. F., should be treated as separate establishments for the purpose of calculating the Allocable surplus and de-laration of bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act. Except eliciting in the cross examination of M, W. 1 Gopalan that the Earth Movers Unit at K. G. F. has been separately registered under the Factories Act, that the raw materials required and so also the items manufactured at the two divisions are different, that till the stage of preparing the Propit & Loss Account and the Balance Sheet, all the accounts of the two Divisions are maintained separately by the II Party and that there are separate Recruiting Agencies for making appointments and separate Disciplinary Authorities for the two Units, no other factor or circumstance has been brought on record by thr party I-C to treat the two divisions as separate units for the purpose of computing the Allocable surplus. It is not denied by Party I-C that both the divisions are owned by the II Party which is a Government Company established in the Public Sector with all the shares held by the Central Government and having common management. The submission of the Party I-C, which appears to be a Union of the workers employed in the Earth Movers Division of the 11 Party, that the Earth Movers Division of the II Party has been making larger profits than the other division, namely, the Rail Coach Division, and that therefore Party I-C workers should be treated as a separate entity for the purpose of payment of bonus is not justified on the material on record. There is no material to show that by treating the two Divisions as one Unit for the purpose of payment of bonus, Party I-C workmen have been deprived of their legitimate bonus. When the Profit and Loss Account and the Balance Sheet of the II Party has not been split up, Party I-C workmen cannot contend that unfair labour practice has been practised by the II Party and that the II Party should be directed to split up its accounts. No legal provision permitting such a direction to be given to the II Party. has been cited by Party I-C's Counsel. The Very assertion of Party I-C that since saparate products are manufactured by the two divisions. the calculation of bonus should be done separately is therefore not tenable. this Issue is hold against Party I-C.

11(a) Issues Nos. 5 and 6.—It is the contention of the II Party that it is the successor of Hindustan Aeronautics, Ltd., which had entered into an agreement with the workmen under the agreement dated 21st April 1958 for payment of annual bonus linked with production or productivity in lieu of bonus based on profits and that on transfer of the two divisions to the II Party as indicated in its statement, the rights and obligations arising under the said agreement dated 21st April 1958 have devolved upon the two Parties and became binding on both. Thus, It is contended that no Profit Sharing Bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act is payable.

11(b) The II Party had raised the very same contention in I D. No. 98/66 of this Tribunal which related to the payment of bonus for 1963 to 65 As per the award passed therein, th II Party's contentions were negatived and it was held that the Payment of Bo us Act applied to the II Party's workmen and that they are entitled to to Profit Sharing Bonus at the rate of 4 per cent of their total annual wages. against this award, the II Party filed Writ Petition No. 2384/1971 on the file of the High Court of Karnataka, and th same is pending There is no dispute that the decision in W. P. 2384 71 with regard to the applicablity of the Payment of Bonus Act for the years in question will hold good and apply to the present proceedings. Therefore, the findings on these Issues will abide by the result of the decision in W. P. 2384/71. Held accordingly.

Point of Dispute No. 1 and Issues 1. 2 and 4.

12. The award of bonus at 12.62% for the year 1971-72 has been sought to be justified by the II Party by relying upon Ext. M 12-Statement prepared according to the form prescribed in the Second Schedule of the Act by its Chartered Accountants B. K. Ramadyani and Co., of which M. W. 2 C. B Venkata Rao is one of the partners. Ext. M. 12 statement shows that the gross profits for the purpose of bonus is Rs. 651.99 lakhs. that the available surplus is Rs. 58. 32 lakhs and that the Allocable surplus at 60% thereof is Rs. 34.99 lakhs, The II Party would, however, say that as per this calculation, a sum of Rs. 11.56 lakhs paid as penalty under Section 217 of the Income Tax Act has been added back as income, though the said amount was not liable to be added back under law Thus, according to the II Party, the bonus paid at 12.62 percent is little more than bonus at 11.53 percent which it was liable to pay on correct calculation as seen from Ext. M. 2 Statement of available surplus prepared on behalf of the II Party by M. W. 1. R Gopalan. The question that falls for consideration is the correctness of the statement at Ext M. 12 The Counsel appearing for parties at I-A and B has raised several objections with regard to the particulars mentioned in Ext. M 12 statement. These objections will now be considered in the order in which the same have been raised and are required to be gone into in the matter of calculation of the allocable surplus.

13. The first objection raised by the Parties I-A and B is with regard to the deduction of Rs. 13,92,673 (or roughly Rs. 13.93 lakhs) towards Warrantly Claims as per Ext M. 12 statement. According M W.1 Gopalan, this sum of Rs. 13,92 673 has been arrived at by adding the provision for the year 1971-72, which is Rs. 20,30,803 (as seen from column No. 1 at page 30 of Ext. M-13 Profit a Loss Account for the year ended 31st March 1972) to the opening balance of Rs. 16.06 942 (as seen from Column No 2 as above) and deducting from the total, the closing balance of Rs. 23,05,072. According

to M. W-1 R. Gopalan, Rs. 13,92,673 is the actual expenses incurred towards Warranty Claims for the year 1971-72.

14. The attack made by the parties I-A and B is that such a procedure is not in accordance with the Second Schedule item 6-B, as it is not an expenditure or losses debited directly to the Reserves. It was further urged by the Counsel appearing for the parties I (A) and (B) that no proof, in the shape of vouchers, etc., has been produced by the II Party to show the actual expenses of Rs. 13,92,673 having been incurred.

15. The II Party's financial statements at pages 32 to 34 of Ext M-13 show that there is a separate Warranty Reserve Fund leaving the closing balance of Rs 23,05,072 at the end of the year. The previous year's closing balance for Warranty Claims was Rs. 16,06,942. Therefore it is clear that the Warranty Expenses have been debited directly to the reserves. It is not denied by the counsel for parties I-A and B that these reserves do not fall in the category of clauses (i and (ii) of item 6(d) of the Second Schedule. Therefore, Parties I-A and B are not justified in contending that Warranty Expenses should not have been deducted while calculating the Gross Profits for the purposes of bonus. There is, therefore, no substance in the submission of Parties I-A and B that the expenditure is not relevent to the year of Account. There is no dispute that the closing balance for Warranty Claims for the previous year was Rs. 16,06,942 that provisions was made in Rs. 20,90,803 for the year in question, bringing the total to Rs. 36,97,745 and that the balance left at the end of the year was Rs. 23,05,072. It follows, therefore, that the difference between the aforesaid total and the balance which comes to Rs. 13,92,673 is the actual expenses incurred by way of Warranty Claims. Proof of the said actual expenses by production of vouchers, etc., by the II Party, cannot, therefore, be insisted upon. Parties I-A and B are, therefore, not entitled to contend that the said Warranty Expenses should not have been daducted by the II Party and that on the other hand, the same should have been added back to the net profit as per the Profit & Loss Account.

16 Next, we come to the Production Bonus relating to the previous years and amounting to Rs. 28.05 lakhs (vide final statement at page 40 of Ext. M.13) which, according to the Counsel for Parties I-A and B, should be added back. The reason why, according to the Parties I-A and B's Counsel, this amount should be added back is the following :—

The said expenditure is not referrable to the Accounting year in question (1971-72).

17. It is true that the said item is not referrable to the said Accounting year in the sense that it was incurred in respect of bonus for the previous years Starting from 1964 and upto 1970. But it was actually paid as per Ext. M-5 Settlement dated 9th October 1971 and

is thus business expenditure actually incurred during the accounting year. In connection with the II Party's right to deduct the said amount, the II Party's Counsel has invited attention to Aumbica Mills -Vs-Textile Labour Association (1973 I LLJ 102 (SC) at Special page 110). If the submission of Parties I (A) and (B)'s Counsel were to be accepted, then it would mean that the Profit & Loss Accounts as well as the Balance Sheets starting from the Accounting Year in respect of which Production Bonus under Ext M. 5 has been paid will have to be re-opened and fresh accounts recast. The Counsel for Parties (A) and (b) has not been able to point out with any special season as to which particular year or years, the amount paid by way of Production Bonus under Ext. M-5 Settlement is to be paid. The payment of Production Bonus amount under Ext. M-5 Settlement cannot be supressed or deleted from the Accounts. In accordance with the doctrine of collective bargaining, which in the foundation of the labour management law and relationship. the whole group of workmen who are employed over the years have to be reated as one unit just as the management is treated as one unit. Therefore, the workmen of the II Party, during any accounting year, cannot be said to have sufferred deprivation of their legitimate bonus because production bonus relating to the prior years was paid during the Accounting year. Further even if the Parties I-A and B's Counsel's submission were to be accepted and the old Accounts are re-opened, no useful purpose will be served for the net profit as per the Profit and Loss Account, which is the the starting point for calculation of the Allocable Surplus as per the Second Schedule to the Act, would be effected and brought down by the corresponding figure.

18 Another reason put forward by the Parties I (A) and (B)'s Counsel is that the sum of Rs. 81.96 lakhs paid towards the Profit Sharing Bonus relating to the previous years has not been deducted in a similar manner by the II Party while calculating the Allocable Surplus, The simple reason for such adding back by the II Party is the fact that under item 3 (a) of the Second Schedule to the Act, only Profit Sharing Bonus paid in respect of previous years is to be added back and this is because, the II Party's workmen fell in the class of employees dealt with in Section 32 (vii) of the Act. For the foregoing reasons, the Parties I-A and B's Counsel's submissions that this sum of Rs. 28.5 lakhs should be added back is rejected. Therefore, Parties I-(A) and (B) are also not entitled to contend that Rs 725 should be added back.

19. A big sum which would materially affect the allocable surplus amount is the sum of Rs. 86,84,316 which according to the counsel for Parties I(A) and (B), should be added back [see Parties I(A) and (B)'s calculation sheet]. This submission on the part of parties 1 (A) and (B) is misconceived. As seen from the Profit and oss Account (at page 31 of Ext M. 13), this amount has been brought on the credit

side of the Profit and Loss Account where the relevant entry to be found reads as follows:—

By Sales	...	Rs. 35,25,09,842.
*Net after providing for price revisions of which Rs 86,84,316 relates to prior years.		
Less selling Agent's Commission.		1,03,948.
		35,24,05,894

M.W. 1 Gopalan has explained in his evidence as to what the said amount of Rs 86,84,316 represents. According to him, Goods, like Railway Coaches are sold to the Railways at prices which are provisional and sometimes the prices are finalised during the next Accounting year. When M.W. 1 Gopalan further swears that the difference between the privisional price and the final price is what is meant by the expression, "price revision relating to prior years", it follows that the final prices are higher than the provisional prices which were actually paid during the previous year (see also the evidence of M. W. 2 C. B, Venkat Rao) and the excess thus stands already added back. Therefore, Parties at 1 (A) and (b) are not entitled to contend that the said amount should be added back once again. Merely saying that the said amount does not refer to the accounting year does not give any assistance to the Parties at 1 (A) and (B) for the said Parties should also state how the said amount should be treated in the Profit and Loss Account. If the ground that the said amount does refer to the accounting year were to be accepted, then it would mean that the said amount was not liable to added back and, therefore, should have been deducted. When the II Party's Counsel submitted that he has no objection to such a course being adopted, for, thereby, the net profit as well as the allocable surplus would become reduced, the Counsel for Parties I-A and B refrained from pressing his objection.

20. With regard to the sum of Rs. 21,450 (*vide* Profit and Loss Account at page 30 of Ext M. 13) towards "Loss on Fixed Assets discarded". it was submitted by the Counsel for parties I-A and B that the said sum is Capital loss and should be added back. M.W. 1 Gopalan admits that the said sum is a Capital Loss. But, there is no warrant for Parties I-A and B's Counsel's submissions that this sum should be added back for under Item 3 (d) of the Second Schedule to the Act, Capital Loss on sale or Capital Assets on which depreciation has been allowed for Income-Tax are excluded from being added back. Therefore, Parties I-A and B are not entitled to contend that the sum of Rs. 21,450 should be added back.

21. Then, we come to 3 different items of prior charges, which, according to the Counsel for Parties 1-A and B, have been wrongly

calculated by the II Party for the purpose of determining the Allocable Surplus. In Ext M–2 Statement, the II Party has adopted Rs. 1,69,48,188 as the amount deductable as gross profits under Section 6 by way of Depreciation admissible in accordance with Section 32(i) of the Income Tax Act. According to Parties I–A and B Counsel the said sum comes to Rs. 1,66,71,541 and thus, a sum of Rs. 2,76,647 has been further wrongly deducted by the II Party. The calculation of the Depreciation under Section 32 (i) of the Income Tax Act done by the II Party is to be found at Extra. M. 10 and the Annexures thereto. Ext. M.11 is the Certificate issued by the II Party's Chartered Accountants to the Income-Tax Officer. M.W.2 Venkat Rao Chartered Accountant, swears that the Depreciation noted in Ext M.11 as Rs. 1,69.48.188 has been correctly calculated on the basis of the figures furnished by the II Party as per Ext. M. 10 Statement and the annexures thereto.

22. The Counsel for Parties I(A) and (B) has invited attention to Annexure 'G' Ext M. 10 which contains the statement regarding initial depreciation for certain items of additions during 1971–72. It is pointed out by the Counsel for Parties I–A and B that over and above the sum of Rs. 4,808 which has been disallowed by the Income Tax Officer, the following amounts deducted by the I Party are liable to be added back as the said sums are not permissible to be deducted under Section 32 (i) and (iv) of the Income Tax Act :—

			Rs
1.	Lighting Installations to the Non-Vegetarian Canteen.	...	2,034
2.	Cycle Sheds	...	6,195
3.	Medical Centre	...	66,175
4.	Extension of Co-operative Society.	...	894
5.	Additional Cost on Type II Qrs.	...	1,407
6.	Lighting of Cycle Sheds	...	548
		Total ...	77,243

According to the Counsel for Parties I (A) and (B), the above items 1 to 6 do not qualify for initial depreciation since they are not new buildings and further, though erected during the year, the said buildings are not used for such welfare purposes as enumerated in Clause (iv) of Section 32 (i) of the Income tax.

23 There is absolutely no substance in the submission made by the Counsel for Parties 1-A and B. The buildings in question have not been shown by parties I-A and B as not being used for the welfare purposes enumerated in Clause (iv) of Section 38 (i) of the Income Tax Act, either in the Cross Examination of

M. W-1 Gopalan or M. W-2 C. B. Venkat Rao or by any direct evidence to the contra. Such a contention comes up for the first time during the arguments. Therefore, the Parties I (A) and (B) are not entitled to contend that the II Party has wrongly calculated the depreciation permissible under Section 32(i) of the Income Tax Act and that the amount of depreciation as mentioned by them should have been adopted. The Counsel for the II Party is right in his submission that the Income Tax Officer has not erred in accepting the Depreciation amount of Rs. 1,69,48,188 and disallowing therefrom only a sum of Rs. 4,808 and no further amounts. So, on this item of dispute, I hold that only a sum of Rs. 4,808 disallowed by the Income Tax Officer is liable to be added back and that, however since the adding back of such a small amount is of very little consequence, in as much as the allocable surplus would not become substantially altered so as to effect the rate of bonus, the same need not be taken to account.

24 Next, we come to the sum of Rs. 57,95,740 certified as the Development Rebate permissible under the Income Tax Act by M.W-2 C. B. Venkat Rao, the Chartered Accountent of the II Party. From this amount, Rs. 10,768 has been disallowed by the Income Tax Officer. It is contended by the Counsel for Parties I-A and B that over and above this sum of Rs. 10,768 which has been disallowed by the Income Tax Officer, the following further sums are also liable to be added back :—

1	Water Supply		18,618
			8,361
2	Communication Equipment	...	6,784
3	Bins etc	...	47,908
			1,814
4	Electrical Installations		99,260
			3,428
		Total	1,86,171

As seen from Annexure 'F' to Ext. M-10 Statement, the above amounts represent Development Rebate at 25%. According to Parties I-A and B Counsel, the above items of work are not used directly in the manufacturing operations and so, do not qualify for Development Rebate under Section 33 of the Income Tax Act. The above submission on the part of parties I(A) and (B) is not sustainable for, as seen from Kanga and Palkhivala's—"The Law and Practice of Income Tax" (Volume I, Page 375), the definition of "Plant & Machinery" in Section 43(3) of the Income Tax Act is required to be given a very *vide* meaning. It is observed that 'Plant' in its ordinary sense includes, whatever apparatus is used by a businessman for carrying on his business, except his stock-in-trade which he buys or makes

for sale, but not including animals or the human body. Therefore the parties I-A and B Counsel is not entitled to contend that the above items like water supply, electrical installations and bins are not being used directly in the manufacturing operations.

25. But, under Section 6 of the Payment of Bonus Act only a sum of Rs, 45,00,000 is liable to be taken into account and the same has been done by the II party in the Profit & Loss Account (Vide page 30 of Ext, M-1:).

26. Then, we come to the last item of prior charges deduced by the II Party under item (1) (iii) of the III Schedule of the Payment of Act. As seen from Ext, M-12 Bonus Caliulation Sheet, prepared by M.W. 2 Venkat Rao, what has been deducted is

(i) 5% of Reserves shown in the Balance sheet as at the commencement of the Accounting year, including net profits carried from the previous Accounting year; 6 of Rs. 702.09 lakhs Rs. 42.13 Lakhs

According to Parties I-A and B's Counsel, Return on Reserves presupposes deployment of the amount for business for earning revenue, that there is no proof regarding the use of reserves, that there are other returns specially remarked as reserves and that, further, the whole of the Development Rebate Reserve having been deducted every year as prior charge, there is no justification for further deduction claimed by the II Party. Though there is no such proof as deceaded by Parties I-A and B's Counsel, the deduction classified by the II Party is permissible in the light of the decision in 1959 I LLJ 644. The decisions in 1972 LIC 1256, 1969 I LLJ 523 and 1964, I LLJ 370 cited by the Counsel for parties I-A and I-B are ases relating to Bonus in respect of year prior to 1965.

27. During the course of the evidence, the Counsel for Parties I(A) and (B) raised objection to the II Party's demand towards other items. It was urged that a sum of Rs. 11,55,749 paid as penalty for not submitted the Income Tax in time was not incurred for purpose of business or Revenue and is, therefore, required to be added back. This item of expenditure was incurred during the Accounting year and it has not been shown that the levy of penalty could have been avoided. Nevertheless, as stated by M.W. 1 Gopalan, this amount has been added back in order to avoid unnecessary controversy. This is how, according to II party, the bonus which would have otherwise come to 11.53% because enchanced to 12.62%. The question whether this item, which has been added back, is required to be deleted would arise in the event it is held that the II Party's Calculation of the available surplus requires to be revised in respect of other items The same is left open since I find that the II Party's calculation is in order.

28. During the evidence, the Counsel appearing for Party I-C urged in relation to Ext. M. 12 Statement that the sum of Rs. 277-36 lakhs mentioned as total salary wages for the year 1971-72 is not proved as the total salary/wages of only those employees which is permissible under the Payment of Bonus Act. In other words, it was sought to be urged by Party I-A and B's Counsel that this wage amount includes the wages of other employees of the II Party who are not entitled to bonus under the Payment of Bonus Act or whose wage amount is required to be excluded for the purpose of calculating the allocable surplus. Except the bare suggestion to that effect, no material has been placed by parties. I-A and B's Counsel to show *prima facie* that Rs. 277.36 lakhs does not represent the wages of only those employess which is permissible under the Payment of Wages Act. The failure of the II Party to place the necessary materials on record, which is admitted by M.W. 1 Gopalan in his cross examination, cannot have the adverse effect of the said sum of Rs. 277.36 lakhs not being admitted as the total wages of employees which is permissible under the Payment of Bonus Act. The failure of Party's I-A and B to raise the necessary contention at the appropriate stage is partly responsible for the absence of the necessary material on behalf of the II Party for the II Party is not expected to anticipate all or any sort of objections on behalf of I Parties. After all, the II Party's accounts have been duly audited and also accepted by the Income Tax authorities and it is difficult to conceive as to how the wage bill of the employees can be inflated so as to include the wage amounts of other employees who are not entitled to bonus under the Act.

29. During the course of the evidence, a similar contention which has not been putforward in the objection statement, was raised by the Counsel appearing for Party I-C by disputing the provision for Gratuity fixed at Rs. 17,32,395 The Counsel appearing for Party I-C urged that the actual amount paid towards Gratuity during the Accounting year has not been disclosed. To meet this objection, the II Party examined M.W, 3 N.T. Raju, the Consulting Actuary of Hyderabad, who has proved the etatements at Exts M. 17 and M.18. Even during his cross examination, the procedure followed has been questioned. But Party I-C has not placed any material to show *prima face* that the calculations made by M W. 2 Raju are not to be relied upon and that further information has not been made available. Therefore the objection regarding the gratuity amount having been fixed at a higher figure which requires to be rejected.

30 In the foregoing paragraphs, several objections raised by the I Parties have been all considered and found to be not liable to be accepted. The II Party has fully established that it was justified in declaring 12.62% as bonus for 1971-72.

These Issues and pointof Dispute No 1 are held accordingly.

31. **Point No. 2 of the Points of Dispute.**—This point of dispute does not survive in view of the finding under Point No. 1 of the dispute.

32. In the result, an Award is passed holding that the Payment of Bonus for 1971-72 at 12.62% is justified.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

F.L.F. ALVARES
Presiding Officer.
Industrial Tribunal Bangalore.

ORDER NO. SWL 90 LLA 79

***Bangalore, dated** 19th/22nd March 1979*

Whereas by State Government Order No. FCL 1077 LLD 72(1) dated 28th August 1972 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Bharat Earth Movers Ltd , Bangalore, was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,

717—S.O. 1311

Printed by the Director of Printing Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 59 CSL 79.

Bangalore, dated 6th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8-A of the Karnataka Sales Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act 25 of 1957) and in supersession of the Notification No. S.O. 2242 FD 409 CSL 75) dated 30th August 1976, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the tax payable under the said Act in respect of the goods mentioned in column 1 of the Schedule below when purchased by the institutions specified in column 2 thereof namely :—

SCHEDULE

Goods	*Institutions*
1. Cotton	When purchased by the Khadi production centres in the State recognised by the Khadi and Village Industries Commission and/or the Karnataka State Khadi and Village Industries Board, for use in the manufacture of Khadi cloth.
2 Raw Wool	When purchased by the Wool Industry Co-operative Societies in the State recognised by the Khadi and Village Industries Commission and/or the Karnataka State Khadi and Village Industries Board, for the purpose of distribution to individual artisan members of such Societies or of Primary Co-operative Societies affiliated to such Societies for use in the manufacture of Khadi Woollen Products.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1246—S.O. 1321

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 10 CLS 79. (i)

Bangalore, dated 4th June 1979

The nomination of the following persons made in Notification No RDC 257 CLS 77 dated 2nd November 1977 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Mangalore is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Shri Ramanna Tantri s/o Krishna Tantri. President, Badagayedapadava Panchayat, Mangalore Taluk.
2. Shri B. Ranga s/o Basavamukari, near Nanthoor Cross, Kadri, Mangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
R. D. C. Department.

1247 S. O. 1322

Notification No. RDC 10 CLS 79 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 4th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Ltd., Mangalore with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri Jagannatha Shetty s/o Kogga Shetty, Kelingar, Kuppepadavu Village, Mangalore Taluk.
2. Sri G. Mohamed s/o Shekabba Beary, Gurupura, Mangalore Taluk.
3. Sri K. Gopala Devadiga, Kadri Temple Road Mangalore-2.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
R.D.C. Department.

1248—S. O. 1323

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 111 TML 79

Bangalore, dated 6/7th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred in Section 315 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964, the Deputy Commissioner, Bidar District, Bidar is appointed as Administrater, City Municipal

Council, Bidar in addition to his own duties with effect from 31st May 1979, until further orders *vice* Shri Siddaramappa Sulgunte, Administrator, City Municipal Council, Bidar retired.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

R. STANLEY JOSEPH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1268—S.O. 1324

Notification No. HUD 24 KHB 79.
Bangalore, dated 2nd June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (f) of Section 2 of the Karnataka Housing Board Act, 1962, Karnataka Act 10 of 1963), the Government of Karnataka hereby authorise Shri K. Karunakara Rai, Recovery Officer, Karnataka Housing Board, Bangalore, to perform the functions of the competent authority under Chapter VI of the said Act, through out the State of Karnataka.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

U. ANANTHAPADMANABHA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Dept.

1237—S.O. 1325

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 224 SAD 79 (i)
Bangalore, dated 5th June, 1979

In exercise of the powers vested under Article 11 (2) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation, Ltd., the Government of Karnataka, hereby accepts the resignation of Sri. Syed Basheer Ahmed, Ex-Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Social Welfare and Labour Department to the Director-ship of the said Corporation with effect from the forenoon of 2nd April 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. B. THIMAIAH
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L Department

1240 S.O. 1326

Notification No. SWL 224 SAD 79 (ii)
Bangalore, dated 5th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested in him under Article 11 (2) of Articles of Association of the Karnataka Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation, Ltd., the Governor of

Karnataka is pleased to appoint Shri T. P. Issar, Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Social Welfare and Labour Department as one of the Director of the Said Corporation vice Shri. Syed Basheer Ahmed resigned.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. B. THIMAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L. Department

1241—S. O. 1327

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Order No. RD 41 EST 79

Bangalore, dated 5th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the Karnataka Stamp Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act 34 of 1957) the Government of Karnataka being of the opinion that it is necessary in public interest so to do, hereby remits 50% of the duties chargeable under the said Act in respect of Sale Deeds to be executed by Karnataka Small Industries Development Corporation in favour of Members of Bangalore District, Silk Twisters Co-operative Society Ltd., and mortgage deeds to be executed by members of the said Society in favour of Karnataka State Financial Corporation.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

1239— S. O. 1328

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 209 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Kashinath Chintamani Choudhari, 221, Uppar Galli, Khasba, Belgaum on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sriyuths :

1 Sri Gangaram Khachu Patil
2 Sri Kallappa Parashuram Patil
3 Nagoji Mallappa Bhandari,
4 Basappa Siddappa Upari
5 Smt. Parvatibai Vaijappa Bangode and
6 Smt. Shakuntala Vaijappa Bangcde with effect from 24th March 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

616—S. O. 1329

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Lords Bar and Restaurant, No. 4. Tank Bund Road, Gandhinagar, Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. 889/78-79, dated 3rd March 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 28th day of February 1979.

PRESENT:

Shri N.P. Kempe Gowda, B.A., BL.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 92 of 1978.

First Party :—

Shri Narayana Swamy, No. 819, Kadugondanhalli, Bangalore-45.

Vs.

Second Party:—

The Management of M/s Lords Bar and Restaurant, No. 4, Tank Bund Road, Gandhinagar, Bangalore-9.

ORDER

1. The Government of Karnataka in their Order No. SWL 803 LLD 78 dated 27th September 1978 have referred an industrial dispute involving the points as to whether the management is justified in terminating the services of Sri Narayanaswamy with effect from 28th August 1977 and if not, to what reliefs the said workman is entitled, for adjudication to this court.

2. After taking the case on file, this court issued notice by registered post to the first party workman. But it was returned by the postal authorities with the endorsement that the addressee is not known. Another notice again by registered post was issued to the said party and that was also returned with the endorsement that the addressee is not known.

3. This court addressed a letter to the Government of Karnataka to secure the correct address of the first party and sent subsequent reminders, but invain. After waiting for reasonable time, and being satisfied that there is no possibility of serving notice on the first party and securing his presence, this court ordered issue of notice to the second party. Accordingly, notice was issued to the second party by registered post and it was duly served on them. But they too failed to make any appearance in this court. Left with no other alternative, the Court proceeded to close the case and pass this order.

4. In the absence of any evidence produced by either party, it is not possible for this court to answer the point as to whether the management is justified in terminating the services of the first party workman with effect from 28th August 1977. Consequently, it cannot also make any order concerning relief to be granted to the first party workmen in answer to the 2nd point mentioned in the order of reference. Under these circumstances the points in the order of reference cannot be answered by this court either way and therefore I direct that the reference be filed and a report be made to the Government accordingly.

N. P. KEMPE GOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court Bangalore

Order No. SWL 93 LLA 1979.

Bangalore, dated the 19th March 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 803 LLD 78, dated 27th September 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s Lords Bar and Restaurant, No. 4, Tank Bund Road, Gandhinagar, Bangalore was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

666—S.O 1330

Order No. SWL 167 LLD 79.

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Gulrajani Photographics, No. 39, Residency Road Cross, Bangalore-25, on the points noted below;

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Is the management justified in terminating the Services of the following eight workmen with effect from the dates mentioned against their names :—

1. Sri Singarayan with effect from 2-11-1977
2. Sri A. Z. Hazir Hussain " "
3. Sri M. K. Vijayan " "

4.	Sri A K. Vijayadas	,,	,,
5,	Sri P. V. Radhakrishna	,,	,,
6.	Sri Charles D' Silva	,,	,,
7.	Sri Govindaraj	,,	,,
8.	Sri Sunder Raj	,,	19-11-1977

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. V. SOMASEKHARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

611—S.O. 1331

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 135 LCM 79
Bangalore, dated 7th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the code of Criminal procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal procedure (Amendment) Act 1978 Sri H. N. Muniswamy Gowda, Advocate, Bangalore is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct Prosecutions in (1) SC 57/74 on the file of III Addl. Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City (2) SC 14/79 (3) SC 9/79 and (4) SC 13/79 on the file of the 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. P. DESI GOWDA,
I/c Asst. Solicitor & Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.

1242—S. O. 1332

Notification No. LAW 135 LCM 79 (i)
Bangalore, dated 7th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (8) of Section 24 of the code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal procedure (Amendment) Act 1978 Sri C. V. Nagesh, Advocate, Bangalore is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecutions in (1) SC 42/78 (2) SC 62/78 (3) SC 46/78 and (3) 59/78 on the file of 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. P. DESI GOWDA,
I/c Asst. Solicitor & Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs,

1243—S. O. 1333

Notification No. LAW 135 LCM 79 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act 1978 Sri K. T. Channabasave Gowda Advocate, Bangalore is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecution in (i) S.C. 27/78. (2) Se 5/79, on the file of Ist Additional Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City (3) SC 1/78 (4) SC 17/78 on the file of III Additional Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. P. DESI GOWDA,

I/c Asst. Solicitor & Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.

1244—S. O. 1334

Notification No. LAW 135 LCM 79. (iii)

Bangalore, dated 7th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act 1978 Sri K. Ramachandra Rao, Advocate, Bangalore is hereby apointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecutions in (1) S.C. 38/78 (2) SC 10/78, (3) SC 20/78 (4) SC 60/78 on the file of III Additional Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City and (5) S.C. 6/79 on the file of 1st Additional Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore City on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. P. DESI GOWDA,

I/c Asst. Solicitor & Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law & Parly. Affairs.

1245—S. O. 1335

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 47 CAX 79

Bangalore, dated 8th June 1979.

In continuation of this Department Notification No. FD 4 CAX 77, dated 10/14th June 1977 and in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*g*) of Section 12 of K.A.I.T. Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve "Sri Patre K. Channaveerappaiah Kalyana Mandira Samithi, Charitable Trust Birur", for the purposes of the said section for the year 1978-79 also provided that the sum received as donation is more than Rupees Two Hundred and Fifty.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,

Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.(CT)

1262—S. O. 1336

Notification-I No. FD 173 CSL 78.

Bangalore, dated 8th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8-A of the Karnataka Sales Tax Act, 1957 (Karnataka Act 25 of 1957) and in supersession of the Notification No FD 173 CSL 74, dated 17th June 1974 the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts with effect from 15th June 1979 the tax payable under the said Act on the sale or purchase of plastic bangles.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,

Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1263—S. O. 1337

Notification-II No. FD 173 CSL 78.

Bangalore, dated 8th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 8-A of the Karnataka Sales Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act 25 of 1957) and in supersession of Notification No. FD 18 CSL 71, dated 22nd April 1971 the Government of Karnataka, hereby reduces with effect from 15th June 1979 the rate of tax payable under the said Act on the sale of Musical Instruments and parts and accessories thereof to four percent.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,

Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1264—S.O. 1338

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDU 29 CLM 79
Bangalore, dated 6th June 1979.

In exercise of powers conferred by Section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby direct that Section 27 of the said Act shall apply to the Karnataka Industrial Co-operat ve Bank Ltd., Bangalore. with the following modifications in relation to the Annual General Body meeting for the Co-operative Year 1977-78.

In sub-section (1) of Section 27 of K.C.S. Act 1959.—

(a) for the portion beginning with "A General Meeting" and ending with "for the purpose of" the following shall be substituted namely :—

"A General meeting of a Co-operative Society for the year 1977-78 shall be held in the month of June 1979 for the purpose of.-

(b) the proviso shall be omitted.

NOTE-1 The above modifications to Section 27 shall remain in force upto 1st Day of July 1979 and upon their expiry the provisions of the said Section 27 which were in force prior to the commencement of this order shall stand revived, but they shall not affect the previous operation of the modification made by this order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1265—S. O. 1339

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 36 CAX 79
Bangalore, dated 2nd May 1979.

In continuation of Government Notification No. FD 43 CAX 78, dated 13/15th July 1978 and in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of section 12 of the Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve "the Stadium Committee Fund, Madikeri, Coorg District" for the purpose of the said section for 1979-80 only, provided that each sum paid to the fund as donation is not less than two hundred and fifty Rupees.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department (CT).

990—S. O. 1340

PLANNING SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PD 18 PST 79
Bangalore dated, 1st May 1979.

Under Rule 4 (11) of the K.C.S (Probation) Rules 1977, the probation period of (i) Sri B. Prasannaiah, Assistant Director of Bureau of Economics & Statistics is extended by one year from 6th October 1978 as he has not passed the Accounts Higher Examination which is one of the service examinations prescribed for the post of Assistant Director and (ii) The probation period of Sri H. S. Shridhrara Murthy, Assistant Director of Bureau of Economics & Statistics is also extended by one year from 11th October 1978, as his work during the probationery period has not been satisfactory.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

ABHIJIT DAS GUPTA,
Under Secretary to Government,
992—S. O. 1341 *Planning Department. (P&FUs. I)*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 25 MPN 79
Bangalore, dated 14th March 1979

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting licence in favour of Shri Vinod Beevanna Nayak for seashell a period of (1) year over an area of 42 acres (17 hectares) of land in Bargigazni village, Taluk Kumta District North Kanara as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions are appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free or royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Section 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957)

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometers or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in North Kanara District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

631—S. O. 1342

Notification No. CI 74 MMN 79

Bangalore, dated 4th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining lease in favour of M/s Hind Nippon Rural Industries (P) Limited for a period of 20 (Twenty) years green quartz for over an area of 270 acres (109.26 Hectares) of land in Bidarihallidakaval, Kundaranhalli and Syagarahalli and other villages, Gubbi Taluk, Tumkur District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining lease is subject to the terms and conditions mentioned below.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Green Quartz only. If other Minerals are found in association with Green Quartz they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desirer to mine these minerals along with Green Quartz he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum or the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged.

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and

Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kelometers :

(l) Government shall have the right of purchansing the ore at current market rates :

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Tumkur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

996—S. O. 1343

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 357 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 7th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Hindustan Dealers Limited, Kempegowda Road, Bangalore-9 on the points noted below :

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

"i. Is the management justified in dismissing Sri Amanulla Khan with effect from 23rd June 1977?"

II If not, to what relief the said workmen is entitled?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer
Social Welfare & Labour Department.
(Labour 'A')

1258—S.O. 1344

Order No. SWL 160 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 7th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management. Issacs Tailors, No 2-D, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Bangalore-1, on the points noted below :

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating services of Sri V. R. Eknath Rao with effect from 24th August 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief(s) the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

575—S. O. 1345

Order No. SWL 156 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 8th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Janatha Bazar, Devanagere, on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing the workwomen Smt. Dilshad Begum from service ;

II. If not, what relief the workwoman is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

590—S. O. 1346

Order No. SWL 119 LLD 79(i)

Bangalore, dated 4th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of the Bijapur District Anti-Famine Co-operative Institution Ltd., Bijapur on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Y. M. Udachan, with effect from 1st March 1976?

II. If not, to what relief(s) the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

606—S O 1347

Order No. SWL 129 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 15th March 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Bharath Earth Movers Limited, K.G.F., on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in discontinuing the practice of granting three advance increments to National Apprenticeship Certificate holders with effect from November 1974?

II. If not, to what relief are the workmen are entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

638—S.O. 154

Order No. SWL 196 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 14th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Kamath Restaurant, near Bharath Talkies, J. C. Road, Bangalore on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing Sri Manjunatha Kalinga Setty and other seven employees with effect from 1st May 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

639—S.O. 1349

Order No. SWL 204 LLD 79
Bangalore dated 19th/22nd March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Kamath Restaurant, Narasimharaja Road, Bangalore on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication .

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing its two employees namely Sri Nagarajaetty with effect from 28th April 1978 and Sri Raghava Chikku Poojari, with effect from 31st May 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

790—S.O. 1350

Order No. SWL 391 LLD 77
Bangalore, dated 31st March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sunanda Aromatic Industries, Mysore KRS Road, Metagalli, P. O. Mysore-570006. on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the Management justified in terminating the services of the following workers from the dates mentioned against their names :—

1. Sri D. V. Puttaraje Gowda, B No. 0280, J. P. A., with effect from 21st March 1977 ;
2. Sri Rasheed Ahmed, B. No. 0274, Lorry Driver, with effect from 3rd March 1977 ;
3. Sri Betta chari, B. No. 0217. Helper in Carpentry, Section with effect from 1st April 1977 ; and
4. Sri K. Ningaiah, B. No. 0220, Mason, with effect from 1st April 1977 ;

II. If not, are the said employees entitled for reinstatement with full back wages, continuity of services and consequential benefits or to any other reliefs ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

776—S. O. 1351

Order No. SWL 1067 LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 30th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. S. K. A. C M. Society Ltd., Sahakari Mahal, Mangalore-1 on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in

(i) With-holding one increment of Sri B. Abdul Khader, attender.

(ii) Confirming as a punishment the suspension of Sri B. Abdul Khader with effect from 12th July 1976".

II. If not, to what relief Sri Abdul Khader is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

777—S.O. 1352

Order No. SWL 267 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 30/31st March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. New Government Electricity Factory, B lore on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of N. G. E. F. Ltd, Bangalore. Justified in dismissing Sri Nanjundaiah ?

II. If not, to what benefit Sri Nanjundaiah is entitled to ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

786—S.O. 1353

Order No. SWL 163 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Sri Krishna Talkies & HPC Chitramandir, Shimoga, on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. " Is the workmen Sri N. Sathyanarayana, Gate Keeper, HPC Chitramandir, Shimoga and Sri Krishna Talkies, Shimoga, justified in demanding employment at HPC Chitramandir, Shimoga, consequent on the closure of Sri Krishna Talkies, Shimoga, with effect from 1st January 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

610—S. O. 1354

Order No. SWL 211 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Graphite India Ltd , Industrial Area, Whitefield Road, Bangalore on the points noted below ;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri J. M. Thomas, Stores Assistant, with effect from 30th October 1975 ?

II. If not, to what relief is the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

617—S.O. 1355

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 8898 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acqusition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K.V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1373—S.O. 1364 *Under Secretary to Government, H. D.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 8898 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an

Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator ;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1274—S.O. 1365 *Under Secretary to Government, H. D.*

Order—I No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 11*th June* 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Contract Carriage bearing registration No. MYA 6970 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge as the Aribitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1275—S.O. 1366 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages Acquitition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 6970 has vested in the State Goverrment ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of Compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1276—S.O. 1367. *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order–I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYD 517 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.

1277—S.O. 1368

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYD 517 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.

1278—S.O. 1369

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6006 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1280 (1)—S.O. 1370 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore; dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6006 has vested in the State Government :

AND, WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1280 (2)—S.O. 1371 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11*th June* 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 162 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of c mpensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Sri K. V. Govindaraju District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
1283—S.O. 1372 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th *June* 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 162 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator ;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
1283—S.O. 1373 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—I No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriag bearing registration No. MYA 66 has vested in the State Governments

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable pnder the said Act in resepect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage, (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju District Judge as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage

The Arbitrator shal submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1314—S.O. 1374 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore dated 14th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 66 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government

of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1314—S. O. 1375 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 2501 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acqusition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1315—S. O. 1376 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order— II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 2501 has vested in the State Government :

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an

Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1315—S.O. 1377 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—I No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MDJ 7578 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acqusition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K.V. Govindaraju, District Judge as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1316—S.O. 1378 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MDJ 7578 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator ;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer Bangalore, District to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1316—S.O. 1379 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Notification No. HD 86 TMA 79

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 36 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939), the Government of Karnataka, having regard to the number, nature and size of the tyres attached to the wheels of the transport vehicles (other than a motor cab) specified in column (2) of the schedule below and their make, model and other relevant considerations and assuming the Government of India approval under their letter dated 22nd December 1975, hereby specifies that the maximum safe laden weight and the maximum safe axle weight of vehicles shall be as specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) and (4) thereof :—

SCHEDULE

Sl.No.	Make and model of transport vehicle	Maximum laden weight (in Kgs.)	Maximum safe axle weight (in Kgs.)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Single axle-3 tonnes-2 wheelers non-tipping with spring trailer manufactured by M/s. Arason & Co., 458, 7th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore-11	4110	3880
2	Single axle-3 tonnes-2 wheeler trailer manufactured by M/s Karnataka Engineering Works, Hubli-24	4090	2960
3	Double tandim axles-5 tonnes-4 wheeler non-tipping trailer manufactured by M/s. Arason & Co., 458, 7th Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore-11	6544	5880
4	Double axles-6 tonnes-4 wheeler trailer manufactured by M/s. Karnataka Engineering Works, Hubli-24	7970	3995 FAW 4985 RAW
5	Single axle-5 tonnes-2 wheeler trailer manufactured by M/s. Karnataka Engineering Works, Hubli-24.		
6	Single axle 2 ton-2 wheeler without spings non-tipper trailer manufactured by M/s Shivaprakash Agro Industrial Works, Davanagere.	2600	2300

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1284—S O. 1380

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 3 8 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 1st May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka Agro-Industries Corporation Ltd., Hebbal, Bangalore, on the points noted below.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"Are the workmen of the Karnataka Agro-Industries Corporation Ltd., Bangalore, as represented by the Karnataka Agro-Industries Corporation Employees Association, Bangalore, justified in raising the following demands against the management of Karnataka Agro-Industries Ltd., Bangalore:—

1. *Revision of Wage-Scales* :—

1 (a) *Grade-I Designation*	*Demanded Pay Scale* (Basic + DA and ADA)
Attender/Dafedar/Lorry Cleaner/ Helper/Messenger/ Watchman/ Gardener/Seavenger/Sweeper,	Rs. 400-20-500-25-600-30-750-50-900.
(b) *Grade-II* :— Black Smith Gr. II/Carpenter Gr. II/Drilling Assistant Upholster/ Black Smith/Fitter 'B'/Moulder/ Carpenter/Compressor Operator/ Tractor Operators Grade I/Driller Gr. II/III/Junior Mechanic Gr. II/ Well Boring Operator Gr. II/Jack Hammer Operator Gr. II/Turner/ Surveyor/Despatch River/Time Keeper/Oil Engine Driver/Fitter-*cum*-Truck Driver Motor/Tractor Driver/Truck Driver//Wheel Tractor Driver/Implement Demonstrator/Fitter 'A'/Welder/Assistant Bulldozer Operator/Well Boring Operator/Junior Mechanic/Store Keeper/Collection Inspector/ Crawler Tractor Operator/Store Clerk/Second Dn. Clerk/Second Division Clerk (Accounts)/S.D.C. -*cum*-Typist/Typists/Sales Clerk.	Rs. 500-25-600-30-750-50-1000-60-1120.
(c) *Grade. III* : Boilor Attender/Bulldozer Operator/Senior Mechanic/Accounts Clerk/Steno-Typist/Stenographer/ Office Assistant/Sales Assistant.	Rs. 600-30-750-50-1000-60-1240.

(*d*) *Grade* IV.

Air Compressor Mechanic/Blasting Foreman-*cum*-Air Compressor Operator, Draughtsman/Supervisor.	Rs. 690-30-750-50-1,000-60-1300-75-1375.

(*e*) *Grade. V.*

Accountant/Senior Auditor Superintendent/Personnel Assistant/ Sales Representative.	Rs. 800-50-1000-60-1300-75-1575.

2. (a) Special pay/Special Allowance to the following designations

(1) Typists	Rs. 40 per month
(2) Drivers	40 per month.
(3) Stenographers	60 per month.
(4) Steno-Typists	40 per month.
(5) Junior Auditors	70 per month.
(6) Drivers-Heavy Duty.	70 per month.
(7) Cashiers	50 per month.
(8) Store-Keeper	50 per month.
(9) Godown Keeper	50 per month.
(10) Store Attendant	50 per month.
(11) Petrol Bunk Attendant	50 per month.
(12) Railway Attendant	50 per month.

(*b*) *Dearness Allowance* :—

The above wages scales shall be irreducible minimum. The entire wage pocket shall be linked with consumer price index (as applicable for the months of January 1974) at the rate of Rs.3 per point of rise or fall.

(*c*) *Weightage* :

(1) Those who have served for more than 2 years but less than 3 years as on 1st April 1976 in the cadre–1 increment in the new scale;

(2) Those who have served for more than 3 years but less than 5 years as on 1st April 1976 in the cadre–2 increment in the new scale;

(3) Those who have served for more than 5 years but less than 7 years as on 1st April 1976 in the cadre–3 increments in the new scale;

(4) Those who have served fore more than 7 yeras as on 1st April-1976 in the cadre–4 increments in the new scale.

3. *House Rent Allowance and City Compensatory Allowance* :

The house-rent-allowance and City-compensatory allowance shall be at the existing rates in the new scales.

4. *Medical Allowance* :

The medical allowance shall be increased to Rs. 50/- per month

5. *Conveyance Allowance* :

The existing conveyance allowance shall be increased to :

(1) Cycle Allowance	Rs. 25/-	per month
(2) Motor-Cycle/Scooter maintenance Maintenance allowance.	Rs. 125/-	,,

6. *Washing Allowance* :

The existing washing allowance shall be increased to Rs. 15/- per month.

II Promotion :

(a) Such of those employees who have put in more than five (5) years of service in a cadre shall be given the next higher grade.

(b) Regularisation of contract employees in the post they were holding prior to regularisation.

(c) Promotion to the following employees who are senior to those already promoted.

Sriyuths :

1	Ismail	Asst. Bulldozer Operator to the post of Bulldozer Operator.
2	M. G Chavan	
3	Jomali	Oil Engine Driver to the post of Senior Mechanic
4	V. Anthony Das	
5	T. R Jagatap	,,
6	M P. Mudrebettu	,,
7	U D Udupudi	,,
8	Hanumantha	,,
9	Ramaiah	,,
10	Sanadi	Fitter 'A' to the post of Senior Mechanic.
11	Hyder Sab	,,
12	Syed Ahmed	,,
13	Digamber	,,
14	M. A H Baig	,,
15	P. Ranjaswamy	,,
16	Kiran Rao Kulkarni	,,
17	A Md. Abdul Rasheed	,,
18	Shivarudrappa	FCID to the post of B F.A.C.O.
19	A K. Arunachalam	,,
20	Janimiyya	,,
21	K. Ramaswamy	,,
22	Veerabhadrappa	Helper to the post of Junior Mechanic.
23	Md. Akbar Ali	Helper to the post of Tractor Driver.
24	Thimmegowda	,,
25	T. M. Dharmalingam	Senior Mechanic to the post of BFACO
26	V. S. Shivaraj	,,
27	Syed Ahmed	,,

III. In setting right of anomalies in pay-fixation in respect of the following workmen :

		Designation
1	P. V. Venkatesh	Supervisor
2	N. Siddaiah	,,
3	Murthy	,,
4	G. V. Venkatachar	,,
5	N. T Bhat	,,
6	B V. Madhukar	,,
7	K. Balakrishna	Office Assistant
8	N. Narayanappa	Second Dn. Clerk
9	H. Kundajavaraiah	,,
10	V. Chella pan	,,
11	Gracy Dalmeda	,,
12	K. N Auradkar	,,
13	Azeemuddin	,,
14	D. V. Gangali	,,
15	B P. Shashikanth	,,
16	B. R. Doddappa Gowda	Second Dn. Clerk
17	G. R. Krishnappa	Second Dn. Clerk
18	D. L. Alur	,,
19	Venkatesh Rao	Typist
20	E Mukundan Nair	,,
21	Jayaram	,,
22	G. P Naik	,,
23	Madadevaiah	,,
24	D. P. Jayappa	Truck Driver
25	V. Somashekar	,,
26	S. Mibuddin	,,
27	Jayaraiah	,,
28	Jamadar	,,
29	C. N. Chinnarasaiah	Surveyor
30	V. S Shivaraj	Senior Mechanic
31	B. M. Dharmalingam	,,
32	S. Jeremum Peter	,,
33	Janardan	,,
34	K. L Krishna Murthy	Welder
35	C Munivenkatappa	,,
36	A. V. Kariyappanu	,,
37	K. Venkatachalai	Fitter 'B'
38	S. V. Iti	,,
39	C H. Challaiah	Asst. Bulldozer Operator
40	M. P. Mudrebettu	Oil Engine Driver
41	J Devadas	,,
42	Hanumanthaiah	,,
43	P S. Upadhyaya	,,
44	P. Ranga Rao	,,

45	P. Ramaiah	Tractor Helper
46	A. K. Shivalingappa	Tractor Driver
47	Shaik Ahmed	Crawler Tractor Driver
48	Hyder Sab	Fitter 'A'
49	T. Digamber.	Fitter 'B'
50	R. Nagaraj	Second Dn Clerk

IV. Converting the re-employment of Sri Karnal Bahadur into re-instatement with continuity of service and back wages.

V. Regularisation of the services of Sri N Krishnappa as Second Dn. Clerk to the post he was holding prior to regularisation.

If so, to what relief the workmen are entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer
Social Welfare & Labour Department (Labour 'A')

981—S. O. 1381

Order No. SWL 139 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 2nd March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Smith Kline and French (India) Ltd. Bangalore on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Whether the management justified, in intending to terminate the rights, obligations, benefits and other considerations arising out of the settlement dated 18th August 1977 signed under section 12(3) of the Industrial Dispute Act, before the Commissioner of Labour, and the Conciliation Officer for the State of Karnataka by its notice dated 5th January 1979, under section 9(A) of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 ?

II. If not, to what benefits, the employees of Smith Kline and French (India) Limited, are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

552—S.O. 1382

Order No. SWL 232 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of N. G. E. F. Ltd. P. B. No. 3876, Bangalore on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Are the workmen of N.G.E.F. Ltd. Bangalore-38 represented by the NGEF (Bangalore Sales Office) Staff Association, Bangalore, justified in demanding that ;

(a) employees who work more than four hours over-time be paid a sum of Rs. 3/- as lunch allowance ; and,

(b) they be paid sales bonus or sales incentive at the rate of 1% of turn-over of the year concerned ?

II. If not, to what other relief(s) are the said workmen entitled and from what date ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

789—S.O. 1383

Order No. SWL 305 LLD 79

Bangalore dated 28th/30th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Agriculturist Processing Industrial and Commercial Co-operative Society Ltd. Mandipet, Davangere on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication .

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka

hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the workman Sri G. Ramachadrappa ?

II. If not, to what relief, the said workman is entitled "

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

I/c. J. PILLAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

970—S. O. 1384

Order No. SWL 306 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 25th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Manhoar Khobanna Shahapurkara Powerloom Factory, 2115 Kore Galli, Shahapur-Belgaum. on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri Vijay Annappa Patil, represented by the General Secretary, Shramik Shakti Sanghatana C/o. Jokap Printers, Dr. Shama prasad Mukharjee Road, Belgaum, with effect from 28th October 1978 ?

II. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

982—S. O. 1385

Order No. SWL 339 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 13th ..... 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s D. T. Roopachandani Power Loom Weaving Factory, Road No. 2, Bharat Nagar, Belgaum on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the Management justified in terminating the services of their employee, Sri. Virupakshi Appayya Tigadi, represented by the Secretary, Rashtriya Nekar Kamgar Sangh, Bazar Galli, Madhavpur-Vadagaon, Belgaum, with effect from 14th November 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1292—S.O. 1386

Order No. SWL 115 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 7th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bellary Division, Bellary on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing the services of their workman Sri S G. Dattatraya Bhatt, with effect from 4th October 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

574—S. O. 1387

Order No. SWL 100 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 7th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s R. G. Miskin Powerloom Factory, Road No 6 (Hamalwadi), Bharat Nagar Belgaum on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I Is the management justified in refusing employment to Sri Mahadev Sannavirappa Hoskoti, with effect from 1st April 1978 ;

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

578—S.O. 1388

Order No. SWL 248 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Mahajan Bore Well Company, Bangalore-2 on the points noted below :

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Are the management of Mahajan Brewell Company, 27, Victoria Road Bangalore-7, justified in dismissing Sri B. Peacock from service with effect from 17th February 1977 ;

II If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

889—S.O. 1389

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Manjunathe Motor Service, Nanjangud, Mysore District.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. Award/Ref No. 72/78-79 dated 22nd February 1979 from Sri P. B. Changule Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE.

Dated 22nd February 1979.

Present :

Shri P. B. Changule, B.A., (Hons) LL.B.
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 72/ 1978.

First Party :—Workmen represented by the Secretary, Mysore Division Motor Workers Association, Old Post Office Road, Chamarajanagar.

V/s.

Second Party :—Management of Manjunatha Motor Service, Nanjangud Mysore District.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their Order No. SWL 1028 LLD 76 dated 30th November 1976 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

1. Are the Management of Manjunatha Motor Service, Nanjangud, Mysore District, justified in refusing work to Sri S. Mahadevappa. Conductor, w.e.f. 3rd November 1975 ?
2. If not, is the said worker entitled for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?

Sri Vishakantaiah appearing for the first party does not press the reference on the ground that the dispute is settled out of court. Hence, the reference is rejected as settled out of court.

Mysore,
Dated: 22nd February 1979.

P. B. CHAUGULE,
Presiding Officer.
Labour Court, Mysore.

ORDER No. SWL 82 LLA 79
Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 1028 LLD 76 dated 30th November 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Manjunatha Motor Service, Nanjangud. Mysore District was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Disputes: Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of National Silk Mills, 4 and 5 Narayanasettypet, Bangalore-2

Award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Bangalore, Published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. 1536(a)/78-79 dated 12th Decembr 1978 from Sri M. R. Majumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated: this 12th day of December 1978.

Present:

Shri M. R. Mujumdar, B. A. (Hons), LL, B.,

Presiding Officer.

Reference No: 4 of 1978.

First Party:

Sri G. Muniswamy and 14 others, C/o C. I. T. U. office, 1-56, K. V. Temple Street, Sultanpet, Bangalore-53.

Vs.

Second Party:

The Management of National Silk Mills, 4 and 5 Narayanasettypet, Bangalore-2.

Reference:

Government order No. SWL 940 LLD 77 dated 17th December 1977

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka in their order No SWL 940 LLD 77 dated 17th December, 1977, have refferred an industrial dispute existing between the above named parties to this Court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below:—

"Are the management of National Silk Mills, 4 and 5, Narayanasettypet, Bangalore-2. Justified in refusing work to the following workmen with effect from 19th February 1977:—

1. G. Muniswamy
2. N. Ramaiah
3. Lingaiah
9. Siddaiah
10. G. Raghu
11. Kempamma

4. P. Narayana
5. T. Narayanappa
6. Subramani
7. Mallappa
8. M. Shivanna
12. Gangamma
13. Nagarathnamma
14. Mani
15. Kamappa

If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?"

2. The I Party filed their claim statement on 15th April 1978. On behalf of the II Party no counter-statement was filed. On 1st December 1978 when the case was called, both parties were present and filed a Memorandum of settlement made under S. 18 (1) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, and prayed for an award in terms of the same. The settlement is signed by both the parties. Terms being fair and reasonable, are accepted.

3. In the result, I pass an Award in terms of the settlement which shall be annexed to this Award and be deemed as forming part of it. No costs.

Sd/-
M. R. MUJUMDAR,
Presiding Officer
Labour Court Bangalore.

MEMORANDUM OF SETTLEMENT UNDER SECTION 18(1) OF THE INDUSTRIAL DISPUTES ACT, 1947

Names of parties :

1. Representing Management of National Silk Mills. — Sri N. Raju, Partner, National Silk Mills, 3334, Narayana Settypet, Bangalore-2

2. Representing Workmen :
   1. G. Muniswamy
   2. Mani
   3. Kanappa
   4. Ramaiah
   5. Narayana
   6 Mallappa
   7. Sivanna
   8. Siddaiah
   9. Narayanappa
   10. Subramani
   11. Lingaiah
   12. C. Ragu
   13. Gangamma
   14. Kempamma
   15. Nagarathnamma

Whereas the Industrial dispute between the parties to this settlement was referred to by the Government of Karnataka as per its order of reference No. SWL 940 LLD 77 dated 17th December 1977 to the Labour Court, Bangalore ;

Whereas subsequent to the order of reference and the proceedings before the Labour Court, the parties herein have settled the dispute amicably between themselves ;

Whereas in view of their claim for reinstatement on the alleged ground that the 2nd party have refused the work to the 1st party workmen with effect from 19th February 1977, the members of the 1st party have received various sums as detailed hereunder as compensation in lieu of their claim for reinstatement.

1.	G. Muniswamy	...	Rs. 450-00
2.	Mani	...	Rs. 175-00
3.	Kannappa	...	Rs. 175-00
4.	Ramaiah	...	Rs. 225-00
5.	Narayana	...	Rs. 175-00
6.	Mallappa	...	Rs. 75-00
7,	Sivanna	...	Rs. 75-00
8.	Siddiah	...	Rs. 75-00
9.	Narayanappa	...	Rs. 75-00
10.	Subramani	...	Rs. 75-00
11.	Lingaiah	...	Rs. 175-00
12.	C. Ragu	...	Rs. 75-00
13.	Gangamma	...	Rs. 125-00
14.	Kempamma	...	Rs. 125-00
15.	Nagarathnamma	...	Rs. 125-00

Now the parties to the settlement hereby confirm that in view of the payment made by the 2nd party to the members of the 1st party as detailed above, there is no Industrial dispute between the parties hereunder and a copy of this settlement be produced before the Labour Court, Bangalore in Reference No. 4 of 1978 and the Court be requested to pass an award in terms of the settlement.

1. Sd. G. Munlswamy
2. Sd. Mani
3. Sd. Kannappa
4. Sd. Ramaiah
5. Sd. Narayana
6. Sd. Mallappa
7. Sd. Shivanna
8. Sd. Siddaiah's son
9. LHT of Narayanappa
10. Sd. Subramani
11. Sd. Lingaiah
12. Sd. C- Ragu
13. LHT of Gangamma
14. LHT of Kempamma
15. LHT of Nagarathnamma

For the management of
National Silk Mills
Sd/-,.....
Partner

(M. R. MUJUMDAR)
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Bangalore

Order No. SWL 15 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated the 20th/23rd January 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 940 LLD 77, dated 17th December 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of National Silk Mills 4 and 5, Narayanasettypet, Bangalore–2 was referred to the Latour Court Bangalore for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. C. GANGADHARA GUPTA,
Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

217—S. O. 1391

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Asbestos Cement Products, Lakshmi Pipe Industries and Pipe Distributors, Mysore Road, Bangalore–26.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. 1504/78–79, dated 4th December 1978 from Sri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated this 4th day of December 1979

Present :

Shri M. R. Mujumdar, B.A., (Hons) LL. B.,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 152 of 1976

First Party :—

The President, Mysore Asbestos Cement Products Employees Union, No. 24, Ist Cross, Opp. Tollgate, Mysore Road, Bangalore–26.

Vs.

Second Party —

The Management of Mysore Asbestos Cement Products, Lakshmi Pipe Industries and Pipe Distributors, Mysore Road, Bangalore-26.

Reference :—

Govt. order No. SWL 910 (2) LLD 76, dated 28th October 1976.

AWARD

1. In this Reference made under S. 10(1) (c) of the Industria Disputes Act, 1947, the points referred for adjudication are as under:—

"Whether the workmen of Mysore Asbestos Cement Products Lakshmi Pipe Industries and Pipe Distributors, Mysore Road Bangalore-26, are justified in demanding that :

(1) All the workmen should be paid a minimum wages of Rs. 6/- per day.

(2) All the workmen shall be paid irreducible D.A. of Rs. 200/-, Further, this D.A. should be linked with consumer price index number (as applicable in the month of January 1974) at the rate of 1-30 per point of raise or fall.

(3) All the workmen shall be paid 20% of bonus of the total emoluments for the years 1973-74 and 74-75.

(4)(a) All the workmen shall be granted 10 days casual leave, 14 days sick leave and 18 days privilege leave every year ;

(b) All the workmen should be issued 3 sets of uniforms every year ;

(c) All the workmen should be provided $\frac{1}{2}$ a litre of milk with vitamin tablet every day.

5. The benefits of all the demands should be given effect from 20th November 1975.

If so, to what other relief are the said workmen entitled ?"

2. The I Party President filed claim statement on 7th May 1977 contending that, the workmen of the II Party management gave a charter of demands on 26th November 75 and the conciliation proceedings in that regard having failed, the Government referred the dispute to this Court for adjudication on the above points and during its pendency when the I Party president was detained under M.I.S.A. the II Party management without discussing with the Union entered into a so called settlement and it is not a valid settlement and has to be rejected, and the points referred be adjudicated and after disposal of the issue of the alleged settlement the I Party will file a detailed claim statement.

3. The II Party management filed 3 separate counter statements for the 3 concerns, raising similar contentions, viz:, The Charter of demands was again taken up under Sec. 12(3) of the Industrial Disputes Act before the Assistant Labour Commissioner and Conciliation Officer, Bangalore, and after thorough discussion with parties a settlement was arrived at which is binding upto 7th August 1979 and therefore, I Party cannot raise again any question settled by the said agreement under Sec. 12(3) and this Court has no jurisdiction to reopen the settlement. The II Party later filed 4 original settlement deeds.

4. On 5th July 1977 it was ordered that issues in the case are as per points of reference and the case was posted for evidence. Since then the I Party President has remained absent till now. A perusal of the points of reference shows that it is for the I Party to show that the workmen are justified in demanding the various benefits from the II Party, Therefore, the burden initially is on the I Party to justify its demands. Again the I Party itself has referred to a settlement entered into by the Management with the workers and contends that it was without discussion with Union, etc. The 4 settlements show that they were between the workmen represented by the General Secretary of the Union and three other workmen and the Management. They were arrived at before the Assistant Labour Commissioner and Conciliation Officer, Bangalore, after thorough discussion. It is stated therein all the claims pending before the Labour Court, shall be treated as settled and withdrawn, and these settlements are to be in force till 7th August 1979.

5. Under these circumstances, it is primarily for the I Party to show that these settlements were arrived at without any discussion with the Union of the workmen, as alleged. But the I President has not cared to lead any evidence at all in support of his contentions. All the 4 settlements are signed by the Assistant Labour Commissioner and Conciliation Officer, Bangalore, and state that they were arrived at after thorough discussion with both parties. I, therefore, do not see any reason to doubt or discard these settlements. In view of these 4 settlements there is no justification in the demands of the I Party President and the claim as per the claim statement is rejected.

6. In the result, I pass an Award rejecting the Reference. No order as to costs.

Sd/-

M. R. Mujumdar,
Presiding Officer
Labour Court Bangalore

Order No. SWL 10 LLA 79,

Bangalore, dated 20th/23rd January 1979

WHEREAS by State Government Order No SWL 910 (2) LLD 76 dated 28th October 1976 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Mysore Asbestos Cement Products, Lakshmi Pipe Industries and Pipe Distributors, Mysore Road, Bangalore–26 was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication;

AND, WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

M. C. GANGADHARA GUPTA,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Social Welfare and Labour Department

212—S O 1392

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 13 CCL 79.
Bangalore, dated 23rd April 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 94 of the Articles of Association of the Mysore Paper Mills Ltd. the Government of Karnataka are pleased to nominate Shri H.G.V. Reddy, Commissioner for Industries and Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department, Bangalore as a Director on the Board of Directors of the Mysore Paper Mills Ltd., in place of Sri B. S. Hanuman.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. EGBERT DHANANJAYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce & Industries Department

923—S.O. 1393

Notification No. CI 17 CCL 79.
Bangalore, dated 28/30th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 98(b) of the Articles of Association of M/s. Mangalore Chemicals and Fertilisers Limited, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to nominate Sri H.G.V. Reddy, Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department, as a Director on the Board of Directors of the said company in place of Dr. R. Dwarakinath, with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. EGBERT DHANANJAYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department,

974—S. O. 1394

Notification No. CI 8 PUD 79.

Bangalore, dated 1st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 80(1) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka State Industrial Investment and Development Corporation Ltd., the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to appoint Shri H.G.V. Reddy, Commissioner for Industries and Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department, as a Director on the Board of Karnataka State Industrial Investment and Development Corporation Ltd., in place of Sri S.B. Muddappa.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. A. GOPALA RAO,
Director, Public Undertakings Cell and Ex-officio
975—S.O. 1395 *Deputy Secretary, Commerce and Industries Deptt.*

Notification No. CI 19 MRC 79.

Banagalore, dated 3rd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 18 of the Karnataka Minor Mineral Concession Rules 1969, as amended from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanction the transfer of the quarry lease specified in the schedule below by M/s. Tejesh Mineral Enterprises in favour of M/s. Sandeep Crucibles and Refractories (P) Ltd., subject to the following conditions :—

(1) that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferrer and the transferees wherein the transferees shall agree to bind themselves by their terms of the original lease for the remaining period of the lease and

(2) that the dues if any shall be paid by the transferor before the execution of the transfer deeds in respect of this lease.

	Ore	*Area*
Quarry lease No. 2070	Ornamental Stone	Mankanahalli Village, Manjarabad Taluk Hassan District, over an area of 19 acres.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
991—S.O. 1396 *Com. and Ind. Department.*

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Ntification No. RD C 284 MMD 78.

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

Whereas by Government Notification No PMA 7452 dated the 4th December 1952 issued under sub-section (4) of section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the Government of Bombay declared the reconstituted taluks of Bagalkot, Hungund, Badami and Bilgi Petha of Bijapur District as the market area (hereinafter referred to as the said market area) of Bagalkot Agricultural Produce Market Committee in respect of Cotton (ginned and unginned) and groundnut (shelled and unshelled).

And whereas, by Government Notification No PMA 7454 dated the 16th September 1955 issued under sub-section (4) of section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the Government of Bombay regulated the purchase and sale of Jowar, Wheat, Bajra, Navani, Safflower, Linseed, Sesamum Tur, Matki, gram, Alasandi, Chola Kulthi and moong in addition to Cotton (ginned and unginned) and Groundnut (shelled and unshelled) in the said market area ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 66 CMD 64 dated the 6th June 1966 issued under sub-section (4) of the section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the Government of Mysore declared that with effect from 16th July 1966 the marketing of cattle, Sheep and Goats, shall be regulated in addition to Cotton (ginned and unginned) Groundnut (shelled and unshelled) Jowar, Wheat, Bajra, Navani, Safflower, Linseed, Sesamum, Tur, Matki, Gram, Alasandi (Chola) Kulthi and Mung in the said market area.

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 235 CMD 66 dated 27th February 1967 issued under sub-section (4) of section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the State Government excluded Hungund taluk from the said Market area.

And whereas by Government Notification S O. No. 39 DPC 560 CMD 68 dated the 18th April 1969 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka excluded Badami taluk from the the said market area ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 371 CMD 69 dated the 25th February 1970 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Mysore included with effect from 15th March 1970 the taluks of Hungund and Badami of Bijapur Districts in the said market area :

And whereas by Government Notification No. RDC 499 CMD 75 (1) dated 26th July 1977 issued under sub-section (1) of section 145 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 26th July 1977 the market area of the A.P.M.C. Bagalkot shall be divided into three separate market areas as specified in column (1) (2) and (3) of the schedule below for the marketing of the following notified agricultural produce.

1	Cotton (ginned and unginned	10	Tur
2	Groundnut (Stelled and Unshelled)	11	Matki
3	Jower	12	Gram
4	Wheat	13	Alsandi
5	Bajra	14	Kulthi
6	Navani	15	Moong
7	Safflower	16	Cattle
8	Linseed	17	Sheep
9	Seasamum	18	Goats

SCHEDULE

1	2	3
Bagalkot market area comprising the whole of Bagalkot and Bilgi taluks	Hungund market area comprising the whole of Hungund taluk	Badami market area comprising the whole of Badami taluk

And whereas by Government Notification No. S. O. 636 (RDC 284 MMD 78) dated 1st Februbary 1979 published in Part IV-2C (ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 8th March 1979 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karntaka declared its intension of regulating the marketing of Cotton seeds, Onion, Jaggery, Castorseeds, Maize, Coconuts and Chillies (green and dry) (hitherto not regulated) in addition to agricultural produce already regulated in the said area.

And whereas the objections and suggestions have been considered by the State Government in respect of the said proposal:

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in Karnataka Gazette the marketting of Cottonseeds, Onion, Jaggery Castorseeds, Maize,

Coconuts and Chillies (green and dry) (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Bagalkot.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

128 —S. O. 1397

Notification No. RDC 361 MMD 76
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS by Government Notification No. S. O. 135 (DPC 591 CMD 68) dated the 13th June 1969 issued under section 4 of the Karnataka Agriculture Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act No. 27 of 1966) read with Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Removal of difficulties) order 1968 dated 29th November 1968, the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 1st July 1969 the Virajpet Taluk in Coorg District shall be a market area herein referred to as said Market area and the marketing of cattle, sheep and goats shall be regulated under the said Act in the said market area.

AND WHEREAS by Government Notification No. DPC. 82 CMD 70 (i) dated 1st February 1970 issued under sub-section (2) of of Section 9 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) 1966 (Mysore Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Mysore specified that the name of the market market committee Virajpet taluk shall be the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Gonikopp.

AND WHEREAS by Government Notification No. S. O. 2222 (RDC. 27 CMD 75) dated 24th August 1976 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 published in part IV–2c (ii) in Karnataka Gazette dated 2nd September 1976 the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from the date of publication of this notification in the Karnataka Gazette the marketing of paddy, Rice, Banana and pepper (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee Gonikoppal in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated.

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka now proposes to regulate the marketing of Cardamom, pineapple, oranges, Ginger and timber. (hitherto not regulated) in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee Gonikoppal.

AND WHEREAS the Karnataka State Agricultural Marketing Board, Bangalore has been consulted on the said proposal.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare its intention of regulating the marketing of Cardamom, Pineapple, Oranges, Ginger Timber, (hitherto not regulated) in addition to Agricultural Produce already regulated in the market area of Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Gonikoppal.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received in respect of the said proposal within thirty two days from the date of publication of the notification in the official gazette will be considered by the State Government.

Objections and suggestions may be addressed to the Secretary to the Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department, Vidhana Soudha, Post bag 5318, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1289—S.O. 1398

Notification No. RDC 58 CPS 79

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate Sri Tirukappa Dyavappa Sannaki, Byadgi Taluk, Byadgi, District Dharwar on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Limited, Byadgi District Dharwar as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders, in place of Shri L. Channaveer Gouda Channagoudar of Chikkabasur whose nomination made in Notification No. RDC 51 CPS 76 dated 17th November 1976 is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devpt, & Co-operation Department.

862—S. O. 1399

Notification No. RD J 14 CPS 79.

Bangalore, dated the 12th April 1979.

The nomination of Sri. Duragappa Haralalli S. Havanur, Haveri Taluk. Dharwad District made in Government Notification No. RDC 97 CPS 75 dated 27th December 1975 on the Board of Director of the TAPCMS Ltd., Haveri, Dharwad District as Government Nominee, is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Coopn. Department.

864—S. O. 1400

Notification No. RDC 35 CLS 79

Bangalore, dated 17th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Ltd., Hirekerur, Dharwar, with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri Yellappa Hanumanthappa, Channageri, Chikkerur Post, Hirekerur Taluk.
2. Sri Gopala Rao Thammaji Kulkarni PO Hirekerur Taluk.
3. Sri Nagesh Shivarao Pokkan, Masur PO Hirekerur Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Coopn. Department.

1092—S. O. 1401

Notification No. RDC 305 CLS 78

Bangalore, dated 17th May 1979.

In continuation of Notification No. RDC 305 CLS 78 dated 8th January 1979 and in exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate. S. E Palabhavi, R/o Kemplapur on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Raibag, Belgaum District, with immediate effect and until further orders:

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Coopn. Department.

1093—S. O. 1402

Notification No. RDC 13 CPS 79.

Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

The nominations of the following persons made in Government Notification No. RDC 30 CPS 76, dated 21st February 1977, on the Board of Directors of Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., Turuvekere, Tumkur District, as Government nominees, are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

Sriyuths :

1. M. Visweswaraiah, T. Hosahally, Kodigehally Post, Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District.
2. Narasaiah, Donkihally, Kalkere Post, Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devpt. & Co-opn. Department.

1100—S.O. 1403

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

Social Welfare and Labour Department

Labour Dispute : Industrial between the Workmen and the Management of Shiva Confectionery Works, 445, Mosque Road, Nazarbad, Mysore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore Published.

Read the following papers :—

1. Letter No. LCMYS/Award/1213/78-79, dated 27th January 1979 from Sri P. B. Chaugule, Prisiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE.

Dated 21st January, 1979.

Present

Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A., (Hons.) LL.B.,
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 158/1978.

I Party :—

Workmen represented by the General Secretary, Mysore Division Employees General Trade Union, 1867, Sayyaji Rao Road, Mysore-T.

Vs.

II Party :—

Management of Shiva Confectionary Works, 445, Mosque Road, Nazarbad, Mysore-570 010.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka by their Order No. SWL 816 LLD 77, dated 8th November, 1977 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication :—

Points of Dispute

I. Are the management of Shiva Confectionery Works, 445, Mosque Road, Nazarbad, Mysore-570 010, justified in refusing work to the following workers with effect from 8th July 1977 ?

(1) Bashir Ahmed Khan, (2) Sri Shaik Peer, (3) Sri Riyaz Ahmad Khan, (4) Sri Charles, (5) Sri M. Manchappa, (6) Sri Basava, (7) Sri Rajashekhar, (8) Sri Siddaiah, (9) Sri Mohan, (10) Sri Nawab, (11) Sri Ramesh, (12) Sri Nagaraj, (13) Sri Sudarshan, (14) Sri Altaf, (15) Sri Somu, (16) Smt. Kempamma and (17) Smt. Mahadevamma ?

II. If not, are the said workmen entitled for reinstatement in their former posts with full back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ".

The first party inspite of service of notice has not appeared. It appears to me that the first party is not interested in this reference. Hence, the reference is rejected.

P. B. Chaugule,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Mysore.

Mysore,

Dated 21st January, 1979.

Order No. SWL 111 LLA 79,

Bangalore, dated 22nd February 1979.

Whereas by the State Government Order No. SWL 816 LLD 77, dated 8th November 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Shiva Confectionery Works, 445, Mosque Road, Nazarbad, Mysore was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication.

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the **Karnataka Gazette.**

By Order and in the name of the Govenor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKARAIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1407—S. O. 1407

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Yedoor Estate, Amathi, Vontilangadi Post, S. Coorg.

Award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Mysore, Published.

Read the following papers :—

1. Letter No. LC.MYS/Award/1141/78-79, dated 6th January 1979 from Sri P. B. Chaugule, Presiding Officer Labour Court, Mysore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE.

Dated 4th January 1979.

Present

Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A., (Hons.) LL.B.,
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 137/1978

I Party :—

Workmen represented by the Secretary Coorg District General Workers Union, Virajpet:

Vs.

II Party :—

Management of Yedoor Estate, Amathi, Vontiyangadi Post, S. Coorg.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their order No. SWL 168 LLD 77, dated 7th April 1977 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication :—

Points of Dispute

1. Whether the resignation, dated 25th February 1976 tendered by Sri M. M. Machaiah, writer to the management of Yedoor Estate. Amathi Vontiyangadi Post, S. Coorg is valid ?

2. If not, is the workman entitled to be reinstated with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?

To day both the parties filed a joint memo of settlement. The terms of settlement are being fair and reasonable, the same is accepted.

award is passed in terms of joint memo of settlement. The joint memo of settlement shall form part of the award.

Camp: Madikeri
Dated 4th January 1979.

P. B. Chaugule,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE

Reference No. 137/78

Machaiah — 1st Party

Vs.

The Management of Yedoor Estate — 2nd Party

Joint Memo of Settlement

Both the parties have come to an amicable understanding to settle the above reference on the following terms.

1. The management of Yedoor Estate agrees to pay a sum of Rs. 1,500 (Rupees one thousand and five hundred only) to Sri M. M. Machaiah as an **exrgratia** amount in full and final settlement of all his claim against the management.

2. Sri M. M. Machaiah agrees to receive the sum referred in para 1 in full and final settlement of all his claims against the management. The above referred person does not press his claim for reinstatement and back wages. He has no claim or dispute whatso-ever against the management.

3. The amount agreed will be paid on or before 15th January, 1979.

For the reasons stated above, both the parties humbly pray that this Hon'ble Court may kindly be pleased to accept the above terms of settlement and pass an award in terms of settlement.

Dated this the 4th January 1979.

For the 1st Party
Dr. I. R. Ashrana.

For the 2nd Party
B. Janardhan.

((Sd.) .....

Filed in Court.
P. B. Chaugule,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

Order No. SWL 21 LLA 79,

Bangalore, dated 6th January 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 168 LLD 77, dated 7th April 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Yedoor Estate, Amathi, Vontiyangadi Post. S. Coorg, was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore, for adjudication;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the **Karnataka Gazette.**

By Order and in the name of the Govenor of Karnataka,

B. T. SATHYANARAYANA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

369—S. O. 1408

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing Registration No. MYA 8928 has vested in the State Government;

And WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle :

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 976), the Government of Karantaka hereby appoints Sri K.V. Govindaraju, District, Judge, as the Aribitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage.

The Arbitrator shal submit his award to the State Government within for months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1272—S.O. 1409 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 8928 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessy to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Kanataka Act 21 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby nomate the Regina Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1273—S. O. 1410

Order—I. No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 5201 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1277—S.O. 1411 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 5201 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1278—S.O. 1412 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 5675 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Sri K.V.Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1276—S.O. 1413 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department*

Order II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11*th June* 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriages bearing registration No. MYA 5675 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1277—S.O. 1414 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 5500 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the District Judge, Mysore District Mysore, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1281—S.O. 1415 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 5500 has vested in the State Government.

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1281—S.O. 1416 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MEW 5226 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
1282—S.O 1417 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MEW 5226 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
1282—S.O. 1418 *Under S. to ment, H e Depart t*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 6795 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount o compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1278—S.O. 1419 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 6795 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1279—S.O. 1420 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Notification No. HD 73 TMA 79

Bangalore, dated 20th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 218 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the public service vehicles of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore, mentioned in the schedule below, intended for use on long distance routes, from the operation of the provisions of Rule 216(2) of the said rules and empowers the Regional Transport Authority to fix the maximum number of passengers that may be carried in the said vehicles and to prescribe the manner in which passengers in excess of the actual sitting accommodation may be carried.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No	Engine No.	Wheel Base
1	ALA 101020	ALI 81425	210"
2	ALA 100724	,, 80716	,,
3	ALB 102258	,, 82474	,,
4	ALB 102321	,, 80169	,,
5	ALA 100071	,, 80058	,,
6	ALB 101565	,, 81623	,,
7	,, 102305	,, 82588	,,
8	,, 102336	,, 82633	,,
9	,, 102326	,, 82097	,,
10	,, 101482	,, 81658	,,
11	,, 101478	,, 81672	,,
12	,, 102267	,, 82487	,,
13	,, 102246	,, 82443	,,
14	,, 101561	,, 81694	,,
15	,, 102272	,, 82486	,,
16	,, 102280	,, 82539	,,

By order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secy. to Govt. Home Dept.

900—S.O. 1421

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. LAW 159 LAC 78.

Bangalore, dated 31st May 1979.

Appointment of Munsiffs in the Karnataka Judicial Service selected in accordance with the Karnataka Munsiffs (Special Recruitment) Rules 1978 Regarding—

In Government Notification No LAW 159 LAC 78, dated 28th September 1978, for "Sl. No. 27, Narayana Poojary Sl. No. 29, Patil Sankaragouda, Sl No. 37, K M Thammiah and Sl No. 40, N S Srivatsa Kedilaya" read "Sl. No. 27 B Narayana Poojary, Sl No. 29, Patil Sankaragouda, Sl No. 37, K. M. Thammaiah, Sl. No. 40, N. Srivatsa Kedilaya" respectively.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.
(Administration)

1209—S.O. 1422

Corrigendum No. LAW 10 LAD 79

Bangalore, dated 17th/18th May 1979.

In the Notification of even No. dated 4th May, 1979 against Sl. No. 9 for for "Venkatappa Naik" read "Raja Venkatappa Naik".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. NEELAKANTARYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

1095—S. O. 1423

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. RDC 78 MMD 79

Bangalore, dated 20th April 1979.

The Notification "No. RDC 78 MMD 78" dated 31st March 1979 published in Part IV-2C (ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 12th April 1979 may be read as "No. RDC 78 MMD 79" dated 31st March 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn.,

905—S. O. 1424

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. SWL 95 LFB 77

Bangalore, dated 1st June 1979

The Notification No S W L 95 LPB 77 dated 22nd May 1979 has been published in the Extraordinary Gazette dated 24th May 1979. The No. "SWL 95 LPB 77" may be read as "SWL 95 LFB 77".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1212—S. O. 1425

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 47 ATE 79

Bangalore, dated 2nd May 1979.

Pending finalisation of I. S. S. lists of Treasury Department and review of promotions under K. C. S (Regulation of Promotion, Pay and Pension) Act 1973 and K. C. S. (Service Examination) Act, 1976 the following Assistant Treasury Officers are appointed to be in independent charge of the posts of District Treasury Officers in grade Rs. 900-1750 and posted to the places mentioned against their names :—

	Name	Where posted
1.	Sri P. Govinda Rao, Assistant Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Bijapur.	District Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Bijapur vice Sri K. Pralhada Rao proceeded on leave preparatory to retirement.
2	Sri A. Krishnamurthy Rao, Assistant Treasury Officer, State Huzur Treasury Bangalore.	District Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Mandya vice Sri B. N. Krishnasastry proceeded on leave preparatory to retirement.
3	Sri Shankara Rao, Assistant Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Gulbarga.	District Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Dharwad vice Sri V. G. Yeri retired.
4	Sri K.N. Venkatesh Assistant, Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Hassan.	District Treasury Officer, District Treasury, Kodagu, Madikeri vice Sri S. V. Gomatarajaiah transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MALI PATIL BASAVARAJAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

989—S. O. 1426

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 254 MNS 78
Bangalore, dated 9th February 1979.

Whereas there are no water supply facilities to the slum area in (1) Sweeper Colony (2) Alasettikere Paiya and Goodshed Colony and (3) N.R. Colony in Tumkur City.

Whereas the Karnataka Urban Water Supply & Drainage Board has prepared a scheme for providing protected water supply to the above slum areas at an estimated cost of Rs. 90,500 (Rupees ninety thousand five hundred only) as it benefits the weaker sections of the city population,

Whereas the entire cost of the scheme Rs. 90.500 has been deposited by the City Municipal Council, Tumkur with the Board, after obtaining a grant of Rs. 60,000 from the Slum Clearance Board, Bangalore as the work would benefit the slum areas of the City.

And whereas the feasibility of the proposed scheme has been examined technically by the Board in all its aspects;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under section 21 and sub-section (1) of Section 22 of the Karnataka Urban Water Supply & Drainage Board Act, 1973 (Karnataka Act No. 25 of 1974), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to approve the draft scheme for the protected water supply to the slum areas in Tumkur City at an estimated cost of Rs. 90 500 (Rs. ninety thousand five hundred only).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

M. KRISHNA RAO,
Deputy Secretary to Government, I/c
Housing and Urban Development Department.

409 S. O.—1427

Notification No HUD 15-TCT 79
Bangalore, dated 13th June 1979

In exercise of powers conferred under sub-section (2) of Section 106 of Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964, the following officers are appointed as Tax Revising Authorities for the disposal of 9500 objection petitions received in the City Municipal Council, Bellary against the General revision of property tax:—

(1) The District Development Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Bellary
(2) The District Officer, Backward Class, Bellary.
(3) The District Planning Officer, Bellary.
(4) The Head Quarter Assistant to Deputy Commissioner, Bellary.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. STANLEY JOSEPH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department,

1297 S.O.—1428

Rural Development and Co-operation Secretariat

Notification No. RDC 224 MMD 78
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

Whereas by Government Notification No. SR. 2152 MART-9-55-3 dated the 1st June 1955 (hereinafter referred to as the said notification) issued under Section 4 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Mysore Act XVI of 1939), the Government of Karnataka declared that the area within the jurisdiction of the corporation of the City of Bangalore shall be a market (hereinafter referred to as the said market) established under the said Act for Agricultural Produce mentioned below :—

I	Oil Seeds	II	Pulses
1	Groundnuts	6	Horsegram
2	Castor	7	Avare
3	Honge	8	Tovari
4	Gingelly (til)	9	Greengram
5	Niger	10	Blackgram.
	III. Other commodities		
11	Ragi	17	Myrobolons
12	Potatoes	18	Coriander
13	Tamarind	19	Chillies
14	Tamarind seeds	20	Coconuts
15	Onions	21	Jaggery
16	Soapnuts	22	Raw silk

And whereas by Government Notification No. A and E 19 RMA 57 dated 16th December 1957 issued under Section 4 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Markets Act 1939 (Mysore Act XVI of 1939) the Government of Karnataka excluded Raw-silk from the Agricultural Produce mentioned in the said Notification ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. A and F 5 RMA 57 dated 14th March 1958 issued under Section 4 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Markets Act 1939 (Mysore Act XVI of 1939) the Government of Karnataka declared that the area within the jurisdiction of the corporation of the City of Bangalore shall be a market established under the said Act for Paddy in addition to the Agricultural Produce mentioned in the said Notification;

And whereas by Government Notification DPC 491 CMD 68 dated 6th June 1969 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing Regulation Act 1966 (Karnataka Act XXVII of 1966) read with the Karnataka Agricultural Produce (Marketing Regulation) (Removal of difficulties) Order, 1968 dated 29th November 1968 the Government of Karnataka excluded the Taluks of Channapatna, Kanakapura, Magadi, Ramanagaram and Doddaballapur from the limits of the said market ;

And whereas by Government Notification No. RDC 124 MMD 76 dated 24th September 1977 issued under Section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act of 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka have declared that with effect from the date of publication of the notification in the *Karnataka Gazette*, the marketing of vegetables, fruits and flowers specified below (hitherto not regulated) in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Bangalore :

VEGETABLES

1 Brinjal
2 Ladies Finger
3 Cucumber
4 Pumpkin
5 Budakumbalkai
6 Bottlegourd
7 Hirekai
8 Snake gourd
9 Bitter gourd
10 Avarekai
11 Cluster beans
12 Banana (Balekai)
13 Mangoes (Mavinkai)
14 Nellikai
15 Chow- how
16 Chapparadavarekai
17 Alsandikai
18 Drum stick
19 Tondekai .
20 Haralkai
21 Green chillies
22 Beans
23 Togarikai
24 Sweet Potato
25 Maragenasu (Topica)
26 Beat-root
27 Carrot
28 Raddish
29 Suvarna root
30 Peas
31 Cabbage
32 Cauliflower
33 Knol-Khol
34 Greens (Soppu)
35 Betel leaves
36 Sugarcane
37 Tomato
38 Lemon
39 Wetginger
40 Garlic.

II FRUITS

1 Mosambi
2 Orange
3 Apple
4 Marada Sebu
5 Grapes
6 Anānas
7 Guava
8 Neeralabannu
9 Dalimbarahannu
10 Mango fruit
11 Jack Fruit
12 Watermelon
13 Karabuja
14. Siddota
15 Chakotanahannu
16 Banana fruit
17 Anjur
18 Papai
19 Sapota
20 Borehannu.

III FLOWERS

1	Jasmine	8	Sugandharaj
2	Kanakambari	9	Rose
3	Kakada	10	Aster
4	Jaji	11	Sampige
5	Pannerale	12	Darehuva
6	Sevantige	13	Kanagalahuva
7	Chandahuva	14	Lotus

And whereas by notification No. RDC 16 MMD 77, dated 15th December 1977 issued under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka have declared that with effect from the date of publication in *Karnataka Gazette* the regulation of the marketing of the Myrobaolon (Alalekai) shall stop in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Bangalore.

And whereas by Government Notification No. S. O. 635 (RDC 224 MMD 78 dated 1st February 1979) published in Part IV-2-C (ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 8th March 1979 issued under Section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared its intention of regulationg the marketing of Rice (hitherto not regulated) in addition to Agricultural Produce already regulated in the market area of Agricultural Produce market Commitee Bangalore.

And whereas the objections and suggestions have been considered by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under Section 5 read with Section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 196) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in *Karnataka Gazette* the Marketing of Rice (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Coopn. Depatment

1287—S. O. 1429

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 90 LCM 79
Bangalore, dated 26/29th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act 1978) Sri S. N. Thirthappa, Advocate, Shimoga is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecution in S.C. 5/79 on the file of the Sessions Judge, Shimoga.

2. Sri S. N. Thirthappa, Advocate shall be paid his remuneration at Rs. 100/ per day of hearing.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....
Asst. Solicitor and Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
1185—S. O. 1430 *Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.*

Notification No. LAW 73 LGC 79
Bangalore, dated 7/12th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act 1978. Sri Babu Rao Gor-Chincholiker, Advocate, Bidar is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecutions in S.C. Nos. 58/77, 45/77, 4/78, 20/78, 24/78, 29/78, 33/78 and 39/78 and also to conduct Cr. A. Nos. 14/79 and 2/79; and Cr. R. P. Nos. 3/78, 7/78 and 26/78 on the file of the Additional Sessions Judge. Bidar on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. P DESI GOWDA,
I/c. Asst. Solicitor and Ex Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
1299—S. O. 1431 *Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.*

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of the Adi Sri Cauvery Bus Service Ltd., Madikeri.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore Published.

Read the following papers :

(1) Letter No. 118/78 dated 3rd April 1979 from Sri P.B. Chaugule Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore.

IN THE COURT OF PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE

(CAMP : MADIKERI)

Dated the 3rd of April 1979.

Present :

Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A. (Hons) LL.B.,

Presiding Officer,

Reference No. 118/78.

***First Party*:—**

Workmen represented by the Secretary, Coorg District General Workers Union, Virajpet Kodagu District.

Vs.

***Second party*—**

Management of the Adi Sri Cauvery Bus Service Ltd., Madikeri.

A W A R D

The Government of Karnataka by their order No. SWL 78 LLD 72 dated 1st August 1975 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication :—

1. Are the management of the Adi Sri Cauvery Bus Service Ltd., Mercara justified in terminating the services of Sri Veerappa, Line Conductor, Mercara w.e.f 11th May 1970 ?
2. If not, whether he is entitled for reinstatement with continuity of service, back wages and other service benefits or to any other relief ?

To-day, both the parties filed a joint memo of settlement. The terms of settlement being fair and reasonable, the same is accepted. Accordingly, an award is passed in terms of joint memo of settlement. The joint memo of settlement shall form part of the award.

Sd/-

Camp . Madikeri,
Dated 3rd April 1979.

P. B. CHAUGULE,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

ANNEXURE

In the Court of the Presiding Officer. Labour Court, Kodagu
Reference 118 of 1978

I Party : V. D. Veerappa Vs. II Party : The Management of A.S.C.B.S. Ltd.

Joint Memo Filed by Both the Parties

We herewith file this memo, having ag eed to the following:—

II Party is to pay Rs. 1,000/- to the I party and has agreed to accept the same.

I Party has agreed to withdraw his claim under Reference No. 118 of 1978 and has no claim whatsoever hereafter against the II party.

Award may be passed in terms as stated above.

Sd/-
I. R. Ashrana
for I party

Sd/-
Director,
A.S C B.S. Ltd,,
for II party

Received Rs. 1,000/- by cheque from II party this day 3-4-1979
Filed in court

Sd/- I.R. Ashrana,
I Party

Sd/- Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore

Order No. SWL 122 LLA 79.
Bangalore, dated the 21st April 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 78 LLD 72, dated 1st August 75, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of the Adi Sri Cauvery Bus Service Ltd., Malikeri was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

895—S.O. 1432

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of the Hindustan Aeronautics Limited, Bangalore

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore Published.
Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. 2/47/78-79 dated 21st March 1979 from Sri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 21st day of March 1979.

PRESENT

Shri M. R. Mujumdar, B.A. (Hons.) LL.B ,

Presiding Officer,

Reference No. 80 of 1978

First Party :—

H. S. Raghanna Naik, C/o the President, Bangalore District Engineering Workers Union, 70, Arcot Srinivasachar Street, Bangalore.

Vs.

Second Party :—

The Management of Hindustan Aeronautics Limited, Bangalore-560017.

Reference :—

Government Order No. SWL 118 LLD 74 dated 27th April 1974

Award

1. The points referred for adjudication under Section 10(1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 by the Government of Karnataka as per their Order No. SWL 118 LLD 74 dated 27th April 1974, are as under :—

> "Are the Management of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore justified in terminating the services of Sri Raghanna Naik, with effect from 16th May 1972 ?
> If not, to what reliefs the workman is entitled ?"

2. The Reference was registered as reference No. 77 of 1974 and notices were issued to the parties. Thereupon both parties have appeared and filed their statements.

3. In the claim statement filed on 10th February 1974, I Party, H. S. Raganna Naik contended that he was working as a mechanic in the II Party factory from 15th January 1965 till 6th May 1972 (it is wrongly written as 6th May 1974 in the claim statement), when he fell ill. He sent leave letter with medical certificate on 11th May 1972 covering the period from 4th May 974 to 17th May 1972 and then again sent another letter requesting leave from 18th May 1972 to 21st May 1972. Yet he received a notice dated 18th May 1972 alleging that he was absent from 6th May 1972 and had therefore,

lost his lien on his appointment under Standing Order 18(ii) and so called upon to return to duty within 10 days and explain his unauthorised absence. He reported for duty on 22nd May 1972 but the management refused to admit him to work and the Assistant Personnel Officer gave a notice dated 6th July 1972 stating that his services were terminated from 16th May 1972. His appeal to the Managing Director was rejected. Conciliation proceedings were failed and hence followed this reference. There was no intention on his part to abondon the service and in fact he reported for work and gave explanation on 22nd May 1972. The action of the management is misconcieved and illegal. The Assistant Personnel Manager was not competent to pass the order of termination. On these grounds reinstatement with full back wages and other benefits is claimed.

4. The II Party filed counter statement on 26th May 1975 contending that the I party workman absented from duty without leave or permission from 6th May 1972 to 18th May 1972 and therefore, a notice was issued to him on 19th May 1972 under Standing Order 18 (ii) to explain why loss of lien on his appointment should not be recorded. His explanation dated 23rd May 1972, *i. e.*, after, 10 days, was not found to be satisfactory No. leave letters or medical certificates allegedly sent by the I Party were received by the II Party. The I party did not report for Work within 10 days as required in the notice dated 19th May 1972. Therefore, his lien on the employment was rightly treated as lost as per the standing Orders The approbation claimed by him of unblemished record of service is unmerited. He was absent from duty on many days in the past also. His termination was not for misconduct and therefore, there was no need to hold any enquiry. By time he reported for duty his loss of lien on the appointment had been confirmed. The action of the management was proper and in good faith.

5. On 11th April 1975 it was ordered Issues were framed as per the points of dispute and the matter was posted for documents and evidence.

6. Later the case was transferred to the Labour Court, Hubli as per Government Order No. SWL 322 LIE 76 dated 27th January 1977. Again the case was retransferred to this Court wide Government Order No. SWL 314 (v) LIE 77 dated 13rd January 78. After it was so received back, the case was registered as Reference No. 80 of 1978, notices were sent to the parties. The I party remained absent throughout. His advocate, Sri A.V. Srinivas reported on 8th November 1978 that he had no instructions from I party. Therefore fresh notice was ordered to the I Party. That notice was returned unserved with an endorsement as 'party left' not known. The case was therefore proceeded as per Rule 23 of the Industrial Disputes (Karnataka) Rules, 1957, as if the I party was present and had been duly represented.

7. On behalf of the II party, Sri H. N. Narsimhaiah, Assistant Administrative Manager, is examined as M. W. 1. He stated on oath that he was working as Personnel Officer till 1972 and had dealt with the papers of I Party workman. Loss of lien notice was sent to I party as per Ex. M. 1 and the I party sent his explanation as per Ex.-M. 2. The Management has not received any leave letters dated 11th May 1972 or 20th February 1 972 with midical certificates. But they received medical certificates with a letter dated 18th May 1972 on 23rd May 1972 in the company, and another letter of the same date 18th May 1972 on 22nd May 1972. Then the management decided to confirm the loss of line of the I Party and intimated the same to him vide letter dated 7th June 1972. He further stated the explanation of the I Party Ex. M-2 was considered and a reply as per Ex M-3 was sent to him. The appeal filed by him thereafter was considered by the Managing Director as per Ex. M-4 and the application was dismissed and the I Party was informed by letter 28th December 1972, a copy of which was at Ex. M-5. M. W-1 further stated that the previous service records of the workmen were not satisfactory and the deficiency noticed were pointed out to him and he was warned. The service records in this behalf are at Ex. M-6 to M-16. This witness was not cross-examined as the I Party was not at all present.

8. The sworn statement of M. W. 1 has remained unchallenged. The I Party workman had not at all cared to either cross-examine M. W. 1 or to lead evidence himself. He did not even instruct his Advocate who had therefore, to retire from the case. All these show that the I Party workman is not interested in prosecuting his claim in this case. In view of the sworn statement of M. W. 1 and the records Ex. M. 1 to M. 16, it is clear that the I Party workman remained absent without leave or permission for more than 10 days from 6th May 1972 onwards and therefore, as per the Standing Order 18(ii) which are binding on the I Party, his loss of lien was ordered and later confirmed as he did not report for duty within 10 days and properly explain his absence. It has to be held in view of the above facts and circumstances of the case, that the II Party-Management rightly confirmed the loss of lien and removed the name of the I Party workman from the Muster Roll. The action of the management cannot, therefore, be said to be unjustified. In this view of the matter, the Reference is answered against the I Party workman and it is held that he is not entitled to any relief. Hence the following.

AWARD.

9. The Management of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore, were justified in terminating the services of Sri Raghanna Naik, with effect from 16th May 1972. The I Party, Sri Raghanna Naik, is not entitled to any relief. No order as to costs.

M. R. MUJUMDAR,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 123 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 21st April 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 118 LLD 74, dated 27th April 1974, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Hindustan Aeronautics Ltd., Bangalore was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

894—S. O. 1433

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 39 CLM 79

Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1959) and in supersession of the notification No. RDC 60 CLM 78 dated 8th January 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that Section 115 of the said Act shall apply to all Co operative Milk Producers Union Limited the following modifications, namely :—

After sub-section (5) of Section 115 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 the following sub-section shall be inserted, namely :—

"(6)(a) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, the Registrar may amend the bye-laws to provide for,—

(i) Constitution of the first Board of Directors;

(ii) nomination of its directors and President;

(iii) term of such directors and the extension of such term;

(iv) disqualifications for being nominated as, and for being a director;

(v) removal of a disqualified member and for filling of vacancies arising by such removal;

(b) The Registrar may register the said amendment and shall forward to the Co-operative Milk Producers Union Limited a copy of the same together with a certificate which shall be conclusive evidence that the said amendment has been duly registered ".

For clause (e) of bye-law No. 23 of the Mysore Co-operative Milk Producers Union Limited, the following clause shall be substituted, namely :—

"(e) Notwithstanding anything contained in these bye-laws,—

(A) the first Board of directors including the President shall be nominated by the State Government. Subject to the pleasure of the State Government they shall hold office for a period of three years.

(B) The State Government may for reasons to be recorded in writing extend the said term of office for such period or periods as it deems fit, so however that the total period so extended shall not exceed two (2) years.

(C) Out of the said Directors, twelve persons shall be nominated from among the Presidents of the Milk Producers Co-operative Societies.

(D) if any Director representing a Milk Producer's Co-operative Society.

(i) without the written permission of the President, absent himself for more than three consecutive meetings ;

(ii) ceases to be the President of such society ; he shall be disabled from continuing to be a Director and his seat shall become vacant.

(E) if any Milk Producers Co-operative Society the President of which is a Director,—

(i) ceases to be a member ;

(ii) is dissolved or becomes defunct ; or

(iii) fails to carry out it's obligations to the Union, then, the Director representing such Milk Producers Co-operative Society shall cease, to be a director and his seat shall become vacant.

(F) Whenever the question of occurrence of a vacancy under sub-clauses (D) and (E) arises the State Government shall, either *suo motu* or on a report from the President of the Union or otherwise and after giving an opportunity to the Director or the Society concerned to make representation and holding such enquiry as it deems fit, decide the question and its decision shall be final.

(G) Where in the first Board of directors, any vacancy occurs for reasons specified in sub-clauses (D) and (E), the said vacancy shall be filled by fresh nominations by the State Government and the person so nominated shall hold office so long only the member in whose place he is nominated would have held the office if the vacancy had not occured

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1295—S. O. 1441

KARNATAKA LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATURE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. 1989/L.A.

Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979

Under Rule 8 c(1) of the Karnataka Legislature Secretariat (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 1959 as amended in Notification No. LAW 113 LGR 77 dated 5th May, 1979 Sri K. S Hebbar, Retired *Editor of Debates of Karnataka Legislature Secretariat is aprointed,* as Private Secretary to the Leader of Opposition in Karnataka Legislative Council on Contract basis for a period from 5th May 1979 to 2nd April 1980 or so long as the Leader of Opposition desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

The terms and conditions of contract appointment mentioned above are as *indicated in the annexture.*

By Order of the Board,

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

1132—S. O. 1442

ANNEXURE

Terms and conditions of appointment of Sri K. S. Hebbar, retired Editor of Debates of Karnataka Legislature Secretariat as Private Secretary to the Leader of Opposition Legislative Council on Contract basis ;

(i) Shri K. S. Hebbar shall perform the function of the Private Secretary to the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Council and attend to all work entrusted to him by the Leader of Opposition, in Legislative Council from time to time.

(ii) He shall discharge his duties diligently.

(iii) He shall maintain strict secrecy in respect of Official information which he comes to know in the course of his duties.

(iv) The term of appointment on contract of Shri K. S. Hebbar shall be for a period with effect from 5th May, 1979 to 2nd April, 1980 or so long as the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Council desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

(v) His pay shall be regulated under Rule 313(a) of the K.C.S.Rs. *viz.*, pay during contract appointment plus Pension (including pension equivalent of Death-*cum*-retirement Gratuity or Gratuity in lieu of Pension) shall not exceed the pay drawn by the Officer before his retirement. He shall be paid the special pay of Rs. 100 p.m. attached to the post, in addition to the pay as above and all other allowances admissible to him in accordance with the instructions printed below Rule 313(bb) *ibid.*

(vi) He shall be entitled to T. A. and Daily Allowance at the rates admissible to an official of Government of his status,

(vii) He shall be entitled to casual leave, earned leave and other kinds of leave as are admissible to temporary Government Servants, under the K.C.S. Rs:

(viii) He shall be entitled to medical facilities on the same scale and subject to the same conditions as are applicable to Government Servants of equivalent rank.

(ix) the appointment of Shri K. S. Hebbar may be terminated at any time :—

(a) by one month's notice on either side ; or

(b) for misconduct, for breach of orders or breach of any of the conditions of the agreement if any, committed by Sri K. S. Hebbar.

(x) He shall be liable to reimburse to Government all losses which the Government may sustain due to misconduct of any sort on his part.

2. Sri K. S. Hebbar shall execute an agreement on stamped paper incorporating the above mentioned terms and conditions within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 93 EMI 75
Bangalore, dated 19th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of section 8 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/6/76-MV, dated 7th March 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions renewal Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Shriram Mining Company for a period of 10 years with effect from 8th November 1975 for Manganese ore over an area of 60 acres (24.28 Hectares) of land in Bapeli village, Supa taluk, North Kanara District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology Bangalore.

The grant of the Mining Lease is subject to the following terms and conditions.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Manganese Ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Manganese Ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Manganese Ore he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writting;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs 25.00
11th year and onwards of lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for Mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in North Kanara District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

3104—S. O. 1443

PUBLIC WORKS AND ELECTRICITY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PWD 90 EBS 77
Bangalore dated 9th April 1979

Whereas the undertakings of Messrs. Manvi Brothers, Electric Light and Power suppliers, Byadagi and Ranebennur have been taken over by Government on 18th December 1974 in pursuance of the Karnataka Electricity Supply Undertakings (Acquisition) Act, 1974 ;

Whereas the High Court of Karnataka in Writ Petition No. 4282/77 have directed the Karnataka Electricity Board to take a decision whether actually the petitioners were the employees of the private undertaking taken over by the State Government and handed over

to the Electricity Board and consequently whether they are entitled to be absorbed under the provisions of section 11 of the Karnataka Electricity Supply Uudertakings (Acquisition) Act, 1974.

Whereas the Karnataka Electricity Board has considered the cases of four writ petitioners and have screened them by the Screening Committee constituted under sub-rule 3 of rule 6 of the Karnataka Electricity Supply Undertakings (Acquisition) Rules, 1976, and has agreed to the transfer of three of the petitioners to the Board as its employees ;

Now therefore, Government of Karnataka hereby direct that with effect from 18th December 1974, the services of the following employees specified in column 2 bearing designation in column 3 be and deemed to be transferred to the Karnataka Electricity Board as equated to the cadres specified in column 4 and that from the said date, the said employees shall cease to be employees in the services of the State Government.

SCHEDULE

Sl No.	Name	Designation	Cadre to which equated.
1.	Sri B. B Managoli	Assistant Engineer	Supervisor
2.	Sri. V. K. Mohare	Asst. Clerk	First Grade Clerk
3.	Sri K. Kosandal	Typist	Typist.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works and Elecy., Department

833—S. O. 1444

Nolification No. PWD 40 EBS 79.
Bangalore dated 26/27th April, 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 6 of the Karnataka State Electrical (Supply) Rules, 1957. read with item 1 (d) of Annexure 1 to the said Rules and in Partial modification of Government Notification No. PWD 2 EBS 79(1) dated 4th January 1979, Sri M. Sachidananda Murthy, Chairman, Karnataka Electricity Board is sanctioned terminal leave for 86 days earned by him during his term of office as Chairman, Karnataka Electricity Board and he is permitted to get the encashment benefit in lieu of the terminal leave sanctioned. Karnataka Electricity Board may take action to arrange for the disbursement of the encashment benefits.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government.
Public Works and Electricity Department.

968—S. O. 1445

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute.—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s. Apsara Tailors, No. 25, Cubbonpet, Main Road, Bangalore-2.

Award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Bangalore, Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. 2080/78-79, dated 14th March 1979 from Sri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated this 14th day of March 1979.

Present

Shri M. R. Mujumdar, B.A. (Hons), L.L.B.,
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 139 of 1976

First Party—T. A. Aswathiah, Amarakala Orchestra, No. 43, Old Chamarajpet, Cubbonpet, Bangalore-2.

Vs.

Second Party.—The Mangament of M/s.Apsara Tailors, No. 25, Cubbonpet, Main Road, Bangalore-2.

Reference.—Government Order No. SWL 838 LLD 76, dated 22nd September 1976.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka have referred the following points of dispute for adjudication to this Court **vide** their Order No. SWL 838 LLD 76, dated 22nd September 1976 :—

"I. Whether the management of Apsara Tailors, No. 25, Cubbonpet Main Road, Bangalore-2, are justified in removing Sri T. A. Aswathaiah from service with effect from 19th July 1975?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

2. The case was registered as Reference No. 139/1976 and notices were issued to both parties. The I Party Sri T. A. Aswathaiah filed his claim statement on 4th May 1977 saying that the II Party is a reputed tailoring shop running for more than 20 years as a proprietory concern. He joined the II Party as a tailor on 10th February 1972 and worked there with good record till August, 1975, when he fell sick and was admitted to hospital on 26th August 1975. After he was discharged from the hospital he went to the respondent with a medical certificate and requested him to resume his work but he was refused work and though he had submitted a demand calling upon the second party to him for work with continuity of service and full wages for the forced period of unemployment, it was not accepted. The conciliation proceedings held at his instance was not fruitful The I Party is entitled to earned leave, casual leave and sick leave and also wages for the forced period of unemployment from 26th August 1975 till the case is finally disposed off. On these grounds I Party claimed that he be reinstated with continuity of service and full back wages.

3. The Proprietor of the II Party concern, Sri S. H. Krishna Rao, filed his objection on 18th August 1977 denying the claims of the I Party and contending that there was no relationship of employer and employee between him and the I Party. The I Party had approached him for some piece work and as he (II Party) had then more work, gave him some piece work for which he paid the labour charges then and there. Whenever, there was no work II Party was not able to give the I Party heavy work. The II Party Krishna Rao is himself a workman in his shop and he himself is a labourer. The I Party borrowed from him a sum of Rs. 30/- and never paid it back.

4. On 18th August 1977 Issues were framed as per the points of Dispute and thereafter the I Party examined himself as W.W. and the II Party Sri Krishna Rao as M.W. 1. Neither of them produced any records.

5. I Party Aswathiah argued his case and contended that he was a workman with the II Party concern and was removed from

service. It is the II Party who has to justify the removal. Though the II Party denied that I Party workman was with him, he admitted that the I Party workman was working with him. II Party has not maintained any registers as required by the Shops and Establishments Act. He further relied upon the rulling of Supreme Court in 1977 I LLJ 1 (Hindustan Steel Limited case) for support.

6. The II Party Krishna Rao did not appear to argue his case.

7. Except the interested say of the I party Aswathaiah that he worked continuously from 1972 onwards for more than 3 years, in the II Party-shop, there is nothing on record to substantiate this claim.

against the statement of W.W. 1, the Proprieton of the II Party-concern Sri Krishna Rao stated on oath that his is a small tailoring shop wherein he himself, his son, his bother-in-law and one casual labourer stitch cloths, he has only 3 sewing machines. The I Party Ashwathiah was not a regular workman under him but only as a casual workman on piece rates and that too for 2 or 3 months. He denied that the I Party was working as tailor with him from 10th February 1972 to 26th August 1975. Though it is suggested to this witness that he had employed 15 workers on permanent basis and had 8 sewing mechines, the I Party workman did not adduce any evidence to prove this. If in fact he were working for more than 3 years continuously in the respondent shop and if the said shop was turning out good business and having a large numer of customers and if more than 15 workers had been employed in that shop, it should not have been difficult for the I Party Aswathiah to examine at least some of the alleged permanent workmen in the shop or at least some of the regular customers of that shop to prove his claim of having worked in that shop continuously for more than 3 years. Though

stated that after he was discharged from the hospital, he went to the II Party shop with a medical certificate and he was refused work, and thereafter he demanded his reinstatement, there is not even a scrap of paper produced by him in support of the same. Therefore, there is nothing in this case except the self-serving say of the I Party to show that he was continuously working as a tailor with the II Party Krishna Rao for more than 3 years and that he was removed from service on 19th July 1975. The Ruling relied on by I Party does not help him. Unless the I Party proves that he was a workman in the II Party shop and that he worked there continuously

at least for one year, he is not entitled to claimed to the benefit under Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act. Considering all the facts and circumstances of the case, I hold that the I Party Aswathaiah has failed to prove that he was a workman in the II Party Shop from

1972 to 1975 continuously or that he was removed from service in August, 1975. He, is therefore, not entitled to any relief from the II Party. An Award is accordingly passed. No order as to costs.

M. R. MUJUMDAR,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 106 LLA 79, Bangalore, dated the 17th April 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 838 LLD 76, dated 22nd September 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and management of M/s. Apsara Tailors, No. 25, Cubbonpet, Main Road, Bangalore-2, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Indistrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the **Karnataka Gazette.**

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

857—S.O. 1446.

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 25 TTP 79.

Bangalore, dated 16th June 1979

Whereas In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 4-A of the Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1963) the Government of Karnataka declared the City of Hassan and other areas in Notification No. HMA 36 TTP 76 dated 28th June 1976 to be a Local Planning Area designated as Hassan City Planning Area, with effect from 1st July 1976

And Whereas the Planning Authority constituted for the said Planning Area was required under sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the said Act to prepare and publish an outline Development Plan for the said Hassan City Planning Area not later than two years from 1st July 1976 i. e., before 1st July 1978;

And Whereas the Planning Authority has applied for extension of the said period to prepare and publish an Outline Development Plan;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 9 of the said Act, the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the aforesaid period till the end of June 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Deptt.

1319 S.O.—1448

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 4 AQW 79 (i)

Bangalore, dated 7th June 1979.

Whereas in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 7 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable property Act, 1952. (Central Act 30 of 1952, the immovable properties specified in the Schedule below in Hunasamaranahalli village, Devanahalli Taluk, Bangalore District was acquired under the said Act.

And whereas no agreement can be reach regarding the amount of compensation payable under the said Act

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act, 1952 (Central Act 30 of 1952) read with Notification No. SHO 4519 dated 24th May 1957 of the Government of India, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri W.V. Arabatti, Retired District Judge, Bangalore as an Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the compensation for the lands mentioned in the Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Requisition Order No. & date	Name of the Village	S.No.	Extent	Name of the persons interested in the property.
1	MIL/LAQ/289/72	Hunasa-maranahalli	184	191 acres 21 guntas	Sri Pattada Sri Parvatharaju Shivacharya Swamigalu Matadiphathi of Hunasamaranahalli Mutt.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

K. DODDAVEERAIAH,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1261 S.O. 1449

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 46 PCR 79
Bangalore, dated 27th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15, 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Shri S. Banjamin Pas'er, Grace Church, Kollegal, Mysore District authorising him to grant Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

958—S. O. 1450

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. SWL 993 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 15th March 1979.

For the figures "530-20-650-25-600-30-920-10-1000" and the word "Enhancement" appearing against item I (a) (5) and (b) of points of dispute of the Government Order No. SWL 993 LLD 78 dated 6/7th February 1979, the figures '530-20-650-25-800-30-920-10-1000 and the word "Encashment" respectively shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

618 S. O. 1451

KARNATAKA LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATURE SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
P. B. No. 5074, BANGALORE-560001.
Notification No. 1990/L. A.
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

Under Rule 8 C (1) of the Karnataka Legislature Secretariat (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 1959 as amended in Notification No. LAW 113 LGR 77 of 5th May 1979, Sri: S. B Chikkamath, Retired *Deputy Secretary, Government of Karnataka is appointed as Private Secretary* to the Leader of the Opposition in the Karnataka Legislative Assembly, on contract basis for a period from 5th May 1979 to 2nd April 1980 or so long as the Leader of Opposition desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

The terms and conditions of contract appointment mentioned above are as *indicated in the annexure.*

By Order of the Board,
M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

1133—S.O. 1456

ANNEXURE

Terms and conditions of appointment of Shri S. B. Chikkamath, retired Deputy Secretary, Karnataka Government Secretariat, as P. S. to the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Assembly on contract basis :

(i) Shri S. B. Chikkamath shall perform the function of the P. S. to Leader of Opposition, Legislative Assembly and attend to all work entrusted to him by the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Assembly from time to time.

(ii) He shall discharge his duties diligently.

(iii) He shall maintain strict secrecy in respect of Official information which becomes to know in the course of his duties.

(iv) The term of appointment on contract of Shri S. B. Chikkamath shall be for a period with effect from 5th May 1979 to 2nd April 1980 or so long as the Leader of Opposition, Legislative Assembly desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

(v) His pay shall be regulated under rule 313 (b) of the K. C. S. R. *viz.*, pay durin contract appointment plus pension (including pension equivalent of Death-*cum*-retirement Gratuity or Gratuity in lieu of pension) shall not exceed the pay drawn by the Officer

before his retirement. He shall be paid the special pay of Rs. 100 P.M. attached to the post, in addition to the pay as above and all other allowances admissible to him in accordance with the instructions printed below Rule 313 (bb) ibid.

(vi) He shall be entitled to T. A. and Daily allowance at the rate admissible to an Official of Government of his status.

(vii) He shall be entitled to casual leave, earned leave and other kinds of leave as are admissible to temporary Government Servants, under the K. C. S. Rs.

(viii) He shall be entitled to medical facilities on the same scale and subject to the same conditions as are applicable to Government servants of equivalent rank.

(ix) The appointment of Shri S. B. Chikkamath may be terminated at any time:—

(a) by one month's notice on either side; or

(b) for misconduct, for breach of orders or breach of any of the conditions of the agreement if any, committed by Sri S. B. Chikkamath.

(x) He shall be liable to reimburse to Government all losses which the Government may sustain due to misconduct of any sort on his part.

2. Sri S. B. Chikkamath shall execute an agreement on stamped paper incorporating the above mentioned terms and conditions within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature,

KARNATAKA LEGISLATURE

LEGISLATURE SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
P. B. No. 5074, BANGALORE-1
Notification No. 2092/L A
Dated 22nd May 1979

Under Rule 8 c (1) of the Karnataka Legislature Secretariat (Recruitment and Conditions of Service) Rules, 1959 as amended in Notification No. LAW 113 LG : 77 dated 5th May, 1979 Sri K. Muni-gowda, Retired Section Officer of Karnataka Legislature Secretariat is appointed as private Secretary to the Government Chief Whip in

the Karnataka Legislative Council on contract basis for the period from 5th May 1979 to 20th March 1980 or so long as the Government Chief Whip, Legislative Council desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

The terms and conditions of contract appointment mentioned above are as indicated in the annexure.

'By Order of the Board,'

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

1181—S.O. 1457

ANNEXURE

Terms and conditions of appointment of Shri K.Muni gowda retired Section Officer of Karnataka Legislature Secretariat as Private Secretary to Government Chief Whip, Legislative Council on contract basis ;

(i) Shri K. Munigowda shall perform the function of the Private Secretary to Government Chief Whip, Legislative Council and attend to all work entrusted to him by Government Chief Whip, in Legislative Council from time to time;

(ii) He shall discharge his duties diligently ;

(iii) He shall maintain strict secrecy in respect of Official information which he comes to know in the course of his duties.

(iv) The term of appointment on contract of Shri K. Muni gowda shall be for a period with effect from 5th May, 1979 to 20th March, 1980 or so long as the Government Chief Whip, Legislative Council desires to have his services, whichever is earlier.

(v) His pay shall be regulated under Rule 313 (b) of the K. C. S. Rs *viz.*, pay during contract appointment plus pension (including pension equivalent of Death-cum-retirement Gratuity or Gratuity in lieu of Pension) shall not exceed the pay drawn by the Officer before his retirement He shall be paid the special pay of 75 p.m. attached to the post, in addition to the pay as above and all other allowances admissible to him in accordance with the instructions printed below Rule 313 (bb) *ibid.*

(vi) He shall be entitled to T. A. and Daily Allowance at the rates admissible to an Official of Government of his status ;

(vii) He shall be entitled to casual leave, earned leave and other kinds of leave as are admissible to temporary Government Servants, under the K. C. S. Rs.

(viii) He shall be entitled to medical facilities on the same scale and subject to the same conditions as are applicable to Government Servants of equivalent rank ;

(ix) the appointment of Shri K. Muni gowda may be terminated at any time :—

(a) by one month's notice on either side ; or

(b) for misconduct, for breach of orders or breach of any of the conditions of the agreement if any, committed by Sri K. Muni gowda

(x) He shall be liable to reimburse to Government all losses which the Government may sustain due to misconduct of any sort on his part.

2. Sri K. Muni gowda shall execute an agreement on stamped paper incorporating the abovementioned terms and conditions within a period of 15 days from the date of this order.

M. SUBBA RAO,
Joint Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

Notification No. 2484/L.A.
Dated 1st June 1979.

The Karnataka Legislative Assembly which met on 30th April, 1979 was adjourned *sine-die* on 31st May, 1979.

T. VENKATASWAMY,
Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

1340—S.O. 1458

Notification No. 2519/LC
Bangalore, dated 4th June 1979.

The Forty-third Session of the Karnataka Legislative Council which met on 19th March, 1979 adjourned *sine-die* on 1st June 1979.

T. VENKATASWAMY,
Secretary,
Karnataka Legislature.

1341—S.O. 1459

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 46 PCR 79
Bangalore, dated 27th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Shri S. Benjamin, Paster, Grace Church, Kollegal Mysore District to Solamnise Marriages under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

928 S.O. 1460

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 52 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 1st/5th June 1979

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri M. Seshagiri Rao as Additional Government Pleader, Hospet for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Department of Law & Parly. Affairs.
(Administration)

1235—S.O. 1461

Notification No. LAW 29 LAG 79.

Bangalore, dated 1st/5th June 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri N. G. Kulkarni, B.Sc., LL.B (Spl.) Advocate, Hukkeri as Assistant Government Pleader, Hukkeri for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Department of Law and Parliamentary Affairs
(Administration)

1234—S.O. 1462

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 352 CLS 78

Bangalore, dated 26th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Hassan, Hassan District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri H. A. Rangegowda, Haralahalli, Hassan Kasaba.
2. Sri Mogaiah, Koravangala, Dudda Hobli.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.

1184—S. O. 1463

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 302 EMO 77
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act vide their letter No. 5/93/77 M-5 dated 12th March 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Durga Mining Company for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Manganese ore over an area of 100 acres (40.47 hectares) of land in Gavali village, Khanapur Taluk, Belgaum District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Manganese Ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Manganese Ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Manganese Ore he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum or the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charge ;

(d) If [illegible] or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observ. ance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual; if the lease area covers any forest land;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey; and

(o) Local and other cessee as prevalent in Belgaum District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1091—S. O. 1464

Printed by the Director of Printing Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 202 PEG 79.

Bangalore, dated 2nd June 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25/1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under Section 120 B, 420, 409, 467, 477-A IPC and Section 5 (2) read with 5 (1) (d) of P. C. Act against S/Shri. S. R. Masti, Assistant Controller (Stores and Purchase), Hotel Ashoka, Bangalore, H. S. Subramaniam, Senior Store Keeper Hotel Ashoka, Bangalore, and M. R. Gundu Rao, Senior Accountant, Hotel Ashoka, Bangalore and any other person/persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1231—S. O. 1470

Notification No. HD 79 PCR 79.

Bangalore, dated 13th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act. 15, 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Shri B. Isaac, Pastor, Victory Baptist Church, Vijayanagar, Bangalore, authorising him to grant Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act, in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government.
Home Department.

1298—S. O. 1471

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 73 LGC 79 (I)

Bangalore, dated 7th/12th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of Section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act No 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act, 1978 Sri Babu Rao, Patil, Advocate. Bidar is hereby appointed as a pecial Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecution in S. C. Nos. 31/78, 38/78, 45/78, 46/78, 47/78, 48/78, and 52/78 and also to conduct Cr. A. Nos. 13/79 and 3/79; and Cr. R. P. Nos. 33/78, 11/79 and 15/79 on the file of the Additional Sessions Judge, Bidar on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. P. DESI GOWDA,

I/c. *Asst. Solicitor and* Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

1285—S. O. 1472

PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. DPAR 67 SHC 79

Bangalore, dated 30th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rule (2) of Rule 3 read with proviso to Clause (e) of rule 4 of the Karnataka Law Reporters Service Rules, 1972 and in consultation with Law Reporting Council, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the following Advocates as Law Reporters, namely; —

Sriyuths:

1. K. G. Sathyanarayana Murthy
2. A R. Kowjalgi
3. S. Kashinath
4. Y. R. Jagadeesha

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

S. R. TODURKAR,

Under Secretary to Government,
Department of Personnel and Administrative Reforms,
(Services-II).

1233—S.O 1473

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

CORRIGENDUM No. FFD 168 FGL 77.

Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

Sub:—Disforestment of lands for distribution to the displaced persons of Malaprabha Project for cultivation.

Ref :— Government Notification No. FFD 168 FGL 77 dated 8th February 1978.

In line 6 of the above notification between the words 'of' and 'issued' for the figures "27-7-1976" substitute the figures "27-7-1936".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food & Forest Department.

1094—S.O. 1474

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No.RD 12 LRE 79

Bangalore, dated 28th/29th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Clause (i) of sub-section (1) read with sub-section (3) of Section 48 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962) and in partial modification of earlier Not fications issued in the matter, the Government of Karnataka hereby notify the Officers specified in Column (2) to be the Chairman of Tribunals of Taluks specified in Column (3) in Bijapur District as under :

Sl. No.	Designation of the Officer	Name of the Tribunal
1	2	3
1	Assistant Commissioner, Bijapur	Bijapur
2	Spl. Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Bijapur	Basavana Bagewadi
3	Assistant Commissioner., Jamkhandi	Mudhol Jamkhandi

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Refo ms & Ex-Officio
Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Department.

1187—S. O. 1475

Notification No. RD 17 LRE 79

Bangalore, dated 4th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Caluse (1) of Sub-Section (1) read with Section 48 (3) of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 1962) and in partial modification of earlier notifications issued in the matter, the Government of Karnataka hereby notify the Officers specified in Column (2) to be the Chairman of Tribunals of Taluk specified in Column (3) replacing the Officers noted in Column (4).

Sl. No.	Designation of Officer now notified as Chairman	Name of the Tribunal	Designation of the Chairman replaced
1	2	3	4
1.	Additional Special Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Chikodi.	1st Tribunal Chikodi (Sadalga and Nipani Hoblies)	Special Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Chikodi
2.	Special Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Chikodi	Additional Tribunal Chikodi (Chikodi and Nagaramanoli Hoblies)	Additional Spl. Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Chikodi

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,

Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms & Ex-officio Under Secretary to Government,

1232—S. O. 1476 *Revenue Department.*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. Cl 18 MRC 79.

Bangalore, dated 28th April/1st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 18 of the Karnataka Minor Mineral Concession Rules, 1969, as amended from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanction the transfer of the quarry lease specified in the schedule below by M/s. Tejesh Mineral Enterprises in favour of M/s. Sundeep Crucibles and Refractories (P) Limited, subject to the followiug conditions :

(1) that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferror and the transferees where in the transferrees shall agree to bind themselves by the terms of the original lease for the remaining period of the lease and

(2) that the dues if any, shall be paid by the transferor before the execution of the transfer deeds in respect of this lease.

	ORE	AREA
Quarry lease No. 2053	Ornamental stone	Mankanahalli village Manjarabad taluk Hassan District over an area of 4.00 acres.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

986—S.O. 1477

Notification No. CI 74 EMO 78.
Bangalore, dated 2nd June 1979.

In pursuance of Section 8 (2) of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining approval of the Government of India *vide* their letter No. 5/74/76 MV dated 13th March 1978, the Government of Karnataka hereby renew the Mining Lease held by Shri Y. Hanumanthappa referred to in the Schedule hereunder for a period of 10 years with effect from 23rd January 1977 subject to usual terms and conditions of the lease.

No. and date of sanctioning the Mining Lease		Extent	Area
I 3994/99 GEO 3-56-119 dated 29-9-1956	Manganese	65 acres (26.27 hects)	Dindahalli and other villages, Holalkere taluk, Chitradurga district

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1229—S.O. 1478

Notification No. CI 32 CCL 79 .

Bangalore, dated the 2nd/4th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 87 of the Article of Association of M/s. Visvesvaraya Iron and Steel Limited, the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to appoint Sri K. C. Khanna, Chairman, Kudremukh Iron Ore Co., Ltd , as a Director on the Board of Directors of Visvesvaraya Iron and Steel Limited, *vice* Sri N. Narasimha Rau.

The Governor is also pleased to appoint Sri K. C. Khanna, as Chairman of the Board of Directors of the Company under Article 118 of the Articles of Association of the said Company.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. EGBERT DHANANJAYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department,
(Companies)

1230—S.O. 1479

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 237 LLE 79

Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979

Whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that the Bread and Bakery Industry be declared as a public utility service in the State of Karnataka.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares the Bread and Bakery Industry as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from the date of issue of this Notification.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department. (*Exb. A*)

1196 -S.O. 1480

Notification No. SWL 116 LLE 79

Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979.

In partial modification of Government Notification No. SWL 133 LLE 78, dated 27th October 1977 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 (Central Act 37 of 1970) read with Rule 4 of

the Contract Labour (R & A) Karnataka Rules, 1971 the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Senior Industrial Relation Officer, M.P.C. Ltd., Ambikanagar-581363 as Member of the Karnataka State Advisory Contract Labour Board in place of Project Engineer, M.P.C. Bharatiya Vidhya Bhavan, Race Course Road, Bangalore, representing Public Sector undertakings run by Government with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. V. SOMASHEKHARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L. Department

1197 S.O. 1481

Order No. SWL 349 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Deepak Insulated Cable Corporation Limited, Tumkur Road, Nagasandra Bangalore-73 on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the workmen of Deepak Insulated Cable Corporation Ltd., Bangalore, justified in demanding that Sri N. Shamandy, Driver, be retained in the same post or that he be provided with alternate employment without reduction in his emoluments and other service conditions?

II. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, Labour (A),
S. W. & L. Department

1199—S. O 1482

Order No. SWL 414 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 1st/5th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of the Executive Engineer, (Elect.) O&M Division Karnataka Electricity Board, Bagalkot on the points noted below:

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Whether the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Ashok Babu chavan, T. T. R. Labourer, with effect from 1st June 1973?

II. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1287—S. O. 1483

Order No. SWL 860 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979.

Whereas a Dispute between the workmen and the Management of Rekha Textiles, No. 3 B. P Wadia Road Bangalore-4 was rejected from reference to Labour Court for adjudication in Government Endorsement No. SWL 485 LLD 78 dated 3rd July 1978

Whereas the said workmen have represented on 29th August 1978 to Government, to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication ;

And Whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 7th February 1979 and their pleadings have been duly considered and the Government is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the Management above mentioned on the points noted below;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Mahadevan, Weaver with effect from 24th September 1974.

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer
1195—S. O. 1484 *Social Welfare and Labour Department.* (*Labour 'A'*)

Notification No. SWL 22 LFB 79
Bangalore, dated 30th April 1979.

Under proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 66 of the Factories Act, 1948 (Central Act 63 of 1948) the Government of Karnataka, hereby permits for a period of three months from the date of this Notification, M/s. Kissan Products Ltd., Old Madras Road, Bangalore-560016, to employ women workers between 6 A. M. to 10 P. M. subject to the following conditions that :—

(1) No woman shall be employed beyond 7 P. M. unless she has given her consent to be so employed ;

(2) The women workers shall be provided with transport facilities for returning to their houses;

(3) A period of un-interrupted rest of at least ten hours shall intervene between cessation of work on any day and the beginning of work on the following day.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
969—S. O. 1485 *Social Welfare and Labour Department.*

Order No. SWL 858 LLD 78
Bangalore, dated 16th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Niligiris Mechanised Bakery, Unit of Niligiri Dairy Farm, No. 5 Markam Road, Bangalore-25 on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

"I. Is the Management justified in—

(a) re'renching Workmen Sriyuths.—(1) K. Ramachandran, (2) Sagayanathan, (3) Devadass, (4) Jayavelu (5) R. Shekhar, (6) M. Manoharan (7) E. Mathivanam (8) N. Subramani (9) Kaliappan (10) Karunanidhi and (11) Shanmugam w. e. f. 29th January 1978 ?

(b) terminating the services of.—(1) Sri S. Gandhi w. e. f. 13th August 1977 and (2) Sri Aruldas w e. f. 30th July 1977?

(c) deducting 8 days wages of Sriyuths.—(1) Jayakumar (2) V. Mani (3) Abdul Rasheed (4) P. Chinnappa (5) R. Chandran (6) A. Muniswamy (7) A. Anthony (8) Elangovan (9) Gunasekharan (10) I. Muniya (11) E. Sridharan Pillai (12) George (13) John (14) Mariadoss (15) J. C. Kasinathan (16) K. Loganathan (17) P. Loganathan and (18) S. Patrick Joseph.

II. If not, to what relief the above workmen are entitled ? ".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare & Labour Department
(Labour-A).

1320—S.O. 1486

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of United Chemicals & Industries (P) Ltd., Morzaria Industrial Estate, Flat No. 4, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-29.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, Published.

Read the following Papers :

1. Letter No. 1540/78-79, dated 12th December 1978 from Sri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated this 12th day of December 1978

PRESENT :

Shri M. R. Mujumdar. B.A. Hon L L B.
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 153 of 1978

First Party :—

Smt. Pushpa, No. 9, Housing Board Quarters, II Block, and East Block, Jayanagar, Bangalore-560011.

Vs.

Second Party :—

The management of United Chemical & Industries (P) Ltd., A. Morzaria Industrial Estate, Flat No. 4, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560029.

Reference :—

Government Order No SWL 872 LLD 74 dated 21st November 1974.

AWARD

1 The Government of Karnataka in their Order No. SWL 872 LLD 74 dated 21st November 1974 have referred an industrial dispute existing between the above named parties to this court for adjudication on the points of dispute noted below :—

"Are the management of United Chemicals and Industries (P) Ltd., A Morzaria Industrial Estate, Flat No. 4 Bannerghata Road, Bangalore-29, justified in refusing to allow Smt. Pushpa to resume work with effect from 26th November 1973 ?

If not, to what relief is the said worker entitled ?"

2 On receipt of reference, it was originally registered as Reference No. 195 of 1974 and notices were issued to the parties. The I Party filed her claim statement on 20th January 1975 and the II Party filed their counter-statement on 26th February 1975 and on the same day issues were framed and the case was set down for evidence.

3 Before this court, on behalf of the II Party one Mr Kamal Singh, Manager of the II Party, was examined in-chief as M.W-1. In the meanwhile the proceedings of the case has been transferred to the Labour Court, Hubli, by Government Order No. SWL 322 LLE 76 dated 27th January 1977. The Labour Court, Hubli, registered the case as Reference No. 233 of 1977. But again the Government, after some time, retransferred the case from Labour Court, Hubli, to this Court as per Government Order No. SWL 314 (V) LLE 77 dated 23rd January 1978. On receipt of the case file, it was registered as Reference No. 153 of 1978 and notices were issued to the parties to appear and conduct their case.

4 On 1st December 1978 when the case was called both the parties were present and filed a joint memorandum of settlement and prayed for an award in terms of the same. Terms of the settlement are fair and reasonable and therefore, accepted.

5 In the result, I pass an award in terms of the Joint memo which shall be annexed to this award and be deemed as forming part of it. No costs.

Sd/- M. R. Mujumdar
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE HON'BLE LABOUR COURT AT BANGALORE

Reference No. 153 of 1978

First Party.—

Smt. Pushpa, No. 9, Housing Board Quarters, II Block, I and East Block, Jayanagar, Bangalope 560011.

Second Party.—

Management of United Chemicals and Industries Private Ltd., Morzaria Industrial Estate, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560029.

Joint Memorandum of Settlement

The First Party and the Second Party have discussed all the matters arising out of the above dispute and have mutually settled all the dispute on the following terms and conditions.

Terms and Conditions

1 The Second Party has paid Rs. 500 to the First Party and the First Party has received the same.

2 The abovementioned amount of Rs 500 is paid in full and final settlement of all the claims of the First Party against the Second Party including the claim of reinstatement, back-wages, gratuity, leave with wages, bonus and any other claims that the first party may have against the second party.

3 The First Party shall not have any claims of any nature whatsoever against the Second Party,

4 The parties pray this Hon'ble Court be pleased to pass a consent award in terms of the above settlement in the interest of justice.

Dated at Bangalore on this 1st day of December 1978.

	.....
First Party :	*Second Party* :
.....	.....
Authorised representative for First Party	Authorised representative for Second Party

Order No. SWL 13 LLA 79,
Bangalore, Dated the 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 872 LLD 74 dated 21st November 1974 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of United Chemicals and Industries Private Ltd., Morzaria Industrial Estate, Flat No. 4, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-29 was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. C. GANGADHARA GUPTA,
I/c Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

215—S.O. 1487

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 36 CLM 79.
Bangalore, dated 12th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that Section 30 of the said Act shall apply to National Co-operative Tourist and Transport Corporation Ltd., Bangalore with the following modifications namely.—

In the said section 36,

(1) in sub-section (i)

(i) the words "in accordance with provisions of sub-section (2)" shall be deleted.

(ii) For the words "four years" the words "five years" shall be substituted.

(2) Sub-section (2) shall be deleted

Note :—The above modification to Section 30 shall remain in force upto the 11th day of September 1979 and upto their expiry, the provisions of the said Section 30 which were in force prior to the

commencement of this order shall stand revived, but they shall not effect the previous operation of the modification made by this order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devpt. & Co-ops. Department.

1399—S.O. 1488

Notification No. RDC 35 CLM 79
Bangalore, dated 11th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) in Government of Karnataka hereby directs that Section 27 of the said Act shall apply to the Karnataka Co-operative Breweries Ltd., Bangalore, with the following modification in relation to the Annual General Body Meetings for the Co-operative years 1976-77 and 1977-78.

In sub-section (*1*) of section 27 of Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959—

(a) for the portion beginning with "A General Meeting" and ending with 'for the purpose of" the following shall be substituted namely.—

"A General meeting of a Co operative Society for the years 1976-77 and 1977-78 shall be held in the months of July 1979 for the purpose of—

(b) the Proviso shall be ommitted.

Note.—The above modifications to Section 27 shall remain in force upto 1st day of August 1979 and upon their expiry, the provisions of the said section 27 which were in force prior to the commencement of this order shall stand revived, but they shall not affect the previous operation of the modification made by this order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1286—S. O. 1489

Notification No. RDC 133 COF 79
Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Bye-law No. 24 (1) (c) of the Bye-laws of the Karnataka Sahakari Sakkara Karkhane Ltd, Haveri, Government are pleased to nominate Sri M. Eraiah,

Assistant Commissioner, Haveri, as Director on the Board of Directors of the above said Karkhana until farther order vice Shri T. S. Mallashettappa who is proceeding on leave.

Govesnment are also pleased to appoint Sri M. Eraiah Assistant Commissioner, Havire, as Managing Director of the Karnataka Sahakari Sakkare Karkhane Limited, Haveri, under its Bye-law No. 37 in addition to his own duties as Assistant Commissioner, Haveri, vice Sri T. S. Mallashettappa, Managing Director, Karnataka Sahakari Sakkare Karkhane Limited, Haveri, proceeding on commuted leave with effect from 10th June 1979 or from the date of availment.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department

1313—S. O. 1490

Notification No. RDC 74 CPS 79

Bangalore, dated 31st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Byelaw No. 15(1) (d) of the Central Arecanut Marketing and Processing Co-operative Ltd., Mangalore, Government are pleased to nominate Sri Sheernaly Chandrasekhar S. M. MLA., Shimoga, as Government nominee, on the Board of Directors of the said Society with immediate effect and until further orders, in place of the Registrar of Cooperative Societies in Karnataka, Bangalore, whose nomination made *vide* Government Notification No. RDC 48 CPS 77, dated 7th January 1978 is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR

I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1216—S.O. 1491

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 105 FTS 79

Bangalore, dated 4th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 50 and 102 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1969 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following rules further to amend the Karnataka Forest Rules, 1963, namely :—

1. **Short title and commencement** :—(1) These rules may called the Karnataka Forest (Second Amendment) Rules, 1979.

(2) It shall come into force at once.

2, **Amendment of rule 144** :—In the second proviso to rule 144 of the Karnataka Forest Rules, 1969, for the word "timber" in the two places where they occur the word "firewood" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1238—S.O. 1492

Notification No. FFD 226 FAF 78.
Bangalore, dated 13th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in schedule I below shall with effect from 1st August 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of rights specified in Schedule II.

SCHEDULE—I.

Name of the District	...	Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk	...	Puttur
Name of the Village	...	Ariadka and Volamogru Villages.
S.Nos.	...	206, 207/1, 205/1, 329/1, 330, 210/1, 212, 335/2F, 204/1D, 203/1 and 331/1A of Ariadka village and 207/1, 237/1 and 300/1 of Volamogru village.
Name of the Block	...	Ariadka Block I
Area of the Village	...	164-75 acres.

Boundary Description

North :—Starting from the station No. 12, a point situated at the newly constructed cairn No. 8, the demarcation line of the proposed block runs in south east direction to station No. 13, a distance of 310 links. Thence it runs in north east direction near Darbeda Moole a distance of 460 links to reach the station 15, passing through the intermediate station 14. From there it runs in northern direction to station No. 16, a distance of 335 links. Again it runs in north east direction to station 17, a distance of 300 links. From there it runs in almost southern direction a distance of 640 links to reach the station No. 19, passing through the intermediate station 18. From there it runs in south east direction a distance of 610 links to reach the station No. 21, passing through the intermediate station 20. Thence it runs in eastern direction to station No. 22 a distance of 430 links. There from the line runs in almost north east direction near 'Mudalada Moole', a distance of 1018 links to reach the station No. 26, passing through the intermediate stations 23 to 25. From

there it runs in almost north west direction a distance of 913 links to reach the station No. 29, passing through the intermediate stations 27 and 28. Again it runs in almost north east direction a distance of 556 links to reach the station No. 31, passing through the intermediate station 30. Thence it runs in almost south east direction a distance of 1631 links to reach the station No. 36 passing through the intermediate stations 32 to 35. From there it runs in almost north east direction near 'Thareda Moole' a distance of 1123 links to reach the station No. 40, passing through the intermediate stations 37 to 39. From there it runs in north west direction a distance of 605 links to reach the station No. 42, passing through the intermediate station 41. Again it runs in almost north east direction a distance of 678 links to reach the station No. 44 passing through the intermediate station 43. From there it runs in almost south east direction a distance of 470 links to reach the station No. 46, passing through the intermediate station 45. Thence it runs in southern direction to station No 47, a distance of 228 links, Again it runs in almost north east direction a distance of 704 links to reach the station No 49, passing through the intermediate station 48. From there it runs in almost south east direction near 'Shanthivana' a distance of 530 links to reach the station No. 51, passing through the intermediate station 50. From there it runs in southern direction to station No 52, a distance of 254 links. Again it runs in south east direction to station No. 53, a distance of 315 links. Therefrom the line runs in almost north east direction a distance of 973 links to reach the station No. 56 passing through the intermediate stations 54 and 55.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in In F. B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
12	13	153	310
13	14	79	240
14	15	81	220
15	16	5½	335
16	17	76	300
17	18	174½	290
18	19	182	350
19	20	146	290
20	21	153½	320
21	22	88½	430
22	23	42½	390
23	24	60	135
24	25	67	176

1	2	3	4
25	26	77	317
26	27	329	278
27	28	331	330
28	29	348½	305
29	30	75	340
30	31	37½	216
31	32	155	296
32	33	170	337
33	34	164	424
34	35	132½	265
35	36	122	309
36	37	62½	168
37	38	72	218
38	39	20½	350
39	40	15	387
40	41	33¼	390
41	42	325	215
42	43	44	250
43	44	65⅓	428
44	45	163½	200
45	46	154½	270
46	47	177	228
47	48	74½	322
48	49	33⅓	382
49	50	102	195
50	51	153½	385
51	52	188½	254
52	53	162½	315
53	54	48	475
54	55	65	208
55	56	86	290

East :—Thence the demarcation line runs in almost south west direction near 'Bairaradka' a distance of 675 links to reach the station No. 59, passing through the intermediate stations 57 and 58. From there it runs in almost south east direction a distance of 1210 links to reach the station No. 63, passing through the intermediate stations 60 to 62. Thence it runs in southern direction to station No. 64 a distance of 250 links Thence it runs in south west direction a distance of 765 links to reach the station No. 66, passing through the intermediate station 65. From there it runs in north west direction a distance of 460 links to reach the station No. 68, passing through the intermediate station 67. Again it runs south west direction to station No. 69, a distance of 295 links. Thence it runs in western direction near 'Kuthyadi' to station No. 70, a distance of

400 links. Again it runs in north west direction to station No. 71, a distance of 355 links. Thence it runs in almost north east direction a distance of 628 links to reach the station No. 73, passing through the intermediate station 72. From there it runs in south west direction a distance of 925 links to reach the station No. 75, passing through the intermediate station 74 From there it runs in almost southern direction a distance of 570 links to reach the station No. 77 passing through the intermediate station No. 76. Thence it runs in south east direction to station No. 80 a distance of 642 links passing through the intermediate stations 78 and 79. Again it runs in southern direction to station No 81 a distance of 262 links. From there it runs south west direction to station No. 82, a distance 505 links. From there it runs south west direction a distance of 595 links to reach the station No. 84, passing through the intermediate station 83. Thence it runs in almost northern direction a distance of 490 links to reach the station No 86 passing through the intermediate station 85 Thence it runs in western direction to station No. 87, a distance of 355 links. From there it runs south west direction a distance of 458 links to reach the station No. 89, passing through the intermediate station 88. From there it runs in southern direction to station No 90 a distance of 270 links. From there it runs in south east direction to station No. 91, a distance of 335 links. Again it runs in southern direction near 'Mailakochi' to station No. 92, a distance of 320 links. From there it runs in north west direction a distance of 975 links to reach the station No, 95, passing through the intermediate stations 93 and 94. Thence it runs in almost northern direction a distance of 533 links to reach the station No. 97, passing through the intermediate station 96. Again it runs in north west direction to station No 98, a distance of 376 links. From there it runs in south west direction to station No. 99 a distance of 246 links. From there it runs in almost southern direction a distance of 696 links to reach the station No. 102, passing through the intermediate stations 100 and 101. Therefrom it runs in south west direction a distance of 335 links to reach the station No 104, passing through the intermediate station 103 Thence it runs in western direction to station No. 105, a distance of 330 links. Therefrom the line runs in almost north west direction a distance of 1079 links to reach the station No. 108, passing through the intermediate stations 106 and 107. From there it runs in south west direction to station No. 109, a distance of 265 links. Thence it runs in almost southern direction to station No. 110, a distance of 345 links. From there it runs in western direction to station No. 111, a distance of 165 links. From there it runs in north west direction to station No. 112, a distance of 457 links. Again it runs in almost south west direction a distance of 747 links to reach the station No. 114, passing through the intermediate station 113. Thence it runs in north west direction a distance of 575 links to reach the station No. 117, passing through

the intermediate stations 115 and 116. Thence it runs in north east direction to station No. 118, a distance of 285 links. Again it runs in almost north west direction to station No, 119, a distance of 486 links. From there it runs in southern direction a distance of 734 links to reach the station No. 121, passing through the intermediate station 120. Therefrom the line runs in almost south east direction near 'Baira Moole' a distance of 1412 links to reach the station No. 127, passing through the intermediate station 126. Again it runs in south east direction a distance of 526 links to reach the station No. 129, passing through the intermediate station 128 Again it runs in almost north east direction a distance of 993 links to reach the station No. 133, passing through the intermediate stations 130 to 132. Thence it runs in eastern direction to station No. 134, a distance of 290 links. Thence it runs in south east direction to station No. 135, a distance of 206 links. Thence it runs in almost southern direction to station No. 136, a distance of 420 links. Again it runs in south east direction to station No. 137, a distance of 260 links.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in F.B.	Distances in links
1	2	3	4
56	57	189	225
57	58	198½	260
58	59	206½	190
59	60	166	290
60	61	102½	320
61	62	113	355
62	63	128½	245
63	64	174½	250
64	65	235	350
65	66	229½	415
66	67	293	200
67	68	311	260
68	69	209½	295
69	70	276	400
70	71	320½	355
71	72	19½	250
72	73	11½	378
73	74	254½	450
74	75	262½	475
75	76	180½	340
76	77	192½	230
77	78	152½	235

1	2	3	4
78	79	172½	165
79	80	161	242
80	81	191½	262
81	82	247½	505
82	83	323½	380
83	84	334	215
84	85	8½	265
85	86	18	225
86	87	277½	385
87	88	224½	170
88	89	224½	288
89	90	194½	370
90	91	154	335
91	92	185	320
92	93	317	500
93	94	321½	200
94	95	39½	275
95	96	10½	253
96	97	20½	280
97	98	300½	376
98	99	211½	246
99	100	195½	206
100	101	190	205
101	102	182	285
102	103	235	150
103	104	240½	185
104	105	270½	330
105	106	311½	404
106	107	346½	300
107	108	349	375
108	109	230	265
109	110	189	345
110	111	273	165
111	112	306	457
112	113	194	487
113	114	230	260
114	115	330	170
115	116	335	205
116	117	337	200
117	118	35½	285
118	119	284½	486
119	120	183½	330
120	121	180½	404
121	122	144½	395
122	123	138½	200

1	2	3	4
123	124	123	492
124	125	113½	325
125	126	69	260
126	127	83	130
127	128	104½	206
128	129	110½	320
129	130	55½	190
130	131	63½	130
131	132	42	425
132	133	77½	248
133	134	96	290
134	135	136	206
135	136	173	420
136	137	157½	260

South :— Thence the demarcation line runs in south west direction to station No. 138 a distance of 380 links. From there it runs in north west direction to station No. 139, a distance of 310 links. Thence it runs in almost southern direction to station No. 140, a distance of 245 links. Thence it runs in south east direction to station No. 141, a distance of 250 links. Again it runs in southern direction to station No. 142, a distance of 285 links. Thence it runs in south west direction to station No. 143, a distance of 640 links. Therefrom the line runs in almost north west direction a distance of 3352 links to reach the station No. 152, passing through the intermediate stations 144 to 151.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in F.B.	Distances in links
1	2	3	4
137	138	249½	380
138	139	284½	318
139	140	191½	245
140	141	129½	250
141	142	185	285
142	143	226½	640
143	144	287½	340
144	145	347½	525
145	146	306	365
146	147	343½	545
147	148	279	325

1	2	3.	4
148	149	282	232
149	150	285½	250
150	151	267	360
151	152	285½	410

West :—Thence the demarcation line continues in almost north west direction to station No. 155 at a total distance of 1045 links passing through the intermediate station No 153 and 154. From there the line runs in almost northern direction to station No 5 a distance of 810 links. Thence the line runs north east direction to station No 6 a distance of 440 links. Thence it runs in north west direction to station No. 7, a distance of 380 links. Therefrom the line runs in almost north east direction near Darbethadka a distance of 1324 links passing through the intermediate stations 8 to 11 to reach the station No. 12 which is the starting point.

Station Numbers From	to	Bearings in F B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
152	153	351½	335
153	154	332½	250
154	155	312½	460
155	5	3	810
5	6	64	440
6	7	345½	380
7	8	77½	322
8	9	40½	232
9	10	37	180
10	11	56½	290
11	12	57½	300

SCHEDULE—II

Right of Ways :—

1. A Cart track from Darbethadka enters block between cairn Nos. 1 and 2 and comes out between cairn Nos. 109 and 110 and goes to 'Baira Moole'.

2. A foot path enters the block between cairn No. 138 and 139 and joins the above cart track from Darbethadka inside the block which comes out between cairn Nos. 109 and 110 and which goes to Baira Moole.

3. Another footpath from 'Shanthivana' enters the block between cairn Nos. 45 and 46 gets bifurcated inside the block one of which joins inside the block. The cart track coming from Darbethadka and which goes to 'Baira Moole' after coming out between cairn Nos. 109 and 110, Another branch comes out between cairn Nos. 68 and 69 and goes to kuthyadi.

4. One more foot path enters the block between cairn Nos. 134 and 135 and comes out between cairn Nos. 113 and 114 and goes to 'Baira Moole'.

Statement of existing water rights inside the block:
Ariadka Block I to the ryots surrounding the block:

Sl. No.	Nature of water	Cairn Nos between which the water runs	S No. of the plot being irrigated from the water	H.No. & name of person using the water	Remarks
1	Tank with channel	30–31	218/4 of Volamogru	Spl. 27 of Chaniya Naika	
2	Tank with channel	31–32	do	do	
3	Tank with channel		300/3 of Volamogru	Spl. 9 of Sheshappa M.	
4	Water course	78-79	329/2 of Ariadka	17 P. Krishna Bhatta	
5	Tank with channel	10–1–102	206 (Portion) of Ariadka (Encroached Plot)		

Other Privilages :

a. Free grazing of cattle by villagers.
b. Free removal of green manure leaves for *bona fide* use by ryots
c. Free removal of dry fuel for *bona fide* use by villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO.
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1296—S.O. 1493

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 2 ETC 78.
Bangalore, dated 15th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (4) of Section 4 of the India Census Act (Central Act XXXVII of 1948), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to delegate the powers of appointing Census Officers under sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the said Act to the following authorities within the jurisdictions mentioned against each :

1. Deputy Commissioners of Districts, throughout the District excluding the area, if any, included in the jurisdiction of authorities mentioned in serial numbers 2 to 12 both inclusive ;
2. Commissioner, Bangalore City Corporations within the limits of the Corporation of the City of Bangalore.
3. Commissioner, Hubli-Dharwad Corporation within the limits of Hubli-Dharwad Corporation ;
4. Commissioner, Mysore City Corporation within the limits of Mysore City Corporation ;
5. Commissioner, Mangalore City Municipality within the limits of the Mangalore City Municipality ;
6. Commissioner, Belgaum City Corporation within the limits of Belgaum City Corporation ;
7. Commissioner, Bellary City Municipality within the limits of Bellary City Municipality ;
8. Commissioner, Bijapur City Municipality within the limits of Bijapur City Municipality ;
9. Commissioner Davanagere City Municipality within the limits of Davanagere City Municipality ;
10. Commissioner, Gulbarga City Municipality within the limits of Gulbarga City Municipality ;
11. Commissioner, Shimoga City Municipality within the limits of Shimoga City Municipality ;
12. Chairman, Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore within the limits of the area included in the jurisdiction of the Bangalore Development Authority excluding the area of the Corporation of the City of Bangalore.

In pursuance of sub-section (3) of Section 4 of the said Act, the Government of Karnataka are also pleased to authorise the authorities specified above and the subordinate officers duly authorised by them to sign the declarations in writing under the said sub-section.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

R. A. NAIK,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1322—S. O. 1499

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 167 PEC 79.

Bangalore, dated .....

In exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (S) of Section 2 of the Code of the Criminal Procedure, 1973 (Central Act 2 of 1974), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette, the areas and places mentioned in Column 3 of the Schedule below shall cease to be within the jurisdiction of the Police Station mentioned in Column 5 thereof and the said areas shall be included within the jurisdiction of the Police Station mentioned in column 4 thereof.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of the District Police/ Sub-Division Police Station	Name of the Area and Places	Name of the Police Station in which the Areas and Places mentioned in Col. 3 shall be included.	Name of Police Station from which the Areas and Places mentioned in Column shall cease to be within its jurisdiction
1	2	3	4	5
1	Bangalore City Malleswaram Division Rajajinagar Sub-Dvn.	Rajajinagar Police Station comprising of Mariyappanapalya, Prakashnagar, 4th "N" Block, Rajajin gar II, III and IV Blocks a part of V Block Shivanahally, Sanneguruvanahally, Kurubarahally, Manjunathanagar, Indira Huts, Kamalanagar Huts and West of Chord Road II and III Stage.	Rajajinagar Police Station	

BOUNDARIES

East: Area lying to the West of Drainages commencing from Harishchandra Ghat Circle running to the South upto Rajajinagar Entrance Road near Sujatha Talkies Excluding the drainage.

West:—The area lying to the East of Village boundary of Kamakshipal a in Vijayanagar P.S. Limits.

North:—The area lying to the South of K.B.G. Road commencing from Harishchandra Ghat drainage point to L. I. C. colony and Thippagondanahally Pipe Line upto 42nd bridge excluding the road from Harishchandra Ghat to West of Chord Road and inclusive of further Road upto District Border.

South:—The area lying to the North of 80' Road commencing from the drainage point near Sujatha Talkies on the Rajajinagar Entrance upto Bashyam Circle and

1	2	3	4	5
		on the Chord Road on the Northern side of National High School continued upto Vijayanagar Police Station Limits near Kamakshipalya i. e., the road on the northern side of Dasarahally Tank including the Road.		
2	Bangalore City Malleswaram Division, Rajajinagar Sub-Division	Subramanyanagar Police Station comprising of a part of Mariyappanapalya to the North of K. B. G. Road, Subramanyanagar, Milk Colony, Gayithrinagar, Rajajinagar II Stage, Ist "N" Block, 1st "R" Block, Hutchappanapalya, Maruthinagar and Nagappa Block. The following factories :—Kirloskar Electric Ltd., Guest Ken Williams, Mysore Export Ltd. P. S. D. Stores and School Vidyavardhaka High School, St. Pauls School, Veena Teachers Eduoation Society, Vivekananda College, Vidyavaradhaka Junior College, National English School and Corporation High School are also included.	Subramanyanagar Police Station	Rajajinagar P.S. Srirampuram P.S.

BOUNDARIES

East :—The area lying to the West of the Railway Line from the over Bridge on Malleswaram and Navarang Road (K. V. G. Road) towards north upto Yeshwantapur Railway under-Bridge on Tumkur Road near Kirloskar Factory

West :—Chord Road commencing from the Traiangular junction of Bangalore Tumkur Road upto the junction of K.F.G Road inclusive of the Road.

North :—Area lying to the South of Tumkur Road from Railway over-Bridge near Kirloskar Factory upto Traiangular junction where West of Chord Road Yeswanthapur and Tumkur Roads meets, leaving the Road.

South : Area lying to the North of KBG Road from Railway over-Bridge near Nagappa Block upto the junction where K. B. G. Road meets West of Chord Road including the Road.

1	2	3	4	5
3	Bangalore City Malleswaram Division, Malleswaram Sub-Division.	Redefined boundaries of Srirampuram Police Station. BOUNDARIES East : Area lying to the West of Railway Line commencing from the over-Bridge on Malleswaram and K. B. G Road running towards Platform Road upto Rajajinagar Entrance along the old narrow gauge line, including the Krishna Floor Mills, Jakkarayana Kere, Protestant Church, Refind Oil Mill, Part of Railway Quarters upto Railway under bridge. West : The area lying to the East of Drainage commencing from Harishchandra Ghat Circle running towards South upto Sujatha Talkies including the drainage North : The area lying to the South of K.B.G. Road commencing from the Railway over Bridge from Malleswaram to Navrang upto Harishchandra Ghat Drainage excluding the Road.	Srirampuram Police Station	

South: The area lying to the North of Rajajinagar Entrance road commencing from Platform Road upto drainage near Sujatha Talkies including the road.

4	Bangalore City Malleswaram Division, Malleswaram Sub-Division.	Sadashivanagar Police Station comprising of Sankey Tank, Rajamahal vilas Extention, Upper Palace Orchard, Sadashivanagar, Institute of Sir C. V. Raman, Central Research Power Station, Tata Institute of Science its allied buildings.	Sadashivanagar Police Station	Vaiyalikawal Police Station

BOUNDARIES

North: Tumkur Road from Mekhri Circle to the junction of Gokul Road near Central Power Research Institute and all along the Compound upto the Main gate of M. S. Ramaiah Engineering College and on to B.E.L. Road joining the Tumkur Road.

South: Sankey Road from the junction of Cauvery theatre Circle on Bellary Road upto B.E.L. Cross Road.

East: Bellary Road from Cauvery Circle to Mekhri Circle including Road and footpath.

West: B.E L. Road from Tumkur Road upto Ramaiah Engineering college Main Gate.

1	2	3	4	5
5	Bangalore City Seshadripuram Dn., Seshadripuram Sub-Division.	The Vaiyalikaval Police Station comprises of lower Palace Orchard, Vyalikawal Ist Main Road, IInd Main Road, Venkatarangapuram, Palace Guttahalli, Muneshwara block, Nagappa Street, Palace Guttahally Main road, Hulluchatra, rightside of pipeline, Kodandarampura, right side of the pipeline, Kodandarampura, Mallikarjuna pura Temple Street, Swimming pool Extension, Gayathri Devi Park extension, Dattatreya Temple street. BOUNDARIES North :—Bellary road starting from Palace lodge Circle upto Cauvery Theatre Circle on Sankey road, Sankey road from Cauvery theatre circle upto the junction of temple road on Sankey road near 18th Cross, Malleswaram. South :—Seshadripuram Main Road from the pipeline cross upto P.G. hally Circle including Road.	Vaiyalikawal Police Station	Vaiyalikawal Police Station

East :—The Road from P. G Hally Circle upto the junction of Serpentine road from there Serpentine road upto the junction of Bellary road near Palace Lodge including road.

West :—Pipeline from Seshadripuram Main Road upto 8th cross Malleswaram and onto the temple road upto the road joining including roads.

Kengerigate Police Station.

6	Bangalore District, Kengeri Gate Division, Kengeri Gate Sub-Division	Areas comprising of Bangalore city Railway Station road, B. G. Quarters, Thotadappa Choultry, Dr. T. C. M. Royan Road, Indian Oil Corporation, Binny Mill, Binny Tank Road, Anjanappa Garden, Siddarthanagar CAR Head Quarters, part of Old Pension Mohalla, Bakshigarden, Jolly Mohalla upto Cart Stand Road, A S. Char Street Manavarthipet, Akkipet, Sowrashtrapet, Cottonpet Main Road, Gowdanpet and Kurubarapet, O. T. C. Road, K. K. Lane, P. S. Lane, Mastan Sab Darga upto Chikkalalbagh Park.	Kengeri Gate Police Station	Jolly Mohalla, Cart stand road Basith Sarai, N. T. Pet, Bar Lane, Briand square and Ashoor khan Police Quarters and Veterinary Hospital are detached fr m Kengerigate P S. attached to N. T. pet Police Station Chickpet main road, Santhusapet P. S. Lane, A S. char street from

1	2	3	4	5
				B. V. K Iyengar road junction upto Sulthanpet main road and K. V. Temple are detached from Kengeri gate P. S. and attached to Chickpet P. S.

The following areas and boundaries :

East :—Commencing from Jolly Mohalla, Ramaiah Lane Junction on to A. S. Char Street Road (Road inclusive) upto Sulthanpet main road junction on to Sulthanpet Circle (Sulthanpet main road inclusive) and on to K. V. Temple street road upto Corporation Ward Office. Sourashtrapet, and on to O T C. 1st Cross road behind K. V. temple (inclusive 1st Cross road upto O. T. C. road inclusive).

North :—Commencing from Akkipet main road and O. T C. road junction and on to Chikkalalbagh road upto Chikkalalbagh Park com-

pound (Chikkalalbagh road and Park exclusive) and upto Tank Bund Road (Road Exclusive) and on to City Railway Station road upto Khody Circle (road inclusive) and B. G. Quarters.

West :—Commencing from Subbaiah Setty Choultry and on to Goods Shed Road (Exclusive Goods Shed) and on to Arasunagar and Indian Oil Corporation upto Railway over bridge on Binny Tank Bund road and on to Binny Tank Bund road upto Sirsi Circle (Binny Tank Bund road inclusive and Sirsi Circle Exclusive).

South :—Commencing from Jolly Mohalla, Ramaiah Lane junction on A. S Char street and on to Cart Stand road upto Cottonpet main road (Cart Stand road exclusive) and on to Bar lane upto Dr. T. C. M. Rayon road (Bar lane road exclusive) and on to Anjanappa Garden main road and on to 1st Cross Old Pension Mohalla on to Mysore road (Veterinary Hospital, Ashoor Khana and Brian! Square Police Quarters exclusive) and on to Mysore road upto Sirsi Circle (Mysore road exclusive).

1	2	3	4	5
7	Bangalore District Kengerigate Division, Byatarayanapura Sub-Division,	*Kengeri Police Station* :—Areas comprising of Kengeri, Kengeri Sctellite Town, Settypalya, Dubasipalya, Baba Sabarapalya, Valagerahally, Channaswandra, Gankal, Gattigere, Mylasandra, Pattangere. North :—Commencing from Dubasipalya, Vaderahally and on to Kengeri upto the limits of Bidadi Police Station Limits, Banglore District. East :—Commencing from University Campus of Jnanabharathi Police Station Limits and on to Railway level cross gate near Jairamdas Udyog Factory (Jairamadas Udyog Factory exclusive) and on to Pattangere village upto Byatarayanapura and Arohalli Police Station limits. South :—Commencing from Settypalya—bordering Bidadi Police station limits, Bangalore District. West :—Commencing from Dhubasipalya and upto the limits of Bidadi Police Station, Bangalore District. *Note* :—In this P. S. Limits the road runs from 7th mile stone (Vrishabavathi	Kengeri Police Station	Byatarayanapura Police Station

		Sewerage Water Drainage Bridge) on Bangalore–Mysore road upto 11th Mile, 5th Flgs. towards Mysore.	
8.	Bangalore District, Kengerigate Division, Byatarayanapura Sub-Division.	Byatarayanapura Police Station ; Areas comprising of Anchepalya @ Karithimmanahally, Timber Lay-out, New Guddadahally, Byatarayanapura, Iyannasetty lay-out, Bapujinagar, Deepanjalinagar Devitigeramanahally, Atthiguppe, part of R. P. C. lay-out, Pantharapalya, Nayandahally, Avalashally and Hosakerehally Kenchanahally and Halagevaderahally. North : Commencing from the Railway level cross gate of Vijayanagar Police Station boundary and on to water supply pipe line road (including pipe line road) upto Mysore road and onto old toll-gate (including Mysore road) and onto Anchepalya @ Karithimmanahally upto the border of Chamarajapet Police Station. East ; Boundaries of Avalahally upto the border of Hanumanthanagar Police Station.	Byatarayanapura Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		South: Boundaries of Hosakerehally and onto the boundaries of Pattanagere village and upto the border of Hanumanthanagar and Kengeri Police Stations limits. West: R.P.C. Lay-out and onto Nayandahally Pantharapalya upto the boundaries of Pattanagere village and upto the border of Kengeri and Jnanabharathi Police Station Limits		
9.	Bangalore City, Central Division, Central Sub-Division.	Areas comprising of Victoria Hospital, Vanivilas Hospital, Minto Hospital, Dental College Bangalore Medical College, Port Area i. e., Tippu Palace, Makkala Koota, Sanjaya Talkies, V. V. Puram College (Law) Parwathipuram, Viveeswarapuram. East :— City Market Circle to Bangalore Medical College Circle, K. R. Road including road turn left on A V. Road turn right on F. Street to old Dispensary road, turn right to Lalbagh Fort road, turn left on Lalbagh fort road to Basappa Circle Minearva Circle, turn right on R. V. Road till	Central Police Station	Central Police Station

Lalbagh West-gate From Bangalore Medical college circle to Lalbagh West-gate, exclusive of the road.

South :— Lalbagh West gate to Vanivilas circle on Vanivilas road, exclusive of the road, turn right on K. R. Road till Irwin Circle including road, turn left on Lalbagh fort road to Makkala koota circle on to II cross, Chamarajpet on Puttannachetty road (Chamarajpet V Main) exclusive of the road.

West.— II cross Chamarajpet from Puttannachetty road (V Main Chamarajpet) to Royan Circle exclusive of the road, turn right on A. V. road to Minto Hospital circle, inclusive of the road, turn left on II main N. T. Pet turn right to I main N. T. Pet, turn left on I main N. T. Pet to Mysore road, inclusive of roads from Minto Hospital Circle.

North.—Mysore road from 1st main N. T. Pet cross to City market Circle inclusive of the road.

1	2	3	4	5
10	Bangalore City. Central Division Central Sub-Dn.	Shankarpuram Police, Station: Area comprising of City Institute, Mahilla Seva Samaja National High School and College, Pampamahakavi road, Chikkanna Garden, Shankarmutt, Shankarmutt road, Uttaradimutt road, Karnik road, Shankarpark, Rangarao road. North :—Irwin circle to Puttannachetty road and Bazar street junction including Road. West :—Puttannachetty road and Bazar Street junction to Anantharam Circle on Bull Temple road including Road. South :—Anantharaman Circle to Vani Vilas Circle, North of North road (Vanivilas Road). East :—Vani Vilas Circle to Irwin Circle excluding the Road.	Shankarpuram Police Station	Central Police Station
11	Bangalore City, Central Division Central Sub-Dn.	Areas comprising of New Tharagupet St. Joseph's High School, Church, Mary's Hospital, Seethapathi Agrahara, Convent, Babalane, Veterinary Hospital, Briand Square, Police Quarters, Part of Jolly Mohalla, Basith Sarai. East :—A S. Char Street from Ramaiah lane cross to Mysore Road, exclusive of the road, turn right	New Tharagupet Police Station	Kengerigate and Chamarajpet Police Station

on Mysore Road till 1st Cross, N. T. Pet including the road, turn left on 1st Main, N. T. Pet, turn right to IInd Main Road N. T. Pet till Minto Hospital Circle including the roads.

South:—Minto hospital circle to Royan Circle on Albert Victor Road, Royan Circle to Chamundi Slums on 1st Main Road, Chamarajpet, exclusive of the road.

West :—Chamundi slums commencing from 1st Main, Chamarajpet to Mysore Road between Anandapura and Babalane, turn right on Mysore Road till old pension Mohalla Road, including the road, Old Pension Mohalla road from Mysore road to Anjanappa Garden Main Road, including the road.

North:—Anjanappa garden Main road, commencing from Old pension Mohalla road to Dr. T. C. M. Royan Road, exclusive of road. From Dr. TCM Royan road to Cottonpet Main road on Bar line excluding the road, turn left on Cottonpet Main Road to cart stand road cross, excluding the

1	2	3	4	5
		road, turn right on cart stand road to Ramaiah lane and on Ramaiah lane to A S. Char street excluding the road.		
2.	Bangalore City Central Dvn., Chamarajapet Sub-Dvn.	Areas comprising of Ist Main to V Main Chamarajpet Commencing from IInd Cross, Chamarajapet, Anandapuram, Tippunagar, Mohammadan Block, Azad nagar, Valmikinagar. East : From Mysore Road to Chamundi slums cross Road on 1st Main Chamarajpet between Bablne and Anandapura, turn left on 1st Main Chamarajpet to Royan Circle, including the road, turn right on IInd Cross Chamarajpet to puttannachetty Road (V Main Chamarajapet) including the road. South: Puttannachetty road (V Main Chamarajpet from IInd Cross, Chamarajpet to T. R. Mills Circle on the Vittal Nagar Extension (Exclusive of the Road) Vittalnagar extension to Karithimmanahalli Road including the Road. West : Karithimanahalli Road from Vittal Nagar Extension Road to Mysore road including the road. North: Mysore road from Karithimanahalli cross road to old Pension Mohalla road via Sirsi Circle inclusive of the Road.	Chamarajpet P.S.	Chamarajpet P.S.

Bangalore City Basavanagudi Dn. Jayanagar Sub-Division	The area comprising of the ten villages which was formerly under the jurisdiction of Subramanyapura Police out Post viz., Gowdanapalya, Chikka-kalasandra, Ittamadagu, Uttarahalli, Arehalli, Vaddarapalya (near Arohalli), Kodipalya, Subramanyapuram, Vasanthapura, Bikasipura plus the five villages, Viz., Doddakallasandra, Konanakunte, Chunchagatta, Kothanur and Voddarapalya (Near Kothanur) originally under the jurisdiction of the Jayanagar Police Station, BOUNDARIES East : Alahalli and Cottikere villages in the Jurisdiction of Harohalli Police Station of Bangalore District abating Voddarapalya and Kothanur villages. West : Turahalli village in the Limits of Harohalli P S. of Bangalore District. Abating Arohalli Village. South : Gubbalala village in Talagatta O. P. limits of Bangalore District, abating Doddakallasandra village. North : Bounded by the jurisdictions of Banashankari Police Station and Jayanagar Police Stations.	Subramanyapura P.S.	Jayanagar P.S. (the last 5 villages mentioned under Col No. 3)
4. Bangalore City Ulsoorgate Division, Ulsoorgate Sub-Dvn.	Ulsoorgate P. S. comprising of Corporation Offices, Hudson Circle, Police Corner, Nrupathunga Road, All Offices on their side of the Road, K. R. Circle, Old Post	Ulsoorgate Police Station.	Silverjubilee Park Police Station.

2	3	4	5
	Office, Road, Office of the Director of Collegiate Education (excluding the road from Kantharaj Urs Circle to K. R. Circle) Hind Compound wall of Central College (excluding Palace Road) Mysore Bank Chowk. Cauvery buildings, D. C. Office, District Court complex, D. C. Office Road, Cubbonpet, Sunkalpet, upto Avenue Road (excluding Avenue Road) Chowdeswari Temple Street, Nagarthpet, Dharmaraya-swamy Temple, Tigalarpet, Gollarpet, Ganigarpet, Medarpet, Kumbarpet, Basava-raja Market, Old Kassi Road (including the Rood) Sadarapatrappa lane (excluding the Road) and includes the Buildings on the northern side) O. T. C. Road from Corporation signal lights upto the junction of Sunkalapet and Kumbargundi Road.		
	BOUNDARIES.		
	North.—Mysore Bank Chowk, Palace Road (excluding the Road), Kantharaj Circle, Sheshadri Road excluding the Road) K. R. Circle. South.—Corporation Offices (excluding the New Mission Road, including Corporation Signal light Square).		

		East.—Century Club, Hudson Circle (excluding complete Cubbon Park area). West.—O. T. C. Road and on Sadarapatrappa road (excluding S. P. Road), Old Kasai Road, Chowdeswari Temple street and on to Avenue Road upto Mysore Bank Chowk (excluding Avenue Road (including the buildings on the Eastern side of Avenue Road.		
15.	Bangalore City Ulsoorgate Division Ulsoorgate Sub-Division.	Sampangiramanagara Police Station comprising of entire Sampangiramanagar, Naragappa garden, Kanteerava Stadium, Rajaram Mohan Roy road, Upto Richmond Circle, Lalbagh road from Richmond Circle, Subbaiah Circle and upto 4th Cross, Lalbagh Road, Devanga Sangha Hostal Road, Devanga Sanga, Mithralaya girls High School all along the rear compound of corporation Offices, Kasturba Road from Hudson Circle to T. Siddalingiah Circle.	Sampangiramanagar P. S.	Ulsoorgate Police Station.
		BOUNDARIES		
16	Ashok Nagar Sub-Division.	C. K. C. Garden, Kadar Shariff Garden Srineevasa Colony, Rajaram Mohan Roy Extension,	Sampangirama nagar P. S.	Wilsongarden P.S

1	2	3	4	
		North :—Kasturba Road from Hudson Circle upto T. Sidd lingaiah Circle Link Road between T. Siddalingaiph Circle and Rajaram Mohan Roy Triangle. South :—Lalbagh Road Fourth Cross (excluding the road,) East :—Rajaram Mohan Roy Triangle upto Richmond circle (excluding Circle), Lalbagh Road from Richmond Circle upto K H. Road junction. West :—Hind Compound wall of the Corporation Offices.		
17.	Bangalore City Ulsoorgate Dn. Ulsoorgate Sub-Dn.	Silver Jubilee Park P. S. Comprises of new Mission Compound Unity Buildings, Town Hall, Kalakshetra, Canara Bank, LIC Buildings. Dasappa Hospital, portion of Tigalarapet. S. P. Lane Gowdanpet Silver Jubilee Park Road and Park.	Silver Jubilee Park Police Station	Existing
18.	Chickpet Dn. Market Sub-Dn.	Old Mission Road, Shivaj huts, Nala Shivaji Talkies JCW Road, from Shivaji Talkies upto the Nala, Kumbargundi Road, Sweeper Colony, I Cross N. K. Ext. Road, Slaughter house road, N. R. Road, upto Naz Talkies, Mysugar Building.	-do-	Kalasipalyam Police Station

E, JU E 28, 1979 P r 2C ii

P T 2C ii R AT GA , J E , 1 /9 1519

		BOUNDARIES North : Kumbargundi Road from Nagarathpet Jn. S. P. Lane Godown street-Malavalli Rama Rao Lane Silverjubilee Park-N. R. Road, from Town Hall Circle upto Naz Talkies. South : Corporation Kola from 4th Cross lalbag Road upto J.C.W. Road. East : New mission Road from Corporation signal light upto Subbaiah Circle including the Road. West : Slaughter house Road from Naz Talkies upto I cross N. K. Ext. excluding the road I Cross. N. K. Ext. from Slaughter house Road upto Kumbargundi Road (excluding) the Road Kumbargundi road upto the Cross road which leads to petrol pump on J. C. Road.		
19.	Bangalore City Chickpet Division Market Sub-Division	Kalasipalyam Police Station Comprises of Basappa Circle, Dispensary Road, F Street, Albert Victor Road upto Medical College Circle, Albert Victor Road Mariswamy Mutt Road upto Bharath Talkies, Journalist Colony, J. C. Road from Godrej and Commission General Agency Building upto Minerva Circle Lalbagh Fort Road. Upto Dispensary Road Cross, Mavalli,	Kalasipalyam Police Station	Central Police Station Silver Jubilee Park Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		Upparahally, Susheela Road, Krumbigal Road, upto R.V. Road Circle R.V. Road upto Minerva Circle Mothinagar Kalasipalyam New Extension Lalbagh Road, H. Siddaiah Road, Urvashi Talkies, Cement Huts, Fire Works Colony, Vinobanagar, South of Doddanala (Excluding Nala) Bharath Talkies, Kalasipalyam Main Road, Bomboo Bazar, B. Oosaman Khan Road, Kalasipalyam Bus Stand Apsara Talkies, N. R. Road, upto Naz Talkies Armugam Modaliyar Street.		

BOUNDARIES

East : Lalbagh Road from Lalbagh Circle upto Doddanala near Fire Works Colony.

South East : From Lalbagh Main gate up to R. V. Circle *via* Krumbigal Road, including Road.

South : R.V. Circle (Rashtreya Vidyalaya Circle).

South West : From R. V. Circle *via* R.V. Road, Minerva Circle Leading towards Basappa Circle, on Lalbagh Fort Road up to Dispensary Road Cross.

		West : Starting from Lalbagh Fort Road, Dispensary Road F. Street upto Albert Victor Road. North West : K. R. Road from Medical College Circle upto City Market Circle. North : Narasimharaja Road from City Market Circle upto Naz Talkies, including of N. R. Road. Nortn East : Slaughter house road from Naz Talkies up to Doddanala Culvert Leading towards Just by the side of S. J. Park PS., *via* Kumbargundi Road upto Dhondusa Choultry, then towards each upto Iyangar Tyre Shop joining J. C. Road then *via* Doddanala upto Lalbagh Road Culvert (Through out the route Doddanala is excluded).		
20	1 Bangalore City Chickpet Division Chickpet Sub-Division, Chickpet Police Station.	Chickpet Police Station comprises of Chickpet Main Road, Avenue Road, B. V. K. Iyengar Road, A. S. R. Street, K. V. Temple Street, K.V. Temple, Santusapet and areas lying within the boundaries noted below; North :—Hospital Road commencing from Balepet Main Road to Avenue Road (Exclusive of Hospital Road).	Chickpet Police Station	Kengerigate Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		East :—Hospital Cross of Avenue Road, along with Avenue Road Jumma Masjid Road turn right on the Chowdeswari Temple Street (Exclusive of Jumma Masjid and Chowdeswari Temple Street) upto Old Taluk Cutchary Road (OTC Rd) Old Kasai road upto Mamoolpet Road (Exclusive of old Kasai road.)		
		South :—From the Junction of Mamoolpet cross an old Kasai Road upto the junction of A.S.R. Street (Exclusive of Mamoolpet Main Road, A.S R. Street upto junction of Sultanpet Main Road-Sultanpet Main Road upto the junction of K.V. Temple Street (Exclusive of Sultanpet road inclusive of A.S.R. Street).		
		West :—From K. V. Temple Street from the cross of Sultanpet road (inclusive of K. V. Temple Street) Balepet Cross, Balepet Main Road upto the junction of Hospital Road inclusive of Balepet Cross (exclusive of Balepet main road).		

21	Bangalore City Chickpet Division Chickpet Sub-Dn. Oopparpet Police Station.	Oopparpet Police Station comprises of Gandhinagar Subedar Chatram Road Extension, Central Jail, Home Science College, General Hostel, Law College, Mysore Bank and areas lying within the boundaries noted below. North:—Seshadri Road commencing from Junction of Railway Station Road and Seshadri Road upto Kantharaj Urs Circle (Exclusive of Seshadri Road, Railway Station Circle and Kantaraj Urs Circle). East :—From Kantharaj Urs Circle (Exclusive) Place Road (inclusive upto Mysore Bank Square, Avenue Road upto Hospital road Cross (Inclusive of Avenue Road). South:- Hospital Road commencing from Avenue Road Cross (inclusive of Hospital Road Turn left on Balepet Main Road upto Balepet O.T.C. Road Cross) (inclusive of Balepet Main Road and exclusive of O. T. C. Road Cross) O. T. C Road upto the Cross Park Cross (Chikkalalbagh Road Cross). West :—From the Junction of O.T.C. road and Tilak Park Road upto Tilak (Chikkalalbagh). Entire Tilak	Opparpet Police Station	Chickpet Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		Park Tank Bund Road upto the junction of Bashyam Circle (Exclusive of Circle) Subashnagar Bus Stand, Exhibition Ground area upto Seshadri Road (Exclusive of Seshadri Road).		
22	Bangalore City Seshadripuram Division. Cubbon Park Sub Division	Highgrounds Police Station is bounded by Palace, Vasathanagar, Miller Tank huts Kaverappa Layout, Chamundeshwari Studio, Marketing Federation, Highgrounds, Basavasmithi, R. C. College, Controller or Drugs Office. Maharanis College Bangalore Truf Club, Janatha Office SJR College 'A' power station, Madhavanagar, Kumarkrupa East, fair filled layout Chalukya and Samrat Hotels, West and Hotel, Swiss Cottage, Hotal International Kumarkurpa Guest House, Ashoka Hotal BWSSB reservesion. BOUNDARIES North.—Palace compound from Meori Circle upto Contenment Rly. Under bridge from Rly. Under bridge upto the junction of Station road and Queens road.	Highgrounds Police Station	Column No. 3 cases from Seshadripura sub-Division to Cubbonpark Sub-Division

		South.—Basaveshwara Circle on Palace road upto Kantharaj Urs, Circle, from K. Raju Circle to Anadarao Circle all along Seshadri road, excluding the road. East.—From the junction of queens road and Cantonment Rly. Station road upto the junction of Cunningham road in front of parsi temple including queens road from there all along Cunningham road upto the junction of Millers road, and from there all along the Millers road upto the junction of Basaveshwara Circle including roads and Basaveshwara Circle. West,—Storting from Anandarao Circle Subederchatram road upto Madras Railway under bridge excluding SC Road. from there alone the Rly. track upto Sankey road, Rly. under bridge, from there upto Mecri Circle on Bellary road excluding road.		
3	Bangalore City Fraser Town Division Commercial Street Sub-Division	Shivajinagar Police Station comprising of Russel Market, St. Marry's Church, Broadway road, C. S. I. Hospital, Shivaji Road, O. P. H. Road. New Market Road, Tasker Town Blackpalii Police Quarters and Half of Thimmaiah Road.	Shivajinagar Police Station	Commercial Street Police Station Bharathinagar Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		BOUNDARIES		
		North.—Station Road Starting from Queen's road and Station Road junction, Cockburns Road, Thimmaiah Road upto the junction of O.P.H, Road (including roads and footpath). South.—Parsi Temple Circle on Queen's Road Venkataswamy Naidu road, Via-Shivaji Circle, Meenakshi Koil Street upto O. P. H. Road Cross (Excludiug Roads and footpaths) East.—O.P.H. Road and Meenakshi -koil Street junction upto Thimmaiah Road Cross via-Sangam Circle, Nala Bridge (Excluding Road and footh-path). West.—Queen's Road from Parshi Temple Circle upto Station Road junction (including road and foot. path).		
4	Bangalore City Seshadripuram Dn. Cubbonpark Sub-Division.	Cubbonpark Police Station comprising of Cubbonpark including Century Club, Central Library, C.T.O. Circle, Queen's Status Circle, K.S.C.A. Stadium, Police	Cubbon park	Police Station

Wireless Office, B.R.V. Parade Ground, R. S. I. Stadium Power house, M. G. Road. Rex Talkies, St. Joseph College, Bangalore Club.

BOUNDARIES

North :—Cubbon Park compound and wall fencing starting from Hudson Circle side towards North Extending towards East upto Cubbonpark Road which joins K. R. Circle, including Century Club and extends towards Central Library Circle (including Roads which join K. R. Circle, and Central Library Circle).

South:—Imperial Talkies Circle on Brigade Road, upto Kaveri Empourium Circle and extends towards south on M. G. Road, Upto Dickanson Road cross and Dickanson Road Cross upto Cubbonroad Cross, (Excluding Road and Foot-paths)

East :—Dickanson Road and Cubbon Road Cross on Cubbon Road towards North upto C.T.O. Circle, from C.T.O. Circle behind KGID and Highcourt buildings upto Central

1	2	3	4	5
		Library Circle (Excluding Cubbon road includes C.T.O. Circle. West :—Imperial Talkies Circle on Residency Road upto Richmond Circle, From Richmond Circle, to Siddalingaiah Circle by the side of India St. Joseph High School and Tiffania Hotal. From Siddalingaiah Circle extends to Kasturaba Road upto Hudson Circle (Excluding Roads and Circles)		
25	1 Bangalore City Fraozer Town Dn. Commercial Street Sub-Division	Commercial Street Police Station comprising of Shivajinagar Bus Stand, Bowring & Lady Curzon Hospital, B.R.V. Talkies, Military Sub Area, Supply Depot, Baird Barrock, R.B.A.M.S. High School Grounds, Shivajinagar Stadium, Commercial Street, Veerapali Street, Kamaraja Road Infantry Road and Old-poor house road. *Boundaries :* North :—Nala starting from R.B.A.N.M.S. High school play Ground upto old poor house road, extending towards South on O.P.H. Road	Commercial Street	

		upto the junction of Meenakshi Koil Street, Further it extends upto Parsi temple Circle on queens Road along Meenakshi Koil Street, Venkataswamy Naidu Roads (including roads either side of the Foot-path). South:—C.T O. Circle on Cubbon Road upto Baird Barrocks and further upto the junction of Dickonson road and Cubbon Road (including road). East :—Starting from Cubbon Road junction on Dickonson Road upto Doby Ghat junction road extending upto Nala Road junction (including Roads). West :—From C.T.O. Circle on Queen's Road upto Parcy Temple Circle (Excluding Queen's Road).		
26	Bangalore City Seshadripuram Division Cubbon Park Sub-Division	Vidhana Soudha Police Station comprising of Vidhana Soudha, Rajabhavan, Legislature Home, High Court Building, K.G.I.D. Building, G P O. Public Service Commission Office, A G.'s Office, S.J.P. Office of the Technical Education, Post Master General's Office, Government Press and Multistoreyed Building.	Vidhana Soudha Police Station	Cubbon Park Police Station, High Ground Police Station	

1	2	3	4	5
		BOUNDARIES North :—From Basaveshwara Circle on Race Course Road, Rajabhavan Circle on Rajabhavan Road *via* Vidhana Veedhi Junction upto C. T. O. Circle (Excluding Road and Circle). South :—Kantharaj Urs Circle on Seshadri Road upto K R. Circle and further Road leading upto Central Library in Cubbon Park (Exclu-ing Road and Circle) East :—Cubbon Park Road from the Central Library Circle upto C.T.O. Circle passing behind High Court and K.G.I.D. Buildings (Excluding Roads). West :—Palace Road from Kantharaja Urs Circle to Basaveshwara Circle (Excluding Road and Circle). NOTE : Road means and includes foot-path on either sides.		
27	Bangalore City Ulsoor Division Ulsoor Sub-Dn.	Airport Police Station Bounded by. North :—H. A. L. Main road commencing from its junction of 100 feet road (Indiranagar from its junction	Airport Police Station	H.A.L. Police Station

		upto the junction of Meenakshi Koil Street, Further it extends upto Parsi temple Circle on queens Road along Meenakshi Koil Street, Venkataswamy Naidu Roads (including roads either side of the Foot-path). South:—C.T O. Circle on Cubbon Road upto Baird Barrocks and further upto the junction of Dickonson road and Cubbon Road (including road). East :—Starting from Cubbon Road junction on Dickonson Road upto Doby Ghat junction road extending upto Nala Road junction (including Roads). West :—From C.T.O. Circle on Queen's Road upto Parcy Temple Circle (Excluding Queen's Road).		
26	Bangalore City Seshadripuram Division Cubbon Park Sub-Division	Vidhana Soudha Police Station comprising of Vidhana Soudha, Rajabhavan, Legislature Home, High Court Building, K.G.I.D. Building, G P.O Public Service Commission Office, A.G.'s Office, S.J.P. Office of the Technical Education, Post Master General's Office, Government Press and Multistoreyed Building.	Vidhana Soudha Police Station	Cubbon Park Police Station, High Ground Police Station	

1	2	3	4	5
		BOUNDARIES North :—From Basaveshwara Circle on Race Course Road, Rajabhavan Circle on Rajabhavan Road *via* Vidhana Veedhi Junction upto C. T. O. Circle (Excluding Road and Circle). South :—Kantharaj Urs Circle on Seshadri Road upto K R. Circle and further Road leading upto Central Library in Cubbon Park (Exclu-ing Road and Circle) East :—Cubbon Park Road from the Central Library Circle upto C.T.O. Circle passing behind High Court and K.G.I.D. Buildings (Excluding Roads). West :—Palace Road from Kantharaja Urs Circle to Basaveshwara Circle (Excluding Road and Circle). NOTE : Road means and includes foot-path on either sides.		
27	Bangalore City Ulsoor Division Ulsoor Sub-Dn.	Airport Police Station Bounded by. North :—H. A. L. Main road commencing from its junction of 100 feet road (Indiranagar from its junction	Airport Police Station	H.A.L. Police Station

of Suranjan Das Road including right side road HAL Main Road.

South :—Challeghatta village and its boundaries, Nagasandra village, Belur village, Kempapura village, and the boundaries of these villages.

West :—From the junction of HAL main road near 100 feet road, upto the boundaries of Challaghatta village exclusive of Domlur layout.

East :—From HAL main Factory Circle excluding HAL Factory and 26 equipment depot upto the boundaries of Kempapura village (Runaway compound wall itsel the boundaries)

VILLAGES AND PLACE ATTACHED :

(1) Murugeshapalya
(2) Konena Agrahara
(3) Challaghatta
(4) Nagasandra
(5) Belur
(6) Kempapura
(7) Varma Industries
(8) Rustum Bagh
(9) N.A.L Windturner Centre
(10) Agram Grass Farm

1	2	3	4	5
28	Bangalore City Ulsoor Division Ulsoor Sub-Dn.	H. A. L. Police Station. Bounded by : North :—Vibuthipura, Doddanekkundi upto the boundaries of K. R. Puram and Bayyappanapalli Police Stations and Annasandrapalya. East :—Marathahalli, Munne Kolale, Kadu Beeshnahalli. Panathur Village and its boundaries upto its boundaries of Kadugodi and Medivala Police Stations. West :—H. A L. Main Factory, Helicopter Factory 26 Equipment Depot, HAL Old Township, HAL Hospital upto the boundaries of Jeevanbhimangar and Airport Police Stations. South :—Yemalur, Kariyammana Agrahara, Devara Beesanahalli, Bellandur upto the boundaries of Koramangala and Madivala Police Station. *Villages and Places Attached* 1 Amnasandrapalya, 2 Vibuthipura 3 Doddanekkundi	HAL Police Station	K. R. Puram P. S. Medi-Vala P. S. and existing limits or HAL P. S.

of Suranjan Das Road including right side road HAL Main Road.

South :—Challeghatta village and its boundaries, Nagasandra village, Belur village, Kempapura village, and the boundaries of these villages.

West :—From the junction of HAL main road near 100 feet road, upto the boundaries of Challaghatta village exclusive of Domlur layout.

East :—From HAL main Factory Circle excluding HAL Factory and 26 equipment depot upto the boundaries of Kempapura village (Runaway compound wall itsel the boundaries)

VILLAGES AND PLACE ATTACHED :

(1) Murugeshapalya
(2) Konena Agrahara
(3) Challaghatta
(4) Nagasandra
(5) Belur
(6) Kempapura
(7) Varma Industries
(8) Rustum Bagh
(9) N.A.L Windturner Centre
(10) Agram Grass Farm

1	2	3	4	5
28	Bangalore City Ulsoor Division Ulsoor Sub-Dn.	H. A. L. Police Station. Bounded by : North :—Vibuthipura, Doddanekkundi upto the boundaries of K. R. Puram and Bayyappanapalli Police Stations and Annasandrapalya. East :—Marathahalli, Munne Kolale, Kadu Beeshnahalli. Panathur Village and its boundaries upto its boundaries of Kadugodi and Medivala Police Stations. West :—H. A L. Main Factory, Helicopter Factory 26 Equipment Depot, HAL Old Township, HAL Hospital upto the boundaries of Jeevanbhimangar and Airport Police Stations. South :—Yemalur, Kariyammana Agrahara, Devara Beesanahalli, Bellandur upto the boundaries of Koramangala and Madivala Police Station. *Villages and Places Attached* 1 Amnasandrapalya, 2 Vibuthipura 3 Doddanekkundi	HAL Police Station	K. R. Puram P. S. Medi-Vala P. S. and existing limits or HAL P. S.

4	Marathahalli	
5	Munne Kolala	
6	Panathur	
7	Kadu Beesanahelli	
8	Kariyammana Agrahara	
9	Devara Beesanahalli	
10	Bellandur	
11	Yemalur.	

Note :—1. Area included in HAL. P. S. from Medivala P. S., Panathur Village.

2. Area included in H.A.L. P. S. from K. R. Puram P. S., Doddanekkundi.

Note :—The following areas of HAL , PS are included to Airport P.S. Konnena Agrahara, Part of Murgeshapalya, Challaghatta, Nagasandra Kempapura, Belur
The following areas of HAL P. S., are included in J. B. Nagar P. S., Kodiballi Anandrapura, Part of Murgeshapalya, part of HAL Old Town ship.
Garakamanthanapalya and Malleshpalya are included in Bayyappanahalli P. S., limits.

29.	Bangalore City Ulsoor Division, Ulsoor Sub-Dvn.	K. R. Puram Police Station bounded by : North :—Kowdenahally, Thambuchetty palya upto the boundaries of Hoskote P. S. Limits. East :—Basavanapura, Dyavasandra village upto its boundaries with Kadugodi P. S. Limits. West :—Ramamurthynagar, Kothur (Vijanapura) upto the boundaries of Banaswadi P. S. Limits.	K. R. Puram Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		South :– Kundalahalli along the southern side of the Bangalore Salem Railway Line commencing from Bennigamahalli Over bridge to Chinnappanahalli including Kengadasapura village upto the boundaries of Byappanahalli Police Station limits, *Villages and Places Attached* 1 Ramamurthynagar 2 Kothur (Vijanapura) 3 Kowdenahally, 4 K. R. Puram 5 Thambuchettypalya 6 Chikkabasavanapura 7 Doddabasavanapura 8 Dyavasandra 9. Seetharamapalya 10 Hoodi 11 Kundalahall 12 Rajapalya 13 Singaihanapalya	

		4 Marathahalli 5 Munne Kolala 6 Panathur 7 Kadu Beesanahalli 8 Kariyammana Agrahara 9 Devara Beesanahalli 10 Bellandur 11 Yemalur.	

Note :—1. Area included in HAL. P. S. from Medivala P. S. Panathur Village.

2. Area included in H.A.L. P. S. from K. R. Puram P. S., Doddanekkundi.

Note :—The following areas of HAL , PS are included to Airport P.S. Konnena Agrahara, Part of Murgeshapalya, Challaghatta, Nagasandra Kempapura, Belur
The following areas of HAL P. S., are included in J. B. Nagar P. S., Kodiballi Anandrapura, Part of Murgeshapalya, part of HAL Old Town ship.
Garakamanthanapalya and Malleshpalya are included in Bayyappanahalli P. S., limits.

29.	Bangalore City Ulsoor Division, Ulsoor Sub-Dvn.	K. R. Puram Police Station bounded by : North :—Kowdenahally, Thambuchetty palya upto the boundaries of Hoskote P. S. Limits. East :—Basavanapura, Dyavasandra village upto its boundaries with Kadugodi P. S. Limits. West :—Ramamurthynagar, Kothur (Vijanapura) upto the boundaries of Banaswadi P. S. Limits.	K. R. Puram Police Station

1	2	3	4	5
		South :– Kundalahalli along the southern side of the Bangalore Salem Railway Line commencing from Bennigamahalli Over bridge to Chinnappanahalli including Kengadasapura village upto the boundaries of Byappanahalli Police Station limits, *Villages and Places Attached* 1 Ramamurthynagar 2 Kothur (Vijanapura) 3 Kawdenahally, 4 K. R. Puram 5 Thambuchettypalya 6 Chikkabasavanapura 7 Doddabasavanapura 8 Dyavasandra 9 Seetharamapalya 10 Hoodi 11 Kundalahall 12 Rajapalya 13 Singaihanapalya	

14 Garudacharpalya
15 Mahadevapura
16 B. Narayanapura
17 A. Narayanapura
18 Kaggadasapura ... ...

Note :—The following villages are included in Banaswadi P S. from K. R. Puram P. S. Voddarapalya, Haramava, Varma Agrahara, Erannapalya, Dodda Banaswadi, Chikkabanaswadi and Channasandra.

Not e:—2 From K. R. Puram P. S. to H. A. L, P. S. Doddanekkundi village

Note :—3 From K. R. Puram P. S. to Bayyappanahalli P. S. Byrasandra village

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1078—S.O. 1500

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 45 SST 78.

Bangalore, dated 7th/11th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka in exercise of powers conferred under section 112 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 have directed to conduct of resurvey of Mysore City in Order No. RD 63 SST 72, dated 7th May 1976 ;

And whereas it is found expedient to appoint Survey Officers for exercise of functions under relevant provisions in course of the said resurvey operation ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred under section 18 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964] the Government o Karnataka hereby appoint the officers specified in column (1) of the Table below for the purpose of conduct of Resurvey of Mysore City, and they shall exercise the powers specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) thereof.

TABLE

Officers	Powers
1	2
1. Assistant Survey Officer, City Survey of Mysore	(1) Rule 83 (1) (2) Section 154 (2)
2. Survey Officer, City Survey of Mysore	Rule 69 also to be an appellate authority for City Survey Limits.
3. Joint Director of Land Records, Mysore	Section 153 (1) (b) and (2) of the Act to the Deputy Commissioner.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. NARAYANA,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Revenue Department.

1270—S.O. 1503

14 Garudacharpalya
15 Mahadevapura
16 B. Narayanapura
17 A. Narayanapura
18 Kaggadasapura ... ...

Note :—The following villages are included in Banaswadi P S. from K. R. Puram P. S. Voddarapalya, Haramava, Varma Agrahara, Erannapalya, Dodda Banaswadi, Chikkabanaswadi and Channasandra.

Note:—2 From K. R. Puram P. S. to H. A. L, P. S. Doddanekkundi village

Note :—3 From K. R. Puram P. S. to Bayyappanahalli P. S. Byrasandra village

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1078—S.O. 1500

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 45 SST 78.

Bangalore, dated 7th/11th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka in exercise of powers conferred under section 112 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 have directed to conduct of resurvey of Mysore City in Order No. RD 63 SST 72, dated 7th May 1976 ;

And whereas it is found expedient to appoint Survey Officers for exercise of functions under relevant provisions in course of the said resurvey operation ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of powers conferred under section 18 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 the Government o Karnataka hereby appoint the officers specified in column (1) of the Table below for the purpose of conduct of Resurvey of Mysore City, and they shall exercise the powers specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) thereof.

TABLE

Officers	Powers
1	2
1. Assistant Survey Officer, City Survey of Mysore	(1) Rule 83 (1) (2) Section 154 (2)
2. Survey Officer, City Survey of Mysore	Rule 69 also to be an appellate authority for City Survey Limits.
3. Joint Director of Land Records, Mysore	Section 153 (1) (b) and (2) of the Act to the Deputy Commissioner.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. NARAYANA,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Revenue Department.

1270—S.O. 1503

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 120 AQW 78

Bangalore, dated 4th June 1979.

Whereas in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-section (1) 7 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act 1952 (Central Act 30 of 1952), the immovable properties specified in the Schedule below in Peenya Village, Bangalore North Taluk, Bangalore District was acquired under the said Act.

And whereas no agreement can be reached regarding the title of land under the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of Sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immoveable Property Act, 1952 (Central Act 30 of 1952) read with Notification No. SHO 2519 dated 24th May 1957 of the Government of India, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri B. Byrappa Retired District Judge, Bangalore as an Arbitrator for the purpose of the said Notification.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Requisition order No. & date	Name of the Village	S.No.	Extent	Name of the persons interested in the property
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	LAC 241/72-73	Peenya	10/3A	6½ guntas	1. Smt. Chinnamma 2. Sri Ganapatsa Nagendrasa Kathare 3. The Hindustan Machine Tools Ltd. 4. Steeloon Industries Private Ltd. 5. Smt. Deddakka

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. GOVINDAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

Notification No. RD 12 LRE 79

Bangalore dated, 19/20th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Clause (1) of Sub-section (1) read with Section 48(3) of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962) and in partial modification of earlier notifications issued in the matter, the Government of Karnataka hereby notify the officers specified in Column (2) to be the Chairman of Tribunals of Taluks specified in Column (3) on joining duty of Nos. 1 and 3 replacing the officers noted in Column (4)..

Sl. No.	Designation of Officer now notified as Chairman	Name of the Tribunals	Designation of the Chairman replaced
1	2	3	4
1	Spl. Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Mandya (with Headquarters at Srirangapatna)	Srirangapatna Krishnaraja-pet	Spl. Land Acquisition Officer, Mandya Assistant Commissioner, Pandavapura
2	Spl. Land Acquisition Officer Mandya	Maddur	Assistant Commissioner, Mandya Sub-Division
4	Addl. Spl. Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Bangalore	Magadi	Spl. Land Acquisition Officer (Railways) Bangalore
		Nelamangala	Spl. Land Acquisition Officer, Bangalore

The existing arrangement will continue till Sl. Nos. 1 and 3 join duty.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Sri, Officer for Land Reforms and Ex-officio,
Under Secretary to Government, Revenue Dept.

1350—S.O. 1505

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 73 LGC 79 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 7th/11th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (8) of section 24 of the code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment) Act,

1978 Sri Shankar Rao, Shetkar Advocate, BIDAR is hereby appointed as a Special Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecution in S. C. Nos. 53/78, 54/78, 57/78, 1/79, 5/79, 6/79, 8/79, 9/79, 11/79 and 17/79 and also to doncut Cr.A. Nos. 12/79, and 1/79 and Cr.R.P. No. 5/79 and criminal misc. Appeal No. 16/78 on the file of the Additional Sessions Judge, Bidar on usual terms applicable to a regular public prosecutor.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. P. DESI GOWDA,
I/c *Assistant Solicitor and* Ex-officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.

1271—S. O. 1506

HOME SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. HD 27 PRA 79.
Bangalore, dated 18th June 1979.

Subject :—**Appointment of Non-official visitors to Central Prison, Bangalore.**

For the words, "From the date of this Order" appearing in fourth and fifth line of the operative portion of the Notification No. HD 27 PRA 79 dated 21st May 1979 the words and figures, "With effect from 19th July 1979" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1339—S. O. 1507

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 76 MMN 79
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mahaveerchand Rajendrakumar for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Asbestos over an area of 42 acres (17.00 hectares) of land in Ratanahalli village, Taluk Mysore, District Mysore as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the following terms and conditions.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Asbestos only. If other Minerals are found in association with Asbestos they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Asbestos he / they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum or the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957,

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karntaka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cessee as prevalent in Mysore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1090—S. O. 1508

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 2 ETC 78.
Bangalore, dated 15th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 4 of the India Census Act, 1948 (Central Act No. XXXVII of 1948), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint the following authorities as Census Officers within the meaning of the said sub-section to supervise the taking of the Census within their respective areas, namely :—

1. Deputy Commissioners of Districts in respect of their districts including the Cities excepting the cities of Bangalore, Mysore, Mangalore, Hubli-Dharwar, Belgaum, Bellary. Bijapur, Davangere, Gulbarga, Shimoga and the Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore.
2. Commissioner, Bangalore City Corporation.
3. Commissioner, Hubli-Dharwad Corporation.
4. Commissioner, Mysore City Corporation.
5. Commissioner, Mangalore City Municipality.
6. Commissioner, Belgaum City Corporation.
7. Commissioner, Bellary City Municipality.
8. Commissioner, Bijapur City Municipality.
9. Commissioner, Davanagere City Municipality.
10. Commissioner, Gulbarga City Municipality.
11. Commissioner, Shimoga City Municipality.
12. Chairman, Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore.

In pursuance of Section 12 of the said Act, the Government of Karnataka are also pleased to authorise the above mentioned authorities for the purpose of said section within their respective jurisdiction.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. A. NAIK,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1321—S. O. 1509

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 40 CLM 79
Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979.

Whereas the Registrar of Co-operative Societies for Karnataka is a trustee and a corporation sole under sections 77 and 78 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) ;

And Whereas the Registrar of Co-operative Societies has been deputed to attend the Tenth International Seminar at Tokyo from 12th June 1979 to 4th July 1979 ;

And Whereas it is considered necessary to appoint some other person as a trustee during the abovesaid period ;

Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 77 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints until further orders Sri P. G. Puranik, Chief Marketing Officer as the sole trustee in the place of Registrar of Co-operative Societies.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1349—S. O 1510

Notification No. RDC 315 CLS 78(1)
Bangalore, dated 15th May 1979.

The Nomination of the following persons made in Notification No. RDC 20 CLS 76 dated 5th March 1976 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Limited, Sindagi Bijapur is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Mahadevappa. K. Kantigonda of Chandakwate
2. Sidagonda Hirekurabar of Sindagi
3. Siddappa gudalamani of Sindgi

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Dev. and Co-opn. Department,

1082—S. O. 1511

Notification No. RDC 13 COF 77 (i)

Bangalore, dated 12th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of (1959), read with Section 21 of the Karnataka General Clauses Act, 1899 (Karnataka Act 3 of 1899), the Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following amendment to sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the said Act as amended in Notification No. DPC 6 COF 73 (i) dated 13th April, 1978, namely :—

In sub-section (1) of Section 29 for the words "twelve persons" the words "thirteen persons" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government
Rural Development and Co-operation Dept.

1294—S. O. 1512

Notification No. RDC 13 COF 77 (ii).

Bangalore, dated 12th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) as amended by Notification No. RDC 13 COF 77 (i) dated 12th June 1979. The Government of Karnataka hereby nominates the President, District Central Co-operative Bank Limited, Mysore as its representative on the Board of Directors of Sri Mahadeswara Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane Limited, Kollegal with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-operative Dept.

1293—S.O. 1513

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 23 TRC 79

Bangalore, dated 16th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 14 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Shri R. Jaganathan, Chief Security Officer, Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore., concurrently to function as General Manager of the said Corporation from 18th June 1979 to 17th July 1979 *vice* Shri Abraham Varghese proceeding on leave.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1326—S.O. 1517

Notification No. HD 73 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 15th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 218 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the public service vehicles of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore, mentioned in the Schedule below, intended for use on long distance routes, from the operation of the provisions of Rule 216 (2) of the said rules and empowers the Regional Transport Authority to fix the maximum number of passengers that may be carried in the said vehicles and to prescribe the manner in which passengers in excess of the actual sitting accommodation may be carried.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Wheel Base
1.	344 050 046820	692 D03 049479	205''
2.	344 050 046826	692 D03 049476	do
3.	344 050 046833	692 D03 049481	do
4.	344 050 046872	692 D03 048545	do
5.	344 050 046874	692 D03 049532	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1324—S.O. 1518

Notification No. HD 79 PCR 79.

Bangalore, dated 16th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Shri B. Isaac, Pastor, Victory Baptist Church, Vijayanagar, Bangalore to Solemnise Marriages under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1327—S. O.—1519

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 73 LRA 78 (P) II

Bangalore, dated 30th May/1st June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (3) of Section 48 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962), as amended and in partial modification of Government Notification No. RD 73 LRA 78 (P) dated 26th December 1978 the Government of Karnataka hereby re-constitute the Tribunal of Gubbi Taluk, Tumkur District as under :

1.	Assistant Commissioner, Tumkur	Chairman
2.	Sri G.C. Dayananda S/o Sri G. Channabasappa, Fort, Gubbi	Member
3.	Sri G.L. Narasiyappa, Municipal Councillor, Gubbi	do
4.	Sri Doddaiah, Ex-Panchayat Vice-Chairman, M.N. Kote, Nittor Hobli, Gubbi taluk	do
5.	Sri Huchappa, Retd. H.C. Kallur, Gubbi taluk ...	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms & Ex-officio
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1214—S. O. 1520

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 32 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 23rd March 1979

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting licence in favour of Shri S. J. Mushtaq Ahmed for corrundum for a period of 1 (One) year over an area of 200 acres (80.94 hectares) of land in Jyagadakote village, Taluk H D. Kote, District Mysore as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions are appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of One year with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957)

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometers or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14 Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Mysore District

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

727—S. O. 1521

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Karnataka Land Army Corporation Ltd., No. 32, Sanky Road, Bangalore 52.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore Published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. 892/78-79, dated 3rd March 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 28th day of February 1979.

PRESENT:

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B.A., LLB.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 27 of 1978.

First Party:—

Shri Abdul Mujib Pasha Major, R/o IV Cross, New Meena Masid, Mattadahalli, Munireddy Palya, Bangalore-6.

Vs.

II Party:—

Management of M/s. Karnataka Land Army Corporation Ltd., No. 32 Sankey Road, Bangalore-52.

Appearances:

Sri S. John Ravi, Advocate, for the first party.

Nons for the second party.

AWARD

1 An industrial dispute involving the following points has been referred to this court by the Government of Karnataka in their Order No. SWL 276 LLD 77 dated 6th May 1978 for adjudication.

" I. Is the management of Karnataka Land Army Corporation Ltd. No. 32, Sankey Road, Bangalore-52, justified in terminating the services of Shri Abdul Mujib Pasha with effect from 29th October 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

2 In this court, the first party filed his claim statement and the second party filed their counter. Including the first party three witsness were examined on his side. While on behalf of the second party one witness been examined and two documents are marked in evidence, Agrements addressed has been heard.

3 The first party olaims that he was appointed as a Mechanic in the Workshop of the second party at Bangalore in August 1975, that he had been rendering faithfully and sincerely services to the satisfaction of his employer, that on 29th October 1976 for no reason at all and without giving him any notice and holding any enquiry, his services were illegally and improperly terminated by the second party that on account of termination of his services. he has been put to great loss and misery. That though he agitated the matter before the Conification Officer due to the refusal of the second party to concede to his request for reinstatment and back wages, it fell through, and that therefore, this reference came to be made to this court for affording him the relief of reinstatment and grant of all benefits incidental and consequential to such reinstatement. According to the second party, the first party workman was purely temporary rnd he had been made known that his services were liable to be terminated without any notice and without assigning any reasons. It is also their case that the work of the first party was most unsatisfactory and on account of his negligence much loss was caused to the second perty. It is also their case that in the matter of repair of an Escort Tractor bearing No. MYP 5779, the first party workman and the Foreman of the Workshop were guilty of grave misconduct and cheating in that the repair effected was most unsatisfactory and they had unauthorisedly kept back one now piston given for replacement of the old one in the tractor and this eventually resulted in loss of Rs. 15,000 to the second party on account of the break down of the said tractor It is their further case that on oral enquiry was held with respect to this matter and the first party admitted having replaced only one new piston and therefore he along with the Foreman were dismissed from service. It is asserted by the second party that the termination of the services of the first party is not

illegal or *mala fide*, that it is justified and proper, that the first party is not entitled for any reinstatement or wages and that therefore, his claim should be rejected.

4. At the time of the proceedings in this court, no attempt was made by the second party to show that a domestic enquiry had been held with respect to the alleged mis-conduct of first party and that enquiry is legal and proper. In fact, there is no record about holding any such enquiry. What WW-2 Sri R. S. Wodeyar, Army Officer who came to appoint the first party and also dismissed him, stated is that he held a summary oral enquiry as thet do in the military and and after being satisfied about the misconduct of the first Party, he dismissed him. To the same effect is also the evidence of the Army Officer MW-1 D. G K. Chetty. But this court cannot recognise any such oral enquiry. It can hardly be said that the enquiry was proper and legal. Therefore, no attempt was also made in this court to justify that enquiry. The second party, therefore, proceeded to lead evidence concerning the miscodduct of the first party Therefore, this court has to decide on the basis of the material on record, the points of dispute referred for adjudication.

5. There is no dispute on the point that the first party and been employed as a Vehicle Mechanic in the Workshop of the second party and the work of repairing the vehicles of the second party used to be entrusted to him. As I have already pointed out the case of the second party is that the work of the first party was unsatisfactory and at times he was even negligent. This has been denied by the first party. But the evidence produced by the first party himself is contradictory on this point. He, as WM-3 no doubt asserted that he was satisfactorlly rendering his services and there was no memo or show cause notice issued to him complaining about bad work. But WW-2 R. S. Wodeyer, the Joint Director (Administration) of the second party under whom he was working and whom he also thought fit to examine as his witness, has stated in examination in cqief itself that he had found the work of the first party workman unsatisfactory on a number of occasions and had warned him orally. He has also stated that he had issued a memo once on 25th September 1976. It has come in the evidence of MW-1 and the first party workman that Ex. M-2 is the memo that he had issued. There is absolutely no reason to disbelieve WW-2, who was an Army Officer. It may be that he was not in the habit of issuing memos or show cause notice. Even when he dismissed the first party workman, he did not prepare any record. WW-1 Put'appa a He per working with the first party workman denied knowledge about any memo or notice issued to the first party for bad work. In my view, the evidence of WW-2 coupled with Ex. M-2, show that the work of the first party workman under second party was not quite satisfactory.

6. But the main reason for terminating the services of the first party relied upon by the second party, in his alleged misconduct and negligence in the matter of effecting repairs to a motor tractor and it is admitted by the first party workman himself that he had been entrusted with the work of repairing a Tractor bearing MYP 5779 and he did repair that tractor. It is clearly borne out from the evidence that for the purpose of effecting repairs to that tractor two new pistons had been purchased and supplied. According to the first party workman only one new piston was used by replacing the old one and the other new rod was surreptitiously kept back by the workman and the foreman and one old piston was actually left in the tractor. In the cross-examination of the first party himself, it is brought out that one new piston given for repairs has not been actually used, but the old piston itself had been used. But according to him one of the new pistons was defective and when he brought this to the notice of the Forman, the latter told him to fixe the old piston itself to the vehicle and he did so accordingly. But wa cannot believe him in this regard. Admittedly he had given a petition as per Ex-M, 1 to WW-2 after his dismissal. In this document, he has stated that the piston which existed was slightly oversize and it was causing the ceasing of the engine. He has further stated that on due replacement of the oversize piston with the correct size piston the engine functioned well and the tractor was fully rectified. He has also stated that the replaced oversize piston was kept in the workshop itself and he had forgotten to bring that fact to the notice of his superior Officer on account of heavy work and urgency. He has not stated that one of the new pistons was defective and therefore it had been kept back and the old one itself was used instead. His say that on the assurance that they would reinstate him Ex. M-1 was taken, cannot be believed. It is seen from Ex, M-1 itself that he had sent it by registered post acknowledgment due. We have also in the evidence of WW-2 that on 29th October 1976 when MW-1 came from Chellekere where the repaired tractor had been sent for work, and reported about the break down of that tractor due to non-replacement of an old piston as told by the Driver of that tractor, he called the first party workman and the foreman and questioned. He has further stated that when he thus questioned, they both came forward with the statement at first that both the new pistons had been used to fit the same in the tractor, but when he asked them to bring the removed old pistons. they brought one new and one old and they admitted that one new piston was not used. There is no reason to disbelieve him especially when the first party himself chose to examine him as his witness, evidently having confidence in his impartiality. It is, therefore obvious that while reparing the above said tractor, the first party workman and the Foreman had wilfully kept back one new piston and had used old piston itself in the repair. The only reasonable inference for keeping back that new piston is that they

interded to dispose it off and make some gain Thus their dishonest intention is manifest in their act. We have the evidence of WW-2 and also MW-1 that on account of bad workmanship in reapairing the above said tractor and especially in fitting an old piston to it though new one had been supplied the tractor broke down a few days after it was put to used and thus resulted in a loss of about Rs. 15,000 to the second party, I see no reason to disbelieve them:

7 It is also significant to note that even though the Foreman was also dismissed from service for the same reason, he has not chosen to raise any dispute over that matter. The fact that WW-2 held an oral enquiry on 29th October 1976 and the first party workman made admissions of his guilt in the matter of repair to the trator is spoken to by the said WW-2 and also MW-1. Even in Ex. M-1 the first party workman has stated that he was asked to explain about the repairs done to the tractor on that day, but due to worked mine and confusion, he does not remember what all he answered. This clearly shews that he was enquired and he had admitted his misconduct with respect to the repair of the tractor.

8 In my view, the conduct of the first party workman in having not used a new piston given to him while repairing a tractor and instead using an old one, evidently with a view to make wrongful gain to him is a grave misconduct in dicating dishonest intention on his part. It also appoart to me that he intended to misappropriate a new piston to make wrongful gain to himself or wrongful loss to the second party management. His misconduct resulted in heavy loss to the second party. Therefore, in my opinion, his dismissal from service on account of such misconduct is justifiable,

9 The first party workman has admitted that his appoinment was temporary and his appointment order it is stated that his services can be terminated without giving any reason and he had also given a written undertaking to the second party in that behalf. Under these circumstances, in the dispute referred for adjudication, I hold on point No. 1 that the management of the Karnataka Land Army Corporation Ltd., 32 Sankey Road, Bangalore-52, is justified in terminating his services with effect from 29th October 1976. Consequenty finding on point No. 2 in that the first party is not entitled to any relief The reference is answered accordingly.

N. P. KEMPE GOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 94 LLA 1979.

Bangalore, dated the 17th March 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 276 LLD 77, dated 6th May 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s Karnataka Land Army Corporation Ltd., No. 32, Sanky Road, Bangalore-52 was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court. Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its Award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

864—S.O 1525

Order No. SWL 706 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of State Farm Corporation of India Ltd, Central State Farms, Javalgera, Sindhanur Taluk on the points noted below

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. "Are the workmen of State Farm Corporation of India Ltd., Central State Farm—Javalagere justified in demanding the following :

(i) Payment of bonus @ 8.33% to the piece rated farm workers and also to the workers on contract basis for the year 1976-77 par with other permanent employees.

(ii) Re-instatement of 18 workers with back wages and continuity of service as per annexure I (enclosed)

(iii) Conveyance to employees from the farm to their destination every day.

II. If not, to what relief(s) the workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

I200—S. O. 1526

ANNEXURE

List of wrongful termination of 17 persons Central State Farm, Javalgere

1	Amarayya S/o Muddayya	7 months service Watchman with termination date	1-5-1978
2	Rukum Patel, S/o Hassan Patel	2 years	1-5-1978
3	Hanumantharaya S/o Nagayya	8 years	1-5-1978
4	Hanumanthappa, S/o Eranna	2 years	1-5-1978
5	Sahebgowda S/o Bapusab	7 years	1-5-1978
6	Ramanna S/o Bhimayappa	7 months	1-5-1978
7	Giddappa, S/o Ayyappa	5 years	29-4-1978
8	Yamanappa, S/o Hussenappa	3 years	25-4-1978
9	Mukappa, S/o Thimmaya	9 years	28-3-1978
10	Krappa Amarappa	7 months	1-6-1978
11	Basanna, S/o Mudukappa	5 months	1-6-1978
12	Gundappa, S/o Basavantraya	9 months	1-6-1978
13	Shankarappa. S/o Siddappa	4 years	22-5-1978
14	Devayya, S/o Mantarya	4 years fieldsman	31-10-1976
15	Goindrao, S/o Temmayya	4 years fieldsman	31-10-1976
16	M V. Hiremath	5 years fieldsman	1-5-1978
17	Bhimappa S/o Eranna	7 years	1-5-1978
18	Hasenappa S/o Yemnappa	5 years	1-6-1978

18 persons

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. HUD 343 MLR 79

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1979.

For the name "Sri Md. Yasin Khan" appearing in the Government Notification No. HUD 172 MLR 78(V) dated 26th September 1978, the name "Shri Mohammed Yasin" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government.
Housing & Urban Development Department.

1379—S. O. 1528

Notification No. HUD 8 TTP 79

Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979.

Whereas in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 13 (3) of the Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1963) the Government of Karnataka accorded final approval to the Outline Development Plan of the Shimoga-Bhadravathi Planning Area prepared by the Planning Authority, Shimoga-Bhadravathi subject to the certain modifications indicated therein in Govt. Order No. HMA 22 TTP 75 dated 8th May 1975;

And whereas the Planning Authority for Shimoga-Bhadravathi Planning Area, Shimoga was required under sub-section (1) of Section 19 of the said Act to prepare a comprehensive Development Plan of the said Planning Area not later than 3 years from 8th May 1975;

And whereas the Planning Authority for Shimoga-Bhadravathi Planning Area, Shimoga has applied for extension of time limit for preparing the Comprehensive Development Plan of Shimoga-Bhadravathi area from 8th May 1978 to 8th November 1979.

And whereas the Director of Town Planning, Bangalore has recommended that the extension of time limit for preparing the Comprehensive Development Plan of Shimoga-Bhadravathi Planning Area from 8th May 1978 to 8th November 1979 may be granted subject to the condition that no further extension be sought for by the said Planning Authority.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of Section 19 of the said Act, the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the aforesaid time till 8th November 1979 subject to the condition that no further extension be sought for by the said Planning Authority.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development

1342—S.O. 1529

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 849 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 5th/7th May 1979.

Whereas a dispute between the workmen and the management of the Executive Engineer (Electrical) Karnataka Electricity Board, Bagalkot, was rejected from reference to Labour Court for adjudication in Government Endorsement No. SWL 151 LLD 77, dated 10/20th April 1977 ;

Whereas the said workmen have represented on 18th September 1978 to Government to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication;

And whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 25th October 1978 and their pleadings have been duly considered and the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management above mentioned on the points noted below. ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Executive Engineer, Karnataka Electricity Board, Bagalkot, District Bijapur, justified in terminating the services of Shri Umarsaheb Allasab Bhisti, ex labour of Karnataka Electricity Board, Mudhol, with effect from 13th August 1974 ?

II. If not, to what relief(s) the said Sri Umarsaheb Allasaheb Bhisti is entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PULLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
Labour (A)

1011—S. O. 1530

Order No. SWL 382 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 30th May/1st June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Vanivilas Co-operative Sugar Factory, Ltd., Hiriyur, Chitradurga District, on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Shri Dhanabahaddur, Head Watchman and Sri Ganesha, Watchman, w.e.f., 16th August 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the above said workmen are entitled?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Labour 'A' Section,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

1224—S. O. 1531

Order No. SWL 303 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 29th May/1st June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. Karnataka Implements and Machineries Co., Limited. Hassan on the points noted below:

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. Is the Management justified in awarding the punishment of stoppage of one increment from 1st April 1978 to Sri H. C. Raju ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled."?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1225—S.O. 1532

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Sita Bhateja's Nursing Home, No 8 & 9, O'Shanghnessy Road, Longford Gardens, Bangalore-25.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. 193/79-80 dated 24th April 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore-25..

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 18th day of April 1979

PRESENT

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B.A., B.L.

Presiding Officer,

Reference No. 24 of 1978

I Party :—

Smt. Kannamma, C/o Raghu, No. 473, Anandapuram, H.A.L. Bangalore-17.

Vs

II Party :—

The management of M/s. Sita Bhateja's Nursing Home, No. 8 & 9, O'Shanghnessy Road, Langford Gardens, Bangalore-25.

Appearances :—

Sri B. Mathew, Advocate for the first party.

Sri C. S. Prasannakumar, Advocate and Sri B. Vedantaiengar Advocate, for the Second Party.

AWARD

1. By their order No. SWL 270 LLD 78 dated 25th April 1978, the Government of Karnataka referred a dispute between the parties involving the following points for adjudication to this Court under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, as in their opinion, the dispute is an industrial dispute and is required to be decided by the Labour Court.

" I. Are the management of Sita Bhateja's Nursing Home, No. 8 & 9, O' Shaughnessy Road, Longford Gardens, Bangalore-25, justified in terminating the services of Smt. Kannamma with effect from 15th October 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the workwoman is entitled ?"

2. In this court the parties filled their respective written statements and led evidence after the issues were framed. Arguments addressed were heard.

3. The case for the first party is, briefly. this ;

The first party had been employed as Ayah in the second party Nursing home and she was rendering sincere and faithful service for 13 years. On 10th October 1977 a show cause notice was issued to her alleging misconduct of having demanded tips from a patient and required her to file her reply within 2 1/2 days, but on 15th October 1977 the second party terminated her services without holding any enquiry. On 1st November 1977 she requested for reinstatement and they sent a reply on 7th December 1977 refusing to consider her request. They had also obtained her signatures to some documents, the contents of which were not known to her as she is illeterate. On her petitioning the Labour Officer, the latter held conciliation proceedings, but to no avail. Therefore, on his report to the Government, this reference is made. The termination of the services of the first party is made without complying with the procedure and requirements natural justice and the punishment imposed against her is excessive and disproportionate to the gravity of the alleged affairs. The dismissal is illegal. She was drawing a total emoluments of Rs 159 per month and is unemployed ever since her dismissal. Therefore she is entitled to reinstatement full back wages, continuity of service and other consequential benefits.

4. The second party admitted that the first party had been employed in their Nursing Home as Ayah whose duty was to cleanse the Bath room, floor, furniture etc. and also providing amenities like supplying bed-pans to the patients. Further, it is their case that she was not in their service for 15 or 13 years, as in fact, their Nursing Home did not exist 13 years ago, but, she was in service from the end of November 1976. It is their case that Ayahs working in their Nursing Home were reported demanding illegal tips from the patients or their relatives and though they had issued a circular in May 1977 warning them not to resort to such practice, there was a complaint against the first party on 10th October 1977 from one Sri Nichalchand Jeevaraji, the husband of an in-patient regarding her having demanded tips. It is further their case that on such complaint a show cause notice issued to the first party and she filed the reply admitting her mis-behaviour, but putting forth several reasons which were irrelevant. It is their further contention that an enquiry

was conducted and the Enquiry Officer reported recommending the the termination of her services, and accordingly, in order to maintain the reputation of the second party, and to get red of such practice, the second party in good faith and not in pursuance of any unfair labour practice, terminated the services of the first party. They have maintained that there has been no violation of the principles of natural justice and the enquiry held as also the punishment inflicted are fair and reasonable. It is also their contention that their's is not an 'industry' and this court has no jurisdiction to adjudicate on the dispute and in any case, it cannot sit in appeal against the finding of the domestic enquiry tribunal. Therefore, according to them, the Reference is liable to be dismissed.

5. The issues framed in the case are :

1. Whether the II Party is an Industry ?
2. Whether the II Party is justified in terminating the services of the I party-workwoman.
3. If not, to what relief the workwoman is entitled to ?
4. What Order ?

On the basis of the material placed on record, I shall now proceed to record my findings on these issues as also my reasons therefor.

6. *Issue No. 1* :—At the time of arguments, it was fairly conceded by the learned Advocate appearing for the second party that their contention that they are not an 'industry' is not tenable in view of the law as interpreted by the Supreme Court. In the case of Safdarjung Hospital Vs. Kuldip Singh Sefhi, AIR 1970 SC 1407. The Supreme Court has laid down that Hospitals, Nursing Homes and Dispensaries are 'industry' as they are run as business. Therefore, it is clear that the second party is an 'industry'. Hence, the first issue is answered in the affirmative.

7. *Issue No. 2* :—The termination of the services of the first party by the second party is according to the latter due to her misconduct for having demanded tips from the relative of a patient lodged in their Nursing Home. Though they pleaded in their counter-statement that at the domestic enquiry held, her guilt has been established at the time of evidence and arguments, no attempt was made to prove that a proper and fair enquiry was held against the first party for her alleged misconduct. The enquiry Officer is stated to be one Dro Rita has not been examined and no record of proceeding of such enquiry is also made available except Ex. M-6 which is an 'adverse report' said to have been given by the Enquiry Officer. There is no mention even in this document that any witnesses were examined at the enquiry and any opportunity was given to the first party to produce her evidence. We have only the statement of the Enquiry Officer that she conducted an Enquiry and the patients attended and gave a statement in writing which has been sent to the Office. So, the alleged enquiry is only the obtaining of

the written complaint of the patients attended which accordingly to MW-1, Bhateja, the General Manager of the second party is the basis for the action taken against the brst party. It is not his statement that during enquiry the complaint of the relative of the patient which is produced at Ex. M-3 was given before the Enquiry Officer. Further, in Ex. M-6, there is no finding recorded by the Enquiry Officer, therefore it cannot be said that a proper or fair domestic enquiry had been held against the first party workman and she was found guilty at that enquiry, of the misconduct alleged against her. Hence, I hold that there was no fair domestic enquiry held against the first party by the second party. Evidently, for this reason, the second party took upon itself the burden of proving the guilt of the first party workwoman in this court.

8. That leads me to the question as to whether the misconduct imputed to the first party workwoman is proved or admitted. The material on record would not warrant a reasonable conclusion that the misconduct imputed is proved by any legal evidence. The second party had to prove that the first party workwoman demanded tips from Sri Nichalchand Jeevaraj the husband of a patient lodged in their Nursing Home. The best person to speak to such demand was the said Nichalchand Jeevaraj himself. He has not been examined. He is stated to be now staying in Rajasthan. The second party has secured and produced an affidavit said to be is that of Nichalchand Jeevaraj to prove his version. But, in my view, that affidavit cannot be treated as legal evidence in the case as the first party was not afforded an opportunity to cross-examine that witness. The court also did not permit or require production of the evidence of that witness in the form of affidavit. Therefore, that affidavit cannot be taken as evidence of the witness to the fact that the first party demanded of him tips.

9. MW-1, the General Manager spoke of the said Nichalchand having given a written complaint Ex. M-3 This document is not in the language of the court or in English. No translation of that document is filed. Further, there is no proof of the contents of that document and Nichalchand Jeevraj having signed. It is on the letter head of one Dr. (Mrs.) Sita Bhateja, one cannot believe MW-1 in his statement that it was given to him. On the other hand, we have the mention made in Ex. M-6 that it was given to the Enquiry Officer and she sent to the Office, that enquiry Officer is not examined to say that Nichalchand Jeevraj wrote, and signed, Ex. M-3 in her presence. Therefore, I hold that Ex. M-3 is not proved. So, even the fact of Nichalchand Jeevraj having given Ex. M-3 is not established No other evidence is also made available in proof of the first party demanding tips from the said Nichalchand Jeevraj or from any other person. MW-1 spoke of earlier complaint against the first party workwoman about her conduct demanding tips, but there is

no record for it and even in the show cause notice Ex. W-1, there is no mention about it. Under these circumstances, I cannot, but hold that the misconduct imputed against the first party workwoman is not proved. However, in my view, there is an admission by the first party of her conduct demanding tips in her reply statement Ex. M-5 given to the second party, of course, in the course of her evidence she denied having known the contents of Ex. M-5 But she admitted that she has signed this document at Ex. M-5A. We have the sworn statement of MW-1 that the first party workwoman herself handed over the reply Ex. M-5. According to him, she herself had got that reply written from some one and gave it. But the first party as WW-1. stated that MW-1 asked her to sign Ex. M-5 saying that he would give her work. We have to see which of these versions is believable.

10. In my view the version that the first party workwoman gave Ex. M-5 in reply to the show cause notice is more probable than the version that her signature was taken by MW-1 to Ex. M-5 mi representation. Admittedly the show cause notice as per Ex. W-1 had been received it by the first party on 10th October 1977, that is also evidenced by the fact that she in token of having received on that day, signed the copy of that notice Ex. M-4 and M-4 (a). She has pleaded in her claim statement that she was required to give her reply to the notice in Two and half days. No where has she stated that she did not give any such reply. It is also not her statement that she had given a reply, but Ex. W-5 is not the same. Even in the subsequent termination of service notice Ex. W-2 she has herself produced a reference is made to her reply dated 13th October 1977 to the show cause notice. In her subsequent letter dated 1st November 1977 written to the second party, she has not denied that she gave any reply referred to in Ex. W-2. Ex. W-3 is her letter dated 1st November 1977. In her claim statement also, she has not denied that she has sent any reply to the show cause notice. Under these circumstances, it is very likely that she had given the reply Ex. W-5 as in fact, she had been asked to give such reply in with in 2½ days after service of Ex. W-1.

11. Moreover, her statement that her signature to Ex. M-5 was taken assuring that she would be given work, is on the face of it absurd, as in fact, she had not been dismissed on the date when it was given. If, on the other hand, it is to be presumed that she was asked to sign Ex. W-5 after her services were terminated, I do not think that she would have, thus willingly signed that document, especially when she took recourse to law to raise an industrial dispute. Under these circumstances, I am of the view that Ex. W-5 is the reply given by the first party after getting it written.

12. In Ex. M-5, given by the first party as reply, she has admitted that she had been misbehaved by asking tips. At the same

time she had stated about her indignant circumstances, about her long and faithful service and praying for excuse for that one lapse. Therefore, in my opinion, the first party admitted the misbehaviour imputed to her, in Ex. M-5. On that admission itself it may be held that she was guilty of misconduct though actually there is no proof of such misconduct available. Therefore, I hold that the first party was guilty of misconduct of asking for tips while serving as Ahaya under the second party.

13. That brings me to the question as to whether the dismissal of the first party workwoman by the second party for the admitted misconduct is justifiable. As I have already pointed out, the misconduct she has admitted is of having demanded tips of Rs. 2/ or Rs. 3/ from the relative of a patient as alleged in the show cause notice given to her. She had not been even paid any tips. As I have already pointed out, there is no acceptable evidence in proof of she having been in the habit of asking tips from the patients or relatives of patients though MW-1 would have us believe that she was doing so. His statement is unworthy of acceptance since there is no such allegation even in the show cause notice Ex. W-1. There is also not proof of the fact that the first party workwomen refused to perform any duty she was to perform as Ayah unless she was tipped. It is also significant to note that even in the show cause notice, it is not alleged that she asked for tips to do any work Under the circumstances, the mere circumstance of this indigent employee having asked a tip of Rs. 2/ from the relative of a patient on one occasion cannot by any standards be considered as such a grave misconduct which would call for the severest punishment of dismissal from service. In my view, the punishment awarded to her is wholly unjustifiable having regard to the triviality of the misconduct she had admitted and also to the fact that she repented for the same and assured that she would not do it again. No doubt, the second party had issued a circular as per Ex. M-2 to all the Ayahas and Aids working in their Nursing Home warning them against asking the patients for tips like beggers. The word 'beggers' is used. It indicates that they were just begging for money, but were not demanding money to do their duties. The first party workman has admitted that such a warning was issued and she too had signed it at Ex. M-2 (a), That was in May 1977. The wrath of MW-1 is that inspite of such a circular, the first party had demanded tips. It may be that there was such a lapse on her part, but in my view, that would not call for the extreme penalty imposed her.

14. This court has ample power under Section 11A of the Industrial Disputes Act to consider the question whether the order of dismissal for misconduct, is justified even if such misconduct is held to have been proved, for this proposition, the decition of the Supreme Court in workmen of Fire Stone Tittle and Rubber Company of India

Ltd., Vs. Management AIR 1973 SC 1227 may be referred to. The contention that this court cannot interfere with the order of dismissal, even if it is held that the misconduct is admitted, cannot be sustained.

15. For the reasons aforesaid, I hold on the second issue that the second party is not justified in terminating the services of the first party workmen.

16. *Issue No. 3* :—Coming to the question of reliefs the first party is entitled to, in my view, she is entitled for reinstatement as the penalty of dismissal imposed on her is quite disproportionate to the misconduct admitted by her. But she cannot go without any penalty for such misconduct. Having regard to the nature of misconduct, I am of the view, that the penalty of denial of increments till the date of her reinstatement and also denial of back wages claimed by her would be inadequate and would meet the ends of justice. Therefore, on the 3rd issue, I hold that the first party is entitled to the relief of reinstatement from the date when this award becomes enforceable and also back wages from that date, if she is not reinstated from that date.

17. *Issue No. 4* :—In view of my conclusion on 3rd issue, the following order is made in answer to issue No. 4.

ORDER

18. The second party shall reinstate the first party workman in their service on or before the date when this award becomes enforceable on the same terms and conditions prevailing when she was dismissed from service. If she is not so reinstated, the second party shall also be liable to pay full back wages to the first party from the date when this award becomes enforceable. In the circumstances of this case, each party shall bear its own costs.

N. P. KEMPE GOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 135 LLA 79
Bangalore, dated the 23rd May 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 270 LLD 78, dated 26th April 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Sita Bhateja's Nursing Home, No. 8 & 9, O'Shanghnessy Road, Longford Gardens, Bangalore-25 was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Depts.
Labour (A)

1152—S. O. 1533

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 29 FAF 79
Bangalore, dated 31st May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in the Schedule I below shall with effect from 30th June 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of the rights specified in Schedule II.

SCHEDULE I

Name of the District	Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk	Karkal
Name of the village	Nadpal
S. No.	142/1
Name of the Block	New Someshwar R. F. Extension Block
Extent	78-60 acres

Boundary Description

North.— Starting from station No. 36 (cairn No. 10 of New Someshwar Reserve Forest) the boundary line runs north east, along the boundary line of New Someshwar Reserved Forest, to station No. 0 over a length of 3 130 links. The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station No.		Bearings in F. B.	Distance in links
From	To		
...	...	...	...
36	37	7	400

37	38	12	640
38	39	53	1075
39	40	62	1015

East :—Further the demarcation line runs towards south east direction from station No. 0 to 1 over a length of 580 links. From there the line runs in south western direction to station No 4 passing through the middle stations. 2 and 3 at a distance of 1750 links. From there the line runs in north westerly direction to station No. 5 at a distance of 535 links. Thence the line runs in south westerly direction to station No. 6 at a distance of 530 links. Thence the line runs in south easterly direction to station No. 7 at a distance of 340 links. From there the line runs in north easterly direction to station No. 9 passing through the middle station No. 8 at a total distance of 560 links. From there the line runs in nearly northern direction to station No. 11 passing through the middle station No. 10 at a total distance of 480 links. Thence the line runs south easterly direction to station No. 12 at a distance of 268 links. Thence the line runs in nearly northerly direction to station No. 13 at a distance of 327 links. Thence the line runs in north easterly direction to station No. 15 passing through the middle station No. 14 at a distance of 550 links. Thence the line runs in south easterly direction to station No. 18 passing through the middle station No. 16 and 17 at a total distance of 1205. Thence the line runs in south westerly direction to station No. 19 at a distance of 485 links. Again the line runs in south easterly direction to station No. 21 passing through the middle station No. 22 passing through the middle station No. 20, 21 at a distance of 1415 links. Thence the line runs in south westerly direction to station No. 23 at a distance of 645 links. From there the line runs in nearly southern direction to station No. 24 at a distance of 440 links. Thence the line runs in south westerly direction to station No. 25 at a distance of 100 links.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees F. B.	Distance in links.
...	...	...	...
0	1	145	580
1	2	238	600
2	3	237.30	610
3	4	216.30	550
4	5	288,00	535
5	6	156	530

6	7	119	340
7	8	43	250
8	9	75	310
9	10	100	270
10	11	91	210
11	12	139	268
12	13	95	327
13	14	55	250
14	15	21 30	300
15	16	143	310
16	17	152	185
17	18	153	680
18	19	239	485
19	20	170	400
20	21	163	725
21	22	150	290
22	23	220	645
23	24	177	440
24	25	205	100

South : Further the demarcation line moves towards north west along the Someswara Coondapura road from station No 25 to 30 over a distance of 3745 links. The bearings and degrees from station to station are as follows :

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degree	Distance in links
25	26	311	1270
26	27	311	1000
27	28	302	600
28	29	289	400
29	30	284	475

West :—The demarcation line runs in north east from station No. 30 to 34 over a length of 1349 links and from station No. 34 to 36 in north westerly direction over a length of 1085 links and completes the traverse The bearings and distances from station to station are furnished below :

Station From	Numbers To	Bearing in degrees	Distances in links
...	...	...	...
30	31	27	106
31	32	44.30	410
32	33	51.00	424
33	34	29.00	400
34	35	318.00	695
	36	317	3

Schedule II

Right of ways ; Road-Someshwara-Coondapoor road-starting from Someshwara, the P. W. D. road enters the block between station No. 24 and 25 and runs towards north west along the boundary line and leaves the block between station No. 30 and 31 and goes to Coondapur.

Cart track No. 1-Starting from Someshwara-Coondapoor road, inside the block and quits the block between cairn No. 17 and 18. Again in enters the block between cairn No. 15 and 16 and moves across the block towards north and quits the block between station No. 17 and 18 and goes to new Someswara R. F.

Cart track No. 2-starting from Someshwara Coondapur road, inside the block and moves towards north east and touches cart track No. 1, inside the block, near cairn No. 15.

Other rights :

1. Free removal of green manure leaves for bonafide use
2. Free grazing of cattle by villagers
3. Free removal of dry fuel by villagers
4. Free removal of muligrass for domestic use by villagers

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1203—S.O. 1534

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 39 CLM 79

Bangalore, dated 19th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) and in supersession of the Notification No. RDC 60 CLM 78 dated 8th January 1979 and Notification No. RDC 39 CLM 79 dated 11th June 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that Section 115

of the said Act shall apply to all Co-operative Milk Producers Union, Ltd., with the following modifications, namely:—

After sub-section (5) of Section 115 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies, Act, 1959 the following sub-section shall be inserted, namely:—

"(6) (a) Notwithstanding anything contained in this Act, the Registrar may amend the bye laws to provide for,—

(i) Constitution of the first Board of Directors;

(ii) nomination of its directors and President;

(iii) term of such directors and the extension of such term;

(iv) disqualifications for being nominated as, and for being, a director,

(v) removal of a disqualified member and for filling up vacancies arising by such removal;

(b) The Registrar may register the said amendments and shall forward to the Co operative Milk Producers Union, Ltd, a copy of the same together with a certificate which shall be conclusive evidence that the said amendment has been duly registered."

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation
Department.

1380—S. O. 1535

Prin by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 1539 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints theDistrict Judge, Mysore District, Mysore as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1345—S.O. 1547 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department*

Order II, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriages bearing registration No. MYA 1539 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having

expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1346—S.O. 1548 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6390 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the District Judge, Mysore District Mysore as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1347—S.O. 1549 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78
Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6390 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1348—S.O. 1550 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78

Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYZ 4869 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the District Judge, Mysore District, Mysore as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1343—S.O 1551 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78
Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYZ 4869 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government, Home Department

1344—S.O. 1552

Notification No. HD 41 TMA 79.
Bangalore, dated 31st May. 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicles belonging to the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore described in the Schedule below can be used as transport vehicles in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicles from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plaing them within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicles should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	WB	Overhang
				210"	60%
1	ALB 104399	ALI 84601	Leyland viking.		
2	ALB 104398	ALI 84643	do	do	do
3	ALB 104919	ALI 84183	do	do	do
4	ALB 104726	ALI 84856	do	do	do
5	ALB 104724	ALI 84803	do	do	do

By order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.

1208—S.O. 1553

Notification No. HD 36 TRT 79.

Bangalore, dated 31st May 1979

In pursuance of clauses 3 and 4 of the Commercial vehicles (Restriction on re-sale) Order 1979 issued by the Central Government under Section 18 G of the Industries (Development and Rugulation) Act, 1951 (Central Act 65 of 1951) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the Commissioner for Transport, Bangalore as the officer in the State appointed for the purpose of the said clauses.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1207—S. O. 1554

Notification No. HD 112 TMA 79

Bangalore, dated 25th/28th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, and in supersession of Notification No. HD 112 TMA 79, dated 24th May 1979, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicles Leyland Cheetha Buses belonging to H. M. T. Ltd , Bangalore described in the Schedule below can be used as transport vehicles in Public place without any danger to the public safety. hereby exempts the said vehicles from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rule for the purposes of plying them within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicles should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Engine No.	Chassis No.	Make	WB	Over hang.
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	ALI 80978	ALA 100919	Leyland	210''	60%
2	ALI 80936	ALA 100918	Cheetah		
3	ALI 80751	ALA 100939	do	do	do
4	ALI 81037	ALA 100948	do	do	do
5	ALI 81015	ALA 100981	do	do	do
6	ALI 81040	ALA 100943	do	do	do
7	ALI 84038	ALB 101170	do	do	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1179—S. O. 1555

Notification No. HD 24 TRC 79(1)
Bangalore, dated 22nd June 1979

In pursuance of Section 7 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950), the Government of Karnataka hereby accept the resignation of Sri R. Gundu Rao, to the Chairmanship and Member-ship of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1374.—S. O. 1556

Notification No. HD 24 TRC 79 (2)
Bangalore, dated 22nd June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of the Road Transport Corporations Act, 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950), read with sub-rule (5) of Rule 3 of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Rules, 1961, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Sri V. Venugopala Naidu, I.A.S., Commissioner for Home Affairs and Secretary to Government, Home Department, an Official Member of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, as the Chairmen of the said Corporation with immediate effect Vice Sri R. Gundu Rao resigned.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1375—S.O. 1557

REVENUE SECRETARIAT
Notification No. RD 46 LRE 79
Bangalore, dated 30th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred in Clause (i) of Sub-Section (1) read with Sub-Section (3) of Section 48 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962) and in modification of Notification No. RD 12 LRE 79 dated 8th March 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby notify the Officer specified as under in Column (2) to be the Chairman of Tribunal of Taluk specified in column (3) replacing the Officer noted in Column (4).

Sl. No.	Discription of officer now notified as Chairman	Name of Tribunal	Designation of the Chairman replaced
1	2	3	4
1	Administrator, T.R.R. Scheme Mundgod, Hubli	Mundargi	Assistant Commissioner, Gadag.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms & Ex-Officio,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1—S.O. 1558

Notification No. RD 10 LRD 77.

Bangalore, dated 1st February 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka taking into consideration the population area the demand under Land Revenue and other Heads of Accounts of Kambarganvi village in Dharwar Taluka, Dharwad District and having regard to the Administrative convenience proposes to issue a notification in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) altering the limits of Kambarganvi village and consisting a new village called "Honnapur" for the purposes of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Karnataka Act12 of 1964, notice of the proposals specified in the Schedule below is hereby published for the information of the persons likely to be effected thereby and notice is hereby given that the proposals will be taken into consideration on or after 12th March 1979.

Any objection which may be received from any person with respect to the said proposals before the date specified above will be considered by the State Government. Objections may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Dharwar District, Dharwar.

SCHEDULE

1. Land bearing the Survey Nos. specified shall be excluded from the limits of the Kumbarganvi village, Dharwar Taluk, Dharwar District.

Sl. No.	Survey No.	Area A-G	Assessment Rs Ps.
1	2	3	4
1	177	6-9	Forest
2	178	23-10	,,
3	179	18-15	,,
4	180	12-14	,,
5	181	23-28	,,
6	182	17-27	,,
7	183	20-15	,,
8	184	17-35	,,
9	185	4-25	,,
10	186	26-30	,,
11	187	12-37	,,
12	188	2-38	,,
13	189	27-13	,,
14	190	20-25	,,
15	191	15-33	,,

Notification No. RD 10 LRD 77.

Bangalore, dated 1st February 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka taking into consideration the population area the demand under Land Revenue and other Heads of Accounts of Kambarganvi village in Dharwar Taluka, Dharwad District and having regard to the Administrative convenience proposes to issue a notification in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) altering the limits of Kambarganvi village and consisting a new village called "Honnapur" for the purposes of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Karnataka Act12 of 1964, notice of the proposals specified in the Schedule below is hereby published for the information of the persons likely to be effected thereby and notice is hereby given that the proposals will be taken into consideration on or after 12th March 1979.

Any objection which may be received from any person with respect to the said proposals before the date specified above will be considered by the State Government. Objections may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Dharwar District, Dharwar.

SCHEDULE

1. Land bearing the Survey Nos. specified shall be excluded from the limits of the Kumbarganvi village, Dharwar Taluk, Dharwar District.

Sl. No.	Survey No.	Area A-G	Assessment Rs Ps.
1	2	3	4
1	177	6-9	Forest
2	178	23-10	,,
3	179	18-15	,,
4	180	12-14	,,
5	181	23-28	,,
6	182	17-27	,,
7	183	20-15	,,
8	184	17-35	,,
9	185	4-25	,,
10	186	26-30	,,
11	187	12-37	,,
12	188	2-38	,,
13	189	27-13	,,
14	190	20-25	,,
15	191	15-33	,,

1	2	3	4
16	192	25-05	,,
17	193	11-00	,,
18	194	8-36	,,
19	195	25-05	,,
20	196	11-00	,,
21	197	8-36	,,
22	198	381-09	,,
23	199	12-21	,,
24	200	6-03	12-00
25	201	26-20	Forest
26	202	27-04	,,
27	203	11-34	,,
28	204	7-28	,,
29	205	16-24	,,
30	206	18-04	,,
31	207	28-00	,,
32	208	21-06	,,
33	209	24-19	,,
34	210	13-36	,,
35	211	26-10	,,
36	212	22-01	,,
37	213	17-32	,,
38	214	24-18	,,
39	215	14-35	,,
40	216	4-07	,,
41	217	23-10	,,
42	218	0-25	,,
43	219	0-37	,,
44	220	0-21	,,
45	221	0-21	,,
46	222	0-24	,,
47	223	2-04	,,
48	224	0-33	,,
49	225	0-33	,,
50	226	0-21	,,
51	227	1-07	,,
52	228	0-35	,,
53	229	0-26	,,
	Total Area ...	1079 A-04G	

2. There shall be constituted a new village called ''Honnapur' in Dharwar Taluk Dharwar District and the said new village shall

comprise of the land bearing survey numbers specified below which are exoluded from the limits of Kambarganvi village.

Sl.No.	Survey No	Area A-G	Assessment Rs. Ps.
1	2	3	5
1	177	6-09	Forest
2	178	23-10	,,
3	179	18-15	,,
4	180	12-14	,,
5	181	23-28	,,
6	182	17-27	,,
7	183	20-15	,,
8	184	17-35	,,
9	185	4-25	,,
10	186	26-30	,
11	187	12-37	,,
12	188	2-38	,,
13	189	27-13	,,
14	190	20-25	,,
15	191	15-33	,,
16	192	25-05	,,
17	193	11-00	,,
18	194	8-36	,,
19	195	25-05	,,
20	196	11-00	,,
21	197	8-36	,,
22	198	381-09	,,
23	199	12-21	,,
24	200	6-03	Rs. 12/
25	201	26-20	Forest
26	202	27-04	,,
27	203	11-34	,,
28	204	7-28	,,
29	205	16-24	,,
30	206	18-04	,,
31	207	28-00	,,
32	208	21-06	,,
33	209	24-19	,,
34	210	13-36	,,
35	211	26-10	,,
36	212	22-01	,,
37	213	17-32	,,
38	214	24-18	,,
39	215	14-35	,,

1	2	3	4
40	216	4-07	,,
41	217	23-10	,,
42	218	0-25	,,
43	219	0-37	,,
44	220	0-21	,,
45	221	0-21	,,
46	222	0-24	,,
47	223	2-04	,,
48	224	0-33	,,
49	225	0-33	,,
50	226	0-21	,,
51	227	1-07	,,
52	228	0-35	,,
53	229	0-26	,,
	Total Area ...	1079A-04G	

Boundaries of the new village "Honnapur".

East :—Village boundaries of Niralgi.
West :—Village boundaries of Aravalgi and Basavapur.
North :— Village boundaries of Kambaraganvi.
South :—Village boundaries of Kalkeri.

The proposals specified above shall come into effect from such date as the State Government may specify in the Notification proposed to be issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. G. N. JOIS,
I/c *Under Secretary to Government,*
Revenue Department.

383—S. O. 1559

Notification No. RD 53 TRM 78

Bangalore, dated 22nd June 1979

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villeges specified in column (2) of the schedule below owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act,

1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C-(i) of Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966 the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the Land revenue of Rs. 30,897-45 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 43 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Sreerangapatna taluk of Mandya district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
(1)	(2)		(3)
	ARAKERE HOBLI		
1	Arakere	...	1,017-26
2	Bannehalli	...	801-98
3	Bettahalli	...	481-71
4	Kodiyala	...	922-50
5	Gobbaragala	...	275-14
6	Channanakere	...	407-41
7	Neralakere	...	912-42
8	Jakkananhalli	...	838-67
9	Hunjanakere	...	550-35
10	Garkahalli	...	528-83
11	Doddaharohalli	...	318-67
12	Ballakere	...	1,086-94
13	Madrahalli	...	314-20
14	Gamanahalli	...	3,938-45
15	Hunasanahalli	...	450-35
16	Chottanahalli	...	493-12
17	Alagood		995-67
18	Mottenahalli	...	326-75
19	Thadagavar	...	443-98
20	Alagood Kaval	...	49-73
21	Paramanadalli	...	302-13
22	Dammanahalli	...	509-45
23	Vitalapura	...	327-24
24	Kalenahalli	...	387-95
25	Gowdahalli	...	409-41
26	Ganangoor	...	699-97
27	Siddapur	...	895-18
	KASABA HOBLI		
28	Belavadi	...	1,920-65
29	Huralikyathanalli	...	617-84
30	Sugganalli	...	1,322-73
31	Bonttagahalli	...	572-86
32	Hebbadi	...	2,437-22
33	Hampapura	...	1, 66-91

1	2		3
	BELAGOLA HOBLI		
34	Kuppedada	...	9-32
35	Buchanakuppe	...	494-89
36	Avverahalli	...	597-79
37	Basthipura	...	395-54
38	Hulikere	...	630-42
39	Pura	...	252-41
40	Anaganalli	...	711-09
41	Mogarahalli	...	712-67
42	Karakura	...	160-48
43	Jakkanakuppe	...	115-17
		Grand Total Rs ...	30,897-45

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Departmnet.

1382—S. O. 1560

Notification No. RD 63 LRA 78
Bangalore, dated 30th May/1st June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under sub-section (3) of Section 48 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962) as amended and in partial modification of Government Notification of even number dated 5th August 1978 the Government of Karnataka hereby re-constitute the Tribunal of Haggribommanahalli taluk, Bellary District as under :

1. Special Assistant Commissioner, No. II, T.B P. Bellary ... Chairman
2. Sri T. Somappa, M.A , LL.B., Advocate, M.L A., Kudligi and H.B. Halli, Bellary District ... Member
3. Sri G. Shankarappa S/o Kallappa Gowda Tambralli, H.B. Halli do
4. Sri Harijana Durgappa S/o Dodda Durgavva, Dasmapura, H.B. Halli ... do
5. Sri A. Kotra Gowda (B.C.) Alabur, H.B. Halli ... do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms & Ex-officio
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1213—S. O. 1561

Notification No. RD 12 LRE 79
Bangalore, dated 8th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Clause(1) of sub-section (1) read with Section 48(3) of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962) and impartial modification of Government Notification of even number dated 27th April 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby notify the officer specified in column (2) to be the Chairman of Tribunals of Taluk specified in column (3) replacing the officer noted in column (4).

Sl. No.	Designation of Officer now notified as Chairman	Name of the Tribunals	Designation of the Chairman replaced
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1	Special Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Sirsi	Sirsi Siddapur	Special Assistant Commissioner Ankola (with Head Quarters at Sirsi).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms & Ex-Officio Under Secy. to Govt, Rev Dept.

1269—S.O. 1562

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 12 CPS 79.
Bangalore, dated 30th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate the following persons on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Limited, Kalghatgi, Dist. Dharwad, as Government nominees with immediate effect and until further orders.

Sriyuths :—

1. Kallappa, Kariyappa Harijan, Agriculturist, Tavargeri-post Kalghatgi-Taluk, Dharwad District.
2. Gulappa Channappa Berudagi, Agriculturist, Devikoppa post, Kalghatgi Taluk, Dharwad District.
3. Bharamappa, Basappa Angadi, Agriculturist, Bendalgatti Kalghatgi Post, Taluk Dharwad District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR
I/c. Under Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1205—S. O. 1563

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 1005 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 25th May 1979.

Whereas a Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Kolar Dist. Poultry Farmers Co-operative Society Limited, Kolar was rejected from reference to labour Court for adjudication in Govt. Endorsement No, SWL 471 LLD 78 dated 5th July 1978 :

Whereas the said workmen have represented on 7th October 1978 to Government, to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication ;

And whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 6th April 1979 and their pleadings have been duly considered and the Government is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Workmen and the Management above mentioned on the points ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the Management justified in terminating the sevice of Sri K. Seetharama Rao, Clerk, w.e.f. 22nd February 1975.

II If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA
Desk Officer
Social Welfare and Labour Department

1177—S.O. 1564

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Trust Well Foundries (P) Ltd., Metagalli Village, K. R. S. Road, Mysore-2.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. REF. 46/79-80/134, dated 5th May 1979 from Shri P. B. Chaugule, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER,
LABOUR COURT, MYSORE.

Dated 4th May 1979.

Present :

Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A., (Hons) LL.B ,

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 46/78.

First Party :—

Workmen represented by the General Secretary, Mysore District Industrial Workers Union, Kumbasukappal, Mysore-2.

Vs.

Second party .—

The Management of Trustwell Foundries (P) Ltd., Metagalli Village, K.R.S. Road, Mysore-570 002.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their order No. SWL 333 LLD 77 dated 18th June 1977 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication :—

1. Are the management of Trustwell Foundries (P) Ltd , Metagalli Village, K.R.S. Road, Mysore justified in refusing work to Sri P. Doreswamy, Furnace Operator, w. e. f. 28th September 1976 ?
2. If not, is the said worker entitled for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?

2. This reference was originally made to the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hassan. Consequent upon the establishment of a Labour Court at Mysore, this case was transferred to this court. On receipt of the records by this court, the reference was registered at Reference No. 46/78 and notices were ordered to be issued by registered post to both the parties. The notice sent to the first party was returned with a postal endorsement "No such addressee". The notice sent to second party was returned with a postal endorsement "Factory closed". Thereafter, a letter was addressed to the Labour Officer, Mysore on 1st February 1979 to send the correct present address of both the parties. But, so for the Labour Officer, Mysore har not cared to send a reply to the said letter. In the absence of correct present addresses of both the parties, it is not possible for this court to serve notices on them. Unless both the parties are served with the notices, the reference cannot be decided. Hence the reference is closed.

Mysore,
Dated 4th May 1979.

P. B. CHAUGULE,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

ORDER NO. SWL 146 LLA 79
Bangalore, dated 30th/31st May 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 333 LLD 77 dated 18th June 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Trust Well Foundries (P) Ltd., Metagalli Village K.R.S. Road, Mysore-2 was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer (Lab (A))
Social Welfare and Labour Department,

1217—S.O. 1565

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Modern Travels, Sarva Nilaya, Shankar Mutt Road, Mysore-4.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore, published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. 3/79/Award/60 dated 18th April 1979 from Sri P. B. Chaugule, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE.

Dated 18th April 1979.

Present :

Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A., (Hons) LL.B.
Presiding Officer

Reference No. 3/1979.

First Party :
Sri M. D. Hussain, No. 2526, Erekatte Street, Lashkar Mohalla, Mysore-1.

Vs.

Second Party :
M/s Modern Travels, 'Sarva Nilaya', Shankar Mutt Road, Mysore-4.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their order No. SWL 834 LLD 76, dated 8th February 1979, have referred the following points of dispute to this Court for adjudication :—

POINTS OF DISPUTE

1. Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri M. D. Hussain, Driver, w.e.f. 7th March 1976?
2. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled?

Both the parties though duly served with the notice of this reference have remained absent. As per the points of dispute, the burden was on the second party management to prove that they were justified in terminating the services of the first party workman w.e.f. 7th March 1976. The second party management has not appeared and has not adduced any evidence to show that they were justified in terminating the services of the first party workman. Hence, it has to be held that the termination of the services of the first party workman is illegal and therefore not justified. The reference is thereore allowed. The second party management is directed to take back the first party workman on duty within one month from the date of publication of the award in the *Karnataka Gazette*. Since the workman is absent there will be no order regarding back wages and continuity of service. No costs.

Mysore,
Dated: 18th April 1979.

P. B. CHAUGULE,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

Order No. SWL 132 LLA 79.
Bangalore, dated 22nd/23rd May 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 834 LLD 76, dated 8th February 1979, the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Modern Travels, Sarva Nilaya, Shankar Mutt Road, Mysore-1 was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication;

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour 'A' Section).

1151—S.O.1566

Order No. SWL 116 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 7th March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Laxmi Talkies, Gulbarga on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in refusing work to Sri Baburao, S/o Manikrao, Assistant Manager, during March 1978?

II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

573—S O 1567

Order No. SWL 548 LLD 77

Bangalore, dated 20th, 21st April 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of N.G E.F., Limited, P.B. No. 3876. Byappanahalli, Bangalore-38, on the points noted below;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management of N.G.E F. Ltd., Bangalore justified in their proposal to withdraw the leave facility of a paid holiday on Second Saturday of every month as proposed in their Notice of change dated 30th October 1 75 ?

II. If not, to what relief are the workers are entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

941—S.O. 1568

Order No. SWL 415 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 4th May/19th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Sri Vijaya Ramachandra Uchagavi Powerloom Owner, 40 Road, No. 2 Bharat Nagar, Belgaum, on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Whether the management justified, in terminating the Services of Sri Narayana Gund ji Ambewadikar, with effect from 13th November 1978?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASEKHARIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department

1352 -S.O. 1569

Notification No. SWL 116 LLE 79

Bangalore, dated 17/18th April 1979

In partial modification of Government Notification No. SWL 133 LLE 78 dated 27th October 1977 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 (Central Act 37 of 1970), read with Rule 4 of the Contract Labour (R & A) Karnataka Rules 1974, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Managing Director and Vice Chairman Vishweshwaraya Iron and Steel Industry Ltd., Bhadravathi, or his nominee as member of the Karnataka State Advisory Contract Labour Board, representing public sector undertakings run by Government with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

876—S. O. 1570

Notification No. SWL 12 SBR 79

Bangalore, dated 2nd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 4 of the Karnataka Prohibition of Beggary Act 1975 (Karnataka Act No 27 of 1975) Sri S. Eswarappa, KAS Class-I (Senior Scale) Officer, whose services are placed at the disposal of Social Welfare and Labour Department in Government Notification No DPAR 75 SMC 79, dated 26th April 1979 is appointed with immediate effect and until further orders as Secretary, Central Relief Committee, Bangalore *vice* Sri T. M. Basheer Ahmed, transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

S. M. RAMANUMAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

993—S. O. 1571

Notification No. SWL 25 LFB 79.

Bangalore, dated 26/28th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (2) of Section 65 of the Factories Act, 1948 (Central Act 63 of 1948) the Government of Karnataka, exempts the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Printing Press, Bangalore from the provisions of Sections. 51. 52. 54 and 56 for a period of three months from the date of issue of this notification, subject to the conditions specified in the sub-section (3) of section 65 of the Said Act.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government
S. W. & Labour Department.

1175—S. O. 1572

Order No. SWL 225 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 20/24th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of V. K. Boards and Papers (P) Ltd., Bangalore South on the points noted below:

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of V. K. Boards and Papers (P) Ltd., 11th Mile, Whitefield, Bangalore South, justified in removing Sri Vijaya Shankar from service with effect from 1st January 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the workmen entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

936—S.O. 1573

Order No. SWL 288 LLD 79

Bangalore dated 24th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Goetze (India) Ltd., Yelahanka, Bangalore on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication .

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Suresh Chandra and Sri Manjunatha Swamy with effect from 28th February 1979?

II. If not, to what relief, the workmen are entitled "

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

937 - S. O. 1574

Order No. SWL 328 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 2nd May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), have referred the dispute between the workmen and the management of Karnataka Agro Industries Corporation Ltd, Hebbal, Bangalore, to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, for adjudication ;

And, whereas, a strike in connection with the aforesaid dispute was in existence on the date of the said reference and is being continued.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (3) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby prohibits the continuance of the strike by the workmen of Karnataka Agro Industries Corporation Ltd., Hebbal, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
S. W. and L. Department.
(Labour-A)

983—S.O. 1575

Notification No. SWL 155 LLE 79
Bangalore dated 20th April 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 read with Section 8 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) and all other powers enabling it in this behalf the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the following officers as Presiding Officers of Industrial Tribunal and Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore as shown against them with immediate effect and until further orders.

Name and Designation	Tribunal to which posted.
1. Sri H. Shanmukhappa, District Judge now working as Presiding Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.	Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore *vice* Sri F.L.F. Alvares transfered.
2. Sri C. N. Rejan, District Judge now working as Presiding Officer, Labour Labour Court, Mangalore (on leave)	On return from leave as Presiding Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore *vice* Sri H Shanmukhappa transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H.V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

899—S. O. 1576

Order No SWL 365 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 19th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of B.E.L, Jalahalli P.O. Bangalore-13 on the points noted below.

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in withholding. with cummulative effect, the increments of Shri K. K. Mohammed, Driver S. No. 05282. Vide their Order No, 05282/Div-II/PD dated 6th April 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief(s) is the said workman entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1366—S. O. 1577

Order No. SWL 304 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 1st June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of K.S.R.T.C , Bellary Division, Bellary on the points noted below ;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addnl.Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. Whether the management justified in dismissing the services of their workman Sri M. R. Sindhur, Ex-conductor, through their order dated 29th October 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1218—S.O. 1578

Order No. SWL 390 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 1st June 1979.

Whereas, the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Chief Executive Officer Taluka Development Board, Mudhol, Bijapur District on the points noted below;

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri R. A. Sindhi. Watchman-Cum-Helper with effect from 14th June 1976 ?

II If not, to what relief or reliefs is the workman entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1219—S.O. 1579

Notification No. SWD 21 SBR 79

Bangalore, dated 26th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Prohibition of Beggary Act 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 27 of 1975) read with rule 4 of the Rules for the Prohibition of Beggary, 1975, the Government of Karnataka hereby reconstitute the Central Relief Committee, consisting of the following members with Sri T. R. Shamanna, M.L.A., as Chairman for a period of 3 years :

1. Sri T.R. Shamanna, M.L.A., Bangalore ... Chairman
2. The Commissioner and Secretaay to Govt., Social Welfare & Labour Department ... Member
3. The Commissioner for Home Affairs ... Member
4. The Commissioner and Secretary to Govt., Housing & Urban Development Department ... Member
5. The Financial Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Finance Department ... Member
6. The Inspector General of Police, Bangalore ... Member
7. The Chief Engineer, Minor Irrigation and Engineering, Bangalore ... Member

8.	The Director of Health and Family Welfare Bangalore	... Member
9.	The Director of Social Welfare, Bangalore	... Member
10.	The Director of Agriculture, Bangalore	... Member
11.	Sri K. Thirumalappa, Bangalore Rural District Congress Committee, Veerasagara Yelahanka Hobli, Bangalore North Taluk	... Member
12.	Sri T. Madlaiah C/o Sri T. Ramaiah and Brothers, Dewan Surappa Lane, Chickpet Bangalore-2	... Member
13.	Sri M K. Lingaiah, Ex-Municipal President No. 3744, XI Cross Tilaknagar, Mysore	... Member
14.	Sri Gundappa Korwar, Q. No. 6, Sedam Road Colony, Jagat, Gulbarga	... Member
15.	Smt. Octavia Albuquerque, MLC, 'Sea View' Bolar, Mangalore-1	... Member
16.	Sri Y. V. Jagannavar, Ex-MLA, Prabhurajpet, Hosur, Karichawl, Hubli	... Member
17.	Smt. Nagamma Keshava Murthy, MLA., No. 442, P J. Extension, Davangere	... Member
18.	Smt. Morjoria D'Cunha, District Commissioner Girl Guides, Oorgaum Post, K.G.F.	... Member
19.	Sri N. Sridhar, No. 249, 7th Cross, Prakashnagar, Srirampura. Bangalore-21	... Member
20.	Sri K. Made Gowda, M.L.A., No. 12/1, Dewans Road, Mysore	... Member
21.	Sri M.L. Bettigere, No. 37/1, Bazargalli Khasbag, Belgaum	... Member
22.	Sri Acharya K. Ramalingam, Freedom Fighter No. 86, Sadarpatrappa Road, Bangalore-2	... Member
23.	Sri M.M. Karur, Advocate, Ranebennur, Dharwad District	... Member
24.	Sri Y. Hiriyanna, Padubidri, Dakshina Kannada District	... Member
25.	Smt. P R. Jayalakshamma, Former Deputy Mayor, Kalappa Block, Basavangudi, Bangalore 4	Memeer
26.	Sri K.M. Narasimhaiah, T.D.B. Member, Magodu, Sira Taluk, Tumkur District	... Member

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. P. ISSAR,
Commissioner & Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

1405—S. O. 1589

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 102 MLR 79

Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 315 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act 1964. the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Tahsildar, Udupi Taluk, as Administrator, Town Municipal Council, Udupi in addition to his own duties relieving Shri Hiriyanna Bhat. Administrator, T.M.C. Udupi, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing & Urban Development Dept.

1190—S. O. 1581

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AFD 2 FAF 79.

Bangalore, dated 7th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section-4 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(i) declares that it is proposed to constitute the lands in Padukudur and Yellare villages of/Dakshina Kannada District. the situations and limits of which are specified in the schedule below as reserved forests ;

(ii) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer. Dakshina Kannada, Mangalore to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (i) of the said section ; and

(iii) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Coondapur Division, Coondpur to represent the Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

Name of the District	...	Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk	...	Karkala
Name of the Block	...	Padukudur Block
Name of the Village	...	Padukudur and Yellare
Survey Field Numbers	...	50 and 121, 108 and 79
Area	...	53.82 hectares or 133.00 acres

Boundary Description

North :—Starting from station No. 0 i.e. Cairn No. 85 of Muniyal Block (Cashew Plantation) the demarcation line runs to almost East to Station No. 4 passing through the intermediate station No. 1 to 3 over a distance of 1870 links. Then to almost South to station No. 7 passing through intermediate station No. 5 and 6 over a distance of 1130 links. Then to almost East to station No. 18 passing through the intermediate station No. 8 to 17 over a distance of 2475 links.

The bearings and distance from station to station are as follows

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F.B.	Distance in links
0	1	89	260
1	2	75	270
2	3	93	770
3	4	123	570
4	5	160	310
5	6	176	460
6	7	180	360
7	8	113	290
8	9	112	150
9	10	96	230
10	11	134	160
11	12	130	180
12	13	113	250
13	14	95	240
14	15	87	370
15	16	88	210
16	17	83	235
17	18	72	160

East :—From station No. 18 the demarcation line runs to almost South to station No. 21 passing through station No. 19 and 20 over a distance of 885 links. Then it runs in South East direction to station No. 23 to a distance of 1980 links passing through the middle station No. 22. Then it runs almost East to station No. 28 passing through the intermediate station No. 24 to 27 over a distance of 2050 links. Then to almost South to station No. 36 passing through station No. 29 to 36 over a distance of 1910 links.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
18	19	166	250
19	20	166	215
20	21	175	360
21	22	137	1650
22	23	160	330
23	24	113	490
24	25	110	480
25	26	105	300
26	27	105	300
27	28	105	480
28	29	166	270
29	30	200	175
30	31	216	240
31	32	215	180
32	33	197	250
33	34	153	300
34	35	167	315
35	36	175	186

South :—From station No. 36 the demarcation line runs to almost West to station No. 38 through station No. 37 over a distance of 450 links. Then to almost North to Station No. 43 passing through Station No. 39 to 42 over a distance of 1445 links. Then to North-West to station No. 51 passing through Station No. 44 to 50 over a distance of 1310 links. Then it runs in South-West direction to station No. 56 passing through the intermediate station No. 52 to 55 over a distance of 1025 links. Then to South to station No. 58 through station No. 57 over a distance of 410 links. Then to West to station No. 60 through station No 59 over a distance of 345 links. Then to North-West to station No. 63 through station No. 61 and 62 over a distance of 850 links. Then to North-West to station No. 65 through station No. 64 over a distance of 400 links. Then it runs in South-West direction to station No. 68 over a distance of 730 links passing through No. 66 and 67. Afterwards it runs in South-West direction to station No. 69 over a distance of 450 links. Then runs almost Western direction to station No. 71 passing through the middle station No. 70 over a distance of 565 links. Then runs in the South-West direction to station No. 72 over a distance of 575 links. Then it runs almost Southern direction to station No. 74 passing through the middle station No. 73 over a distance of 740 links. Then runs in the North-West direction to station No. 76 passing through the middle station No. 75 over a distance of 685 links.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degrees in F.B.	Distances in links
36	37	257	275
37	38	241	175
38	39	321	200
39	40	7	400
40	41	359	310
41	42	1	325
42	43	333	200
43	44	281	210
44	45	263	160
45	46	278	190
46	47	287	120
47	48	316	125
48	49	295	240
49	50	308	140
50	51	311	125
51	52	266	140
52	53	258	125
53	54	238	200
54	55	210	300
55	56	215	260
56	57	177	180
57	58	169	230
58	59	252	200
59	60	268	145
60	61	307	450
61	62	321	200
62	63	317	200
63	64	285	200
64	65	285	200
65	66	258	140
66	67	265	340
67	68	251	250
68	69	324	450
69	70	283	125
70	71	280	440
71	72	317	575
72	73	1e4	400
73	74	1' 3	340
74	75	296	360
75	76	291	325

West : From station No 76 the line runs to North-East to station No 82 passing through the intermediate station No. 77 to 81 over a distance of 1770 links. Then to almost Northern direction to station No. 86 passing through the intermediate station No. 83 to 85 over a distance of 700 links. Then to North-East to Station No. 89 through station No. 87 and 88 over a distance of 8 0 links. Then to almost North to station No. 91 through station No. 90 over 830 links. Then to North-West to station No 103 through station No. 92 to 102 over a distance of 2300 links. Then to almost West to station No 103 through station No. 101 and 102 over a distance of 1200 links. Then to North-West to station No. 0 through station No. 101 to 106 i.e on the boundary of Muniyal block over a distance of 1840 links. Thus completing the traverse.

The bearings and distances form station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F B.	Distance in links
76	77	37	550
77	78	30	470
78	79	30	320
79	80	22	150
80	81	22	130
81	82	20	150
82	83	81	130
83	84	88	140
84	85	99	250
85	86	95	180
86	87	65	270
87	88	75	275
88	89	56	265
89	90	30	340
90	91	8	450
91	92	308	190
92	93	305	175
93	94	292	310
94	95	298	220
95	96	318	320
96	97	319	350
97	98	312	300
98	99	314	275
99	100	3 8	170
100	101	272	375
101	102	279	425
102	103	280	400
103	104	314	600
104	105	304	200
105	106	320	500
106	0	341	510

RIGHT OF WAYS

A road from Perdoor enters the block between cairn No. 88 and 89 of Muniyal block runs inside the block and leaves between cairn No. 21 and 22 and again enters the block between cairn No. 24 and 25 and runs to East and leaves the block to Muniyal between cairn No. 30 and 31.

One Cart track from Kalmane enters the block between cairn No. 3 and 4 and joins the Perdoor-Muniyal road inside the block.

Another cart track from Gudadathu enters the block between cairn No. 74 and 73 runs inside the block and leaves in between cairn No. 73 and 72 and again enter the block between C. No. 72 and 71 and runs inside the block and leaves the block between carin No 22 and 23 and joins Muniyal-Perdoor Road.

One foot path from Kudue enters the block between cairn No 20 and 21 runs across the block and leaves the block between cairn No. 90 and 91 to Gudadothu.

Another foot path enters the block between cairn No. 81 and 82 and joins the cart track inside the block.

OTHER PRIVILEGES

1. Free grazing of cattle by the villagers
2. Free removal of green manure for *bonafide* use
3. Free removal of dry fuel for *bonafide* use
4. Free removal of Muligrass for *bonafide* use.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1013—S.O. 1582

Notification No. RDC 282 MMD 78.

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1979.

Whereas by Government Notification No. MS 1523 dated 3rd December 1949 issued under section 4A (2) of the Madras Commercial Crops Markets Act, 1933 (Madras Act XX of 1933), the Governor of Madras directed the Bellary District Cotton and Groundnut Market Committee, Adoni, to establish Markets in (1) Bellary (2) Kottur (3) Yemmiganur and (4) Rayadurga for the purchase and sale of Cotton and Groundnut.

And whereas by Government Notification No. A & F 21(a) CMD 59 dated 3rd November 1959 issued under section 4A of the Madras Commercial Crops Markets Act, 1933 (Madras Act XX of 1933) the Government of Mysore directed the Bellary District Market Committee to establish markets at Bellary, Kottur, Hagaribommanahalli, Harapanahalli, Kodiligi, Hospet, Sandur, Kampli and Siruguppa for the

purchase and sale of the following Commercial crops in addition to cotton and Groundnut already notified.

I. Cereals	...	(1) Paddy (husked and unhusked)
II. Millets	...	(2) Jower (3) Sajje (4) Navane (husked and unhusked).
III. Oil seeds		(5) Kusume (Safflower) (6) Nig r (7) Cottonseeds (8) Castor (9) Gingelly
IV. Other commodities	...	(10) Jaggery (11) Tamarind (12) Chillies (13) Onions (14) Coriander

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 10 CMD 71 (1) dated 28th September 1973 issued under sub-section 145 (1) of section of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Mysore Act No. 27 of 1966), the Government of Mysore divided the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Bellary into five separate market areas as specified in column (1) to (5) of the Scheduled below of the said Notification with effect from 12th November 1973.

SCHEDULE

1	2	3
Bellary market area comprising the whole of Bellary and Sandur Taluks.	Hospet market area comprising the whole of Hospet Taluk	Hagaribommanahally market area comprising of whole of Hadagali and Mallapur Taluks.

Siruguppa market area comprising of whole of Siruguppa Taluk	Kottur market area comprising of whole of Harapanahalli and Kudligi Taluks
4	5

And whereas by Government Notification No S. O. 673 RDC 282 MMD 78 dated 6th March 1979 published in Part IV-2-C (i) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 15th March 1979 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing Regulation Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared its intention of regulating the marketing of Ragi, Wheat, Maize, Tobacco, Toor, Greengram, Horsegram, Sunflower seeds and Mustard (hitherto not regulated) in addition to agricultural produce already regulated in the said area.

And whereas the objections and suggestions have been considered by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in *Karnataka Gazette* the marketing of Ragi, Wheat, Maize, Tobacco, Toor, Greengram, Horsegram, Sunflower, seeds and Mustard (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Kottur.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1385—S.D. 1583

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Government Spun Silk Mills, Channapatna.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. 35/79-80, dated 21st May 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 23rd April 1979.

Present :

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 38 of 1978

First Party

The Secretary, Government Spun Silk Mills Workers' Association Channapatna.

Vs.

Second Party:—

Management of M/s Government Spun Silk Mills, Channapatna

Appearances :—

Shri S. Krishnaiah, and Sri B.V. Puttegowda, Advocates for the first party. P. Shankarappa, Public Prosecutor for the second party.

AWARD

1. 54 workmen of the second party came to be retired from 5th January 1975 from service on the ground that they had attained the age of superannuation, viz., 55 years. The Secretary of the Government Spun Silk Mills Workers' Association, Channapatna took up the cause of the said 54 workmen and raised an industrial dispute questioning the validity and correctness of the retirement of the workmen. The dispute was not settled in the conciliation and therefore, on the report of such failure of conciliation, the Government of Karnataka in their Order No. SWL 28 ILD 78 dated 18th May 1978 referred the said dispute involving the following points for adjudication to this court in exercise of their powers under section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

"I. Are the management of Government Spun Silk Mills, Channepatna justified in superannuating the 54 workmen as per Annexure-I in contravention of Clause 26 of the Standing Orders of the Company ?

II. If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?"

2. In this court both the parties appeared and took part in the proceeding. The first party filed claim statement and a counter-statement was filed by the second party. Thereafter, the first party filed reply also. The court treated the points of dispute referred as the issues arising out of the pleadings for decision. Thereafter evidence produced by both the parties was taken and arguments addressed were heard.

3. In substance, the case for the first party is this :

The 54 workmen mentioned in the annexure to the order of Reference were serving in the II party-mills for periods ranging from 12 to 15 years. They have also their union called 'the Government Spun Silk Mills Workers Aaseciation', All of a sudden on 5th January 1977, the second party issued a memo retiring all the 54 workmen concerned in this case on the ground that they had attained the age of superannuation as per their respective provident fund records. The workmen had just signed the provident fund forms one month after they had joined the mills and had mentioned the names of their nominees only to the Clerk. They had not given any other particulars much less their age or date of birth, which must have been entered by the clerk himself. The second party without even issuing any notice to the workmen, arbitrarily and against the principles of natural justice. made the retirement order based on the entries of their ages in the provident fund forms. Therefore that order is illegal, improper and unjustified. Moreover, the said order or retirement is aslo in contravention of clauses 25 and 26 of the Certified Standing Orders applicable to the second party and also against clause 15 of the deed of settlement effected between the management of the second party and the Association of the workers, effective from 1st April 1974 for three years. in as much as the ages of the 54 workmen were not ascertained after subjecting them to medical examination. Therefore, the retirement order is invalid for this reason also. Further, the 54 workmen have been discreminated against in the matter of retirement inasmuch as their elders in age are still employed and working under the second party. In fact, on 5th September 1977 a notice was issued to 18 workmen by the second party to produce medical certificates from District Surgeon regarding their fitness to work and age. Such a notice was not issued to the 54 workmen concerned in this case. The order of retirement of the 54 workmen lacks bonafides and is an act of victimisation. Therefore, the said 54 workmen are entitled to be reinstated and to get the subsequential benefits of back wages etc.

4. In their counter-statement, the second party admitted that the 54 workmen of the first party had been employed by them and were retired on 5th January 197[illegible] It is their contention that that order of retirement is perfectly legal and valid inasmuch as it is passed basing on their age given by themselves in their provident fund forms which they themselves had got filled up. According to them the allegation that some clerk had filled up their age and other particulars in the forms, is not true. They have also maintained that the order of retirement is not in contravention of the Standing Orders and the terms of the settlement. According to them, the first party workmen were required to produce records relating to their age and since they did not produce any such records and since it was not possible for the second party to send all of them to get physical fitness certificate, they had to rely upon their provident fund records to retire them from their service especially in view of the fact that a survey conducted by South Indian Textile Research, of the second party, as per the settlement, had indicated that 105 workmen were in excess of the required strength. It is their contention that no principles of natural justice is violated in the matter of retiring the 54 workmen and their discharge is perfectly legal and justified.

5. By their reply the first party has contended that if at all there was any surplus staff in the mills of the second party they had to retrench casual labourers and 'badli' labourers and not to think of retiring them for that reason.

6. On the basis of the material placed on record, let me now proceed to determine the points of dispute arising in this case.

7. I must, at the out, state that the first point of dispute mentioned in the order of Reference does not cover all the aspects of the dispute relating to the retirement of the 54 workmen concerned in the case as disclosed from the pleadings, the evidence placed on record and also the arguments addressed. I shall, therefore, first proceed to answer the first point as referred by the Government and then proceed to consider the other aspects of the dispute.

8. According to the first point referred for adjudication, this court has to consider as to whether the second party is justified in superannuating the 54 workmen mentioned in Annexure-I in contravention of Clause 25 of the Standing Orders of the Company. So, the point itself presumes that the superannuation of the first party workmen was in contravention of clause 25 of the Standing Orders. The Court has only to consider if such superannuation in contravention of that clause is justified. That clause 25 of the Standing Orders is marked as Ex. 2B. It reads as follows :

> "Every worker shall retire from service on his attaining the age of 55 years. The employer may, however, grant extension of service, not exceeding one year at a time up to 58

> years of age provided the employee is certified by the District Surgeon to be physically fit to continue in service and if his past work and conduct are satisfactory".

If the superannuation of the 54 workmen is in contravention of this clause as per the first point referred for adjudication, then it necessarily means that they are retired even before they attained the age of 55 years and without giving any extension of service on medical certificate up to the age of 58 years at the rate of one year on each occasion. As regards the second aspect viz., extension of service beyond 55 years, it is not even the case of the second party, that any such extension had been given to any of these workmen on medical certificate. In the claim statement reference is made to a case decided by the Labour Court and confirmed by the High Court in Writ Petition, where, on the basis of the rule similar to the aforesaid clause 26, it has been held that extension of service must have been granted to he workmen after getting them examined by the concerned medical Officer. The report of that decision, however, is not made available. A plain reading of the above said clause 26 would indicate that the employer had the discretion to grant extension of service in the manner provided therein, and it is doubtful if the workmen could claim such extension of service as a matter of right. Further, admittedly Ex. M-3 is memorandum of Settlement between the parties effected on 1st April 1974. That settlement was in force when the first party workmen came to be retired. The age of retirement was also one of the matters dealt with in that settlement. Demand No. 18 put forth by the workmen as mentioned in Ex. W-3 is this:

> "*Retirement* :—The age of retirement of the employee shall be fixed at 55. The Mills Mangement reserve the right and option to grant extension of service at the rate of one year at a time up to 58 years purely in the interest of Mills work".

The final acceptance arrived between the parties of this point reads thus:

> "The retiring age shall be 55 years and in all cases and no extension of service beyond 55 years can be considered".

So, according to the settlement birding on the parties, the second party cannot be held to have been under an obligation not to retire their workmen on their attaining the age of 55 years. It cannot also be held that the workmen had a right for extension of service beyond 55 years of service. Therefore, in my opinion, the retirement of any workman by the second party after he attained the age 55 years would not be in contravention of clause 26 of their Standing Orders. That being the position, and especially in view of clause 28 of the settlement referred to above, It cannot be held that the retirement of the first party workmen is in contravention of clause 26 of the

Standing Orders in so far as it relates to non granting of extension of service, if of course, it is presumed that they were retired on their attaining the age of 55 years.

9. However, the contravention of clause 26 of the Standing Orders by retiring any workmen before he attained the age of 55 years, cannot be justified on any ground, as proper or correct. As I have already pointed out, the first point of reference presumes the contravention of caluse 26 of the Standing Orders. In that case, therefore, in my opinion, the superannuation of the first party workmen in contravention of the said clause 26, in so far as it provided the age limit for retirement, is unjustiable. Therefore, I answer to first point referred for adjudication thus: The Government Spun silk Mills, Channapatna, is not justified in superannuating the 54 workmen as per Annexure-1 in contravention of clause 26 of the Standing Orders of the Company.

10. As I mentioned in the beginning itself there are other important aspects of the dispute not included in the points formulated by the Government in their Order of Reference. I do not think that at any stage and even in conciliation proceedings the second party admitted that the 54 workmen concerned were superannuated even before they attained the age of 55 years in contravention of any Standing Orders of terms of settlement. They have maintained that the superannuation is made on the ground that according to the documents they relied upon, the workmen had completed the age of 55 years before 5th January 1975. Therefore, the dispute necessarily involves the question whether the 54 workmen or any of them concerned i this case had attained the age of 55 years when they were superannuated. This again had to be ascertained on the basis as to whether the age of the workmen concerned had been recorded in accordance with standing Orders of the second party or terms of settlement, for the purposes of their service. Clause 25 of the standing Orders of the second party clearly provides for the record of the age. That particular clause is marked as Ex. W-2 (a). In the first portion, it mentions how the employees should produce the documents mentioned therein to record their age in their service record. Among those documents are birth certificate, school leaving certificate, insurance policy. In this case there is no evidence of any such documents produced by the workmen in proof of their age. No doubt, MW-2 the previous Manager of the second party came to say that some of them had produced horsecopes. I cannot believe this part of his evidence in the absence of any record for any of them having produced horscopes. Admittedly, many of the workmen are illeterates and few of them are just literates and not well read. It is very unlikely that they produced any such horoseope especially when their ages had to be entered in the provident fund forms. Therefore, it may be taken that the first party workmen had not produced any documents for recording

their age in the services records. In the absence of production of such documents, the last portion of that Standing Orders at Ex. 2 (a) contemplates thus:

> "Any employee who cannot produce documentary evidence to the satisfaction of the employer will be sent to a Medical Officer at the discretion of the employer and the decision of the Medical Officer is binding on the employee".

According to the above condition, the second party had to send the workmen to the Medical Officer to ascertain their age and in such event the decission of the Medical Officer who examined them whould have been binding on the workman. So the standing order contemplated ascertainment of age of the workers by subjecting them to medical examination.

11 Even the settlement arrived at between the parties and in force at the time of the retirement also provided for such an examination by a competent doctor to as certain age and physical fitness. Clause 15 of the settlement marked as Ex. W-3 (a) contains the demand raised by the workers Association and final accepted settlement. The demand is this.

> "Medical examination of all the workers and employees working in the Mills by a competent Medical Authority to assets their physical fitness, age etc. and also to build up the service records, to be done in consultation with the workers' Association.

The settlement effected is this:

"Accepted. Immediate action will be taken in this regard,"

So, even according to this settlement as above, the second party in consultation with the workers Association had to subject the worker to medical examination to ascertain their physical fitness and age for service record. The second party was fully aware of this obligation on their part in the matter regarding the age of their workmen. That is bornu out from the documents Exs. M-54, M-56, M-57, M-58, and M-59. Ex. M-56 is a copy of the letter written by the president of the workers, Association on the point. He suggested the name of Medical Officer L. F. Hospital, Channapatna to examin the workers. Ex. M-58 is the letter dated 27th August 1976 by the Medical officer to the General Manager stating that he would take up the medical examination from 4th September 1976. But his letter Ex. M-59, the General Manager asked the Medical officer to wait Subsequently in December 1976 just about 20 days prior to the order of retirement, the General Manager MW-2 appears to have written to the Medical fficer as per Ex. M-1 stating that he would like to know whether the fixation of age after medical examination would be 100% correct and if not what would be the percentage of error. We have the evidence of MW-2 and MW-1 that the doctor replied stating that in his opinion age of a person can be clinically assessed

with a ma gin of five years. We do not know why the iden of error or 100% correct a certainment of age entered the mind of the General Manager for the first time in December 1976. Evidently on the basis of the opinion of the doctor which is said to have been reco ded on Ex. M 1 itself the General Manager MW-1 wrote the letter as per Ex-54 to the Director for his approval to take the age of the workmen as entered in the provident fund forms with a view to relive them

12. It can thus be seen that the order superannuating the 54 workmen concerned in this case was not made in accordance with the Standing Orders Ex. M-2(a) and Ex. M2(b) and the terms of settlement Ex. M-3(a). It is also not shown to the satisfaction of this court that the ages of these workmen could not be ascertained in the manner provided for under the above Standing Orders and the term of settlement. In fact, as I have already pointed out, the Standing Orders as per Ex. M-2 (a) made it clear that the decision of the Medical Officer who determined the age, in the absence of any documents filed by the employees would be binding on the employees. It did not matter, if the Medical Offier was unable to determine the age of the employees cent-percent correctly, but it cannot be said that he could not have given approximate age of the workmen. In fact, as pointed out already, he had even fixed the dates for examining them and giving his opinion. Therefore, the order retiring the workmen on the basis not ordained by the Standing Orders or the term of settlement, cannot be justified on the ground that some other documents said to have been given by the employees themselves was adopted.

13. It is doubtful, if the age entered in the provident fund forms of the employees had been, so entered on instructions given by the concerned employees themselves. We have the sworn statement of two of the workmen WW-1, WW-2 that the name of the nominee to receive the provident fund was only ascertained from them, when their thumb marks or signatures were taken to the forms. of course, MW-1 had no personal knowledge about filling up of these forms, MW-2 however, stated that on the instructions of the employees themselves those forms were filled up But he too admitted that he was present only when a few forms were filled up. The best person who could speak to the fact of employees themselves having given their ages when provident fund forms were filled up, was the clerk who wrote them up. That clerk has not been examined. Admittedly most of the employees are illeterates. That is also evident from the fact that their thumb marks appear on most of the provident forms Ex. M-3 to M-52 pertaining to 50 workmen. Ex. M-53 is stated to be the extract of the Provident Fund Register where the ages of the other four workmen had been entered, evidently on the basis of entries in their provident fund forms. Even entries in the Register Ex. W-4 recording the ages of the employees had admittedly been

made on the basis of the provident fund forms. The evidence in the case is such that it is probable that most of the workmen had not given their ages when their provident fund forms were filled up.

14. Even if the ages in the provident fund forms of the workmen were considered as reasonable basis for the second party, for recording their ages for purposes of service and retirement, the principles of natural justice and fair play required them to notify the workmen about their intention to rely on those documents for recording their ages and give them an opportunity of being heard and showing cause against accepting those ages. No such opportunity was given. The learned Representative of the first party was, therefore, justified in contending that the acceptance of age mentioned in the provident fund forms was arbitrary, capricious and offends the principles of natural justice.

15 In any case, therefore, the superannuation of the 54 workmen on the basis of their age entered in the provident fund forms, is wholly unjustified. Therefore, I hold that the management of Government Spun Silk Mills, Channapatna, are not justified in superannuating the 54 workmen mentioned in the annexure-1 to the order of Reference, as it is not only in contravention of clause 26 of the Standing Orders of the Company, but also in contravention of clause 25 of the Standing Orders, clause 15 of the terms of settlement and the principles of natural justice.

16 *Issue No. 2* Coming to the question of reliefs to be granted to the workers, it would not be correct to order reinstatement of all of them at this point of time even without ascertaing their age and liability for superannuation. Their ages should be ascertained in accordance with the Standing Orders and terms of settlement as mentioned above and if any of them are within 55 years of age on the date of publication of this award, he or they should be reinstated and continued in service till he or they attained the age of 55 years. If any of the workmen was or were of 55 years of age as on 5th January 1975 he or they shall not be entitled to any reliefs If any of them attained the age of 55 years between the period from 5th January 1975 and the date of publication of this Award, he shall be paid back wages, which in the circumstances of the case. I fix at 50 per cent of their emoluments till the date of his attaining the age of superannuation.

17 In the result, I hold that the first party workmen are entitled to the relief as hereunder.

1 The ages of the first party workmen shall be determined by the competent Medical Officer as per clauses 25 and 26 of the Standing Orders of the second party and clause 15 of the terms of settlement and the second party shall get their ages thus ascertained without delay.

On such ascertainment of age, if it s disclosed that any of the 54 workmen had attained the age of 55 years as on 5th January 1975, they shall not be entitled for any relief

3 If any of the 54 workmen are disclosed to have attained the age of 55 years after 5th January 1975 but before the date of publication of the Award in the Gazette, they shall be paid back wages at 50% of their wages less drawn from 5th January 1975 till the date of their superannuation, less *ex-gratia* payment, if any, they have already received.

4 If any of the 54 workmen are disclosed to have not attained the age of 55 years on the date of publication of this Award in the Official Gazette, they shall be reinstated with continuity of service and back wages of fifty per cent of the wages they had last drawn continue in service till they attained the age of 55 years.

5 The second party shall pay the first party their costs of this proceeding which I assess at Rs. 200 (Rupees two hundred)

N. P. Kempe Gowda,

Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court, Bangalore

Order No. SWL 160 LLA 79,
Bangalore, dated 15th/19th June 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 28 LLD 78 dated 18th May 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Government Spun Silk Mills, Channapatna was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication;

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1368—S.O. 1588

Order No. SWL 291 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 23rd/24th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Escorts Ltd. Automotive Division, Yelahanka, Bangalore on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore

Points of Dispute

"Are the Management justified in terminating the services of the following workmen :-

(a) Sri Gangaiah, w. e. f. 21st February 1979

(b) Sri Y. Md. Ghouse, w. e f. 28th February 1979

(c) Sri Nawabjan, w. e. f. 28th February 1979

II. If not, to what relief the workmen is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

938—S. G. 1589

Order No. SWL 912 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 16/19th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Karnataka Electricity Board, Mandya on the points noted below :

And Whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri V. C. Ramananda, with effect from 26th October 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, Lab 'A'
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1342—S.O. 1590

Order No. SWL 290 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 25/28th May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of the South Canara District Central Co-operative Bank Ltd., Mangalore, on the points noted below :

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore

Points of dispute

I Whether the workmen justified in claiming the demands mentioned in the Annexure to this Order ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, Labour 'A' Section
S. W. & Labour Department.

1178—S. O. 1591

ANNEXURE TO ORDER No. SWL 290 LLD 79. DATED 25TH MAY 1979
CHARTER OF DEMANDS

Sl. No.	Name of the Posts	Pay Scales Demanded	
1.	Secretary	Rs. 1300-75-19 0-100 2200	(11 years)
2.	Deputy Secretaries	900 50-1000-60-1300-75-1900	(15 ,,)
3.	Superintendents	750-50-1000-60-1300 75-1675	(15 ,,)
4.	Senior Branch Managers/Senior Branch/Bank Inspectors	660 30-750-50-1000-60-1300-75-1450	(15 ,,)
5.	Junior Branch Managers/ Junior Bank Inspectors	600-30-750-50-1000-60-1300	(15 ,,)
6.	Typists/Asst. Cashier	500-25-600-30-750-50-1000-60 1300	(18 ,,)
7.	Senior Supervisors	500-25-600 30-750-50-1000 60-1240	(18 ,,)
8.	Junior Supervisors	460-20-500-25-600-30-750-50-1000 60-1120	(18 ,,)
9.	Steno Typist	500 25 600-30-750-50-1000-60 1240	(18 ,,)
10.	Drivers	400-20-500-25-600-30-750-50-900	(17 ,,)
11.	Attenders	300-15-360-20-440-25-540-30-840	(22 ,,)

(2) Other Allowances :—

H.R.A.....10% of the Pay of the employee with a minimum of Rs. 50

Travelling Allowances :—

As per the T.A. Rules separately enclosed.

Cashier's Risk Allowance ... ... Rs. 30 p.m.

Sl. No.	Name of the Posts	Pay Scale Demanded	
	Typist Allowance	...	Rs. 30 p.m.
	Drivers Allowance	...	Rs. 30 p.m.
	Clearing allowance	...	Rs. 30 p.m.
	Cycle Allowance to Attenders	...	Rs. 15 p.m.
	Washing Allowance	...	Rs. 15 p.m.
	Medical Allowance	...	Rs. 300 p. a., payable half yearly at Rs. 150
	Leave fare concessions	Once in 3 years to the employee and each member of his family upto 2400 Kms at Rs. 0.12 Ps per KM per road journey or admissible railway fare to the class the employee entitled.	
	Surrender Leave Concession	One month's salary for every 2 years, subject to the earned leave at credit for more than 60 days.	
	Graduation increments	If while in service any employee attains Graduation Post Graduation/Law Degree (LL.B.) of any recognised University, such employee is eligible to draw 2 increments in his own grade.	
	CAIIB Passing increments	(a) One increment for passing Part-I of CAIIB (b) Two increments for passing Part-II of CAIIB	
	Stagnation increment	Stagnat on increment where the employee reaches maximum in the revised scale as a result of this revision of pay scales to be given at one increment on the revised scale subject to the maximum of 3 yearly such annual increments.	

All other allowance/s at the rates as and when sanctioned by the State Government to its employees should be extended to the employees of the Bank.

(3) Other facilities :—

(1) Loan for construction of dwelling house ;—	Upto a maximum of Rs. 50,000 to be disbursed free of interest as per rules framed by the Board of Directors of the Bank,
(2) Loans for the purchase of vehicle/s	Upto Rs. 6,000 repayable in 100 monthly instalments at Rs. 60 p. m., at free of interest.
(3) Loans for the purchase of cycle/s	Upto Rs. 480 repayable in 32 monthly instalments at Rs. 15 p. m., free of interest.
(4) Loans from the employees Benefit Fund ...	Upto Rs. 1,200 or two months' salary whichever more is subject to the mlinimum of Rs. 400 repayable in 30 monthly instalments at free of interest.

(5) Revised pay scales to be made applicable from 1st January 1977.

(6) The Pay scales of employees should be fixed as per Government Order No. FD 132 SRP (3) '76, Bangalore, dated 20th December 1976 clause No. (8).

(7) This revised pay scales and other allowances shall be in force only for a period of 3 years ending with 31st December 1979. Revision shall be made thereafter effect of which shall be from 1st January 1980.

(8) *Weightages* :—

Weightages of increment/s according to period of service rendered as noted below:

(1) for a service upto 7 years	One increament in the revised Scale.
(2) for a service above 7 years and upto 15 years	Two increments in the revised Scale.
(3) for a service above 15 years	Three increments in the revised Scale.

(9) Appointments to the higher grade should be made only by promotion strictly on the seniority basis.

(10) Promotion to the attenders who are having the minimum required educational qualification be promoted to the higher cadres by sending such attenders for undergoing co-operative training at the Bank's cost.

(11) Deputation of Bank Employees to other affiliated institutions shall be done with prior consent of the such employee only. And in such cases deputation allowance at the rate of 20% of the salary of the employee.

(12) Before taking any decision in future pertaining to the pay scale, other allowances, facilities and benefits, to us, our union should be consulted and views expressed by us through the union required to be considered.

J. PILLAPPA

Desk officer,
S.W. Labour Deptt,
(Labour 'A' Section).

TRAVELLING ALLOWANCE

T.A. rules framed and approved by the Bank in the B. M. R. No. 17/20th October 1957 with the following modifications to be adopted and given to us in lieu the existing K.C.S. Rules.

Rule No, 5 :—This requires to be recast and read as follows :—

Sl. No.	Class of Employees	Daily Allowance		Road Milage	Railway Accommodation
		Within the Dist.	Outside the Dist.		
		Rs.	Rs.	Rs.	
1	Employees whose pay is Rs. 1200 or more.	20	30	0.75	I Class
2	Employees whose pay is Rs. 1000 and more but below Rs. 1200.	15	20	0.50	,,
3	Employees whose pay is Rs. 800 and more but below Rs. 1000.	12.50		0.40	II Class
4	Employees whose pay is pay Rs. 300 and more but below Rs. 800.	10			

On emergent cases, travelling by Air will be permitted.

The employees travelling in the Bank's Vehicle including the Drivers are eligible only for the daily allowance at the above rates.

Rule No. 19.—

Requires to be recast and read as follows.—

The outdoor staff of the Bank's establishment shall be granted a fixed monthly travelling allowance at a rate to be determined by the Board for journeys within their jurisdiction in lieu of all other travelling allowances.

For the present, Fixed monthly travelling allowances to the following class of employees be fixed as follows :—

(i)	Senior Bank Inspectors	Rs. 200	PM.
(ii)	Junior Bank Inspectors	Rs. 180	PM.
(iii)	Senior & Junior Supervisors	Rs. 160	PM.

Above the ranks of the Senior Inspectors be given mileage travelling allowance and also to those Inspectors irrespective of ranks who are having more than 2 taluks jurisdiction.

J. PILLAPPA,

Desk Officer, Labour 'A' Section
S. W. & Labour Department

2C (ii)–5

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 60 CPS 79

Bangalore, dated 12th April 1979.

In pursuance of bye-law No 26 (3) of the Karnataka Co-operative Consumers Federation ltd., Bangalore. Government are hereby pleased to nominate the following Officers as Government nominees on the Board of Directors of the said Federation with immediate effect and until next elections of the Directors.

(1) Additio al Registrar of Co-operative Societies (Consumers and Marketing) at the Office of the Registrar of Co-operative Soc eties. Bangalore

(2) Jo nt Regi-trar of Co-operative Societies (Administration a d Estbli-hment); and

(3) The Director of Agriculture in Karnataka, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1183—S. O. 1592

Notification No. RDC 76 CLM 78

Bangalore, dated 24th May 1979.

In exercise of powers conferred by Section 121 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that Section 30 of the said Act shall apply to the Taluk Agricultural Produce Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd., Bhadravathi with the following modifications namely.—

In the said Section 30,

(1) in sub-section (i)

(i) the words "in accordance with provisions of sub-section (2)" shall be deleted ;

(ii) For the words "four years" the words "five years" shall be substituted ;

(2) sub-section (2) shall be deleted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1227—S. O. 1593

Notification No. RDC 1 CPS 79 (1)

Bangalore, dated 30th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act 1975 (Karnataka Act No, 39 of 1975 Government are pleased to nominate Sri Lakshminarayan Awasti R/o Shahabazar Gulbarga on the Board of Directors of the Central Co-operative Wholesale Stores Ltd, Gulbarga as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED RAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government.
Rural Devt. & Co-opn. Department.

1204 S. O.—159 L

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 22 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 9th February 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the vehicle belonging to M/s Bharat Earth Movers Limited Bangalore, described in the Scheduled below from the provisions of Rule 200 and 201 of the said Rules for being transported from the Factory at Kolar Gold Field to Chakranagar Project in Shimoga District via. Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Shimoga, Ayanur, Kumsi, Hosanagar, subject to the following conditions.

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory yard at K.G.F., Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur Shimoga, Ayanur, Kumsi, Hosanagar,

2. The speed of the vehicle should not exceed 16 Km. per hour on roads and 8 Km, per hour on bridges and culverts.

3. The Bharat 'C' Tournapull Scraper (Hydraulic) will have to be run by the Bharat Earth Movers Limited at their own risk and should be driven between 6 A.M. to 6 P.M.

4. No damages should be caused to the pedestrains, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles,

6. The strengthening of Weak Culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads bridge and culverts should be made good by the B. E. M. L. as per assessment of the Executive

Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/ National Highways.

7. The local Executive Engineers of Public Works Department, Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur shimoga shall be informed in advance the date of movement of the Bharat 'C' Tournapull scraper on the road.

8. Red flags must be exhibited at either extremities length and breadthwise.

9. The vehicle should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 Km per hour over the structures. The vehicle must also be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

1. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat 'C' Tournapull Scraper (Hydraulic)

BEML No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Scraper No.	Transmission No.
266	LCP.46690 CPAS-U	25113161	LS67191 CM. 12G	25/0023764

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

408—S. O. 1595

Notification No. HD 7 PCR 79
Bangalore, dated 23rd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Sri S. J. Raju, residing near Padmavathi Nursery School, Hosur Road Adugodi, Bangalore authorising him to grant Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act, in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1142—S. O. 1596

Notification No. HD 7 PCR 79.
Bangalore, dated 23rd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872) the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Sri S. J. Raju, residing near Padmavathi Nursery School, Hosur Road Adugodi, Bangalore to solemnise Marriages under the said act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1141--S.O. 1597

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 311 MLR 79 (II)
Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 316 of the Karnataka Municiplities Act, 1964, the Assistant Commissioner, Hospet Sub-Division, Hospet is concurrently appointed as Administrator, City Municipal Council, Hospet relieving Sri Iswarappa, Administrator.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1408--S.O. 1598

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 114 FDP 79
Bangalore dated 25th May 1979.

In exercise of the Powers conferred by Article 23(1) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd., the Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint Shri T. G. Chandrashekaralah, I. F. S., Conservator of Forests, whose services are placed at the disposal of Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation, on deputation basis *vide*, Notification No. FFD 99 FEG 79 (I) dated 9th May 1979, as a Director on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd., Bangalore, and also to designate him as the Technical Director on the Board of Director of Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd., Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1189—S.O. 1599

Commerce and Industries Secretariat

Notification No. CI 119 EMO 78

Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act vide their letter No. 5/67/76-M5 dated 3rd/6th February 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri G. Dhruva Rao, for a period of coterminus with M. L. for Iron, ore sanctioned in Notification No. CI 59 EMO 76, dated 20th December 1977 for inclusion of Manganese Ore over an area of 260 acres (105.22 hectares) of land in Lakkihalli village, Hosadurga taluk, Chitradurga District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Manganese Ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Manganese Ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Manganese Ore he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the ease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the Ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1096—S.O. 1600

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 362 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 15/19th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Venkateswara & Co. (Proprietor Sri H. Narayana Swamy) Main Road, Yeshwanthpur Bangalore-22 on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri N. Venkataramu, with effect from 28th September 1978 ?
II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
S. W. & L. Department
Labour (A),

1331—S. O. 1601

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 24 CPS 79
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

The nominations of the following persons made in Government Notification No. RDC 90 CPS 76, dated 17/19th November 1976 on the Board of Directors of Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd, Hirekerur, Dharwad District, as Government nominees, are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect :

1. Shri Mohamad Goush Lohar, Hirekerur, Dharward District.
2. Shri Basavannappa Ukkund, Arelikatti, Hirekerur Tq, Dharwar.
3. Shri Kirnayak Lamani, Channalli, Hirekerur Tq. Dharwar.
4. Shri Malleshappa Arikatti, Kadur, Hirekerur Tq Dharwar Dist.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MOHAMAD BAKHAR,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1098—S. O. 1602

Notification No. RDC 24 CPS 79 (1)
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975), Government are pleased to nominate the following persons on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Limited, Hirekerur, District Dharwad as Government nominees with immediate effect and until further orders.

Sriyuths :

1. Shivappa Ningappa Mathur, Hullahatti P.O., Hirekerur Tq., Dharwar Dist.

2. Naganagouda Veeranagouda Patil, Rattihalli P.O., Hirekerur Tq, Dharward Dist.
3. Huchappa Hanumappa Kirawadi. Kod-P.O. Hirekerur Tq. Dharwad Dist.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMAD BAKHAR,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
RDC. Department.

1099 -S. O. 1603

Notification No. RDC 13 CPS 79 (1)
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferr : rnde' ' ion 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Am' ent) Ac .975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government a pleased to nominate the following persons on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., Turuvekere, District Tumkur, as Government nominees with immediate effect and unt further orders.

Sriyuths :

1. Shivanarjappa, Village Panchayat Chairman, Anekere, Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District
2. Thimmaiah, Machenahally, Gollarahatti, Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District
3. Bhommaiah, Social Worker, Harijan Colony, Sampige, Turuvekere Taluk, Tumkur District

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c *Under Secretary to Government,*
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1101—S. O. 1604

Notification No. RDC 90 CPS 79
Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979.

The nominations of the following persons made in Government Notification No. RDC 73 CPS 76, dated 14th April, 1976, on the Board of Directors of Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Limited, Srirangapatna, as Government nominees, are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri G. S. Kodandaram, Ex-President, Town Municipal Council, Garjam, Srirangapatna.

2. Sri M. Srikante Gowda S/o Maya Gowda, Nelamane, Srirangapatna Taluk.
3. Sri Madaiah S/o Kempemadaiah, Mahadevapura, Srirangapatna Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

MAHAMAD BAKHAR,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government, Rural Devt. and Coopn. Department.

1102—S.O. 1605

Notification No. RDC 173 CLS 79.

Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Bellary, Bellary District, with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri M. Thippanna Babu s/o Mundlur Anjanappa, Bellary.
2. Sri S. Lingappa s/o S. Gadappa, Shirivaram Village, Bellary Taluk.
3. Sri Koneri Siddappa s/o Koneri Eranna, Kurugodu, Bellary Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government, Rural Devpt. and Co-opn. Department.

1432 –S.O. 1606

Notification No. RDC 90 CPS 79 (i)

Bangalore, dated 18th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate the following persons on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Limited, Srirangapatna, Mandya District, as Government nominees with immediate effect and until further orders.

Sriyuths :

1. A. Rahaman, No. 103, Ahanda Rice Mill Road, Ganjam, Srirangapatna
2. Moogaiah, Taluk Development Board Member, Naguvanahalli, Kasaba Hobli, Srirangapatna

3. A. P. Ravikumar S/o Puttaswamaiah, Srinivasa Agrahara, Sattihalli Hobli, Srirangapatna Taluk

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

MOHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1103—S. O. 1607

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 101 EST 79
Bangalore, dated 2nd/4th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 78 of the Registration Act, 1908 (Central Act XVI of 1908), the Government of Karnataka hereby makes the following further amendment to the table of fee notified in Notification No. RD 48 EST 72, dated 15th March, 1973 *viz.*, In the Table of Fees annexed to the said Notification in Article XXXIV after item 50 the following shall be added :

51. In respect of mortgage deed to be executed by the Anjuman-e-Islam, Hubli in favour of the Central Wakf Council, New Delhi in connection with sanction of a loan of Rs. three lakhs by the Central Wakf Council for the construction of a High School Building	'NIL'

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

999 S.O.—1608

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 235 FAF 78.
Bangalore, dated 21st/30th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in the Schedule-I below shall with effect from 3rd June 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of the rights specified in Schedule II.

SCHEDULE-I.

Name of the District	...	Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk	...	Belthangady
Name of the Village	...	Navara and Sulkerimoggu Villages
S. Nos.		41/1 of Navara village and 64/1 of Sulkerimoggu village.
Name of the Block	...	Naravi R.F. Extension Block II
Area	...	68-70 acres.

Boundary description

North :—Starting from station No. 56 a point between C. No. 367-368 of Naravi R. F., the demarcation line runs along the R. F. line on south east direction from station No. 56 to 59 over a distance of 3760 links and it turns to east and proceeds from station No. 59 to 62 over a distance of 2130 links and again it turns to north and proceeds from station No. 62 to O over a distance of 1710 links along the R. F line. The bearing and distance from station to station are furnished below :

Station From	Number To	Bearings in F. B.	Distance In links.
56	57	180	500
57	58	172	760
58	59	145	2500
59	60	94	300
60	61	90	450
61	62	101	1380
62	63	348	600
63	64	348	275
64	0	11	835

East :—Further the demarcation line runs towards south-west from station No. O to 4 over a distance of 1965 links and turns to south west, South east and due south moving from station No. 4 to 14 over a distance of 2765 links. The bearings and distances from station to station are furnished below.

Station From	Number To	Bearings in F. B.	Distance in links.
0	1	158	530
1	2	131	320
2	3	148	435
3	4	135	680
4	5	209	800
5	6	168	140
6	7	198	200
7	8	152	220
8	9	145	140
9	10	147	235
10	11	143	145
11	12	168	425
12	13	173	265
13	14	178	195

South :—The line runs in almost western direction to station No. 22 at a distance of 1400 links The bearings and distance from station to station are furnished below.

Station From	Number To	Bearings in F B.	Distance in links
14	22	279	1400

West :—The line runs due north from station No. 22 to 25 over a distance of 1315 links and it turns to north west direction, and proceeds from station No. 25 to 37 over a distance of 3435 links and again it turns to northernly direction from station No. 37 to 56 over a distance of 4225 links and finishes the traverse. The bearings and distance are furnished below :—

Station Number From	To	Bearings in F. B.	Distance in links
22	23	26	380
23	24	5	550
24	25	351	385
25	26	299	580
26	27	273	590
27	28	270	275
28	29	303½	160
29	30	295	170
30	31	304	240
31	32	310	4
32	33	287	0
33	34	286	200
34	35	262	200
35	36	240	200
36	37	244	120
37	38	311½	130
38	39	327	390
39	40	288	210
40	41	336	130
41	42	35	135
42	43	35	130
43	44		180
44	45	340	390
45	46	346	170
46	47	315	240
47	48	314	155
48	49	335	160
49	50	6	160
50	51	336	440
51	52	4	155
52	53	12	230
53	54	11½	320
54	55	347	170
55	56	42	330

Right of ways :—A village road coming from Asladangadi runs outside the block and enters inside the block between C. No. 4–5 and quits the block between C. No. 3–4 and goes to Mokkale.

Foot path :—Foot path coming from Navara enters the block between C. No. 27-28 and runs through the block and joins the Halanda gadi Kokkala road inside the block between C No. 3-4 and goes to Hakkala along the road.

Other rights :—

(1) Free grazing of cattle by villagers.

(2) Free removal of green manure leaves for *bonafide* use.

(3) Free removal of dry fuel for *bonafide* use by villagers.

(4) Free removal of muligrass for *bonafide* domestic use by villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government
Food & Forest Department.

1194—S.O. 1609

Notification No. FFD 139 FGL 79
Bangalore, dated 20th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Minor Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 8891, dated 3rd October 1910 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the 20th day of June 1979, cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluka	Village	F.S. No.	Area A. G. Ans
North Kanara	Ankola	Sirgunji	132A1A1	1-0-0
	Boundaries :—	North East South West	F. S. No. 132 A1A1A	

Remarks :—Formed into a separate S. No. viz. 132A1A1B The area s ill remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F.S. No 132 A1A1-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1370—S. O. 1610

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 137 CLS 78 (i)

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following two persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Ltd., Hubli, Dharwar District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri Arjun Gokak, Hubli.
2. Sri B. B. Basari, Hubli.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department

1401—S. O. 1611

Notification No. PWD 158 EEB 76

Bangalore, dated 21st/22nd June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of section 1 of the Karnataka Electricity Board (Recovery of Dues) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act No. 51 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the 22nd day of June 1979 as the date on which the said Act shall come into force.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works and Electricity Department.

1378—S. O. 1612

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 368 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. S. B. Kallandi, Powerloom Owner, 355, Chavadi Galli, Vadagaon, Belgaum, on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management of M/s S.B. Kallandi Powerloom Owner, 355, Chavadi Galli, Vadagaon, Belgaum, justified in terminating the services of Sri Balappa Fakira Naik with effect from 2nd August 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief (s) is the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government.
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1304—S. O. 1618

Order No. SWL 373 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Bijapur Spun Pipe Co., Athani Road, Bijapur, on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri N. L. Shahapur, Typist-cum-Clerk with effect from 15th December 1978 ;

II. If not, to what relief or reliefs the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L. Department

1303 S.O. 1619

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 22 TMA 79

Bangalore, dated 17/19th March 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the vehicles belonging to M/s Bharat Earth Movers Limited, Bangalore, described in the Scheduled below from the provisions of Rule 200 and 201 of the said Rules for being transported from the Factory at Kolar Gold Field to Chakranagar Project in Shimoga

District *via*. Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Shimoga, Ayanur, Kumsi, Hosanagar, subject to the following conditions.

1. Permission is valid for the portion of the route from the factory yard at K. G. F., Bangarpet, Kolar, Bangalore, Tumkur, Shimoga, Ayanur, Kumsi, Hosanagar.

2. The Speed of the vehicles should not exceed 16 Km. per hour on roads and 8 Km. per hour on bridge and culverts.

3. The Bharat 'C' Tournapull Scraper (Hydraulic) will have to be run by the Bharat Earth Movers Limited at their own risk and should be driven between 6 A. M. to 6 P. M.

4. No damages should be caused to the pedestrains, other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

5. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sutstained by the vehicles or its contents or occupants consequent upon the movement of the vehicles.

6. The strengthening of weak Culverts and bridges if any, required for passing the machine, shall be done at the cost of the party and damages caused to roads, bridges and culverts should be made good by the B. E. M. L. as per assessment of the Executive Engineers of the concerned Public Works Department Divisions/National Highways.

7. The local Executive Engincers of Public Works Department, Kolar and Executive Engineers of concerned National Highways Divisions of Bangalore, Tumkur, Shimoga shall be informed in advance the date of movement of the Bharat 'C' Tournapull scrapern the road.

8. Red flags must be exhibited at either extremities length and breadthwise.

9. The vehicles should come to a stop at the approaches of all culverts and Bridges and then proceed at a speed not exceeding 16 Km. per hour over the structures. The vehicles must also be brought to a stop when there are vehicles from the rear and front while crossing bridges and culverts.

10. Empty vehicles should be transported on the route indicated above and they should not carry any load over and above their self weight.

SCHEDULE

Bharat 'C' Tournapall Scraper (Hydraulic)

Beml No.	Chassis. No.	Engine No	Scraper No.	Transmission No.
277	LCP.46731 CPA-U	25113517	——	25/0025843
258	LCP. 4655 CPA-8T	25113357	4467056-CM-124	18995

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

683—S. O. 1620

2C-(ii) 6

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 10 TRM 79.

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1979.

Whereas there was failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre was less than 37% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1970-71 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-D (1) of Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the suspended Land Revenue of Rs. 7,472-35 payable for the year 1970-71 in respect of the lands in 2 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Shiggaon taluk of Dharwad district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
1.	Hunguud	5,211-40
2.	Mulakeri	2,260-95
	Grand Total	Rs. 7,472-35

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1384—S.O. 1621

Notification No. RD 12 TRM 79.

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1979.

Whereas there was failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2 of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre was above 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the suspended Land Revenue payable for the year 1971-72 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue

Act. 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-D (2) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the suspended land revenue of Rs. 24,862-51 payable for the year 1971 72 in respect of the lands in 11 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Shiggaon taluk of Dharwad district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No. 1	Villages 2	Land Revenue 3
1.	N. M. Tadas	4 549—61
2.	Muttalli	3.243 39
3.	Mallanaikanakoppa	549—57
4.	Hunagund	5,211 40
5.	Mugalikatti	1,216—40
6.	Marchinakoppa	401—83
7.	Mulakeri	2 260—95
8.	Hotnalli	3,594—15
9.	Lakkikopp	2 731—80
10.	Shidlapur	503— 48
11.	Kalakatti	629— 93
	Grand Total Rs.	24 862 51

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Govt.
Revenue Department.

1383—S. O. 1622

Erratum No. RD 66 LRA 78.
Bangalore, dated 25th/28th June 1979.

For the name and address "Sri B Shivalingaiah, Taluk Development Board Member, Ramanagaram" appearing against Sl. No. 5 in respect of Ramanagaram Taluk Land Tribunal in Bangalore District reconstituted in Government Notification of even number dated 19th September 1978, "Sri B Shivarudraiah, T. D. B Member, Ramanagaram" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms & Ex-Officio Under Secretary to Government, Rev. Deptt.

1407 S. O.—1623

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 115 MMN 79

Bangalore dated 26th June 1979

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri Bhagwandas Tapadiya for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Limestone, over an area of 15 acres (6.07 hectares) of land in Sedam village, Sedam Taluk, Gulbarga district as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Limestone only. If other Minerals are found in association with Limestone they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals alongwith Limestone he should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25 00
11th year and onwards of lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rule 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other lesses as prevalent in Gulbarga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department

1403—S. O. 1624

Notification No. CI 151 EMO 77 (one)
Bangalore, dated 4th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 8 of the said Act vide their letter No. 5/48/78-MV dated 18th April 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions the renewal of Mining Lease in favour of Shri M/s. Narayana Mines from 23rd January 1977 for a period of Twenty (20) years for Manganese Ore and Iron Ore over an area of 270 acres (109.27 hectares) of land in Narayanapura village, Saadur Taluk, Bellary District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Manganese and Iron ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Manganese and Iron ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Manganese and Iron ore he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writting;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum or the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957,

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karntaka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other lessee as prevalent in Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

997—S. O. 1625

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 226 PEG 79 (C)
Bangalore, dated 30th June 1979.

In pursuance of the provision of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering a Regular Case and investigation of offences punishable under sections 420 IPC and Sec. 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Shri P. Subbaish, Assistant Chief Officer, Rural Development attached to the Central Bank of India, Divisional Office, K. G. Road, Bangalore-9.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1471—S. O. 1626

Order No. HD 226 PEG 79 (A)
Bangalore, dated 29th June 1979

In pursuance of the provision of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering a Regular case and investigation of offences punishable under sections 5 (1) (d) r/w 5 (2) of prevention of corruption Act and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Shri D. Krishnamurthy, Purchase officer, Cross Bar, Indian Telephone Industries Limited, Bangalore and B. V. Shamanna, Materials Manager, Cross Bar, Indian Telephone Industries Limited, Bangalore any other person/ persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1472 —S. O. 1627

AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 13 BAF 79

Bangalore, dated 31st May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 22 (3) and Article 27 of the Articles of Association of the Bangalore Animal Food Corporation Limited, Bangalore the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to continue Dr. R. Madhusudan, Joint Director (Re-designated as Deputy Director), Department of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services, Bangalore, as Managing Director, of the Bangalore Animal Food Corporation Limited, Bangalore for a further period from 1st April 1979 to 31st March 1980 (bdi).

Foreign Service allowance as per rules and at the rate he was drawing it on 31st December 1976 is allowed to him for the said period.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. BHAGWAT,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agri. & Animal Husbandry Department.

1210—S. O. 1628

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 29 LFB 79

Bangalore, dated 29th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 34 (3) of the Indian Boilers Act, 1923 (Central Act V of 1923) the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the boiler registration No. MY3—1024 belonging to M/s. Gammon Ferchems, Belagula, Mandya district from the provisions of section 8 (5) of the Act till 10th August 1979 subject to the following conditions:—

1 The boiler shall be offered for inspection as specified under the Indian Boiler Regulations, 1950 after 10th August 1979 and obtain a certificate if the owner desires to work the boiler beyond that period.

2 Any accidents or break down in the boiler shall be reported immediately to the Inspector of Boilers of the local area.

3 The boiler shall be offered for steam test to verify the condition of the pressure parts within 15 days of the date of this notification.

4 Prorata fee under Rule 6 (1) of Karnataka Boiler Rules shall be paid for 3 months i.e., from 10th May 1979 to 10th August 1979 for getting a certificate to work the boiler.

5 This exemption may be withdrawn or modified as and when considered necessary by the Government.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1186—S. O. 1629

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 41 AQW 79

Bangalore, dated 21st June 1979.

Whereas in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 7 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immovable Property Act 1952 (Central Act 30 of 1952), the immoveable properties specified in the Schedule below in Jarakabande Kaval Village, Bangalore North Taluk Bangalore District was acquired under the said Act.

And whereas no agreement can be reached with regard to the ownership of the property under the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the Requisitioning and Acquisition of Immoveable Property Act, 1952 (Central Act 30 of 1952) read with Notification No. SHO 2519 dated 24th May 1957 of the Government of India, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri B. Byrappa, Retired District Judge, Bangalore as an Arbitrator for the purpose of the said Notification.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Requisition Order No. & date	Name of the Village	S.No.	Extent	Name of the persons interested in the property
1	LAC. 220/72-73	Jarkabande Kaval	22	4.08 acres	1. Smt. Puttanarasamma 2. Smt. Lakshmidevi 3. Smt. P. H. Rukmini

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

H. GOVINDAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1373—S.O. 1630

Notification No RD 59 GHR 79

Bangalore dated 22nd May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Section 3 of the Karnataka Rent Control Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1961), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Assistant Commissioner, Bagalkot sub-Division, Bijapur District, to perform the functions of the Controller under the said Act inrespect of the local area within the limits of the Ilkal Town Municipality.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

1150—S. O. 1631

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 49 PIF 79

Bangalore, dated 17th May 1979

Applications of Karnataka Awards for FILMS for the Year 1978-79

In pursuance of Rule 5 of the Karnataka Government State Award to Full length Kannada Feature Film Rules, 1967, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to invite applications in the prescribed form for entry of full length Kannada, Tulu, Konkani and Coorgi films produced during the year 1978-79 *i.e.* from 1st April 1978 to 31st March 1979 for awards to the best Full length Feature Films and to other personnel *viz.*, Directors, Best Actor, Best Actress, Best Supporting Actor, best Supporting Actress etc., indicated in rule 4 of the said Rules, as amended from time to time.

2. All the entrants shall abide by the said Rules as amended from time to time. The prescribed application forms can be had from the Director, Department of Information and Publicity, No.5, Infantry Road, Bangalore-1.

3. The application should be addressed to the Director of Information and Publicity at the above address and should reach him on or before 16th June 1979. Applications received after the prescribed date will not be considered.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1087—S. O. 1632

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 378 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 12th/19th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Pirappa Basappa Kamakar, Powerloom Owner, No. 70, Road No. 3, Bharatnagar, Belgaum, on the points noted below.;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management Justified in refusing work to their Workmen Sriyuths (1) Bhimu Kartappa Khatavkar (2) Fakira Shankarappa Dudami (3) Irappa Basappa Hazugopa (4) Parashuram Appanna Mus.li and (5) Sadhashiva Shankar Koli represented by General Secretary Sharamik Shakti Sanghatana C/o Jokap Printers Dr. Shama Prasad Mukharajee Road, Belgaum with effect from 11th November 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1329—S. O. 1633

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Town Municipal Council, Tumkur.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. 888/78-79 dated 3rd March 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 1st day of March 1979

PRESENT

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B.A., B.L.

Presiding Officer,

Reference No. 2 of 1978

I Party :—

Sri T. V. Govindappa, s/o Sri T. Venkataramaiah, c/o Sri C. Chowdappa. Door No. 108, Halrajappa Galli, Thigalarpet, Bangalore-2.

Vs

II Party :—

The Management of Town Municipal Council, Tumkur.

AWARD

1. This case has arisen on account of the Order No. SWL 331 LLD 74, dated 7th August 1974 made by the Government of Karnataka in exercise of powers under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, referring the dispute between the parties involving the following points for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore. As in their opinion the dispute is an industrial dispute and it is desirable to refer the same for adjudication.

I. "Whether the management of M/s. Town Municipal Council, Tumkur-2, is justified in terminating the services of Sri T. V. Govindappa with effect from 18th June 1965?

II. If not, what reliefs the workman is entitled to?"

2. Subsequently the case has come on transfer to the file of this Court. The facts giving rise to the reference are briefly as follows:

The first party workman had been employed by the second party as Class IV servant and he was working in that Capacity till 6th March 1965. On 14th May 1965, he issued a notice through his counsel to the second party complaining that he had been illegally and wrongfully prevented from attending to his duties and requesting that he should be allowed to do his duties and draw his emoluments. On 18th June 1965, the second party sent a notice to the first party alleging that he had broken open the lock of the octroi office in the night of 5th March 1965 and called for his explanation. It was sent by post to the first party but was returned undelivered as 'refused'. However, the first party himself obtained a copy of that notice and subsequently applied to the President of the second party and later appealed to the Municipal Council also. But he was not taken to duty and on the other hand, he was informed that his services were terminated. He moved the Deputy Commissioner and also the High Court in Writ petition. But could not get any relief. He also moved the Labour Officer who held conciliation proceedings which also did not fructify. On his report to the Government on failure of conciliation, this reference is made to this court.

3. The contention of the first party, is that on account of ill-will existing between him and the then Octroi Officer of the second party, he has been victimised by illegal termination of his services and refusing to continue him in employment. According to him he is not guilty of any mis-conduct of breaking open of lock of Octroi Office and wilfully remaining absent from duty. Therefore, according to him, his termination is illegal, improper and unjustified and he is entitled to be reinstated with full back wages and all other consequential and incidental benefits.

4. The contention taken by the second party, is that the dispute involved in this reference is not an industrial dispute, nor the second party is running any industry that Labour court has no

jurisdiction to adjudicate on the dispute, that the first party workman was guilty of mis-conduct in breaking open look of the Octroi Office on 5th March 1965 and wilfully remaining absent thereafter avoiding to take service of even the notice issued to him, that the second party is perfectly justified in refusing to continue the first party in employment on account of his illegal acts and that, therefore the the claim made by the first party is liable to be rejected.

5 On the basis of the contentions urged by the parties, a preliminary issue involving the question as to whether this court has no jurisdiction to adjudicate on the dispute, was raised and it was tried along with the point as to whether the services of the first party workman were terminated in pursuance of any legal or fair enquiry held. These two issues came to be determined by my learned predecessor in his order dated 5th February 1979 holding that this court has jurisdiction to entertain the dispute and the dismissal of the first party workman without holding an enquiry is not valid. Consequently, the second party was called upon to prove their charges against the workman.

6 Subsequently, it was stated for the second party that they have no additional evidence to lead and they would rely on the evidence already adduced on the preliminary issues However, the first party recalled himself and examined further in support of his claim. After hearing arguments, the court proceeded to pass this award.

7 The only points now required to be determined are those mentioned in the order of reference, as the points of dispute. I shal therefore, proceed to record my findings on these points as also my reasons therefor on the basis of the material placed on record.

8 It is clear from the case putforth by the second party that the services of the first party were terminated on account of misconduct and unauthorised absence. The misconduct imputed is the alleged breaking open of the lock of the Octroi Office by the first party workman on the night of 3rd May 1965. There is no direct evidence in proof of such misconduct. No witnesse is examined for the second party to say that he witnessed the first party worman breaking open the lock of the Octroi Office. The only witness examined for the 2nd party is MW-1 R. S. Muregendrappa, the Revenue Officer of the second party since 1976. He has admitted that he did not know the first party workman prior to that. It is, therefore, obvious MW-1 has no personal knowledge about work of the first party or about the misconduct imputed to him. He came to speak about the alleged misconduct of the first party only on the basis of the records of the municipality of which he is not all author. The person who is said to have reported about the first party workman breaking open look of the office, is not examined and his report is not also forthcoming. It is said that even a complaint had been lodged with the police with

respect to the breaking open of the lock, but no record is produced to show that any such complaint had been lodged. I do not think that It was very difficult for the second party even to summon the police to produce that complaint, if at all it had been given. We do not know what happened to that alleged complaint. Merely because in the notice as per Ex M-2 a copy of which is Ex. W-1 dated 18 h June 1965 issued by the second party to the first party, there is the allegation of the having broken open the lock of the Octroi office, it cannot be presumed that he was quilty of such an act and there is truth in it. In fact, this notice was issued after the first party issued a lawyer's notice as per Ex. M-4, a copy of which is Ex. M-5 dated 14th May 1965 to the municipalities taking objection to the conduct of his officers's for not allowing him to do work. It is alleged that the first party refused to receive the notice Ex. M-1 sent by registered post. But the first party examined as WW-1 denied having refused to receive that notice. The presumption regarding refusal to receive the said notice arising on the basis of the report written by the postal authorities on the cover in which Ex M-2 had been sent is rebutted by the denied of that fact by the first party workman. so it cannot be held that there is proof of the having refused to receive that notice. Even if it is presumed that he had refused to accept it. it is not of much consequence because, he himself obtained copy of that notice as per Ex. W-1 immediately thereafter and moved the President and later Municipal Council and the Deputy Commissioner in the matter of
taking him back to duty. Therefore, the statement that the first party had broken open the lock of the Octroi Office of the second party contained in the notice as per Ex M-2 and reiterated in the reply Ex M-6 dated 5th July 1965 sent by the second party in reply to the notice as per Ex. W-5 sent by the first party, would not establish the fact that the first party workman had broken open the lock of the Octroi Office.

9 The first party workman as WW-1 has denied the allegation that he had broken open the lock of the Octroi Office. He has sworn that the then Octroi Officer one Sri Thepperumal was extracting from him money and when he refused to pay money subsequently there arose ill-will and on account of that ill-will only the allegation of breaking open lock of the Octroi Office. was made against him That Octroi Officer has been examined to deny the allegation made by the first party workman. If only there was any such serious misconduct on the part of the first party workman. I do not think that the second party would not have pursued the matter with the police and would not have held regular enquiry in that regard. The allegation that the first party workman failed to attend the office and therefore no enquiry could be held against him is hardly believable. As I have already stated, he was the first to issue notice to the second party and he has further sworn that he was regularly coming to the Octroi Office even

after 5th March 1965, but he was not being given any work. I see no reason to disbelieve him especially when a person having knowledge of the affairs of the Octroi Office at that time, has not come forward to deny his claim. Therefore, I have no hesitation in holding that the alleged misconduct of the first party workman in breaking open the lock of the Octroi Office, is not established. There is no reason why he should have broken open lock of the office during night. It is not stated that he had any intention to commit thaft or to cause mischief, Therefore, I hold that the misconduct imputed to the first party workman is not proved.

10 Even with regard to the charge of continued absence of the first party workman as a ground justifying the termination of the services by the second party, I am of the view that there is hardly any accept-ble evidence in proof of such conduct. As I have already pointed out MW-1 is only witness examined for the second party, had no personal knowledge about the first party workman prior to 1976. Obviously, therefore, he had no personal knowledge about the first party workman remaning absent after 5th March 1965 from his duties. On the other hand, we have the sworn statement of the first party workman that he was regularly going to the Octroi Office even after 5th March 1965, but he was not being assigned with any work. He has clearly stated that on 6th March 1965 he had been to that office and made over the charge of his duties to another No record is produced to show that this part of his statement is false. He has further stated that though he was attending the office, he was being marked abeence. That claim is substantiated by his letter as per Ex. W-4, wherein he has made a grievance about refusal of work. We have also his latter to the president as per Ex. W-2 dated 26th October 1965 evidently written in reply to the notice as per Ex. M-1 wherein he has also made mention about his attendance in the office subsequent to 6th March 1965 and assigment of no work. Ex. W-5 is his notice dated 5th July 1965 issued through his counsel. He was issued with an endorsement as per Ex. W-7 dated 8th July 1965 informing him that he had to fild a regular appeal before the Town Municipal Council to according to the Municipalities Act for reconsidering his case. Ex. W-8 is an endorsement issued to him by the Deputy Commissioner whom he had also approached. In this also he was directed to approch the Town Municipal Council Ex. W-9 is another letter by the Chief Officer of the second party written on 25th August 1970
stating that he had to file a regular appeal before the Town Municipa Council. It is in his evidence that he had filed such appeal, but his request was not granted. Having regard to these circumstances flowing from the conduct of the first party himself,it can not be held that he deliberately absented himself from duty after 5th March 1965. I see no reason to dibelieve him in his statement that he was going to the office and as no work was being assigned to him and as no pay was

also being paid to him. he prayed the several authorities for redressing his grievence. In the circumstances, therefore, I hold that the second party has not established that the first party workman deliberately absented himself from duty without authority from 6th March 1965. Therefore, the termination of the services of the first party workman cannot be justified on this ground of continued absence from duty.

11. There is no other ground ugred in support of the contention that the second party is justified in terminating the services of the first party workman with effect from 18th June 1965. Therefore, on the first point I hold that the management of Town Municipal Counell. Tumkur-2, is not justified in terminating the services of Sri T. V. Govindappa with effect from 18th June 1965.

12.. In view of my finding on the first point as above, it must be held that the first party workman is entitled to an offer of reinstatement as prayed for by him in his original post. His claim for continuity of service and all consequential benefits must also be granted. He is also entitled to get back wages from the second party As regards the quantum of back wages, it was argued that he should be allowed full back wages. No doubt, he has stated that he is not employed any where after he ceased to work with the second party and his family consisting of himself his wife his parents and younger brothers were dependent on his income. According to him, on account of denial of the salary to him, he was put to great hardships and he has been living on some things given by his relatives. There is no evidence *per contra*. But in my opinion, having regard to the fact that the second party is a statutory body existing for the welfare of the citizens of Tumkur Town, it would be inequitable to make them liable to pay full back wages. It is also pertinant to point out here, that the first party workman also did not file the appeal in accordance with the Town Municipalities Act even though he was given an endorsement to that effect and fight out his case there. In one of the endorsements, he was even asked to report for duty giving a bond for his good behaviour. But he was recalcitrant in his attitude by refusing to joint duty on the ground that he is not bound to give bond. Under these circumstances and in view of the fact that the first party workman did not also say that he had contracted any loans to maintain himself and his family it would not be equitable to allow him full back wages. I do not think that his relatives would have given him doles all these years from 1965 to maintain himself and his family. On the other hand, it is very likely that he was earning for himself during the period. I am of the view that back wages to the extent of 60 should be allowed.

13 In the result, I hold on the first point that the management of the second party is not justified in terminating the services of the first party with effect from 18th June 1965. On the second point, I

hold that the first party is entitled to be reinstated back his original post with continuity of service under the second party with effect from the date of his removal from service and recover from the second party 60 (sixty per cent) of the back wages with incidental benefits like increments and promotion, if any. He is also entitle to recover his costs of this proceedings from the second party.

N. P. Kempe Gowda,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

Social Welfare and Labour Department

Order No. SWL 92 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 19th March 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 331 LLD 74, dated 7th August 1974 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Town Municipal Council, Tumkur, was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

667—S. O. 1634

Order No. SWL 80 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 13th March 1979.

WHEREAS before the Presiding Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, a complaint was made under Section 33-A of the Industrial Dispute Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), by Sri H. Viswanatha, Watchman, Government I. W. I. Colony, Honnali Road, Shimoga.

AND, WHEREAS the said Tribunal adjudicated upon the said complaint and submitted its award to the State Government as required under Section 15 read with Section 33-A of the said Act.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs the publication of the said award in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

601—S. O. 1636

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE

Dated 19th February 1979.

Present :

Sri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

Complaint No. 1 of 1973
In I. D. No. 23/71

Complainant.		*Opposite Party.*
H. Vishwanatha, Watchman, Government I. W. I. Colony, Honnali Road, Shimoga.	*Vs.*	The Officer-in Charge, Government Wood Preservation Plant, Shimoga.

Appearances.

For the Complainant :—Sri M. C. Narasimhan, Advocate, Bangalore.

For the Opposite Party :—Sri P. Shankarappa, Asst. Government Pleader, Bangalore.

AWARD.

This is a Complaint under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

2. The Complainant states as follows :—

The Complainant joined the Opposite Party's Establishment on 11th January 1962 as per Order dated 9th January 1962 on a pay scale of Rs. 30-½-40 plus Dearness Allowance. His services have been terminated by an order dated 9th November 1972 issued by the Director of Forest Industries, Bangalore. The said termination order is illegal and unjust. Complainant is a workman concerned

in I. D. No. 23 of 71 relating to the retrenchment of services of a group of workmen and which is pending adjudication. The termination order says that his services have been terminated for grave misconduct. etc. No show cause notice was issued and as such, the complainant is not aware of what the allegations are. No enquiry was also held. The termination order issued without holding an enquiry is illegal. Further, the Director of Forest Industries is not competent authority to dismiss. For the above reasons, the termination order may be set aside and the complainant may be reinstated with back wages and continuity of service.

3. The Opposite Party states as follows:—

The complainant was appointed as per the order referred to by him only as a substitute wachman purely on temporary basis by the officer-in-charge, Wood Preservation Plant, Shimoga. The complainant was working on temporary basis and the post was not sanctioned. He was appointed on temporary basis under special staff, viz., three-shifts staff. There is no continuity of his post under the above said staff from 1st April 1966 till the date of his termination. The order terminating the complainant's services is legal and in order. The complinant was not working regularly. He was fined and warned several times. Inspite of several memos issued to him, the complainant never worked regularly and sincerely. The complainant never cared to rectify hmself and to work punctually. The complainant demanded leave on 19th and the 20th of September 1972. The opposite party informed him that it was not possible to grant leave as there was no substitute and also issued a memo to that effect to the complainant. But, neverthleess the complainant voluntarily abstained from duty on 19th September 1972 and 20th September 1972. The complainant thereby committed wilful neglect of his duty. The Opposite party therefore terminated the complainant 's services for misconduct and disobedince of orders and for voluntarily abstaining from duty without sanction of leave. Under Rule 18 of Work Service Rules, the complainant rendered himself liable for termination without notice. The complainant has no right to file the complaint. He is a stranger to the proceedings pending in I. D.23 /71. The complainant has misrepresented that the union has raised the dispute on behalf of all the workmen including himself. I. D.23 /71 relates to retrenchment of Lorry Drivers and Ceaners of the Opposite Party Establishment. The issue raised by the complainent are different and do not come under the issues referred in I. D. 23/71 The complainant is therefore not a workman concerned in I. D. 23/71. It is false to state that the Director of Forest Industries, Bangalore, has no power to terminate the complainant's services. The Director, on the report of the Officer-in-charge who can exercise power under Rule 18 of the Work Service Rules, has terminated the complainant's

services on valid grounds. The complaint is not maintainable and hence, may be dismissed.

4. The complainant filed a Rejoinder.

5. The following are the issues framed for consideration :—

1. Does Complainant prove that he is a workmen concerned in the dispute in I. D. No. 23/71 on the file of this Tribunal ?

OR

Does Opposite Party prove that the Complainant was appointed on temporary basis and was working as such till his termination and is a stranger to the dispute in I. D. No. 23/71 on the file of this Tribunal ?

2. Does Complainant prove that the order dated 2nd November 1971 terminating his services without holding an enquiry is arbitrary, capricious and illegal and therefore liable to be set aside ?

3. Is the Director of Forest Industries the comptent authority to dismiss the Complainant ?

4. Does Opposite Party prove that the Complainant was terminated from service for misconduct and disobedience of orders and for voluntarily absenting himself from duty without sanction of leave and therefore rendered himself liable for termination under Rule 18 of Work Service Rules ?

5. Does Opposite Party prove that the Complaint is not maintainable for the reasons stated by it ?

6. To what relief, if any, is the Complainant entitled ?

7. What Award ?

6. The complainant examined himself as CW-1 and closed his case. On behalf of the Opposite Party OW-1 H. Siddalingappa, formerly working as Office-in-charge of the wood Preservation Plant, Shimoga, and now working as Assistant Conservator of Forest, Bhadravathi, has been examined. I have heard the counsel appearing for the complainant and the Assistant Government Pleader, Bangalore, appearing on behalf of the Opposite Party and perused the records.

7. Issues Nos. 1, 3, and 5:—These issues cover the rival contentions raised with regard to the Maintainability of the complaint to show that he is a concerned workman in I. D. 23/71, which, as seen from the Government Order No. FCL 12 LLD 71 dated 27th October 1971, relates to the retrenchment of certain drivers and cleaners in the Lorry Section of the Opposite Party, it is urged by the complainant that while doing the job of watchman, he was also rendering service in the Opposite Party's Lory Section by lifting of wood in the said section. The Opposite Party would say that the complainant was appointed as per Ext. 03 order as a substitute watchman

purely on temporary basis and was posted as watchman to see that the Railway sleepers which were being treated with chemicals for their preseravation are not stolen and the complainant was not rendering service in connection with the work of Lorry Section which was exclusively being handled by the drivers and clearns referred to in the above said Government Order dated 27th October 1971. In answser to the complainant's contention that the dispute which was the subject-matter of the above said Government Order dated 27th October 1971 was raised by the union of which he was a member, it is urged by the Opposite Party's counsel that there is no evidence to show that the dispute covered by the above said Government Order dated 27th October 1971 was raised by the union of those lorry drivers and cleaners and that the camplainant was a member of that union and, that, in any event, even if it is held that the complainant was a member of that union which had raised the dispute covered by the said Government Order dated 27th October 1971, the complainant does not become a concerned workman in I. D. 23/71 so as to confir a right on the complainant to file the present complaint.

8. I have considered the rival submissions made. One of the tests to find out as to whether the workman is a concerned workman in any pending dispute is to ascertain as to whether there is any similarity or connection in the contentions that are raised/involved. On a closer examination, it is seen that there are at least 2 contentions which are common to I.D. No. 23/71 and the present proceedings. The first one is the applicability of Rule 18 of Work Service Rules which were framed and appear to be still on the statute book According to the contentions raised by the complainant, these Work Service Rules are dead letters of the law since many of those rules, in particular, Rule No. 18, were framed as long back as on 2nd June 1942 and can no longer be considered to be in force in the light of the Industrial Employment (Standing Orders) Act, 1946 which came into force with effect from 23rd April 1946. There is no controversy that power to invoke Rule 18 of the Work Service Rules was involved in I. D. 23/71. Ext. C-I Order dated 2nd Oct/November 1972 terminating the complainant's services also refers to Rule 18 of the Work Service Rules, 1946, as the source of power to terminate the complainant's services. The other contention which is common to both I. D. 23/71 and the present complaint is as to whether the Director of Forest Industries, Bangalore, is the competent authority to pass the orders in question, namely, the retrenchment order involved in I.D. 23/71 and termination order Ext. C-1 involved in this, complaint, when there is a separate officer-in-charge and who is in sole control of the management of the Opposite Party concern, namely, the Government Wood Preservation Plant. In other words, the common contention is as to whether the Director of Forest Industries is, in any way, a competent authority in relation to the management and control of the Opposite party concern. In my opinion, these two

factors are sufficient to treat the complainant as a concerned workman in I. D. 23/71 In the ligat of the fore going, it is not necessary to further examine the facts of the decisions reported in 1958 I L.L.J 63, 1959 II L.L.J. 712, 1960 1 L. L. J. 551 and 1963 II L. L. J. 10 which also support the complainant's stand as a concerned workman in I. D. 23/71. In the last of the above decisions (1963 II LLJ 10), it was held that the word "concerned" used in Section 33 of the Industrial Disputes Act has a wider connotation than the actual party to the reference. In this case, the reference related to the discharge of only one workmen, but as it was taken up by all the workmen of the concern, it was held that the dispute assumed the character of on industrial dispute, that thus the workers on whose behalf the case of an individual workman was taken up, are all concerned in the dispute and that therefore it cannot be said that the workers who were discharged and whose case was taken up by the union by means of an application under Section 33A of the Industrial Disputes Act were not concerned in the subject matter of the dispute. The above reasoning can fairly be applied to the complainant's case whose membership of the union which raised the dispute in I. D. 23/71 has not been denied by the Opposite Party. The Opposite Party is not justified in contending that the present complaint should also have been filed by the very same union which had raised the dispute in I. D. 23/71 te make it legaliy maintainable. Such contention on the part of the Opposite Party would be of too technical a nature to be accepted when it has to be remembered that the aim and object of the legislation is to maintain harmonious relationship. For the foregoing reasons, I hold under the 1st part of Issue No, 1 that the complainant is a concerned workman in I. D. 23/71. under the second part of Issue No. 1 that the complaint's appointment on temporary basis and his working as such till his services are terminated are wholly relevant circumstances and do not make the complainant a stranger to the dispute in I. D. 23/71, under Issue No. 3 that the Opposite Party has not adduced any evidence to show that the Director of Forest Industries is a competent authority to dismiss the complainant and under Issue No. 5 that on none of the reasons urged by the Opposite Party it can be held that the complaint is not maintainable.

9. Issue Nos. 2 and 4 :— It is admitted that before issuing the impugned order Ext. C-1, no enquiry was held by the Opposite Party. Since that portion of Ext. C-1 order which mentions the reason in support of termination of services reads as-

> "..... for the grave misconduct and disobedience of orders under Rule 18 of the Works Service Rules 1946 with immediate effect"

it follows that the relevant portion of Rule 18 of the Work Service Rules which applies to Ext. C-1 order is the following :—

> "when an employee's services and dispensed with for misconduct, absence without leave or wilful neglect of duty, he is not entitled to any notice".

But the above provision does not dispense the holding of any enquiry during the co urse of which the misconduct alleged is established in accordence with the principles of fair play and natural justice. Any other interpretation of the above provision would mean the recognition of the principle of hire and fire which does not hold the field of industrial law . Therefore, the terminasion of the complanant's services without holding any enquiry has to be set aside as of no legal effect.

10 The Opposity party's counsel has invited attention to the file containing several orders showing fines and other minor punishments imposed upon the complainant from time to time for various acts of misconduct committed by the complainant. Even if these orders of punishment are taken into consideration, the Opposite Party's case does not stand improved so as to cure the defect of not holding an enquiry against the complainant before issuing Ext. C-1 termination order. For the the foregoing reasons, I hold that impugned order Ext. C-1 dated 2nd November 1972 terminating the complainant's services without holding an enquiry is arbitrary and illegal and liable to be set aside and under Issue No. 4 that except producing Ex. C-1 termination order which mentions that the complainant's services have been terminated for misconduct and disobedience of orders and for voluntarily abstaining himself from duty without sanctioning of leave, the Opposite Party has failed to prove that, as a matter of fact, the complainant was dismissed for the said reasons either by showing that an enquiry in accordance with law was held before arriving at the conclusion that the complainant's services should be terminated for the said reasons or here in these proceedings by requesting for permission to adduce evidence in such behalf and establisbing the grounds on which the complainant's services were discharged.

11 Issue No. 6:—In the light of the foregoing findings, it follow that the complainant is entitled to the reliefs which he has claimed, namely, setting aside of Ext. C-1 termination order and reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service.

12 Issue No. 7 :—An award is passed accordingly.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me):

F. L. F. ALVARES,
Presiding Officer

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns, at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 227 PEG 79

Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979.

In pursuance of the provision of Section 6 of the Delhi Specia. Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering a Preliminary enquiry and investigation of Offences for official misconduct and other offences agai.st Shri R. Kannan, Development Manager, Punjab National Bank, Headquarters, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1473—S. O. 1647

Order No. HD 225 PEG 79

Bangalore, dated 29th June 1979

In pursuance of the provision of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering and investigation of offences punishable u/s 120 B r/w 420 IPC and Sec. 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Dr. S. Ramamurthy, General Manager, Shri B.N. Bhattacharya, Factory Manager and Shri T. S. Gurappa, Chief Superintendent of Hindusthan Aeronautics Limited, Bangaloie.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1486—S O. 1648

Order No. HD 226 PEG 79. (B)

Bangalore, dated 30th June 1979.

The pursuance of the provision of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1947 (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering a Regular Case and Investigation of offences punishable under section 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (a) of prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Shri G. Chandrakantha Rao, Sub-Manager Chickmagalur Branch, formerly Manager, Yeslur, Branch of Canara Bank, any other person/ persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1470—S. O. 1649

Notification No. HD 14 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 and 341 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the trailers as described in the Schedule below, belonging to M/s. Tungabhadra Steel Products Ltd., T.B. Dam from the provisions of rules 200, 249, 251 and 319 of the said rules for the purposes of registration and plying them on the road from (1) T.B. Dam to Supa *via* Hubli—Dharwad—Dandeli, (2) T.B. Dam to Bommanahalli *via* Hubli-Dharwad *via* Ambikanagar, and (3) T.B. Dam to Hemavathi *via* Harapanahalli Harihar-Bhadravathi, Birur, Hassan and back, subject to the following conditions :—

1. The trailers may be registered without insisting on the sale letters, vouchers and makers certificates ;
2. The RLW shall be fixed by adding 12½% to the carrying capacity plus unladen weight ;
3. In respect of the 20 tonne trailer the permitted laden weight shall not exceed the maximum laden weight actually permitted on any road or area as the case may be ;
4. The spacing between the various axles of the vehicles shall not be less than the following limits ;—
   (i) distance between from and rear axles of tractors—2.99 meters (118")

(ii) Distance between rear axle of the tractor and trailers axle 10.31 meters (406")

7. The maximum speed shall not exceed 25 Kmph. on roads and 10 Kmph. on bridges, C. D. works, Ghat roads and while negotiating curves and also subject to other speed limits wherever prescribed.

8. Government shall not be responsible for any damages that may be sustained either by the vehicles and/or its contents and/or occupants consequent on its movement.

9. The permission is valid to ply on the routes mentioned above and vehicles shall not ply on any other roads.

10. The cost of rectification of damages and reconstruction of any cross drainage works and other road structures consequent on the movement of the vehicle shall have to be borne by the owner of the vehicles.

11. All necessary warning signals such as red flags during day and red lights during the night shall be provided for the said vehicles so as to clearly indicate the loading dimension and perpherry.

12. Necessary precautions should be undertaken by the owner to see that these tractor-trailers are used without endangering to the public saf ty and public property.

13. No obstructions or hinderance to the normal traffic should be caused during their use on public roads,

14. Use of the trailers on the public roads would be at the risk of the owner.

15. On State Highway where GLW of 12192 Kgs. is permitted the vehicles are allowed to ply with a GLW of 17,000 Kgs.

16. In any case, the load on any one axle should not exceed 8 tonnes in the case of road where 12192 Kgs. GLW is permitted.

SCHEDULE

1) Flat Trailer 1 No.			
(a) No. of axles	...	2, front-1	+ rear-1
(b) No. of tyres	...	4	+ 4
(c) Size of tyres	...	7.50 × 20	7.50 × 20
2) Bridge Trailer 1 No.			
(a) No. of axles	...	2, front-1,	rear-1
(b) No. of tyres	...	2	+ 2
(c) Size of tyres	...	12.00 × 20	12.00 × 20

3) **Body Trailers 2 Nos.**

(a)	No. of axles	...	2, front-1+rear-1
(b)	No of tyres	...	2 + 2
(c)	Size of tyres	...	10.50×16 10.50×16

4) **20 T Trailer 1 No.**

(a)	No. of axles	...	2. front-1+rear-1
(b)	No, of tyres	...	4 + 8
(c)	Size of tyres		12.00×20 9.00×20

5) **Atlas low-bed trailer 1 No.**

(a)	No. of axles	...	2, front-1+rear-1
(b)	No. of tyres	...	4 + 4
(c)	Size of tyres	...	7.50×20 7.50×20

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1486—S.O. 1650

Order No. HD 233 PEG 79
Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979

In pursuance of the Provision of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering and investigating a Regular Case punishable u/s 120-B, 380/381 IPC and Section 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed at Reserve Bank of India, Bangalore in the case against unknown accused persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1490—S.O.1651

Notification No. HD 120 TMA 79,
Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 218 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts the public service vehicles of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore, mentioned in the Schedule below, intended for use on long distance routes, from the operation of the

provisions of Rule 2 6 (2) of the said rules and empowers the Regional Transport Authority to fix the maximum number of passengers that may be carried in the said vehicles and to prescribe the manner in which passengers in excess of the actual sitting accommodation may be carried.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Wheel base
1	ALB—103942	ALI—83627	210''
2	,, 104723	,, 84814	,,
3	,, 104722	,, 84811	,,
4	,, 103943	,, 83514	,,

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1489—S.O. 1652 *Under Secy. to Govt., Home Dept.*

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 480 LLD 79,

Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the Workmen and the management of Sri M. S. Rajaram, Proprietor, Shivashankar Motor Service, Shimoga, on the points noted below;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka Consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Sub-Section (1) Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute.

I. "Is the management justified in refusing employment to Sri B. S. Hoblidar, checking Inspector, w.e.f. 15th January 1979?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,

Desk Officer,

Social Welfare & Labour Department,

1467—S.O. 1653 (*Labour-'A' Section*).

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 64 SFS 78 (ili),

Bangalore, dated 5/7th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 23 of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Fisheries Development Corporation, Ltd., Mangalore, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the following two persons as Directors on the Board of Directors of the said Corporation for a period of three years with immediate effect.

1 Sri K. C Kunder, A.C.C.S.,
S/O Sri B. C. Nayak,
President, Manoor Fishermen's Co-operative
Society and President. Purse-seine Boat.
Owners' Association, Gangoli,
Udupi, D. K District.

2 Sri R S. Lakkumani,
Vice-President,
Uttara Kannada District Co-operative
Fish Marketing Federation, Ltd.,
Karwar.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S W. & Labour Deptt.

1008—S. O. 1654

Notification No. SWL 104 SET 79

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1979.

Whereas in the Notification No. SWL 478 SAD 78 dated 26th December, 1978, Sri K. M. Koti, Joint Director of Social Welfare, Working, on deputation, as Managing Director of the Karnataka Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation Ltd, Bangalore was continued as Managing Director of the said Corporation upto and inclusive of 31st March, 1979.

2. Whereas it is now considered necessary to continue the present Managing Director Sri K.M. Koti, further,

3. Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred under Article. 14 of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation Ltd., Bangalore, it is hereby ordered that Sri K. M. Koti, Joint Director of Social Welfare, presently working as the Managing Director of the Karnataka Scheduled Castes and Scheduled Tribes Development Corporation Ltd., Bangalore, shall continue as Managing Director of the said Corporation with effect from 1st April 1979 until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. B: THIMAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

882—S. O. 1655

Notification No. SWL 13 LSI 79

Bangalore, dated 25th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 88 of the Employees' State Insurance Act, 1948 (34 of 1948) the State Government hereby exempt the following Employees of M/s N.G.E. F. Ltd., P.B. No. 3876, Bangalore 560038.

Sl. No.	Name	Designation	Exemption From	Exemption To
1	2	3	4	5
1.	Sri Nazir Ahmed Sharif	Civil Engineer	28-8-78	27-8-79
2.	Sri. Y. Venkataswamaiah	Civil Engineer	1-9-78	31-8-79
3.	Sri. H. B. Basavaraj	Staffmen	25-5-78	24-5-79
4.	K. S. Veeranna	T. E.	20 8-78	19-8-79

from the operation of the said Act for a period of one year as mentioned above.

2. The above exemption is subject to the following conditions namely :—

(1) The aforesaid factory wherein the employees are employed shall maintain a register showing the names and designations of the exempted employees ;

(2) Notwithstanding this exemption, the employees shall continue to receive such benefits under the said Act to which they might have become entitled to on the basis of the contributions paid prier to the date from which exemption granted by this Notification operates ;

(3) The contribution for the exempted period, if already paid. shall not be refunded ;

(4) The employer of the said factory shall submit in respect of the period during which that factory was subject to the operation of the said Act (hereinafter referred to as the said period), such returns in such form containing such particulars as were due from it in respect of the said period under the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950 ;

(5) Any inspector appointed by the Corporation under sub-section (1) of Section 15 of the said Act, or other Official of the Corporation authorised in this behalft shall, for the purpose of.

(i) Verifying the particulars contained in any return submitted under Sub-section (1) of Section 44 for the said period ; or

(ii) ascertaining whether registers and records were maintained as required by the Employees' State Insurance (General) Regulations, 1950 for the said period; or

(iii) ascertaining whether the employees continue to be entitled to benefits if provided by the employer in cash and kind being consideration of which exemption is being granted under this notification; or

(iv) ascertaining whether any of the provisions of the Act had been complied with during the period when such provisions were in force in relation to the said factory be empowered to;

(a) require the principal or immediate employer to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(b) enter any factory. establishment, office or other premises occupied by such principal or immediate employer any reasonable time and require any person found incharge thereof to produce to such Inspector or other official and allow him to examine such documents, books and other documents, relating to the employment of persons and payment of wages or to furnish to him such information as he may consider necessary; or

(c) examine the principal or immediate employer, his agent or servant, or any person found in such factory establishment, office or other permises or any persons whom the said Inspector or other official has reasonable cause to believe to have been an employee; or

(d) Make copies of or take extracts from, any register, account book or other document maintained in such factory, establishment, office or other premises.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. V. MASTHIHOLIMATH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

933—S O. 1656

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 93 LAG 79.
Bangalore, dated 15th June 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints. Sri J.F.B. Frank as District Government Pleader, Dakshina Kannada, Mangalore until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.
(Administration)

1823—S.O. 1657

Notification No. LAW 51 LAG 79 (P)

Bangalore, dated 20th/21st June 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri D. Gopinath Rao, as Additional Government Pleader, Bellary until further order s

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. NAGESHA RAO,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.
(Administration)

1369—S. O. 1658

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 137 FGL 79

Bangalore, dated 22nd June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the portion of the land specified in Schedule below, which was constituted under section 19 of the Indian Forest Act, 1878 as Reserved Forest by Government of Bombay under Notification No. 2890-E, dated 12th April 1897 shall cease to be Reserved Forest with effect from the date of this Notification.

SCHEDULE

District Taluks	Village	Sy.No.	Area	Boundaries
Bijapur Basavan Bagewadi	Devalapur	48/1	A. G. 20-00	North : Part of C. B. No. 48/1 South : Nidagundi Village Boundary East : Part of C.B. No. 48/1 West : Forest land C.B. No. 52 of Devalapur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1372—S. O. 1659

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 44 TRM 76

Bangalore, dated 23rd June 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, owing to heavy rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue (khist kharif) payable for the year 1975-76 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C-(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 40,807-66 payable for the year 1975-76 in respect of the lands in 71 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Manvi taluk of Raichur district.

SCHEDULE.

Sl. No.	Name of the village		Land Revenue
(1)	(2)		(3)
	R I KOWTAL CIRCLE.		Rs. Ps.
1	Thimmapura	...	350-64
2	Heer	...	1,971-21
3	Tippaldoddi	...	1,167-53
4	Chincharki	...	1,298-46
5	Hussainpur	...	1,241-01
6	Buddinni	...	469-69
7	Huda	...	509-00
8	Yapalparthi	...	244-50
9	Donmardi	...	826-79
10	Kasandoddi	...	206-00
11	Bomsandoddi	...	131-59
12	Umali Gudadinni	...	254-19
13	Sadapur	...	831-03
	R I HALAPUR CIRCLE.		
14	Gugebal	...	353-83
15	Hiredinni	...	192-97
16	Chikdinni	...	228-68
17	Toraldinni	...	180-56
18	Sanknoor	...	260-76
19	Mallapur	...	220-00

(1)	(2)		(3)
	R I MALLAT CIRCLE.		Rs. Ps.
20	Mallat	...	432-14
21	Kurkunda	...	1,188-86
22	Navalkal	...	343-76
23	Nerbanda	...	911-81
24	Murkigudda	...	95 -40
25	Hanchinal	...	558-83
26	Marat	...	612-34
27	Patkamdoddi	...	655-02
28	Bullapur	...	587-72
29	Wadwatti	...	430-22
30	Kalamgera	...	593-64
	R I KURDI CIRCLE.		
31	Sunkeshwar	...	480-20
32	Boilmerched	...	613-82
33	Gorkal	...	1,752-89
34	Walkamdinni	...	758-75
35	Rajolli	...	1,324-12
36	Korvi	...	1,703-28
37	Pannur-Umli	...	1,455-98
38	Murharpur	...	655-07
39	Bapur	...	658-47
40	Sadapur	...	631-39
41	Seekal	...	927-08
42	Rajalbanda	...	242-50
43	Jukoor	...	1,022-19
44	Arnalli	...	461-49
45	Kamalathi	...	842-87
46	Thammapur	...	386 08
47	Advi-Khanapur	...	560-46
	R I MANVI CIRCLE.		
48	Rabbankal	...	938-60
49	Katarki	...	1,141-83
50	Daddal	...	231-92
51	Yermaldoddi	...	47-12
52	Nandihal	...	120-00
53	Kumdhal	...	35-33
54	Rajaldinni	...	65-00
55	Mudlapur	...	1,236-18
56	Burhanpur	...	113-62
57	Buddinni	...	54-73
58	Mushtoor	...	303-00
59	Rangadhal	...	39-42
60	Yadiwal	...	109-71
61	Jagir Pannur	...	114-90
62	Chikalparvi	...	104-92

(1)	(2)		(3)
	R I PAMANKALLUR CIRCLE.		Rs Ps.
63	Pamankallur	...	707-47
64	Anandgal	...	340-95
65	Nelkola	...	236-35
66	Kachapur	...	167-77
67	Kotekal	...	451-02
68	Harvapur	...	387-73
69	Benchmardi	...	489-19
70	Umli Tupdoor	—	536-26
71	Ameengadh	...	142-80
	Grand Total ...		Rs. 40,807-66

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1381—S. O. 1660

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification-I No. HUD 19 TTP 79
Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Proviso to sub-rule (1) of rule 4 of the Karnataka State Town Planning Board Rules 1964, the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the term of the Karnataka State Town Planning Board, constituted in Notification No. HMA 7 TTP 75 dated 23rd April 1976 by one year from 24th April 1979 to 23rd April 1980.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1482—S.O. 1661

Notification-II No. HUD 19 TTP 79
Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Town and Country Planning Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1963) read with rules 3 & 4 of the Karnataka State Town Planning Board Rules 1964, the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the

term of the members appointed in Notification No. HMA 7 TTP 75 dated 23rd April 1976 by one year from 24th April 1979 to 23rd April 1980.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1483—S. O. 1662

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 2 TRC 79
Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 14 of the Road Transport Corporations Act 1950, (Central Act 64 of 1950) read with rule 12 of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Rules 1961, the Government of Karnataka hereby extend the period of re-appointment of Sri P. G. Prabhu, as Chief Accounts Officer of the said Corporation for a further period of six months from 25th July 1979 or till the appointment of his successor whichever is earlier.

During the period of the extension of the re-employment, Shri Prabhu will draw the same pay and allowances as drawn by him on the last date of the period of his re-employment as consolidated pay per month and he shall not be entitled to any increase in the allowances as admissible to the regular Officers of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation. The other terms and conditions during the period of his extension of re-employment will be the same as contained in the notification No. HD 2 TRC 79, dated 16th January 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1484 —S. O. 1663

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 22 MPN 79
Bangalore, dated 20th March 1979

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting licence in favour of Shri B. N. Venkata Subbaiah for Asbestos for a period of 2 (Two) years over an area of 52 acres (21.04 hectares) of land in Yagachaguppe village Taluk K. R. Pet District, Mandya as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions are appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or whenever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometers or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The abovementioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Mandya District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

711—S. O. 1664

Corrigendum No CI 144 MMN 79
Bangalore, dated 4th July 1979.

Sub: Survey and Demarcation of Mining lease area granted in favour of M/s Standard Mineral work in Chik Hodlur and Hire Hodlur village, Bagalkot taluk, Bijapur district.

For the words and Figures "781 acres (316.06 hectares)" appearing in fifth and sixth line of para 1 of the Government Notification No. CI 99 EMI 78 dated 7th December 1978 substitute the words and figures "42 acres (17 hectares)".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department

1489—S.O. 1665

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 11 TMA 77
Bangalore, dated 21st June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 and 341 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules. 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt three axled articulted vehicles (Semi-trailer No. S 100938) manufactured by M/s. Mahindra Owen Ltd., Madras, from the

provisions of rules 249 (1) and 319 of the said rules for the purpose of plying them on Hosur-Bangalore Road (NH 7), Bangalore-Poona Road (NH-4) Sholapur-Hyderabad Road (NH 9) and Hassan-Mangalore road (NH 48) and also on the State Highways viz. Shahabad-Gulbarga-Humnabad road. Shahabad-Gulbarga-Aland-Omerga road. Hospet-Bellary Guntakal-Road, Bangalore-Mandya-Mysore road and Bidar-Hallikhed-Humnabad road, subject to the following conditions:

1 The G.L W and maximum axle load in the laden condition of the vehicle should not exceed 22 542 Kgs and 8731 Kgs respectively.

2 The Government shall not be responsible for any damages that be sustained either by the vehicles and or its contents and/or occupants consequent on their movement,

3 The maximum speed shall not exceed 25 KMPH on road and 10 KMPH on bridges, C.D. Works and while negotiating curves. Other speed limits and warning should be adhered to wherever stipulated.

4 The permission is valid only on the State Highways and National Highways mentioned above and the vehicle shall not ply on any other State roads and National Highways Overall length of trailer :—32 feet.

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1376—S. O. 1684

Order No. HD 24 CNA 79.

Bangalore, dated 25th/29th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 20 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 23 of 1964) as in force in the State of Karnataka, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the exhibition of 16 mm films by the Management of M/s. New English School, Soundalaga, Taluk Chikodi, Belgaum District in their school premises, from the provisions of Section 4 of the said Act, subject to the following conditions :—

1. The provisions of section 30 of the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 and the Indian Electricity Rules made thereunder as are applicable shall be observed.

2. The exhibition of films shall be free of charge.

3. No Advertisement films directly or indirectly spon-soring any particular trade or business, service product etc., shall be exhibited.

4. A register of films exhibited shall be maintained and sha be open to inspection of duly authorised officers of State Government

and other persons mentioned under condition 9 below. Any other directions that may be issued by the State Government or District Magistrate, Belgaum Dis:rict in this behalf shall also be complied with.

5. The exhibition of films shall not extend beyond 7 p.m.

6. No film other than a film which has been certified by the Central Board of Film Censors as suitable for unrestricted public exhibition or public exhibition restricted to adults and which when exhibited displays the prescribed mark of the Central Board of Film Censors and has not been altered or tampered in any way since such mark was affixed thereto and no film other than a film approved by the State Government under section 12 read with rule 20 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulations) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act No. 23 of 1964 and rules issued thereunder) shall be exhibited or permitted to be exhibited.

7. No film other than a Film exempted by the Government of India under section 9 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952) and rules made thereunder or by the State Government under section 20 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act No. XXIII of 1964) and the rules made thereunder shall be exhibited.

8. No film which has been declared as uncertified or whose exhibition has been suspended under the cinematograph Act 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952) or the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 5964 (Karnataka Act 23 of 1964) shall be exhibited or permitted to be exhibited ;

9. Members of the Central Board of Film Censors and its Advisory Panels, Regional and Assistant Regional Officers of the Board and employees ofthe State Government engaged in the discharge of their official duties, shall be admitted to the place of exhibition and to film shows ;

10. A quarterly return of the films exhibited shall be submitted to the concerned District Magistrate of the District at the end of each quarter and to the Director of Information and Publicity, Bangalore for check up.

11. Films of educational, cultural and Scientific value of the Central and State Governments shall also be exhibited at each show.

12. The exemption shall be valid for a period of one year only with effect from the date of this order unless revoked earlier.

13 If renewal of exemption is desired, an application therefor shall be made to the State Government sixty days before expiry of the same.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1188—S.O. 1685

PLANNING SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 62 CAX 79.

Bangalore, dated 5th July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of section 12 of Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve 'Navajyothi Trust, Bangalore' for the purposes of the said section for the year 1979-80, provided that the sum received as donation is more than Rupees Two Hundred and fifty.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1499—S. O. 1686

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 82 FGL 78

Bangalore, dated 22nd June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Mysore Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in Schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 2192-B, dated 3rd April 1902 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the ——— dated of this notification, cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluka	Village	F.S. No.	Area
				A.G. Ans
North Kanara	Siddapur	Aigod	121	1-36-0
			150	2-24-0

Boundaries for S.No. 121-B

North : S.No. 150-B & F.S. No. 121A
East :
South : F. S. No. 121-A
West : F.S. No. 121A and S.No. 150-B

Boundaries for S.No. 121-C

North : S.Nos. 150-B & 249
East : S. Nos. 249, 252 & F.S. No. 121A
South : S.No. 252 & F.S. No. 121-A
West : S.No. 150-B

Remarks: Formed into a separate S.No., *viz.*, 121-B, 121-C and 150-B The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F.S. No. 121-A and 150-A.

Boundaries for S.No. 150-B

North : F.S. No. 150-A
East : | S. Nos. 121-C, 121-B & F.S. No. 121-A
South : |
West : F.S. No. 150A

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1371—S. O. 1687

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT
Order No SWL 229 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 22nd March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. L. Mangal Chand Jain, Pawn Broker, Door No 350, Ashoka Road, Mysore on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the Services of Shri A. Krishnan, Clerk, with effect from 1st June 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

723—S. O. 1688,

Order No. SWL 218 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 22nd March 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Sri Veerabhadreswara Talkies, Sir M. V. Road, Shimoga on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in refusing employment to Shri Ameer, Gate-Keeper, w.e.f., 2nd August 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & L. Department

221 S.O. 1689

Order No. SWL 460 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 30th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Muddebihal Taluka Agrl. Produce Co-op. Marketing Society Ltd., Muddebihal on the points noted below. ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri N. A. Gonal, Peon, with effect from 27th April 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled"?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1440—S. O. 169

Order No. SWL 394 LLD 79
Bangalore dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Vadavadgi Large Scale Primary Agricultural Co-operative Credit Society, Ltd. Vadavadgi, Basavana Bagewadi Tq. Bijapur District on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication .

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri D. B. Tumbagi of Vadavadgi with effect from 28th August 1978 ;

II. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled "

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1309—S. O. 1691

Order No. SWL 369 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas, the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Bijapur District Co-operative Fisheries Marketing Federation Limited, Bijapur, on the points noted below;

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the services of M. S. Kolhar, Fish cutting Salesman with effect from 1st March 1978 ;

II If not, to what relief or reliefs is the workman entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1310—S.O. 1692

Order No. SWL 396 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 19th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Srinivasa Enterprises, B. 23, Govt. Industrial Estate, Peenya, Bangalorean-58, on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore

Points of Dispute

I. " Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri K. V. Lingaiah, with effect from 30th June 1978 ;
II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour 'A').

1338—S.O. 1696

Order No. SWL 391 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 12/19th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. The Muddebihal Taluk, Agriculture Produce Co-operative Marketing Society, Muddebihal, Bijapur District on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified, in terminating the Services Sri S. B Birdar, Clerk, w. e. f. 26th August 1978 ;
II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government, I/c
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

1359—S.O. 1694

Order No. SWL 354 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 22nd/23rd May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Hind Plastic Industries, 31-C, Industrial Estate, Rajajinagar, Bangalore on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Gunasekharan, R., with effect from 15th October 1977 ;

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1155—S. O. 1695

Order No. SWL 307 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 1st May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of New Government Electric Factory Ltd., P. B, No. 3876 Bangalore-560038 on the points noted below ;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I Are the management justified in dismissing Sri D. C, Lakshmaiah ;

II. If not, to what benefit Sri D C. Lakshmaiah is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA.
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1009—S.O 1696

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 132 EMO 78

Bangalore, dated 2nd June 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of Government of the India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act vide their letter No. 5/75/78-MV dated 7th March 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions to the inclusion of Manganese Ore in the Mining Lease held by M/s Canara Minerals (Private) Limited for iron ore coterminus with period ending on 2nd September 1939 over an area of 26.30 acres (10.64 hectares) of land in Chikkakittadahalli and Lingadevarahalli villages, Hosadurga taluk, Chitradurga District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the following terms and conditions.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Manganese Ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Manganese Ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Manganese Ore he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the Ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

T. ASHTA MURTHY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1226—S.O. 1697

Notification No. CI 103 MMN 79
Bangalore, dated 26th June 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Grindwell Norton Ltd., for a period of (20) Twenty years for Quartz over an area of 42 acres (17.00 hectares) of land in Chowdanahalli Village, Taluk Tiptur District as Tumkur per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Quartz only. If other Minerals are found in association with Quartz they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Quartz they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957,

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rule 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lesseed under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Tumkur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

400—S. O. 1698

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 22 CCL 79

Bangalore, dated the 4th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 98(b) of the Articles of Association of M/s. Mangalore Chemicals and Fertilizers Limited, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to nominate Sri Azeez Sait, Minister for Industries, Commerce and Wakfs, as a Director on the Board of Directors of the said Company, in place of Sri P. R. Nayak, Commissioner for Public Works and Electricity Department, with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. A. GOPALA RAO,
Director, Public Undertakings Cell & Ex-officio,
Deputy Secretary to Govt.,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1003—S. O. 1699

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 168 PCR 78

Bangalore dated the 18th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act 1872 (Central Act 15, 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Sri .N. Premanandam (Pastor) Bible Mission Church, Bandappa Colony, Yeswanthapura, Bangalore, authorising him to grant Certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act, in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1097—S. O. 1700

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 14 FAF 79

Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1968 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in the Schedule-I below shall with effect from 1st September 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of the rights specified in Schedule-II.

SCHEDULE-I

Name of the District — Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk — Belthangady
Name of the Village — Mudkody and Andinje
S. No. — 100/2A, 2B, 2C, 92/1 of Andinje Village, 108/1, 184/2 of Modukody village.
Name of the Block — Mudkody Block
Area : — 89-50 acres

Boundary Description

North :— Starting from station No. O a point to the right side of Venoor Nooyi Cart track, the demarcation line runs on north east direction from station No. O to 4 over a distance of 1670 links. And moves to easternly direction from station No. 4–5 over a distance of 210 links. Then further it turns to south easternly direction and runs from station No. 5–6 over a distance of 400 links. Then again turns to east and proceeds from station No. 6–7 over a distance of 243 links. Then it again turns to north easternly direction and runs from station No. 7–8 over a distance of 400 links, Then it turn towards east from station No. 8–9 over a distance of 510 links and finishes the northern boundary.

The bearing and distance from station to station are furnished below :

Station From	No. To	Degree in F. B.	Distance in links
0	1	55	310
1	2	38	240
2	3	59	840
3	4	66	280
4	5	103	210
5	6	170	400
6	7	99	240
7	8	24	430
8	9	90	510

East :—Further the demarcation line runs due south direction from station No, 9–10 over a distance of 390 links. And it turns on south westerly direction and runs from station No. 10–12 over a distance of 975 links and it turns to south and runs from station No, 12–13 over a distance of 560 links, and turns on easterly direction and runs from station No. 13–14 over a distance of 295 links, and turns to south easternly fitrvyion and moves from station No. 14 to 19 over a distance of 2810 links and again turns and turns on due

south from station No.19–25 over a distance of 2360 links and finished the eastern boundary.

The bearing and distance from station to station are furnished below :

Station From	Numbers To	Degrees in F. B.	distance in links
9	10	176	390
10	11	228	300
11	12	240	675
12	13	175	560
13	14	86	295
14	15	121	750
15	16	131	420
16	17	184	280
17	18	132	400
18	19	163	460
19	20	266	200
20	21	208	500
21	22	165	500
22	23	205	370
23	24	186	330
24	25	203	460

South : Again the demarcation line runs on westerly direction from station No. 25—27 over a distance of 790 links, The bearing and distances from station to station are furnished below :

25	26	280	510
26	27	301	280

West :—Finally the demarcation line runs due north direction from station No. 27-28 over a distance of 380 links and it runs to north—west direction from station No. 28–29 over a distance of 370 links and runs due north direction from station No. 29-32 over a distance of 1100 links. Then again it turns to north west direction and proceeds from station No. 32–37 over a distance of 3265 links and against turns to northenly direction from station No. 37–38 over a distance of 410 links, and it again turns to easterly direction from station No. 38–39 over a distance of 750 links. Thence it turns due north direction from station No. 39-0 over a distance of 720 links and finishes the traverse. The bearing and distance from station to station are furnished below :

27	28	353	380
28	29	307	370
29	30	16	485

30	31	5	310
31	32	359	305
32	33	327	430
33	34	306	840
34	35	291	785
35	36	250	260
36	37	286	950
37	38	359	410
38	39	84	750
39	0	3	720

SCHEDULE-II

I Right of Ways :—

1 A cart track about 15 feet wide enters the block between cairn Nos. 1 and 2 and runs throug the block and leaves the block between cairn Nos. 10 and 11. This cart track proceeds up to the locality called "Nooyi" from Venoor.

2. Foot path from Venoor enters the block at 2 places *i.e.* one entering the block between cairn 36 and 37 and leaving the block between cairn Nos. 39 and 49 and another enterning the block between 33 and 34 and leaving the block between cairn Nos. 15 and 16. The first foot path joins the cart track referred to above and the other one proceeds to the locality "Nooyi". The width of both the foot paths is 8 feet.

II Water right.—

The right to use water from a tank in S. No. 102/1 of Mudukody village, by diverting through channel, is reserved to the owners of S.No. 29.

Other Privileges :—

1. Free grazing of cattle by villagers
2. Free removal of green manure leaves for *bona fide* use by the ryots.
3. Free removal of dry fuel for *bona fide* use by villagers
4. Free removal of muligrass for *bona fide* domestic use by villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAMA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1448—S. O. 1701

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 120 EDC 78
Bangalore, dated 26th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 67(b) of the Karnataka Excise Act, 1965 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby reduce to seven paise per litre for a period of 6 months with effect from 8th April 1979, the Excise duty leviable under Section 22 of the said Act on the rectified spirit sold to ;

(1) The Mysore Sugar Company Limited, Mandya for the purpose of manufacture of Acetic Acid to be supplied to the Mysore Acetate and Chemicals Company Limited ; and

(2) The Mysore Acetate and Chemicals Company Limited, Mandya for the manufacture of the Chemicals.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

P. L. N. RAU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department (Excise).

1468—S. O. 1702

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 17 WHC 79 (PF).
Bangalore, dated 30th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Warehousing Corporations Act, 1962, (Central Act 58 of 1962), Government of Karnataka hereby nominates Smt. Usha Ganesh, Deputy Secretary to Government (Co-operation), Rural Development and Co-operation Department as a Director on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Warehousing Corporation, Bangalore. with immediate effect and until further orders, *vice* Sri G. Lakshman Rao, Managing Director, Karnataka State Warehousing Corporation, Bangalore retiring with effect from 30th June 1979 afternon.

Smt Usha Ganesh, Deputy Secretary to Government (Co operation) Rural Development and Co-operation Department is placed i additional charge of the duties of the Managing Director, Karnatak State Warehousing Corporation, Bangalore, until further orders, *vic* Sri G. Lakshman Rao, Managing Director, Karnataka State Warehousing Corportion, Bangalore, retiring on superannuation with effect from 30th June 1979 afternoon.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devpt. & Co-opn. Department.

1465—S.O. 1703

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 169 LLE 78.

Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 read with Section 8 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) and all other Powers enabling it in this behalf the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Shri M. N. Gurulingappa, II Additional District and Sessions Judge, Metropolitan Area, Bangalore, as Presiding Officer, II Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1466—S. O. 1704.

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 14 CPS 79 (i)

Bangalore, dated 12th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate Sri Shantappa Fakeerappa Kalasannavar, Guttal, Haveri Taluk on the Board of Directors of the TAPCMS Limited, Haveri as Government nominee. with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

MOHAMED BAKHAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

863—S. O. 1705

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 19 MMN 78

Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri A. Narayana for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Red Ochre over an area of 4.8 acres (1.65 hectares) of land in Alagilawada village, Harapanahalli taluk, Bellary district as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Red Ochre only. If other Minerals are found in association with Red Ochre they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals alongwith Red Ochre he should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India ;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions tha may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other Lesses as prevalent in Bellary District.

(p) The lessee should pay Rs. 3,385/- to the Forest Department.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. LAKSHMANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department

1464—S. O. 1706

Notification No. CI 28 CCL 79.

Bangalore, dated 22nd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 93 of the Articles of Association of the Mysore Sugar Company Limited, Sri A. B. Datar, IAS, whose services are placed at the disposal of the Commerce and Industries Department in Notification No. DPAR 142 SAS 79 dated 19th May 1979, is appointed as a Director on the Board in place of Sri H. G. V. Reddy.

Under Article 119 read with Article 129 of the Articles of Association of the said Company Sri A. B. Datar, IAS, is appointed as the Chairman in place of Sri H. G. V. Reddy and as the Managing Director in place of Sri K. S. Srinivasan of the Mysore Sugar Company Limited, with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. A. GOPALA RAO,

1145—S. O. 1707

Director, Public Undertakings Cell and
Ex-Officio Deputy Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

Notification No. CI 58 MPN 79.

Bangalore, dated 1st June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 216 MPO 78 dated 26th September 1978 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for

Calcite and Soapstone in respect of the area of 275 acres (111-29 hectares) of land in Mudekoppal village, Man ya taluk, Mandya District infavour of Shri H. R. Muteeb Ahmed as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1215—S. O. 1708

Notification No. CI 11 CCL 79
Bangalore, dated 12th/18th April 1979.

Under Article 18 of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka State Electronics Development Corporation Ltd., the Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint Shri H. G. V. Reddy, Commissioner for Industries and Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department as a Director on the Board of Directors of the said Corporation, *vice* Shri. B. S. Hanuman.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. EGBERT DHANANJAYA,
Under Secretary to Government.,
Commerce and Industries Department.

879—S. O. 1709

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 371 I.LD 79
Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Prabhat Metal Rolling Works, Amorgol, Hubli on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Goverement of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in retrenching Sriyuths (1) Shivanand K. Argadi and (2) S.D. Gokul, with effect from 14th October 1978 ?

II. If not, what relief the workers are entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1306—S.O 1710

Order No. SWL 333 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979.

WHEREAS a dispute between the workmen and the management of the Pandavapura Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane Ltd., Pandavapura, Mandya district, was rejected from reference to Labour Court for adjudication in Government Endorsement No. SWL 659 LLD 77 dated 3rd July 1978.

And whereas the said workmen have represented on 27th March 1979 to Government to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication ;

And whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 21st June 1979 and their pleadings have been duly considered and the Government is of the opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management above-mentioned on the points noted below ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management of Pandavapura Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane Ltd., Pandavapura R.S., Mandya district justified in discharging from service Sriyuths Singaiah Card No 218 Bettaiah, Card No. 219 and K. Vyramudi, Card No. 371, with effect from 10th May 1974, 12th May 1974 and 26th December 1973 respectively ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A).

1479—S.O. 1711

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 152 MNM 79

Bangalore, dated 5th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 25(1) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Urban Development Corporation Ltd., the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the following

persons as the Directors of the Karnataka Urban Development Corporation Ltd,, with immediate effect and until further orders :

(1) Sri P. R. Bellubbi,
Managing Director,
Karnataka Urban Water Supply and Drainage Board,
Bangalore, *vice* Sri H.S.L. Murthy transferred.

(2) Smt. Vatsala Raghavan,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Finance Department *vice* Sri Bramhadutt transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government.
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1502—S. O. 1712

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWI. 170 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 9th March 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Mysore Division, Mysore on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing the workmen mentioned in the Annexure with effect from the dates noted against their names ?

II. If not, to what relief (s) are the said workmen entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare an Labour Department

612—S.O. 1713

ANNEXURE

Sl. No.	Name	Designation			Date of Termination
1	2	3			4
1.	K. Lakkappa	Conductor	B. No.	2504	9-9-68
2.	P. Ramaswamy	,,	,,	9	12-7-66
3.	K. M. Gurulingaiah	,,	,,	1 89	20-8-68
4.	P. Chaluvaraj	,,	,,	1612	14-6-65
5.	S. Puttaswamy	,,	,,	1025	24-12-65
6.	P. Shivamallappa	,,	,,	2085	17-5-68
7.	P. R. Joseph	,,	,,	1184	24-12-65
8.	M. K Lingaiah	,,	,,	2575	8-10-65
9.	Shivanna	,,	,,	1512	7-10-65
10.	K. M Guruswamy	,,	,,	3147	18-10-68
11.	A. C. Nanjappa	,,	,,	6	10-12-65
12.	D. Venkobha Rao	,,	,,	1252	12-7-67
13.	D. A. Nazeer Ahmed	,,	,,	2007	4-12-65
14.	B. K. Nagaraj	,,	,,	904	12-2-66
15.	R. Shariff	,,	,,	1443	30-10-68
16.	K. S. Satjan Sab	,,	,,	2688	4-12-68
17.	Siddaraju	,,	,,	2478	30-8-68
18.	H. V. Subbanna	,,	,,	1140	21-2-64
19.	D. John Joseph	,,	,,	2405	15-4-66
20.	Chandrakanth	,,	,,	1516	28-3-66
21.	T. Dasa Setty	,,	,,		12-2-66
22.	K. N. Panduranga	,,			20-5-70
23.	A. J. Shivaraj	,,		366	16-8-64
24.	K. Thirupathy	,,		309	21-5-71
25.	C. Basappa	,,		239	30-1-70
26.	K. Nagaraj	,,			
27.	D. T. Ratnakara	Driver		740	26-11-64
28.	Anwar Pasha	,,			3-1-75
29.	Pushpaiaj	,,			28-12-76
30.	M. Basavarajappa	Conductor	,,	1689	5-7-72
31.	Shambulinge Gowda	,,	,,	556	20-7-72
32.	Siddalingappa	,,	,,	39	26-7-72
33.	M. Chandrashekar	,,	,,	1547	26-6-72
34.	S. B. Payadevaru	,,	,,	329	29-6-68

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bombay Indra Bhavan, Sayyaji Rao Road, Mysore.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore, published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. ID-3/79-80/104 dated 1st May 1979 from Sri P. B. Chaugule, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore.

IN THE COURT OF THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE.

Dated 30th April 1979.

Present :

Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A., (Hons) LL.B.

Presiding Officer

Reference No. 3/1978

First Party :

Workmen represented by the President, Mysore City Hotel Workers Association, Clock Tower Square, Mysore-570001.

Vs.

Second Party :

The management of Bombay Indra Bhavan, Sayyaji Rao Road, Mysore-570001.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their order No. SWL 977 LLD 77 dated 3rd January 1978 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication :—

Points of dispute

1. Are the management of Bombay Indra Bhavan, Sayyaji Rao Road, Mysore-570001, justified in terminating the services of Sri L. N. Parashivamurthy, Stall Assistant, w. e. f. 7th July 1976 ?

2. If not, is the said worker entitled for reinstatement with full back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ?".

To-day both the parties filed a joint memo of settlement. Since the terms of joint memo of settlement are fair and reasonable, the same is accepted. Accordingly, an award is passed in terms of joint memo of setslement. The joint memo of settlement shall form part of the award.

Mysore,
Dated 30th April 1979.

P. B. CHAUGULE,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

ANNEXURE

BEFORE THE HON'BLE LABOUR COURT, MYSORE

Reference No. 3/78.

First Party :—

Workmen represented by the President, Mysore City Hotel Workers Association, Mysore.

Vs.

Second party .—

The management of Bombay Indra Bhavan, Mysore.

JOINT MEMO OF SETTLEMENT FILED BY BOTH THE PARTIES

1 In respect of the above case, both parties have arrived at an amicable settlement on the following terms.

2. The I party Sri Parashivamurthy have agreed to receive a sum of Rs. 1,500 in full and final settlement of all their claims against the management including the claim of reinstatement, back wages and compensation etc.

3 The II party has agreed to pay Rs 1,500 in full and final settlement of all the claims of Sri Parashivamurthy including the claim of reinstatement, back wages and compensation.

4 It is agreed between the parties that with this settlement. relationship of employer and employee has come to an end between the parties on from the date 17th July 1976, leaving no claims whatsoever between the parties.

5 The amount agreed to as above will be paid before the Hon'ble Labour Court on 30th April 1979 by cash to Sri Parashivamurthy by obtaining a separate receipt.

6 Both parties pray that an award may kindly be passed on the above terms without costs.

First party Second party Parashivamurthy (Workman)

'Filed in court,'
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Mysore.

ORDER No. SWL 140 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 8/19th June 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 977 LL D dated 3rd January 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bombay Indira Bhavan, Sayyaji Rao Road, Mysore. was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(*Labour A*)

1357—S.O. 1714

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of the Karnataka Handloom Development Corporation Limited, Intensive Handloom Development Project, Ramadurga, District Belgaum.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli, published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. LCH/440/79-80 dated 2nd June 79 from Shri Narayanagowda, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT, HUBLI.

Reference No. 80/78

Present:

Shri H. L. Narayanagowda B.A., LL.B.,
Presiding Officer.
Dated 2nd June 1979.

Claimant:—Sri C. P. Mayachari and 9 others, C/o Intensive Handloom Development Project. Ramdurg, District: Belgaum.

Respondent :—The Management of of the Karnataka Handloom Development Corporation Limited, Intensive Handloom Development Project Ramdurg, District : Belgaum.

G. O. No. SWL 700 LLD 78 dated 14th September 1978.

JUDGEMENT AND AWARD.

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the I. D. Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) referring the dispute between the workman Sri C. P. Mayachari and 9 others and the Management of the Karntaka Handloom Development Corporation Ltd., Intensive Handloom Development project, Ramdurg, District : Belgaum for adjudication to this Court on the following points of dispute.

I. Is the Management of the Karnataka Handloom Development Corporation Ltd., Intensive Handloom Project, Ramdurg, justified in terminating the services of Sriyuths :—(1) P. T. Hebbar, (2) C. P. Mayachari, (3) S. N. Ujankeppa, (4) M. P. Larlatti, (5) S. Y. Madar, (6) R. M. Muradi, (7) K. D. Ramdurg (8) S. D. Deevatgi (9) Miss B. G. Golasangi and (10) V. B. Byakodi with effect from 8th June 1978?

II. If not, what relief the workmen are entitled to ?',

2. The claimants have filed their claim statement. The Respondent has filed its written statement. The case is in evidence stage. At this stage both the parties have sent a joint Memo of settlement dated 4th May 1979 reporting that the matter has been settled and the reference may be closed. Both Sri Wadikar learned representative for claimants and Sri Vijayakamar learned represntative for the Respondent have subm itted that the Court may pass an award rejecting the reference and awarding no costs.

3. As the matter is settled out of Court, the reference is rejected. No costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me).

H. L. NARAYANAGOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Hubli.

Place ; Hubli
Date : 2-6-1979

"True Copy"

H. L. NARAYANAGOWDA,
Preiding Officer.
Labour Court, Hubli.

Order No. SWL 177 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 25th June 1979.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 700 LLD 78 dated 14th September 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of the Karnataka Handloom Development Corporation Limited, Intensive Handloom Development Project, Ramdurga, District, Belgaum was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli, for adjudication;

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award of the said Industrial Dispute.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA.
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department
(Labour A).

1389—S. C. 1715

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AFD 266 FAF 78,

Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in the schedule I below shall with effect from 3rd August 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of the rights specified in Schedule II.

SCHEDULE—I.

Name of the District	...	South Kanara
Name of the Taluk	...	Sullia
Name of the Village	...	Kalmadka and Mupperia Village 174/1, 26/2, 201/2, 34/1 and 199/1 of Kalmadka village and 107/1B, 115, 107/1A & 81/1DA of Mupperia village.
Name of the Block	...	1 Mupperia Block
Extent	...	45.00 acres.

Boundary Description

North:—Starting from station No. 44 which is a point situated at the newly constructed cairn No. 45, the demarcation line of the block runs in almost eastern direction for a distance of 445 links to station No. 45. Then runs in south east direction to station No. 46 over a distance of 250 links near 'Kolinjikody' locality. Thence it runs almost in southern direction for a distance of 178 links to station No 47. From there it runs in south east direction for a distance of 625 links to reach station No. 49, passing through the middle station No. 48. Therefrom it runs in north east direction for a distance of 310 links to station No. 0 (cairn No. 1). Thereonwards it runs in almost south direction for a distance of 214 links to station No. 1. Afterwards it runs in almost eastern direction for a distance of 1520 links to reach station No. 6 passing through the intermediate station No. 2 to 7. Therefrom it runs once again in south east direction for a distance of 862 links to reach station No. 11 passing through the intermediate station No. 9 and 10. Thence it runs in north east direction for a distance of 210 links to station No. 12. From there it runs in south east direction for a distance of 325 links to station No 13. Then in almost eastern direction to station No. 14 over a distance of 200 links.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in Degress in F. B.	Distance in links
44	45	96	445
45	46	120	250
46	47	187	178
47	48	124½	315
48	49	126½	310
49	0	77½	310
0	1	170	214
1	2	94½	250
2	3	103	175
3	4	91½	290
4	5	89½	175
5	6	91	215
6	7	90½	250
7	8	91	165
8	9	123	217
9	10	99½	240
10	11	110	405
11	12	70	210
12	13	129	325
13	14	95½	200

East : From station No. 14, the demarcation line of the Block runs in south east direction to station No. 15 for a distance of 185 links. Thence it runs almost in southern direction for a distance of 630 links to station No. 17 passing through the middle station No. 16 Therefrom it runs in south west direction for a distance of 235 links to station No. 18 (Cairn No. 19)

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
14	15	156	185
15	16	180	185
16	17	177	445
17	18	201½	235

South : From Station No. 18, the demarcation line of the block runs in north west direction for a distance of 290 links to station No. 19 near 'Golithadka locality. From there it runs in south west direction for a distance of 410 links to reach station No. 21 passing through the middle station No. 20 Therefrom it runs in north west direction for a distance of 455 links to station No. 23, passing through the middle station No 22 Thence it runs in north east direction for a distance of 220 links to station No. 24 Thereonwards it runs in almost northern direction for a distance of 230 links to station No. 25 (airn No. 26) near 'Darleasu' locality. Afterwards it runs in south west direction for a distance of 538 links to station No 27 passing through the middle station No. 26 Thence it runs again in south west direction for a distance of 490 links to station No 28. From there it runs in north west direction for a distance of 223 links to station No. 29. Therefrom it runs almost in northern direction for a distance of 380 links to station 870 links to reach station No 33 passing through the intermediate station Nos. 31 and 32 Thereonwards it runs in north west direction for a distance of 485 links to station No. 34 near 'Jogibettu' locality Afterwards the demarcation line runs almost in western direction for a distance of 497 links to station No 36, passing through the middle station No 35. Therefrom it runs in north west direction for a distance of 935 links to reach station No. 39, passing through the intermediate station Nos. 37 and 38.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
18	19	318	290
19	20	228½	255
20	21	356	155
21	22	285½	310
22	23	315½	145
23	24	17½	220
24	25	354	230
25	26	256	288
26	27	266	250
27	28	203	490
28	29	334½	223
29	30	1	380
30	31	267½	260
31	32	26 ½	360
32	33	239½	2 0
33	34	310	485
34	35	26-½	170
35	36	2 0	327
36	37	284½	185
37	38	3 9½	380
38	39	298	370

West :—From station No. 39, the demarcation line of the block runs almost in northern direction for a distance of 265 links to station No 40. From there it runs in nor h west direction for a distance of 335 links to station No. 41. Thence it runs again in almost nor hern direction for a distance of 670 links to station No. 44 near 'Kolanji' locality passing through the middle station No. 42 and 43.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows:—

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
39	40	2	265
40	41	307½	3 5
41	42	351	2 0
42	43	4	240
43	44	8	170

—Starting point—

Other rights : Right of Ways :

A foot path of 2' wide coming from 'Sankesha' locality enters the block between cairn Nos. 43 and 44 and comes out of the block between cairn Nos. 45 and 46 and going to 'Kolenjikody' localiety.

Other Privileges :

1. Free grazing of cattle by villagers
2. Free removal of green manure leaves for bonafide agricultural purpose by villagers.
3. Free removal of dry fuel for bonafide domestic use by villager.
4. Free removal of muligrass for domestic purpose by villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1449—S. O. 1716

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 658 LLD 78.
Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Rational Art and Press Private Ltd., No. 4, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560029 on the points noted below:

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of dispute

I. "Are the managemen of M/s. Rational Art and Press Private Ltd., No. 4, Bannerghatta Road, Bangalore-560029, justified in making enmasse termination of the workmen?

II. If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

875 S O. 1723

Order No. SWL 532 LLD 78.

Bangalore, dated 24th April 1979.

Whereas an Industrial Dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Mandya District Co-operative Union Limited, Guthalu Road, Mandya was rejected from reference to labour Court for adjudication in Government Endorsement No. SWL 954 LLD 77, dated 28th June 1978 ;

Whereas the said workmen have represented on 3rd July 1978 to Government to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication ;

And whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 5th January 1979 and their pleadings have been duly considered by the Government and the Government is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the Workmen and the Management above mentioned on the points noted below.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause(c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

I. Is the management justified in terminating the sevices of Sri N Srinivasaiah, Secretary with effect from 24th Decoember 1974 ?

II. If not, to what relief the above said workman is entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,

I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

940—S. O. 1724

Order No. SWL 672 LLD 77.

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Sree Rama Coffee Supplies, 139/7, IV Cross, Hanumanthanagar, on Bangalore-19, on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the Proprietor of Sree Rama Coffee Supplies, 139/7, Forth Cross, Hanumanthanagar, Bangalore-19, Justified in removing Sri Marisiddaiah from service with effect from 4th October 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
S. W. & Labour Department.
(Labour 'A')

1516—S. O. 1725

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 142 MMD 79
Bangalore, dated 30th June 1979

Whereas by Government Notification No. A & F 37 CMD 60-I, dated 19th July 1960, issued under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the Government of Mysore declared that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in the **Mysore Gazette** the area of Khanapur Taluka of Belgaum District shall be the market area for the purposes of the said Act in respect of Paddy (husked and unhusked) and Nagali (Ragi) in the said market area.

And whereas by Government Notification No. A & 37 CMD 60-II, dated 19th July 1960 issued under Section 7 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the Government of Mysore declared that the Market Committee for the market area of Khanapur Taluka of Belgaum District shall be called by the name of " Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Nandagad "

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 93 CMD 67, dated 1st December 1967 issued under sub-section (4) of Section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce

Markets Act, 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939) the Government of Mysore declared that with effect from 26th December 1967 the marketing of Cattle, Sheep and Goats shall be regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Nandagad in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated.

And whereas the Government of Karnataka now propose to regulate the marketing of Timber and Firewood (hitherto not regulated) in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the said market area ;

And whereas the Karnataka State Agricultural Marketing Board, Bangalore has been consulted on the said proposal ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 read with Section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declare its intention of regulating the marketing of Timber and Firewood (hitherto not regulated) in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Nandgad.

Any objections or suggestions which may be received in respect of the above said proposal within thirty two days from the date of .publication of this notification in the official Gazette will be considered by the State Government.

Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Commissioner, Special Economic Programmes and **Ex-officio** Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-560001.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1539—S.O. 1726

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of The Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Bellary Division, Bellary.

Award of the Presiding Officer Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, Published.

Read the following Papers :

1. Letter No. 46/79-80 dated 6th April 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated .....

PRESENT :

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B.A., B.L.
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 25 of 1978

First Party :—

Shri H. G. Neralagi s/o Gundappa, aged about 25 years, residing near bus stand, Kudligi, Bellary District

Vs.

Second Party :—

The Management of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bellary Division Bellary.

Appearances :—

Sri S. Chamaraya Reddy, Advocate, for the first party.
Sri M. S. Mandanna, Advocate for the second party.

Award

1. This case has arisen out of the order No. SWL 284 LLD 78 dated 25th April 1978 made by the Government of Karnataka in exercise of powers under section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, referring the following points of dispute for adjudication to this court :

> "I. Whether the management of K. S. R. T. C., Bellary Division, Bellary are justified in discharging the services of their workman Sri N. G. Neralagi, Conductor, with effect from 5th August 1977.
>
> "II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled?"

2. In this court both the parties filed their respective written statements. The court treated the points referred for adjudication as the issues arising in this case for decision. Thereafter evidence produced by both the parties has been taken and arguments addressed are heard.

3. The facts of the case are briefly these :

The first party workman was selected for appointment as a conductor to serve under the second party and he was appointed as such in May 1975 by the Deputy General Manager and Divisional Controller (for short D. G. M. and D. C) Bellary Division. He was serving in that capacity till 5th August 1977 when by an order the D. G. M. and D. C. Bellary discharged him from service on the ground that his work was not satisfactory and therefore he was not suitable for being confirmed as a Conductor. There-upon, the first party workman appeared to the General Manager of the second party at Bangalore, but in vain. Hence he raised a dispute with the Labour and Conciliation Officer, who however, was not successful in bringing about reconciliation. Therefore, he reported the matter to Government who made the reference that has given rise to this case.

4. It is the contention of the first party that his discharge from service is not discharge simplicitor, but that it is punitive inasmuch as his services are terminated for certain misconduct imputed to him, that his services could not have been terminated even before the expiry of the probation period for which he had been appointed, that D. G. M. and D. C 'Bellary Division was not competent to terminate his services that M.G.R.T.D. Standing Orders are applied to him and not the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation services (Conduct and Discipline) Regulations 1971 (for short C & D Regulations), that even if C & D Regulations applied, the termination of his services without an enquiry as contemplated under Regulation No. 23 of those regulations is illegal, that is the termination of his services if not dismissal for misconduct it is retrenchment under the Industrial Disputes Act, which could not have been carried out without complying with section 25F of that Act, that punishment amounts to double punishment and is, therefore, illegal, that in any case the action of the respondent in terminating his services is *mala fide* or at any rate lacks *bona fides* and therefore it is liable to be set aside and he is entitled to be reinstated with the consequential reliefs of back wages and other benefits.

5. On the other hand, the contention for the second party is that the discharge of the first party from their service is according to the terms of the appointment order that his work was not satisfactory and he was not considered suitable for that post, that his discharge could not amount to dismissel for misconduct, that the M.G.R.T.D. Standing Orders are repealed by the C and D Regulations

which ware brought into force from 19th June 1974 and as per those regulations, the D G. M. and D. C. Bellary was competent to terminate the services of the first party, that no enquiry was contemplated before passing an order of discharge in the case of the first party that the termination of the first party does not amount to retrenchment under the Industrial Disputes Act, that there is no double punishment inflicted on him, that the order of discharge made is not made with any mala fide intention and it does not lack bonafides, and that, therfore, claim of the first party should be rejected.

6. The first party workman examined himself and has produced eight documents in suport of his case. On the other hand no witness has been examined for the second party inspite of reasonable and sufficient opportunity given, but two documents are go marked in evidence. Arguments ware heard on behalf of both the parties in detail. On the basis of the material placed on record. I shall now proceed to record my findings on the points of referred for adjudication and also my reasons therefor.

7 **Point No. 1.**—It is admitted that the first party workman had been appointed in may 1975 under the second party as a Conductor, after he was selected for that post and his appointment was on probation for two years. Ex M-1 is the letter of offer of appointment to him and Ex-M-2 in the letter of acceptance given by him. Ex-W-1 is the appointment order issued to him Ex.W. 3 is the list of candidates selected for appointment and it includes his name. It is clear from the claim statement filed by the first party and also his evidence that during the course of his service 17 default cases had been put up against him. In his claim statement. he has also admitted that his termination was sought to be justified on the ground that he was under suspension for 133 days on four occasions and leave without pay for 14 days. In his evidence also he has admitted that on a number of occasions he had been kept under suspension. It is apparent that on these facts the D. G. M, and D. C. Bellary came to the conclusion that his work was unsatisfactory and that he was not suitable for being confirmed as a Conductor.

8. Before I proceed to consider the justifiability of the order of discharge. I shall first dispose of the point as to whether the D. G.M. and D. C. Bellary Division, was not competent to terminate the services of the first party workman. There can be no doubt that according to the M.G.R.T.D. standing Order which were applicable to the second party only the Deputy General Manager and Divisional Controller, Bangalore Division could pass on order of discharge in respect of an employee of the corporation serving in any Division and appointed by any other D. G. M. and D. C. That was the view taken by our High Court in the case of Devaraj Urs and others Vs. M. S. R. T. C. affirmed by the supreme Court in M. S. R. T. C. and Devaraj Urs and othe s 1976 II LLJ 306. But the contention for the second arty is that the said standing orders are no longer applicable to the

second party since they are repealed consequents on the making of the C and D Regulations for the second party and bringing them into force from 19th June 1974. A copy of those regulations is also produced. But it was contended by Sri K. Subba Rao, learned advocate for the first party that no notification having been issued under Section 13B of the Employment (Standing Orders) Act, the previous standing orders applicable to the M. S. R. T. C. must be held to be in force and not the new C and D. Regulations, I am unable to accept this contention. The copy of the C and D Regulations produced shows that those Regulations were made with the approval of the Government and also notified in accordance with Section 45 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, 1950. In the Devaraj Urs case referred to above, it can be seen that the Supreme Court took the view that unless such Regulations under Section 45(1) of the Road Transport Corporation Act were made and brought into force, the standing orders would continue to apply. When that decision was rendered the C & D Regulations were not yet made. So the view expressed by the Supreme Court itself is clear that when Regulations are made in accordance with the Section 45 of the Road Transport Corporation Act governing the conduct and discipline of the employees, the Standing Order will cease to apply. The notification contemplated in Section 13 B of the Employment (Standing Orders) Act, in my opinion, is the notification contemplated in Seciton 45(1) of the Road Transport Corporation Act making new Regulations with the approval of the Government. Therefore, in my opinion, the erst-while Standing Orders of the M G. R. T. D. no longer applied to this case. In the C & D Regulations, the D. G. M. and D C. Bellary Division was competent to discharge or dismiss the first party workman from service since admittedly he was also the appointing authority. Therefore, I hold that the D. G. M. and D. C. Bellary Division, was competent to discharge the first party workman from service.

9. Now, coming to the most important point viz., whether the order discharging the first party workman made by the second party is justified, as I have already pointed out, he has been discharged on the ground that his work was unsatisfactory and he was not suitable for confirmation as a Conductor. Ex. W 4 is the letter terminating his services. It is stated therein that he had been continued and given chances to show improvement in his work, but as he had not shown adequate improvement, it is found he was not suitable for the job for which he was appointed and therefore, he is discharged. This discharge itself is, according to the condition of appointment in Ex. M-1 which were also accepted by the first party as per his acceptance letter Ex M-2. Condition 1(c) in Ex. M-2 reads as follows :

"His services as Conductor are liable to be discharged at any time without notice if during the period of probation, (i) he is found

unsuitable for the job and does not improve inspite of being cautioned (ii) if he fails to pass the tests prescribed by the Appointing Authority from time to time. (iii) he will also be liable to be discharged without notice if he fails to complete the period of probation satisfactorily".

It is not in dispute that despite the condition as above this court is entitled to take into consideration the circumstances and causes leading to the discharge of the first party workman and examine if those are justifiable. The Supreme Court has ruled in Management of Brook Bond (India) Pvt. Ltd. Vs. Y. K. Lautan AIR 1973 S C. 2634 that even in the case of a probationer whose services have been terminated before the expiry of the probationary period without assigning any reason. in accordance with these terms of contract, an Industrial Tribunal can go into the question of the validity of the order of the termination. The court has also indicated the guide lines to judge the justifiability of the termination of the services of a probationer in accordance with the terms of the appointment. Thus what has, therefore, to be seen is whether the action of the appellant is mala fide or whether it amounts to victimisation of the employee or to a unfair labour practice or is so capricious or unreasonable as would lead to the inference that it has been passed for ulterior motive and is not in bona fide exercise of the power arising out of the contract. So, in this case on hand, I have to see if the action of the second party in terminating the service of the first party workman is mala fide or whether it amounts to victimisation or to an unfair labour practice or is so capricious unreasonable as would lead to an inferance that it has been passed with ulterior motive and is not in bona fide exercise of the power arising out of the contract.

10. Of course, the second party has not led any oral evidence in this case. But, in my opinion, written statements filed by the parties. the admissions made by the first party workman in his deposition would show that 17 default cases were booked against the first party workmen and on some occasions he had been kept under suspension. It is obvious that these led the D. G. M. & D. C Bellary to entertain the opinion that the work of the first party workman was unsatisfactory and that he was not suitable for the post of a Conductor. In this court no material regarding these 17 cases and regarding the suspension of the first party workman has been produced. It is not even contended for the second party that there was any enquiry even of a summery nature held against the first party workman after giving him reasonable opportunity of explaining about his conduct in respect of those cases. Merely because 17 default cases had been booked against him and on some occasion he had been kept under suspensions it cannot be said that, at any rate, there was even a prima facie case against him regarding defalcation of any money collected for the second party or other misconduct in the course of his employment. It is obvious

that the discharge of the first party workman is not discharge simpliciter in terms of his employment condition. But is a discharge by way of punishment for his alleged commission and omissious amounting to misconduct. That being so, the second party could only terminate his services after a reasonable enquiry complying with the basic principles of natural justice and if only he had been found guilty of such misconduct. Admittedly they did not do so. At any rate, it was open to the second party even to produce evidence in this court in proof of any such misconduct on the part of the first party workman justifying the conclusion that he was unsuitable for the post of a Conductor. It is not even stated for the second party that, when the default cases were booked against the first party workman, he had been given an opportunity to defend himself or to offer explanation concerning his conduct in those cases and that explanation was found to be unsatisfactory or unacceptable. Under these circumstances, I am of the view, that the discharge of the first party workman on the ground of on unsuitability is nothing but capricious and arbitrary.

11 At the time of evidence a circular issued by the General Manager of the second party in the matter of assessing the work and worth of probationery employees was produced and marked as Ex. W-7. It is seen from this circular that certain guide lines mostly to comply with the principles of natural justice to justify the inference regarding the unsuitability of a discharged probationery workman had been issued. Though those guide lines are not statutory rules, certainly those are norms set for the second party itself for its guidance and were required to be complied with by the authorities concerned. This circular contemplates close observation of the work of a probationer by the superiors and submission of monthly reports in the prescribed form and in case of any short coming or deficience fund to issue memos to the workman concerned, so that he may have an opportunity of improving his work. There is no evidence in this case showing that the guide lines contained in the said circular had been complied with in the case of the first party workman. In the absence of any such complience, it is to be reasonably inferred that the discharge order on the ground of unsuitability passed against the first party workman is arbitrary and capricious and cannot be substained.

12 Of course, it is not established by evidence or circumstances that the second party acted indictively in the matter of discharging the services of the first party workman and that the said act amounts to unfair labour practices. Malafides cannot by any stratch of imagination be imputed to the D.G.M. and D.C. in discharging the first party workman. Even want of bonafides is not made out by any acceptable evidence Of course, th first party workman came to state that some conductors junior to him have not been discharged and this amounts to discriminatory treatment. But there is nothing

to show that there was any thing against those junior workmen to come to the conclusion That their work was unsatisfactory, but yet they have not been discharged. Under the circumstances, it cannot be held that the first party workman is discreminated against in the matter of discharge and therefore, the order of discharge lacks bona fides.

13. In his claim statement, the first party has referred to the grounds on which he is discharged viz., that he was under suspension for 139 days on four occasions and on leave without pay for 14 days, but that these contentions of the management are without substance. No evidence is produced by the second party even to show that the first party had remained absent without leave and his suspension was also justified by any penalties imposed. Therefore, even this alleged ground of suspension and absence from duty is not established in this court and is not also stated specifically in the counter statement. Therefore, that would not also afford as a justifiable reason for coming to the conclusion that the first party workman was unsuitable for being continued as a Conductor. In the case of J. L. Memtani Vs, Indian Institute of Technology. Delhi 1978 LAB. I. C. 232 it has been held that the court is bound to examine interior and posterior circumstances to determine if the order of termination of the services of a probationer is punitive in nature, It has, been held further that through the order a terminating the services of a probationery Government servant on the fact of it did not contain any stigma, it was held to be punitive in nature, as the decision was based on the ground of certain misconduct. In the same way, in the instant case, through the order of discharge simply states that the work of the first party workman was unsatisfactory and he is not suitable for being confirmed as a Conductor, the circumstances leading to the passing of such order clearly indicate that it is in the nature of a penalty for his alleged misconduct of having been involved in default cases which, of coure, are not in any way shown to be prima facia well founded.

14. For the aforesaid reasons, therefore, I arrive at the conclusion that the termination of the services of the first party workman by the second party is punitive in nature, or at any rate, it is arbitrary andcarpricious and therefore, it is unjustifiable. It is not termination simpliciter.

15. It was also contended for the first party that if the termination of the services of the first party is not for any proved misconduct it would amount to retrenchment within the meaning of section 2 (oo) of the Industrial Disputes Act, and since provisions of section 25F of that Act are not complied with by the second party, the order of termination is liable to be set aside. But I am unable to accept the contention that the termination of the first party workman in this

case amounts to retrenchment It is nobody's case that the termination is, in effect, dispensing the services of a surplus workman. Moreover, I have already found that termination is punitive or at any rate, erbitrary and capricious. It is certainly not one amounting to retrenchment.

16. For the aforesaid reasons, therefore. on the first point I hold that the management of K.S R.T.C. Bellary Divlsion, are not justified in discharging the services of their workman Sri N. G. Naralegi, Conductor, with effect from 5th August 1977.

17. In view of my finding on the first point as above, it must be held that the first party workman is entitled to reinstatement, of course, as a probationer Conductor under the second party. There are no grounds made for not ordering such reinstatement. It is not contended by the second party, that if the reinstatement is ordered, the second party would be put to any hardship and that there would be any dislocation of labour. As regards, the back wages consedering the facts that the first party workmen was only a probationer and also the admitted fact that he had been under suspension on some occasions previously, it is not a fit case wherein full back wages has to be ordered. Of course, the first party workman as WW-1 has stated that he had dependents who were depending on his salary and on account of his discharge, they are put to hardship. He has not stated that he has incurred any loans to maintain himself and his family. I do not think that without earning any thing, he is able to pull on for more than two years. Under the circumstances, I hold that he should be allowed 20% of back wages. Hence, on the second point I hold that the first party workman is entitled to the relief of reinstatement and back wages of 20% of the salary and DA he had last drawn.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me)

N. P. KEMPE GOWDA,

Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court,
Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 118 LLA 79.

Bangalore, dated the 17th April 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 284 LLD 78 dated 25th April 78 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of The Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bellary Division, Bellary, was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Lobour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Dispute Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

859—S. O. 1727

Printed by the Director of Printing Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order—I. No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6660 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the District Judge, Mysore District, Mysore as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1521—S.O. 1754 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6660 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having

expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1521—S.O. 1755 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department*

Order—I No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore dated 16th July 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Contract Carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6174 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the District Judge, Mysore District, Mysore as the Aribitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1523—S.O. 1756 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979

WHEREAS under section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6174 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1524—S.O. 1757 *Under Secretary to Government, H. D.*

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6136 has vested in the State Government.

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karantaka hereby appoints the District Judge Mysore District, Mysore as the Aribitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage.

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1522—S.O. 1758 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 10th July *1979*

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6136 has vested in the State Government;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Kanataka Act 21 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1522—S.O. 1759

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 93 LGP 78 (3)

Bangalore dated 2nd May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rules (1) and (2) of rule 24 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes Consultative Committees for each of the

Taluks in the District of Bellary, Specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, consisting of the official and non-official members for the period ending September 1979 and nominates the official and non-official members specified in column (3) thereof as Members of the said Consultative Committee.

SCHEDULE

Sl No.	Name of the Taluk	Names of Members.
1	2	3
1	Hospet Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Hospet Taluk, Hospet
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department Hospet.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Hospet **Shriyuths :**
		4 M. Bhaamappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hospet Taluk
		5 G. Mahaboob Sab, 5 h Ward, Hospet
		6 K. R. Honnappa, B A., (SC) Hospet
		7 A. Badakajjappa, Agriculturist, 14th Ward, Hospet.
2	Harapanahalli Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Harapanahalli Taluk, Harapanahalli.
		2 Assistant Exective Engineer, Public Works Department, Harapanahalli.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Harapanahalli **Shriyuths :**
		4 E. Yamanappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Harapanahalli.
		5 Koravara Hanumanthappa, Chigauru Village, Harapanahalli Taluk.
		6 N. Kotrappa (SC) Hostel Secretary, Harapanahalli
		7 Dr. A. Viswanatha Shastry Nandebevour village, Harapanahalli.
3	Sandur Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Sandur Taluk, Sandur.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Sandur.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Sandur

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		4 S. A. Mallappa, Vice-President Taluk Development Board, Sandur Taluk
		5 Y. Thimmappa, Agriculturist, Sandur.
		6 Rudroji Rao, Sandur
		7 Harijan Tayappa (SC) Bhyanganagar, Sandur Taluk
4	Hadagali Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Hadagali taluk, Hadagali,
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Hadagali
		3 Range Forest Officer, Hadagali
		Shriyuths :
		4 Rahim Saheb, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hadagali Taluk
		5 H. Dasappa, Here-Hadagali, Hadagali Taluk.
		6 Kuruvateppa Budunur, Hadagali Taluk
		7 H. Basappa (SC) Tulahalli, Hadagali Taq.
5	Siraguppa Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Siruguppa Taluk, Siruguppa.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Siruguppa
		3 Range Forest Officer, Siruguppa
		Shriyuths :
		4 B. L. Pampapathy, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Siruguppa Taluk
		5 M. Marappa (SC) Ex-Taluk Development Board Member, Tekkalakote, Siruguppa Taluk
		6 B. Virupanna, Ex-Village Panchayat Chairman, Naadugu, Siruguppa Taluk.
		7 Narayanaswamy, Siruguppa.
6	Bellary Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Bellary taluk, Bellary,
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department Bellary.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Bellary.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		4 M. Jayanna; Vice-President, Taluk Development Board. Bellary Taluk.
		5 Channal Neelakanthappa. Kurugodu, Bellary Taluk.
		6 Dharmakarta Hanumanthappa (Scheduled Caste) Babujinagar, Bellary.
		7 M. Chinnaram ppa, Mariswamy Math Bellary
7 Hagaribommanahalli taluk		1 Tahsildar, Hagaribommanahalli Taluk, Hagaribommanahalli.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department Hagaribommanaballi
		3 Range Forest Officer, Hagaribommanablli .
		Sriyuths :
		4 M. S. Venkatesh, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hagaribommanahalli taluk.
		5 Harijan Ramappa, (Scheduled Caste) Pinjarhegdal, Hagaribommanahalli taluk.
		6 Pampanna, Seeganahalli, Hagaribommanahalli taluk.
		7 Shambulinganagowda, Sonna, Hagaribommanahalli taluk.
8. Kudligi		1 Tahsildar. Kudligi taluk Kudligi.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Kudligi.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Kudligi.
		Sriyuths :
		4 V. Muttappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Kudligi taluk.
		5 M. Thippendrappa, Agriculturist, Kottur, Kudligi taluk
		6 Kanyal Das, (Scheduled Caste) Ex-Member, Town Panchayat Board, Kudligi Taluk.

1	2	3
		7 B. Kothlappa, Agriculturist, Kudligi taluk.

The Tahsildar of the Taluks shall be the Chairman and Convene' of the respective Consultative Committee.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnatakar

M. MALLAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

998—S. O. 1760

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. HUD 77 MNX 79

Bangalore, dated 11th July 1979.

For the words "Sri B. A. Sethuram", appearing in notification No. HUD 77 MNX 79 dated 16th June 1979 and published in *Gazette-Extraordinary* (part IV 2-C (ii) of the same date, the following words shall be substituted :

"Sri B. P. Sethuram."

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Dept.

1549 S. O.—1761

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 53 CAX 79.

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of section 12 of the Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve "Sri Vivekananda Vidya Samasthe, Siravase Village, Chickmagalur District for the purpose of the said section for 1979-80 only, provided that each sum paid to the same as donation is not less than two hundred and fifty ruppeees.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1550 S. O.—1762

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 294 LLD 79,

Bangalore, dated 19th May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the Workmen and the management of Bhadravathi, Iron & Steel Works Consumers Co-operative Society Ltd., Bhadravathi, on the points noted below ;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute.

"I. Is the management justified in compulsorily retiring the workman Sri N. S. Gundu Rao from service w.e.f. 5th June 1970 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department,

1109—S. O. 1763

Order No. SWL 279 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Padma Stores, Vanivilas Market Square, Mysore-4 on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in Transferring Sriyuths D. P. Dabade and G. P Bhilawade, Clerks from Nipani Branch to Benedi Branch, with effect from 1st January 1979 ?

II. If not, what relief the workman are entitled to "?

[By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,

I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
S. W. & L. Department.

968 S.O. 1766

Order No. SWL 730 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Maniklal Satyanarayana Shop, Chakkarkata Yadgir, on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Ganganna with effect from 1st June 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled "?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,

I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1426—S. O. 1767

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 183 CNA 78.

Bangalore, dated 22nd June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 20 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 23 of 1964) as in force in the State of Karnataka, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the exhibition of 35mm feature films by M/s. Karnataka Electricity Board at Mahatma Gandhi Hydro Electrical Works; K.E.B. Colony, Jog-Falls and by M/s. S.V.P. Club, Jog-Falls at their premises, from the provisions of Section 4 of the said Act, subject to the following conditions :—

1: The provisions of section 30 of the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 and the Indian Electricity Rules made thereunder as are applicable shall be observed.

2. The exhibition of films shall be confined only to the employees and workers of K.E.B. and the M.P.C. Ltd., and their families and should be free of charge.

3. No Advertisement films directly or indirectly spon soring any particular trade or business, service product etc., shall be exhibited.

4. A register of films exhibited shall be maintained and shall be open to inspection of duly authorised officers of State Government and other persons mentioned under condition 9 below. Any other directions that may be issued by the State Government or District Magistrate, Shimoga District in this behalf shall also be complied with.

5. The exhibition of films shall not extend beyond 12-00 midnight.

6. No film other than a film which has been certified by the Central Board of Film Censors as suitable for unrestricted public exhibition or public exhibition restricted to adults and which when exhibited displays the prescribed mark of the Central Board of Film Censors and has not been altered or tampered in any way since such mark was affixed thereto and no film other than a film approved by the State Government under section 12 read with rule 20 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulations) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act No. 23 of 1964 and rules issued thereunder) shall be exhibited or permitted to be exhibited.

7. No film other than a Film exempted by the Government of India under section 9 of the Cinematograph Act, 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952) and rules made thereunder or by the State Government under section 20 of the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act,

1964 (Karnataka Act No. XXIII of 1964) and the rules made thereunder shall be exhibited.

8. No film which has been declared as uncertified or whose exhibition has been suspended under the Cinematograph Act 1952 (Central Act XXXVII of 1952) or the Karnataka Cinemas (Regulation) Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 23 of 1964) shall be exhibited or permitted to be exhibited;

9. Members of the Central Board of Film Censors and its Advisory Panels, Regional and Assistant Regional Officers of the Board and employees of the State Government engeged in the discharge of their official duties, shall be admitted to the place of exhibition and to film shows;

10. A quarterly return of the films exhibited shall be submitted to the District Magistrate, Shimoga District at the end of each quarter;

11. Films of educational, cultural and Scientific value of the Central and State Governments shall also be exhibited at each show.

12. The exemption shall be valid for a period of one year only with effect from the date of this order unless revoked earlier.

13. If renewal of exemption is desired, an application therefor shall be made to the State Government sixty days before expiry of the same.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1433—S.O. 1773

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 494 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979:

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s. The Bellary Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Limited, Bellary on the points noted below:

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I Is the management justified in terminating the Services of Sri K. Sanna Basanna with effect from 23rd September 1978 ?

II If not, to what other relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1534—S. O. 1774

Order No. SWL 482 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 5th/7th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Mysore Kirloskar Limited, Branch Factory, Gokul Road, Hubli on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I Are the management justified in dismissing Shri J. M. Dawji, with effect from 7th August 1976 ?

II. If not, to what reliefs, the said morkman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Lcbour Department.

1535—S.O. 1775

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 326 CLS 78 (i)

Bangalore, dated 13th July 1979.

The nomination of the following persons made in Notification No. RDC 490 CLS 76 dated 30th July 1977 on the Board of Directors of

the Primary Co-operative Land Development Bank Limited, Harapanahalli Bellary District are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect :

1. Sri B. Sannappa Setty, Proprietor of Medicine Shop, Harapanahalli.
2. Sri N. Sadiyappa, Ex-T. D. B. Member, Nittor Village.
3. Sri R. K. Banakar, Kadabagere.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1555—S. O. 1776

Notification No. RDC 326 CLS 78 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 13th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Limited, Harapanahalli, Bellary District, with immediate effect and until further orders :

1. Sri A. Mallikarjunappa, Ex-Chairman, Village Panchayat, Hiremagalagere.
2. Sri D. Kalandar Sab, Harapanahalli
3. Sri Harijan Dasappa, Kuravhalli.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1556—S. O. 1777

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 35 SXM 76

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 29 read with paragraph (B) of sub section (4) of section 4 of Karnataka Secondary Education Examination Board Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act No. 16 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominates the following persons to be the members of the Karnataka Secondary Education Examination Board namely :—

1. **Mysore University, Mysore :—**

   1. Dr. C. K. N. Raja, Professor, Head of the Department of Law, Manasagangotri, Mysore-6.
   2. Sri G. K. Shenoy, Principal, Canara College, Mangalore, South Kanara.

2. **Karnataka University, Dharwad,**

   1. Sri R. C. Patil, Lecturer in Library Science, Karnataka University Dharwad.
   2. Sri P. M. Naikar, Reader in History, S. K. Arts and H.S.K. Secondary Institute, Hubli.

3. **Bangalore University, Bangalore.**

   1. Professor P. S. Venkataswamy Setty, Professor of Physics, Department of Physics, Central College, Banglore-1.
   2. Professor P. Paramasivaiah, Professor of Physics, Department of Physics, Central College, Bangalore-1,

4. **University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore :—**

   1. Dr. H. C. Govindu, I/c Director of Instruction (Agricultural Agricultural College, Hebbal Bangalore.
   2. Professor H. P. C. Shetty, Director of Instruction (Fisheries) Fisheries College, Mangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. M. SANGLIKAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Service Department,

1569—S. O. 1778

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 278 MMD 78.

Bangalore dated 26th May 1979

Whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 88 CMD 64 dated the 15th April 1964 (hereinafter referred to as the said notification) issued under section 4 of Mysore Agricultural Produce Market Act, 1939 (Mysore Act XVI 1939) the Government of Karnataka declared that Holenarasipura Town Municipal Limits shall be a market established under the said Act for the Agricultural Produce mentioned below and defined that the limits of the said market should consist of the whole of the taluka of Halenarasipura.

1. Paddy
2. Ragi
3. Horsegram
4. Coconuts
5. Jaggery
6. Groundnuts and
7. Niger seeds.

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 458 CMD 68, dated the 26th March 1969 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) read with Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) (Removal of difficulties, Order) 1968, dated the 29th November 1968 the Government of Karnataka included Arkalgud Taluk in the market area specified in Schedule 'D' of the said notification.

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 143 CMD 69, dated the 20th March 1970 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 15th April 1970, the regulation of the Marketing of Cows, Buffaloes, Bullocks Sheep and Goats in the market area of the APMC Holenarsipura in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulatad.

And whereas by Government Notification No. DPC 383 CMD 60 dated 16th September 1971 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation, Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966), the Government of Karnataka declared that with effect from 1st October 1971, the regulation of the Marketing of Arecanuts, Cotton, Hongeseeds, Soapnuts, Jowar and Maize (hitherto not regulated) in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee Holenarasipura in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated.

And whereas by Government Notification No. S. O. 461 (RDC 278 MMD 78 dated 13th February 1979 published in Part IV-2-C (ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 15th February 1979 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared its intention of regulating the marketing of Tobacco, Chillies, Coriander Tamarind, Potato and Onion (hitherto not regulated) in addition to agricultural produce already regulated in the said area.

And whereas no objections or suggestions have been received by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declared that with effect from the date of publication of this notification in *Karnataka Gazette* the Marketing of

Tobacco, Chillies, Coriander, Tamarind, Potato and Onion hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the Agricultural Produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Holenarasipur.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1180—S. O. 1779

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 153 MPO 78

Bangalore, dated 26th June 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/51/78 M5 dated 7th March 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri B. P. Ramanna for a period of 2 (two) years for Manganese, Yellow Ochres and Quartz over an area of 64 acres (25.90 Hectares) of land in Lakkihalli village, Hosadurga taluk, Chitradurga District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions are appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of Two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year;

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the

Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence ;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957) ;

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government ;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee ;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1402—S. O. 1780

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 351 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 19th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd., Branch, Gulbarga on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of the workman Sri Amaranna S/o Shivappa Angadi with effect from 14th June 1978 ?

II. If not, to what other benefits the workman is entitled" ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA.

I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

1367—S.O. 1781

Order No. SWL 479 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 29th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Co-op. Marketing Federation, No. 8, Cunningham Road, Bangalore-52. on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Somanna S/o Honnappa with effect from 23rd December 1978 ?

II. If not, to what other relief the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1441 - S. O. 1782

Order No. SWL 388 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of B. E. L., Jalahalli P.O., Bangalore-13 on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in treating the absence of Shri M. Krishnan, S.No. 07353, from 26th September 1975 to 3rd October 197 as6 voluntary abandonment of service ?

II. If not, to what relief (s) is the said workman entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, (Labour 'A' Section)
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1513—S. O. 1784

Order No. SWL 485 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 4th/7th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Karnataka Electricity Board, Shimoga on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"1. Are the following 6 workmen justified in demanding that they should be kept above their juniors who have been promoted with effect from 23rd March 1976 with all consequential monetary benefits ?

1. Shri R. Siddaramappa
2. Sri B.V Sathyanarayana Rao
3. Sri Gopalakrishna K.P.
4. Sri Saibaba
5. Sri Muthu
6. Sri D.R. Halappa

2. If not, to what relief they are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, (Labour 'A'),
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1536—S.O. 1785

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 7 TRM 79

Bangalore, dated 13th July 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1977-78 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C-(1) of Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 1,20,993-97 payable for the year 1977-78 in respect of the lands in 95 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Aurad taluk of Bidar district.

SCHEDULE.

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Aurad Circle.		
1	Aurad .	...	1,856-13
2	Allapur	...	283-92
3	Mungnal	...	951-37
4	Mahadongaon	...	985-33
5	Wanmarpalli	...	678-22
6	Jamalapur	...	311-09
7	Ganeshpur (A)	...	608-21
8	Huliyal	...	553-25
9	Tegampur	...	468-00
10	Gondgaon	...	875-43
11	Jonnekheri	...	336-92
12	Kappekeri	...	509-98
13	Ekalara	...	1,105-79
14	Badalgaon	...	888-78
15	Dudakanal	...	990-93
16	Narayanapur	...	680-67
17	Boral	...	719-36
18	Kallur	...	648-49
19	Tuljapur	...	651-85
20	Narsimapur	...	570-54
21	Ekambal	...	2,167-40
22	Khandikher	...	941-27
23	Hassikheri	..	675-76
24	Mamdapur	...	691-47
	Chintaki Circle.		
25	Chintaki	...	2,466-30
26	Mannur K.	...	930-03
27	Nagamarpalli	...	1,006-60
28	Karanji B.	...	1,499-34
29	Sundhal	...	887-26
30	Khasimpur A.	...	545-78
31	Itagiyal	...	551-36
32	Joknal	...	445-92
33	Nandiyal	...	464-36
34	Bardapur.	...	569-75
35	Ujjani	...	1,070-14
36	Gudapalli	...	945-04

1	2		3
37	Lingadhalli K.	...	487-00
38	Sunknal	...	371·06
39	Madapalli	...	643-20
40	Raipalli	...	1,260-68
41	Karanji K.	...	648-48
42	Lingadhalli J.	...	347-05
43	Chikli J.	...	1,939-04
44	Nagur M.	...	541-59
45	Naganpalli	...	1,125-09
46	Beldhal	...	1,325-31
47	Jozna	...	1,747-65
48	Nandinagur	...	658-09
49	Yangunda	...	1,539-52
	Dabka Circle		
50	Dabka	...	3,406-83
51	Handikhere	...	1,392-61
52	U. Ganeshpura	...	804-02
53	Muthkhed	...	516-24
54	Ch. Mukhed	...	1,488-72
55	Nandi Bijalgaon	...	1,441-04
56	Bhandarkumtha	...	1.797-69
57	Chiklu U.	...	2,160-74
58	Akanapur	...	316-71
59	Chimmegaon	...	1,507-30
60	Hokarana	..	1,987-05
61	Kherda B.	...	1,466-20
62	Murki	...	7,439-26
63	Malegaon	...	508-86
64	Wagangera	...	630-30
65	Lingi	...	607-99
66	Bonthi	...	1,425-71
67	Sawargaon	...	1,034-63
68	Karkiyal	...	1,274-84
69	Sunkanal	...	307-65
70	Ganganbeed	...	931-23
71	Daregaon	...	199-05
72	Bawalgaon	...	1,937-80
73	Hangerga	...	1,203-21
74	Dongargaon	...	971-35
	Santhpur Circle		
75	Santhpur	...	1,041-32
76	Jeerga K	...	403-92
77	Mustapur	...	674-20

1	2		3
78	Shembelli	...	1,177-21
79	Borgi J	...	1,254-13
80	Allur B	...	2,850-80
81	Jeerga B	...	997-77
82	Khanapur B	...	502-15
83	Chatnal	...	1,258-83
84	Jambgi	...	2,693-65
85	Maharajwadi	...	866-90
86	Wadgaon D	...	2,181-29
87	Allur K	...	3,821-30
	Kushnoor Circle		
88	Maskal	...	2,382-64
89	Nagur B	...	825-30
	Kamalnagar Circle		
90	Madnoor	...	4,956-79
91	Hakkiyal	...	2,564-41
92	Belkoni B	...	2,767-40
93	Rampur	...	533-99
94	Kotigiyal	...	2,073-67
95	Khatgaon	...	5,243-48
		Grand Total Rs.	1,20,993-97

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government.
Revenue Department.

1557 S.O.—1786

Notification No. RD 48 TRM 77(C).
Bangalore, dated 13th July 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C(i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 66,482-21 payable

for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 153 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Alur taluk of Hassan district.

SCHEDULE

Sl.No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Kasaba Hobli		
1	Kiragadalu	...	2,955-47
2	Sugganahalli	...	317-79
3	Imtipura	...	197-40
4	Kodagihalli	...	443-43
5	Vajarahalli	...	281-61
6	Thogaranahalli	...	347-08
7	Bellur	...	955-85
8	Gekaravalli	...	676-94
9	Nakalgud	...	1,137-60
10	Banditthimmanahalli	...	199-09
11	Hunasavalli	...	469-85
12	Yadur	...	819-97
13	Hanthanamane	...	288-85
14	Barathavalli	...	422-75
15	Mavanoor	...	774-77
16	Kirahalli	...	299-14
17	Kiggatta	...	317-77
18	G. Thimmanahalli	...	167-98
19	D. Karagal	...	1,667-72
20	Ummadevarahalli	...	1,044-59
21	Katihalli	...	576-78
22	Basavanapura	...	315-99
23	Kavalikere	...	972-02
24	Mudigere	...	1,159-72
25	Rajanahalli	...	674-52
26	Somapura	...	365-62
27	Hosapura	...	273-88
28	Byrapura	...	162-75
29	Alur	...	1,140-04
30	Marasu	...	1,569-44
31	Rayasamudra	...	563-19
32	Bachanahalli	...	160-00
33	Soppinahalli	...	164-38
34	Samadale		428-14
35	Bijjanahalli	...	396-30
36	Machenahalli	...	176-46

1	2		4
37	Beechalapura	...	308–13
38	M. Hosahalli	...	334–32
39	Bedachavalli	...	268–34
40	Chowlagere	...	423–95
41	Neralakere	...	293–84
42	Hosuru	...	439–16
43	Channapura	...	164–29
44	Byaba	...	264–85
45	Daddahalli	...	226–17
46	Kenchanahalli	...	317–01
	Palya Hobli		
47	Palya	..	537–11
48	Kanadahally	...	126–11
49	Bandikere	...	61–40
50	C. Hosahalli	...	141–75
51	Basavanahalli	...	172–67
52	Thippapura	...	45–90
53	Shankaranahalli	...	188–62
54	Honnavalli	...	615–95
55	Bellur	...	557–55
56	Boodanahally	...	6–25
57	Channenahally	...	179–94
58	Dindagatta	...	166–28
59	Heddurga	...	269–95
60	Rangenahalli	...	104–43
61	Vasudevarahalli	...	194–55
62	Sindhuvalli	...	740–04
63	Bettahalli	...	432–71
64	Danihalli	...	91–43
65	Nidanur	...	636–86
66	Maddanayakanahallipura	...	285–85
67	Kaggaravally	...	531–74
68	Singatagere	...	282–58
69	Talur	...	1,529–39
70	Haluvalli	...	633–82
71	Bellavara	...	641–96
72	Bommanamane	...	184–63
73	Aijenahalli	...	465–22
74	Niluvagilu	...	598–34
75	Beerakanahalli	...	379–72
76	Yerehalli	...	698–43
77	Madagere	...	379–24
78	M. Gowlakatte	...	295–95
79	Kuppe	...	455–73

1	2		3
80	Samudravalli	...	595-13
81	K. Hosahalli	...	383-93
82	Channahalli	...	179-58
83	J. Thimmanahally	...	240-37
84	Chikkanagalu	...	1,263-55
85	Chi. Hosahally	...	459-86
86	Kumbarahalli	...	665-60
87	Thogaravalli		688-85
88	Channapura	...	675-84
89	Madanahalli	...	251-33
90	Kanathur	...	1,515-90
91	Virupapura	...	255-28
92	Siddapura	...	422-29
93	Hollahalli	...	322-60
94	Muradur	...	543-62
95	Kenchanahallipura	...	349-30
96	Bhavasavalli	...	661-78
97	Madabalu	...	109-39
98	Kelavanahalli	...	59-99
99	Kithaganamane	...	659-49
100	Hullahalli	...	332-86
101	Megatavalli	...	383-81
102	Belame	...	346-18
103	Chikkote	...	145-32
104	Kadadaravalli	...	301-04
105	Manjalagodu	...	343-91
106	Kengavalli	...	159-08
107	Singapura	...	132-58
	K. Hosakote Hobli		
108	Yedehalli Nanjapura	...	451-84
109	Nergalale	...	333-45
110	Huskur	...	277-33
	Kundur Hobli		
111	Kadalu	...	1,186-36
112	Kabbinahalli	...	285-91
113	Satagala	...	367-27
114	Bettadahalli	...	207-34
115	Patana	...	456-87
116	Mutnige	...	462-72
117	Thimmanahalli	...	566-05
118	Thuruganahalli	...	180-71
119	Chakanahalli	...	632-07

1	2		3
120	Hanumanahally	...	106-42
121	Channapura	...	95-66
122	Ammalepura	...	84-85
123	Devarapura	...	30-89
124	Thippanahally	...	312-01
125	Kenehanahalli	...	197-61
126	Baktaravalli	...	339-74
127	Santhebasavanahalli	...	182-50
128	Honnenahalli	...	255-18
129	Moodanahalli	...	155-70
130	Thimmalapura		211-27
131	Devarajanahalli	...	412-47
132	Siddapura	...	81-29
133	Cheekanahalli	...	428-68
134	Hanjihalli	...	213-30
135	Hotnahallipura	...	352-23
136	Karagodanahalli	...	258-52
137	Hasaganoor	...	566-48
138	K. Hosahalli	...	219-55
139	Kariganahalli	...	62-36
140	Ganjigere	...	692-01
141	Basavanahalli	...	216-37
142	Rajapura	...	96-46
143	Thippanahalli	...	335-31
144	Kyathanahalli	...	289-22
145	Nelligere	...	430-46
146	Thattekere	...	835-17
147	Mallenahallikavalu	...	61-75
148	Garigatta	...	831-32
149	Sulugodu	...	1,165-40
150	Malenahalli	...	64-88
151	Mandira	...	347-98
152	Appagodanahalli	...	94-36
153	Ramanahalli	...	365-91
	Grand Total Rs....		66,482-21

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1558—S.O. 1787

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press B'lore

Reg. No. KRNA—25.

Karnataka Gazette

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

(EXTRAORDINARY)

PART IV-2-C (ii)

BANGALORE, TUESDAY, JULY 17, 1979
(ASHADHA 26, SAKA ERA 1901). | No. 730

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 109 TML 79-II

Bangalore, dated 17th July 1979.

Whereas the extended term of the Office of the Councillors, Town Municipal Council, Harapanahalli has expired on 17th July 1979 ;

And Whereas the elections to the said Municipal Council, could not be held before the expiry of the term ;

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 315 of Karnataka Municipalites Act 1964, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Tahsildar, Harapanahalli Taluk as Administrator of the Town Municipal Council, Harapanahalli in addition to his own duties for a period of three months from 18th July 1979 or till the council is reconstituted whichever is earlier.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. STANLEY JOSEPH,

Under Secretary to Government.
Housing and Urban Development Department.

S.O. No. 1771

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

Reg. No. KRNA—25

Karnataka Gazette

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

(EXTRAORDINARY)

PART IV -2-C (ii)

BANGALORE MONDAY, JULY 9, 1979
(ASHADHA 18, SAKA ERA 1901).

No. 682

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 60 TML 79

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979

Whereas the draft of the Notification No. HUD 60 TML 79 dated 16th May 1979 proposing to determine the number of the territorial divisions into which the Town Municipal Council, Harapanahalli shall be divided etc., was published as required by sub-section (1), of section 13 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964) in Part IV-2-C-(ii) of the Gazette Extraordinary dated 17th May 1979 inviting objections and suggestions from persons likely to be affected thereby on or before the 26th May 1979 ;

And whereas the said Gazette was made available to the public on 17th May 1979 ;

And whereas no objections and suggestions have been received on the said draft ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 13 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby determines that,—

(1) the number of territorial divisions into which the Town Municipal Council, Harapanahalli be divided, shall be four ;

(2) the extent of each of the said Territorial Divisions shall be the area comprised within the limits of the boundaries specified in column 2 of the Schedule annexed to this notification ;

(3) the number of seats allotted to each of the said territorial divisions are as specified in column 3 of the said Schedule ;

(4) the number of seats reserved for Scheduled Castes and Women in each of the said territorial divisions are as specified in column 4 and column 5 respectively of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

Division No.	Extent and boundaries of Territorial Division, House No. & Block boundaries.	No. of seats allotted.	No. of seats Reserved for.	
			Scheduled caste.	Women.
1	2	3	4	5
I.	Ward No. 1 ,, 2 ,, 3 ,, 4	4	Nil	1

BOUNDARIES :

East :—Commencing from the South-East corner from the house of Thatti Seshagiri Rao on the boundary line of the (4) and (6) wards and proceed on the North East corner along the bank of London halla to some extent and through oppithota further from here on awards alo g the Eastern bonndary line of (4) ward (3) ward and (2) ward and (1) ward along cultivable lands. Then cross tl e Harapanahalli

1	2	3	4	5

Hospet trunk road and reach the pravasi mandir in the North East corner. This is the Eas'ern boundary of 1st Divisior.

West :—Commencing from the junction points of the Eastern boundries of (12) and Western boundary line of (1) Ward in the North East corner from a hillock and proceed downward in the South West direction, and cross the Agasarahalla and proceed along the Eastern boundary line of ward No. (11) and move downwards crossing Sri Vadirajaswamy mutt, and cross the State Bank of Mysore Building and the Harapanahalli Hospet main road and moved down wards along the Western boundary li.e of (3) ward. Then cross the house of Sri B. Krista Rao and reach the house of Sri Sandur Kristana Murthachar, and move in the South west direction along the Eastern boundary line of ward No. (10) and reach the house of D. Hanumantha Rao in the South corner. This is the Western boundary.

North :—Commencing from the Pravasi Mandir in the North East corner, move upwards through agricultural lands and hillock and reach the North East corner at the junction point of the Eastern boundary of (12' ward and Western boundary (1) ward. This is the North boundary of 1st Division.

South :—Commencing from the house of Sri D. Hanumantha Rao in the South West corner, move upwards along with the Northern boundary-line of Ward No. (5) and reach the

1	2	3	4	5
	house of Sri Govindachar, from here to move downwards adjacent to the Syndicate Bank and reach the house of Sri T. Seshagiri Rao in the South East corner. This is the Southern boundary of 1st Division.			
II.	Ward No. 5 ,, 6 ,, 7		Nil	Nil
	East :—Commencing from South East corner at the cattle pound. move to the Northern direction along the private waste lands and cro s the Harapanahalli Kottur road and reach the patagi Taledaballi Subanjappa and proceed further along Talapari and reach at the culvert on the Bank of Londan Halla in the North East corner. This is the Eastern boundary of the IInd Division.			
	West :—Commencing from the North West corner from the house of Audit Kotraiah's House move downwards along the boundary lines of (5)and (10) wards crossing the house Sri Danki, Jaffersab and Sri D. Syama Rao, and reach the Harapanahalli Kottur Road This is the Westen Boundary of the Second Division.			
	North :—Commencing from the culvert in the North East corner cross the London Halla and move in the northern direction and reach yippi thota on the junction point of the (4) ward and (5) From here move down wards and cross the house of Sri Salora. Jagadevappa the nmove further downwards along the course of London Halla, and reach the house of L. Kotrappa.			

I	2	3	4	5
	Now move in the Western direction and reach the house of Sri G. Hanumanthappa Again proceeding from the Northren direction through the vicinity of the Syndicate Bank and reach the house of Sri M Pad maraj on the boundary line of the (4) and (5) wards Then proceeded in the North East direction and reach the corner. This is the Northern boundary of IInd Divison			
	South :—Commencing from the South-West corner and after crossing the Harapanahalli Kottur Road, move in the South West direction and cross the agricultural land of Dr. C. S Hiremath, Proceeding further cross down of Sri M. Sadasivaiah and the Landon Halla and reach the house of Sri Matti Kademani. Kotrappa From here move in the North West direction and reach the shop of Sri Gajapurada Kotrappa at the junction point of the (7) Ward and (8) Ward (9) Ward and the (6) ward. From this point come downwards and cross the Basavana Temple along the boundary line of (7) and (8) wards. The move through the vicinity of Halekurubageri and reach the South-East corner, at the cattle pound. This is the Southern boundary of IInd Division.			
III	Ward No. 8 9		Nil	Nil
	East :—Commencing from the South-East corner from Gajikeri water supply tank, move in the north east direction and cross the Harapanahalli			

1	2	3	4	5

Arasikere r'ad, then move further upwards along the Agricultural lands and reach H. Basavva's house from here and go upwards and reach the Cattle pound. From here and move in the Western direction up'o the godown of Sri Bcedi Basappa. Then in the Northern direction along the boundary lines of (8) and (7) wards and reach the junction point of the (8) (7) (6) and 9 wards at Sri Gajapurada Kotrappa's Dalali Shop and come down and move along boundary lines of (6) and (8) Wards cross the london Halla and pass through Agricultural lands and reach the Harapanahalli K-ttur road. From here move in the Northern direction and along the boundary lines of '5) and (10) wards crossing the Desbamutta and now move to West upto Nagaradevara Temple crossing Harihar Hospet road, from here and move in the North East direction in tank bed area of Hirekeri. This is the Eastern boundary of III Ward.

West :—Commencing from the District Local Library on the Harapanahalli and Arasikeri road move in the East direction along the Hara;anahalli Arasikeri road crossing and reaches the Lakshmi Oil Mill and proceed upto the Water Supply wells of the Harapanahalli Water Supply Scheme in Herikeri. This is the Western boundary of the III Division.

North :—Commencing from the North east cornor from the point of Hombalgatti Basava's house and proceed to West direction through Haluvaku-

1	2	3	4	5

rubageri and Bagllyavarageri and reaches Beedi Basappa's Godown and next proceed in West direction in Neelagara geri and reaches Kademani, Matti Ko'rap; a's house and there from crossing Agricultural land through landon halla nala bed reaches the agricultural land of Sri C S. Hiremutt. This is the North boundary of the III Division.

South:—Commencing from the South West corner from the point of Laks mi Oil Mill, proceed to North East direction alorg the Hirekeri Tank Bed and reaches the Vadder gudi, and crossing the Jitrankatti road reaches the Gajikeri Panchayat Water works. This is the South boundary of the III Division.

IV. Ward No. 10

,, 11

,, 12.

East : Commencing from the South East corner from the Silarakeri mosque at the junction of Ward No. (10) and (1) and Ward No. (11) and (1) wards and cross the Vadiraja Temple, at the Agasarahalla and moving further along the nearest direction along the boundary lines of (1) and (2) wards to reach the Veerasiva Hostel buildings in the North East corner This is the Estern boundary of IV Division.

West : —Commencing from the North West corner from Mylara Devara temple move downwards and reach the Government Multipurpose High School demonstration land, from

here move in the Eastern direction along the Bank of Ayanakeri and reaches the Agasarahalla in the South East corner. This is the Western boundary of IV Divison.

North :—Commencing from the East corner move in the North-East direction along the agricultural lands and cross the oil mill of Sri P. Narayanappa and Co., and the Harapanahalli Hadagalli road, then pass through the Karnataka Electricity Board Colony and reaches Sri Mylaraswamy temple in the North-West corner. This is the Northen boundary of IV Division.

South :—Commencing from the South-East corner, cross the Agasarahalla, Harapanahalli, Hadagalli and High School play ground. From there then proceed in the South-East direction and the cross the house of Smt. Katti. Gangavva and reach the Silarakeri Masque in the South-Eastern corner. This is to Southern boundary of IVth Division.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. STANLEY JOSEPH.

Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

682—S.O. 1677

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

Reg. No. KRNA—25

Karnataka Gazette

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

(EXTRAORDINARY)

PART IV—2-C (ii)

BANGALORE, MONDAY, JULY 9, 1979
(ASHADHA 18, SAKA ERA 1901)

No. 684

Housing and Urban Development Secretariat

Notification No. HUD 45 TML 79

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

Whereas the draft of the Notification No. HUD 45 TML 79 dated 28th May 1979 proposing to determine the number of the territorial divisions into which the Town Municipal Council Kudligi shall be divided etc., was pulished as required by sub-section (1). of Section 13 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964) in Part IV-2-C (ii) of the Gazette Extraordinary dated 29th May 1979 inviting objections and suggestions from persons likely to be affected thereby on or before the 12th June 1979 ;

And whereas the said Gazette was made available to the public on 29th May 1979 ;

And whereas no objections and suggestions have been received ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 13 of the Karnataka Municipalities Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby determines that,—

(1) the number of territorial divisions into which the Town Municipal Council, Kudligi be divided, shall be five ;

(2) the extent of each of the said territorial divisions shall be the area comprised within the limits of the boundaries specified in column 2 of the schedule annexed to this notification ;

(3) the number of seats allotted to each of the said territorial divisions are as specified in column 3 of the said schedule;

(4) the number of seats reserved for scheduled caste and women in each of the said territorial divisions are as specified in column 4 and 5 respectively of the said Schedule.

SCHEDULE

1	2	Ex'ent of territorial Division, Boundaries	No. of seats allotted	No. of seats reserved for S,C.	No, of seats reserved for women
			3	4	5
	Ward No. 8 Boundaries :				
I	East.—Commencing from the south-east corner of K.K. Hatti village from the land of Shri Durga Naik S.No. 99 and proceed in the North-East direction along the K.K Hatti Village and cross the Kudligi Rayadurga road and enter into the boundary limits of Goll.rahatti village which is the hamlet of Amaradevaragudda proceeding further in the above direction, cross another road called the Kudligi Choranur road. They enter into the limits of Amaradevaragudda village proceeding further in the North-East, Corner of I Division at the land belonging to Sri Durga Naik. This is the Eastern boundary of the I Division.		8		
	West.—Commencing from the junction of the boundary lines of I Division and Eastern boundary line of II division at the Kudligi Bellary Road in the South-West corner at the land belonging to Sri Durga Naik, then move towards in the South West corner and cross the Hall and move further downwards along the drainage of Udasalamane Katti and reach the junction of the road leading to the Chorenur Rayadurg road from the North-West direction along the fields and the play ground of the Taluk Development Board High School and reach the South-West corner at the Saw-Mill of Sri Gurusiddasastry on the Hosahalli Road. This is the Western boundary of the I Division.				

1	2	3	4	5

North.—Commencing from the North-West corner of I Division at the land of Durga Naika and moving in the North-West direction in the boundary limits of Amara devaragudda village and enter into the Kudligi-Bellary Truck Road moving along the truck, road reach the North-West corner at the junction of the Eastern Boundary of II Divn. and the Western boundary of I Divn. at the Aranyaswara Temple. This is the Northern boundary of I Division.

South.—Commencing from the South-West corner at the junction of the Eastern boundary of the II Divn. and the Western boundary of I. Divn. near the Saw Mill of Sri Gurusiddaswamy on the Hosahally road. and move in the South-East direction along with the K. K Hatti village hamlet of Kudligi Town passing through the house of Sogo Hanumanthappa of Dodda Mani Basappa. Then cross the Drinking water well and reach South-East corner at the land of Sri Durga Naik. This is the Southern boundary of I Divn.

II Ward 1

Boundaries

East —Commencing from the South-East corner at the Saw-Mill of Sri Gurusiddaswamy on the Hosahalli Road and on the Junction of the Eastern boundary of I of II Division and the westren boundry of I pass through the play ground of the Taluk Development Board High School. The cross the junction of the Rayadurg road and Choranur road and move along the edge of Udasalamana Katti. Then cross the houses of Sri B. Kuberappa and C. Siddanagouda and proceeding further and cross the Halla and reach the North-East corner at the Amareswar Temple on the border of the Kudligi Bellary truck road. This is the Eastern boundary of II Division.

West.—Commencing from the North-West corner from the Bellada Bhavi on the Kudligi-Hospet main road and move in western direction and meet at the junction of the Kudligi-Hospet road and the Uramma Temple road. From here, move in the South West direction along the Kudligi-Hospet main road and cross the houses of Sri B. Ismail Sab Phatimabi and Bansi Channappa and cross the Kothalaiah Temple and reach at the junction of the Chavadi road and Hospet Kottur road, when pass through the building of the State Bank of Mysore and cross the Kudli main bazar road. Moving further downwards and pass through the houses of Sri Sabbapathi Madaliar, Keshavappa Setty and reach the Kottur road, from here, move the South West direction crossing the houses of Malagi Nagappa, Ambavva and cross the Chatra, and meet in the South-West corner near I. Huchirappa's saw-mill. This is the WesternBoundary of the II Division.

1	2	3	4	5

North.—Commencing from the North-East corner from the Aranesware Temple on the Bellary road and move in the North-West direction along the Bellary road and cross the Halla, N.S.S. Quarters and pass through the M. S. R. T. C. Workshop buildings. Then cross the Bellary road and meet the R I.C.S. building proceeding further in the North-West direction and pass through the house of Subramaiah Sastry and after walking few distance, move in the Northern direction and meet at the Lakshminarayana temple. This is the Northern boundary of II Division.

South.—Commencing from the south west corner at the Saw Mill of I. Huchirappa, and move in the south-east direction along the boundary line and cross the house of Kampli Abdul Kareem Sab and cross the Hosahalli road and the house of Pariki Dodda Obalappa and move along the Hosahalli road and meet in the south-east corner at the saw mill of Sri Gurusidda Sastry. This is the Southern boundary of the II Division.

III Ward (2) & (3)

Boundaries

East.—Commencing from the South-East corner near Mukundappa's well and move in the North-East direction cross the Daraga, the Kottur road and enter the weekly market area. Then move in the North-East direction along the Hospet-Kottur road and cross the Local Fund Dispensary. Then reach the junction of the main road, the Hospet-Kottur road and move in the North-East direction cross the choultry road and reach the Kothalaiah Temple. From this point it continue to move in the north east direction along the Hospet road and cross the house of Sri Khader Sab. Then reach the North-East corter at the trijunction of the Hospet-Kudligi road at the house of Sri Vali Sab. This is the Eastern boundary of the III Division.

West.—Commencing from the North-West corner from the House of Banagar Thammayyanavara Chodavva on the Kudligi-Hospet Road, and move on the South West direction along the Chavadi road crossing the house of Girijamba, the Uramma temple road the house of Sri N. G. Govinda Setty. G. S. Basavangoud and cross the Koti Road. Move downwards along the chavadi road and cross the main bazar road, the house of Y.S. Thirukappa Badiger Honnur Sab and reach the Kottur road. After crossing the Kottur road at the house of Kammar Thimmappa, and meet at the Mukundappa's well in the South-West corner. This is the Western Boundary of the III Division.

1	2	3	4	5

North.—Commencing from the North East corner at the trijunction of the Hospet road. Uramma temple road, and move in the North-West direction along the Hospet road, Then cross the house of Uppar Gangavva, B. Kothalavva and Banagar Dodda Chowdamma's house. Then reach the North-West corner at Trijunction of the house road and the Chavadi road at the house of Banagara Thammayyanavar Chowdayya. This is the Northern boundary of the III Division.

South.—There is no well defined Southern boundary for this Division as both the Eastern and the Western boundaries of this Division meet in a tappering gradient at a point called Mukundappa's well. This is the Southern boundary of the III Division.

Ward No. (4)

Boundries :

East.—Commencing from the South East corner at the junction of boundaries lines of (4) IIIrd Division and IV Division, and move in the North East direction crossing the Kottur road and proceed futher and cross the house of Sri P. K. Sankar Ayar at the junction of Kammar road and Solamma Temple road and after crossing this junction road and move in the North east direction and reach the Solamanna Gudi. Then proceed further upto the house of the S. Basemanna Setty on the main road and take a deviation in the Western direction along, the main road, then meet at the junction Kolaravva Katti road. Then meet at the Kollaravva Katti road and main Bazar road and meet at the house of K. Kothalavva at the junction of Mysarageri road and the K laramma Katti road. This is the Eastern boundary of the IV Division.

West.—Commencing from the North West corner from the house of Harijan Urappa and move downwards crossing the Harijan well and the Kottur road. Then meet at the South West corner at the office of the K S. E.B. This is the Western boundary of the IV Division.

North.—Commencing from the North-East corner from the house of K. Kotralava at the junction of Mysarkere road and the Kollarkatti road, and move along the Mysarakeri road in the North-West direction and cross the houses of B. T. Boavva, K. Rangappa the Garadimani road, the Morque and cross the Harizan keri road then proceed further in the North West direction and meet at the house of Harijan Urappa. This is the Northern boundary of the IV division.

1	2	3	4	5
	South.—Commencing from the South-West corner from the K. S. E. B. office and proceed in the South-East direction along the fields and reach the Mukanada-ppa's well. This is the Southern Boundary of the IV Division.			
V	Ward Nos. 5 and 7.			
	Boundaries :			
	East.—Commencing from the South-East corner which is the village chavadi and move along the chavadi road in the North-East Direction and pass through the house of M. Revanna Siddaiah. Gowdra Chennaveera-mma. K. M. Kotraiah and reach the house of Agasara road and the Chavadi road. Proceed further in the North-East direction and cross the house of Guggala Doddappa and the Kottur Hospet road and reach the North-East corner at the Rameswara Temple, This is the Eastern boundary of the V Division.			
	West :—Commencing from North-West Corner of the Basavan Bhavi Temple and turn in the west direction and move upto Solammana Padagatti. From this point it again come downwards in the south west direction crossing the houses of M. Shariff Sab and A. Goneppa. Then reach the South West corner at the house of Siddalingappa. This is the Western boundary of the V Division.			
	North :—Commencing from the North-East direction from Ramalingeswara Temple, and move in the north west direction and cross the house of K. Bharamaiah. Proceeding further along with the Vanka and crossing the Hospet Road, the octroi checked and reach the north west corner at the Basavana Bhavi. This is the Northern boundary of the V Division.			
	South :—Commencing from the North-West corner from the house of Siddalingappa and proceed in the South-East direction over-looking the Madagamma temple, crossing the Harizankeri Road, it proceed further in the South-East direction along the Mysarakeri road and crossing the Sanna Jumma Masid and reach the junction of Mysarakeri road and the Garadimani road Proceeding further and cross the house of Srimathi Chigateri Palavva. M. Vheemavva and B. Gannanna Ramanna and meet at the junction of the Mysarakeri Road in the South-East corner at the house of Akki Obavva Pakkiravva. This is the Southern Boundary of the V Division.			
	Total	15	2	2

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R STANLEY JOSEPH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Develo ent Department.

S. O.—1679

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 235 PEG 79,

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

In pursuance of the provision of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946, (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering and investigating a Regular case punishable under section 120-B, 380/381 and 420 IPC and Sec. 5(2) r/w 5(1)(d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed at Reserve Bank of India, Bangalore in the case against Shri M. Nagaraj, Staff Officer, Grade-A, K. M. Mathew, Coin/Note Examiner, Richard Pinto, Coin/Note Examiner C. R. Gururajarao, Coin/Note Examiner (Teller), B. Jayaraj & S. Jayarajan, all employees of Reserve Bank of India, Bangalore and others.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1605—S.O. 1796

Order No. HD 237 PEG 79

Bangalore, dated 9th July 1979.

In pursuance of the provision of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946, (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering and investigating a Regular case punishable u/s 161 IPC, 5(2) r/w 5(1)(d) of the Prevention of Corruption Act and any other offences, in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Shri B. D. Naidu, Traffic Inspector, South Central Railway, Hubli.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1606—S.O. 1797

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 9 TRM 79

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

Whereas there was failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre was less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the suspended land revenue payable for the year 1973-74 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-D-(2) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the suspended land revenue of Rs. 25,560-28 payable for the year 1973-74 in respect of the lands in 12 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Kalghatgi taluk of Dharwad district.

SCHEDULE.

Sl. No.	villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
			Rs. Ps.
1	Ramanal	...	1,166-83
2	Hanamapur	...	1,645-19
3	Yalavadhal	...	1,167-27
4	Aralshond	...	1,701-07
5	Naganur	...	1,936-10
6	Surasettikop	...	1,236-05
7	Harageri	...	908-79
8	Dhummawad	...	3,670-10
9	Kadankop	...	2,506-54
10	Chelamatti	...	1,950-03
11	Kuranakop	...	1,770-90
12.	Bagadageri	...	3,901-38
	Grand Total Rs	...	23,560-28

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1517—S. O. 1798

Notification No. RD 209 MET 78

Bangalore, dated 19th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Renuka Yellamma Devasthana Administration Act 1974 the Government of Karnataka hereby reconstitute the Board of Trustees for the Administration of SriRenuka Yellamma Temple and other temples, buildings and premises in the name of and vesting in Sri Renuka Yallamma Devasthana, Saundatti included in Schedule of the said Act and appoint the persons specified below to be the Chairman and Members of the said Board with immediate effect for a period of the three years.

Chairman.

1. Deputy Commissioner, Belgaum District.

Official Members.

1. Executive Engineer, PWD, Belgaum.
2. Assistant Charity Commissioner, Belgaum.

Non-Official Members.

1. Sri H. B. Narayana Swamy, M. L. C., Bellary.
2. Sri G. N. Hanashi of Ugargol Taluk, Saundatti.
3. Sri S. M. Jogi, Chairman, Karnataka Sheep and Sheep Products Development Board, Bangalore.
4. Sri B. R. Murthannavar, Sirsangi, Saundatti Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

—7152—S. O: 1799

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 486 LLD 79.

Bangalore, dated 5th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri Lakshmi Bhavan, New B. H. Road, Sagar, on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of dispute

"I. Is the management justified in refusing employment to Sri Ramaiah, Assistant Cook, with effect from 25th December 1978?.

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1501—S. O. 1800

(Labour-A)

Order No. SWL 516 LLD 79 (A)

Bangalore, dated 13th July, 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka Cement Pipe Factory, Belgaum Road, Bagalkot, District Bijapur.

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management justified in terminating the services of the following workmen with effect from 12th April 1979 :

1. Sri M. M Sutagadi ;
2. Sri Basalingappa Hurkadali;
3. Sri Irappa Shivashimpi ; and
4. Sri Shankar Lamani

II. If not, what relief the said workmen are entitled ?

III. Whether the workmen of the Karnataka Cement Pipe Factory, Belgaum Road, Bagalkot justified in going on strike with effect from 9th May 1979 ?

IV. If so, what relief they are entitled ?

V. Whether the management of Karnataka Cament Pipe Factory Belgaum Road, Bagalkot justified in declaring lockout with effect from 7th August 1979 ?

VI. If not, what relief the workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1553—3.0 1301

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Ravi Textiles B. Ooaman Khan, Road, Bangalore 2.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. 380/79-80, dated 24th May 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 17th day of May 1979.

PRESENT:

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B.A., BL.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 141/1979.

First Party :—

Shri H. Narayana, No. 93, Govipuram Guttahalli, Near Mariamma Temple, Bangalore-560019.

Vs.

II Party :—

M/s Ravi Textiles, B. Ooaman Khan Road, Bangalore-560002.

Appearances :—

Sri K. G. Abdul Samad Khan, Secretary, Silk Textiles, Owners' Association, Bangalore for the second party.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka in their order No. SWL 1131 LLD 76 dated 21st March 1977 have referred a dispute between the parties comprising of the following points for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore under section (10) (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, as in their opinion the dispute is an industrial dispute required to be settled by the Labour Court.

I Are the management of Ravi Textiles, B. Osman Khan Road Bangalore-2, justified in terminating the services of Shri H. Narayana, Weaver with effect from 1st July 1975 ?

II If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled? ".

2. In the Labour Court, the parties filed their written statements and issues were framed. At the stage of recording evidence, the case came on the file of this court on a transfer effected by the Government in their order No. SWL 273 LLE 78 dated 15th January 1979 In this court after notice both the parties appeared and evidence of MW-1 was also recorded. At that stage, the parties took an adjournment for reporting settlement, on the next day i.e. to-day the 17th May 1979. They filed a joint memo reporting the settlement. As per that memo, the first party workmen received from the second party a sum of Rs. 750/- (rupees seven hundred fifty) in full and final satisfaction of his claims against the second party. The compromise reported has been accepted by the Court as it is lawful and fair. The first party workman also reported the receipt of Rs. 750 from the second party.

3. In view of the settlement reported as above and sanctioned by the court there is no need to answer the points of the dispute referred for adjudication, as they no loger survive.

4. Therefore, this reference is disposed of as the dispute concerned is settled by consent of parties. No costs.

N. P. Kempe Gowda
Presiding Officer
Additional Labour Court Bangalore.

EFORE THE ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, GANDHINAGAR. BANGALORE. 9.

Reference No. 141/79.

1st Party ... H. Naryana.

IInd party :— Ravi Textiles, 'B' Osman Khan Road, Bangalore. 2.

The first Party has received a sum of Rs. 750 (Rupees Seven hundred and fifty) only in full and final settlement arising out of this reference employment, non-employment and all other benefits. The reference may be therefore closed as fully settled.

Sd/-

Bangalore. Signature of the Ist party.

17-5-1979 Sd/-

Signature of the IInd party.

Order No. SWL 172 LLA 79.

Bangalore, dated the 19th June 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 1131 LLD 76, dated 21st March 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s. Ravi Textile. B. Oosman Khan Road, Bangalore was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its Award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour 'A')

1333—S.O. 1802

Order No. SWL 441 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 21st/28th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the Management of Theatre Parimala, Near City Market, Bangalore-560 002 on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act,

1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing Sri M. Narayana, Sweeper, with effect from 20th October 1978 ?.

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
(Labour-A),
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1414—S. O. 1803

Order No. SWL 454 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 28th June 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Bellary District Co-operative Bank Ltd., Hospet on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri V. Gurushanthappa with effect from 17th July 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled "?.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1415—S. O. 1804

Order No. SWL 639 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 5th/7th May 1979.

Whereas a Dispute between the workmen and the Management of Vyavasaya Seva Sahakara Sangha Niyamita, Hospet was rejected from reference to Labour Court for adjudication in Government Endorsement No. SWL 681 LLD 77 dated 1st July 1978;

Whereas the said workmen have represented on 10th July 1978 to Government, to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication ;

And Whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 19th December 1978 and their pleadings have been duly considered and the Government is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the Management above mentioned on the points noted below;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

.. Points of Dispute

"1. Is the Management justified in terminating the service of Sri G. Ramanagowda, clerk of the said Society in view of the termination order dated 5th December 1974 ?

2. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour 'A')

1010—S. O. 1805

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC.72 CLS 79

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Dharwar, Dharwar District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri B. B. Masuthi, Town Panchayat Chairman, Uppinbetgeri.
2. Sri Anandgowda Patil, TDB Member, Garag
3. Sri K. N. Gadakar, Saptapur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1526—S. O. 1806

Notification No. RDC 13 CLS 79 (i)

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

The nomination of the following persons made in Notification No. RDC 471 CLS 76 dated 5th April 1977 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Ltd., Tarikere, Chickmagalur District is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri S. Siddappa, President, T. M. C. Ajjampura.
2. Sri T. A. Shaik Hydar, B. H. Road, Tarikere.
3. Sri G. Halappa, Gondedahalli, Sokke Post, Tarikere Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government.
Rural Devt. & Co-opn. Department.

1518—S. O. 1807

Notification No. RDC 13 CLS 79 (ii).

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following two persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Tarikere, Chickmagalur District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Shri K. N. Nanjundappa, Koranahalli-Tarikere Tq.
2. Shri Maiddinkutty, TDB Member, Lingadahalli P.O.

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1519—S.O. 1808

Notification No. RDC 17 WHC 79.

Bangalore, dated 17th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (b) of sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Warehousing Corporations Act, 1962. (Central Act 58 of 1962) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominates the following persons as Directors on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Warehousing Corporation, Bangalore :—

1. Sri D. S. Gowda, *Ex*-M.L.A. No. 20, Ist Main Road, Vyalikaval, Bangalore-3.
2. Sri Riaz Ahmad, Hulahalli, Nanjangud Taluk, Mysore District.
3. Sri L. Saireddy, S/o Pentareddy, Nirna Village, Humnabad Taluk, Bidar District.
4. Sri Krishnarao Mahendrakar, President, Block Congress Committee, Gurmitkal, Gulbarga District.
5. Dr. B. B. Kaknur, M. B. B. S., Surebân. Ramdurg Taluk, Belgaum District.

It is hereby notified that in pursuance of Clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 20 of the Warehousing Corporations Act, 1962, (Central Act 58 of 1962) the Central Warehousing Corporation, New Delhi has nominated the following persons as Directors on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Warehousing Corporation, Bangalore :—

1. Chief Regional Manager, State Bank of India, Bangalore.
2. Regional Manager, Food Corporation of India, Bangalore.
3. Sri A. Kalappa, Lecturer in Economics, Bangalore University, Bangalore.
4. Sri M.C. Nanayya, President, Karnataka State Co-operative Marketing Federation, No. 8, Cunningham Road, Bangalore-560052.
5. Dr. H.R. Arkeri, Retired Director of Agriculture, Karnataka Government, *Ex*-Chairman of Agriculture Commission and presently Vice Chancellor of University of Agricultural Sciences, Hebbal, Bangalore-560024.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 20 of the said Act, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri D.S. Gowda as Chairman of the said Corporation.

The term of the Board of Directors of the said Corporation shall be for the period specified in Rule 3 of the State Warehousing Corporation (Karnataka) Rules, 1967.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

G. S. JOSHI

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1573—S. O. 1809

Notification No. RDC 126 CLS 79.

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Hukkeri Urban Co-operative Bank Limited, Hukkeri, Belgaum District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri N. G. Kulkarni, Advocate, Hukkeri
2. Sri Basavaraj Malagouda patil Hukkeri
3. Sri Basavaraj Shivashankarappa Parakanath, Hukkeri.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
1520—S. O. 1810 *Rural Development and Co-operation Department.*

Notification No. RDC 50 CPS 79.

Bangalore dated 17th July, 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53.A of the Karnataka Cooperative Societies (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975), Government are pleased to nominate Sri Yamunappa S/o Hanumappa (S. C.) Hosadurga Road, Hosadurga, Chitradurga District, on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., Hosadurga, as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
1569—S. O. 1811 *Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.*

Notification No. RDC 204 CLS 78 (1)

Bangalore dated 17th July 1979.

In exercise of powers vested under section 53-A of K.C.S. Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the District Central Co-operative Bank, Limited., Bijapur with immediate and until further orders.

1. Sri V. A. Desai, Yedahalli, Bilgi Taluk, Bijapur District.
2. Sri V. R. Patil, Chickasangi, Basavana Bagewadi Taluk, Bijapur District.
3. Sri A. R. Kerakalmatti, Jeeragal, Mudhol Taluk, Bijapur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.

1567—S.O. 1812

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 142 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 4/5th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 246 EPO 78, dated 13th November 1978 sanctioning a prospecting Licence for Ruby Corrundum in respect of the area of 240 acres (97.12 hectares) of land in Singenahalli village, Doddaballapur taluk. Bangalore district in favour of Shri Mir Murtaza Ali as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secreary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1497—S. O. 1813

Notification No. CI 143 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 4th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 226 EPO 78 dated 24th October 1978 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Green Quartz in respect of the area of 173 acres (70.01 hectares) of land in Garaga village, Holalkere taluk, Chitradurga District in favour

of Shri M. P. Somaiah as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1495—S. O. 1814

Notification No. CI 77 MMN.79

Bangalore, dated 21st May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri B. P. Ramanna for a periad of 20 (Twenty) years for soapstone and clay over an area of 8.5 acres (3.44 hects) of land in Hosahalli village, Taluk C. N. Hally District Tumkur as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions, appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Soapstone and Clay only. If other Minerals are found in association with Soapstone and Clay they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine those minerals along with Soapstone and Clay he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the and Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease ares covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey;

(o) Local and other Lessees as prevalent in Tumkur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1123—S. O. 1815

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 56 TRM 1978,

Bangalore, dated 18th July 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average crop-wise yield per acre was less than twenty five percent in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-G (1) of Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 1,42,007—31 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 256 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in K. R. Pet Taluk of Mandya District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	AKKIHEBBAL HOBLI	
1	Gubbahalli	694-99
2	Hangarmuddanahalli	318-98
3	Chowdasamudra	300-72
4	Beeravalli	270-82
5	Arenahahalli	212-90
6	Manchibeedu	472-96
7	Ballenahalli	146-08
8	Aienahalli	503-72
9	Mudanahalli	565-72
10	Mallenahalli	70-84
11	Honnenahalli	514-54
12	Kyathanahalli	282-48
13	Mandagere	511-57
14	Sravanahalli	313-53
15	Bekkanahalli	226-79
16	Bevinahalli	384-29
17	Uddinaborekaval	94-83

1	2	3
	BUKINAKERE HOBLI	
18	Bukinakere	1787-63
19	Ballekere	540-80
20	Natanahalli	607-13
21	Haliyur	711-01
22	Boovanahalli	552-06
23	Mavinakere	1204-10
24	Hosakote	164-04
25	Heraganahalli	194-50
26	B. Hosur	37-42
27	Gopalpura	17-88
28	Doddagadiganahalli	970-10
29	Attappe	723-10
30	Mathikere	405-73
31	Modur	1273-86
32	Agasarahalli	637-82
33	Jakkanahalli	540-13
34	Ballenahalli	828-87
35	Machagonahalli	676-24
36	Murukanahalli	586-74
37	Kabbalagerepura	1051-20
38	S. B. Kaval	47-02
39	B. Kaval	69-72
40	Bachahalli	876-03
41	Hosahalli	277-00
42	Kanchalli	310-05
43	Bettadahosur	696-74
44	Bannanakere	439-46
45	M. Hosur	316-96
46	Chokanahalli	954-23
47	Ikanahalli	910-17
48	Bannenahalli	714-88
49	Ganjigere	1444-07
50	Mudigere	873-67
51	Rajenahalli	737-61
52	Poovanahalli	532-22
53	Chikkagadiganahalli	1059-24
54	Yagachaguppe	507-26
55	Kattahalli	230-40
56	Bookkahalli	330-54
57	Sankanahalli	186-77
58	V. Kallahalli	435-92
59	Vitalapura	1163-89
60	Aralakuppe	393-60

1	2	3
	KIKKERI HOBLI	
61	Kikkeri	381-82
62	Hamanikere (B)	756-06
63	Sasalu	713-06
64	Yaladahalli	680-90
65	Devarahalli	324-90
66	Doddatharahalli	661-24
67	Chikkatharahalli	556-73
68	Sollepura	307-78
69	Kallanakere	711-97
70	Settihalli	396-76
71	Jakkanahalli	257-92
72	Hodakahalli	339-02
73	Kodimaranahalli	647-67
74	Kariganahalli	413-45
75	Borapura	375-14
76	Chowdenahalli	660-13
77	Boovanahalli	193-38
78	Vaddarahalli	414-78
79	Lakshmipura	353-22
80	Biddarahalli	620-24
81	Maragonahalli	962-26
82	Govindanahalli	1654-63
83	Ramanahalli	494-57
84	Chikkalale	650-60
85	Lingapura	599-73
86	Beechanahalli	234-25
87	Basavanahalli	626-27
88	Madihalli	309-03
89	Anchebeeranahalli	1159-13
90	Mandalikanahalli	333-72
91	U. M. Hosur	646-57
92	Ooginahalli	1005-67
93	Bhadranakoppalu	145-94
94	Tholasi	578-85
95	Ankanahalli	404-96
96	Ikanahalli	1523-52
97	Dorenahalli.	684-48
98	Chujjalakythanahalli	461-85
99	Gangenahalli	239-00
100	Kalenahalli	570-77
101	Mariyana Hosuru	224-19
102	Dabbeghatta	631-94
103	Thenginaghatta	647-36

1	2	4
104	Eachalaguddekaval	240-60
105	Kotahalli	441.52
106	Chinnenahalli	295-48
	KASABA HOBLI	
107	Agrahabachalli	1388-45
108	Chikkahosahalli	209-77
109	Chilladahalli	317-51
110	Harinahalli	330-55
111	B. Kodihalli	306-24
112	Bandabinahalli	279-32
113	Anuvinakatte	285-40
114	Valagaremensa	956-35
115	Bommanayakanahalli	276-17
116	Areoppanahalli	388-12
117	Guddenahalli	320-34
118	Nagaruru	333-79
119	Margonahalli	337-38
120	B. Kallahalli	445-19
121	Mallenahalli	520-74
122	Sadagonahalli	219-22
123	Hirikalale	1420-91
124	Chikkonahalli	496-40
125	Pura	369-29
126	Kamanahalli	278-78
127	Kadamensa	656-11
128	Ganganahalli	334-38
129	Theganahalli	853-14
130	Hommanahalli	398-50
131	Billaramanahalli	600-46
132	Hommenahalli	405 83
133	Mathighatta	427-08
134	Chowdenahalli	266-73
135	Naganahalli	183-38
136	Makahalli	766-55
137	Heggadahalli	680-20
138	Kundanahalli	213-70
139	Kamanahalli	1007-10
140	Maniganahalli	678-29
141	Nargonahalli	384-75
142	Yedahalli	318-24
143	K. R. Pet	1805-63
144	Hosaholalu	1593-75
145	Kattaridhatta	293-66

1	2		3
	SANTHEBACHALLI HOBLI		
146	Santhebachalli		1866-80
147	Billenahalli		1315-38
148	Boppanahally		723-48
149	Adihalli		488-31
150	Goravi		976-56
151	Hettagonahally		395-91
152	Doddaharanahalli		467-54
153	Kundar		1381-22
154	Bharathipura		712-10
155	Gangenahalli		230-44
156	Manganabosahalli		260-49
157	Marehahalli		250-23
158	Jaginakere		1539-19
159	Kotagahalli		1180-43
160	Dugganahalli		420-21
161	Koratikere	...	1116-75
162	Sharahalli	...	452-51
163	Ballekere	...	761-03
164	Annechakanahalli	...	556-29
165	Mallenahalli	...	494-62
166	Machahalli	...	406-06
167	Hubbanahalli	...	808-60
168	Doddakyathanahalli	...	817-47
169	Hadavanahalli	...	503-80
170	Lokanahalli	...	248-26
171	Chikkakyathanahalli	...	296-80
172	Malagur,	...	598-05
173	Kodagahalli	...	260-40
174	Amachahalli	...	266-84
175	Hosahalli	...	187-13
176	Nayasinganahalli	...	223-90
177	Singapura	...	404-46
178	Nayakanahalli	...	781-61
179	Somanahalli	...	696-03
180	Ranganathapura	...	309-44
181	Lakshmipura	...	294-17
182	Bikkasandra	...	314-60
183	Halagehosahalli	...	308-49
184	Appenahalli	...	540-78
185	Mavinakattekoppal	...	142-99
186	Harapanahalli	...	245.63
187	Bettadapura	...	195-99
188	Aghalaya	...	939-24

1	2		3
189	Aglayakaval	...	42-47
190	Nagaraghatta	...	606-69
191	Narayanapura	...	1120-52
192	Chottanahalli	...	329-61
193	Ramanakoppalu	...	259-17
194	Sarangi	...	873-91
195	Kygonahalli	—	787-22
196	Chikkaharanahalli	...	794-39
197	Dasagolapura	...	414-09
198	Jothanapura	...	218-43
199	Doddasomanahalli	...	591-95
200	Chikkasomanahalli	...	331-95
201	Halasinahalli	...	515-29
202	Yaladahalli	...	392-44
203	Achamanahalli	...	384-65
204	Halehathiguppe		423-94
	Seelanere Hobli.		
205	Seelanere	...	1511 76
206	Bhyrapura	...	868-92
207	Chavadighatta	...	198-06
208	Chattanahalli	...	975-89
209	Ravipura	...	271-69
210	Navilumaranahallli	...	537-69
211	Sheerabillenhalli	...	287-78
212	Hemmdahalli	...	286-83
213	Anchemuddanahalli	...	517-23
214	Thaguduru	...	545-42
215	Cheekanahalli	...	603-97
216	Bommlapura	...	338-13
217	Maravanahalli	...	298-12
218	Viogonahalli	...	298-56
219	Chakanayakanahalli	...	447-05
220	Hattimaranahalli	...	309-61
221	Murukanahalli	...	1399-34
222	Honnenahalli	...	374-50
223	Huchanahalli	...	467-93
224	Nadaboganahalli		355-56
225	Rayasamudra		712-66
226	Chamalapura		446-70
227	Kodihalli		404-26
228	Mallenahalli		110-31
229	Ankanatathapura		334-62
230	Rajaghatta		325-70

1	2	3
231	Guduganahalli	892-37
232	B. B. Manchanahalli	542-74
233	Ramanahalli	278-83
234	Thendekere	497-73
235	Hadanuru	885-46
236	Maradahalli	458-69
237	Anchanahalli	281-22
238	Haralahalli	1074-48
239	Mylanahalli	1095-85
240	Madigarahosahalli	607-95
241	Vasanthapura	267-27
242	Bommanayakanalli	419-86
243	Karthenahalli	249-84
244	Singapura	201-13
245	Chattamgere	1602-57
246	Malkonahalli	579-68
247	Kodihalli	290-45
248	Ballekere	272-95
249	Hunasanahalli	471-96
250	Sindhaghatta	2256-20
251	Thamadahalli	259-62
252	Molenahalli	537-68
253	Byaladakere	755-35
254	Hiralahalli	659-83
255	Hakkimanchanahalli	448-05
256	Kyathanahally	523-32
	Grand Total Rs.	1,42,007-31

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1570—S. O. 1816

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 54 TRM 77

Bangalore, dated 4th/14th May 1979

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964, read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 2,06,961-21 (khist rabi) payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 140 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Lingasugur taluk of Raichur district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Gurgunta Circle :		
1.	Gurgunta	...	543-28
2.	Anwari	...	3,459-61
3.	Hire Naganoor	...	1,931-82
4.	Chukkanhatti	...	399-49
5.	Veerapur	...	767-18
6.	Devarbhupur	...	266-43
7.	Gowdur	...	1,406-83
8.	Benchaldoddi	...	485-77
9.	Hosagudda	...	49-00
10	Chikaldoddi	...	413-80
11.	Yelgatti	...	402-68
12.	Machnoor	...	1,178-94
13.	Honalli	...	6,224-92
14.	Yesdona	...	3,038-19
15.	Kotha	...	19,568-81
16.	Gejjalgatt	...	172-55
17.	Chik Naguur	...	1,644-96

1	2		3
18.	Hirehesrur	...	2,447-50
19.	Chikhesrur	...	2,058-80
20.	Nilogal	...	2,722-81
21.	Koddoni	...	1,184-97
22.	Roudalbanda	...	1,352-15
23.	Medinapur	...	2,360-59
24.	Hutti	...	1,138-09
25.	Tuwag	...	355-16
26.	Gududnal	...	788-25
	Lingasugur Circle :		
27.	Kamaldinni	...	1,110-14
28.	Kasaba Lingasugur	...	7,896-97
29.	Nawali	...	1,434-67
30.	Chitapur	...	4,303-18
31.	Gundasagar	...	1,257-47
32.	Mavinbhavi	...	1,124-75
33.	Hirejawoor	...	949- 3
34.	Roudalbanda	...	602-01
35.	Hire Upperi	...	316-14
36.	Jangi Rampur	...	343-81
37	Eachanal	...	1,391-96
38.	Chikupperi	...	552-75
39.	Bendoni	...	35-47
40.	Sarjapur	...	2,608-46
41.	Gonwar	...	5,037-17
42.	Kuppigudda	...	2,564-81
43.	Amaravathi	...	1,021-24
44.	Toralbenchi	...	547-72
45	Kalapur	...	407-30
46	Aidnal	...	844-55
47	Yelgaldinni	...	585-83
48	Chitranhal	...	829-71
49	Margatnal	...	440-23
50	Kachapur	...	473-19
51	Hanmargudda	...	592-87
52	Hunkunti	...	1,556-43
53	Kardkal	...	4,988-82
54	Bhpur	...	661-49
55	Huligudda	...	1,294-18
56	Kalli Lingsugur	...	1,427-27
57	Anahosur	...	6,445-00
58	Nandihal	...	303-45
59	Narakaldinni	...	116-54

1	2		3
60	Zoolgudda	...	1,075-75
61	Huvinbhavi		218-38
62	Basapur		308-11
63	Buddinni		384-23
64	Sanabal	...	5,240-80
65	Jangamarhalli	...	115-53
66	Chikjawoor	...	15-44
	MUDGAL CIRCLE:		
67	Makapur	...	1,252-21
68	Ramathnal	...	1,108-53
69	Wandali	...	501-25
70	Talekat	...	1,474-84
71	Turadagi	...	720 28
72	Byalihal	...	622-02
73	Marali	...	1,431-00
74	Aryabhogapur	...	360-81
75	Hunur	...	662-31
76	Chattar	...	207-46
77	Todki	...	71-10
78	Ulmeshwar	..	334-96
79	Naglapur	...	342-64
80	Vyakarnal	...	1,172-85
81	Piklihal	...	410-18
82	Heggapur	...	229-61
83	Bannigol	...	2,128-50
84	Janthapur	...	2,388-47
85	Kansavi	...	3 284-83
86	Bellihal	...	2,965-22
87	Adapur	...	1,823 70
88	Komalapur	...	1,019-88
89	Mudgal	...	3,523-81
90	Chikyardihal	...	702-95
91	Hirehardlhal	...	1,280-50
92	Amdihal	...	705-92
93	Upparnaidihal	...	649-65
94	Killarhatti	...	539-50
95	Chiklekkihal	...	1,052-59
96	Advibhavi	...	678-34
97	Hirelekkihal	...	2,587-44
98	Nagarhal	...	1,677-63
99	Komnur	...	2,125-83
100	Byyapur	...	661-36
101	Bhogapur	...	626-15
102	Khairwadgi	...	1,645-97

1	2		3
103	Sajjaigudda	...	300-00
104	Bomanhal	...	1,120-32
105	Halkavatgi	...	2,018-86
106	Tondihal	...	1,202-13
107	Uppanal	...	924-53
108	Mallapur	...	42-04
109	Palgaldinni	...	868-20
110	Tumbalgaddi	...	106-35
111	Rampur	...	829-25
112	Ankanhal	...	821-06
	MASKI CIRCLE		
113	Maski	...	5,972-98
114	Mudwal	...	2,517-63
115	Katgal	...	438-35
116	Uskihal	...	321-38
117	Venkatapur	...	1,171-93
118	Santikallur	...	3,703-66
119	Maslikaralkunti	...	955-80
120	Bendarkarlkunti	...	709-18
121	Ankasooddi	...	853-68
122	Kunikellur	...	629-57
123	Mittikallur	...	752-17
124	Mattur	...	2,295-74
125	Gudihal	...	1,261-63
126	Taribhavi	...	714-45
127	Kadadarhal	...	708-73
128	Buddinni	...	1,585-44
129	Medkinhal	...	1,927-52
130	Belladmardi	...	915-65
131	Hire Antargangi	...	626-88
132	Chik Anatargangi	...	335-00
133	Benkanhal	...	128-64
134	Bailgudda	...	283-99
135	Talekhan	...	3,906-78
136	Yerdoddi	...	502-80
137	Bagalgudda	...	612-08
138	Veerapura D	...	319-17
139	Kamandaldinni	...	477-26
140	Nagarbenchi	...	2,845-50
	Grand Total :—	Rs.	2,06,961-21

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

1060—S.O. 1819

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. Cl 51 MMN 79.

Bangalore, dated 9th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section 1 of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Limited for Limestones and Dolomite a period of 20 (Twenty) years overan area of 1440 acres (556.56 hectares) of land in Lokapur village, sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Limestone and Dolomite only. If other Minerals are found in association with Lime tone and Dolomite they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to min these minerals alongwith Limestone and Dolomite he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25 00
11th year and onwards of lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India ;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the Ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Bijapur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

M. G. KRISHNA SINGH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

(032 S.C). 1820

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 483 LLD 79.
Bangalore, dated 20th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s Nelmangala Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., Nelamangala :

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

's the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri Shivananda, employee with effect from 10th May 1966?

II. If not, to what relief that the employee is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer.
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1603—S. O. 1821

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 201 TMA 78.

Bangalore, dated 19th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 341 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the 50 ton and 100 ton tractor-trailers belonging to M/s. Kudremukh Iron Ore Co., Ltd , Bangalore, as described in the Schedule below from the provisions of rule 319 of the said rules for the purposes of plying them from Mangalore Port to Padubidri on NH 17 and from Padubidri to Malleswara (Kudremukh project site) for transporting equipments from Mangalore Port, subject to the following conditions:

1. The maximum axle load in laden condition in case of 50 Tonnes trailer shall not exceed 17.25 Tonnes and in case of 100 Tonnes trailer shall not exceed 20.00 Tonnes.

2. The tractor trailers will have to be transported by the Kudremukh Iron Ore Co., Ltd., at their own risk and cost.

3. Speed of the vehicles should not be more than 25 KMPH on roads and 10 KMPH on C.D. Works and curves.

4. Government shall not be responsible for any damages to the vehicles and or its contents consequent upon the movement of vehicles.

5. Permission is valid for a period of one year and on the route mentioned above and the vehicle shall not be moved on any other road or route in the State.

6. Damages caused to roads, bridges and culverts should be made good by M/s Kudremukh Iron Ore Company as per the assessment of the Executive Engineers of concerned P. W D./National Highways.

7. Red flags must be exhibited at either extremeties length and breadthwise.

8. No damages should be caused to the pedestrians other vehicles moving on roads, bridges and culverts.

SCHEDULE

8 Numbers of Prime Movers :—

Sl No.	Engine Number	Chassis No.
1.	23142306	WHV 4571
2.	23141887	WHV 4576
3.	23141886	WHV 4574
4.	23142307	WHV 4578
5.	23143027	WHV 4579
6.	23143055	WHV 4581
7.	23142308	WHV 4577
8.	23143034	WHV 4580

6 Numbers of 50 Ton Trailers ;—

Sl No.	Chassis No.
1.	006
2.	007
3.	008
4.	011
5.	010
6.	009

100 Ton Trailers :—

Sl.No.	Chassis No.
1.	5058

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1601—S. O. 1822

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 141 CLS 79

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

The Nomination of Sri Linganagouda, Basavanagouda Patil made in Notification No. RDC 95 CLS 76, dated 18th December 1976 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Byadgi Dharwar is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devpt. and Co-opn. Department.

1525—S. O. 1823

Notification No. RDC 261 CLS 78

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979

In continuation of Notification of even No. dated 20th March 1979 and in exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Sri M. K. Takked, s/o Khanshah, Soundatti, on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Soundatti, Belgaum District, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1526—S. O. 1824

Notification No. RDC 184 CLS 78.

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Gokak, Belgaum District, with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri Mallappa Basappa Naik, Suldhal.
2. Sri Appayya Goudappa Chikkannawar, Yaddalgud.
3. Sri Shivappa Bhairanatti, Kuligod.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devptt. & Co-opn. Department.

1527—S. O. 1825

Notification No. RDC 66 CPS 79.

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate the

following persons on the Board of Directors of the South Kanara District Central Cooperative Wholesale Stores Ltd. (Janatha Bazar) Mangalore, as Government nominees with immediate effect and until further orders.

Sriyuths :

1. Muhammad Kamal B.A.LL.B.
   Advocate, 18, Milagres Mansion, Mangalore–575001.
2. M. A. Kini
   D'Sa Gardens Mannagudda Main Road, Mangalore–575003.
3. Jayananda Devadiga
   Mannagudda Main Road, Devadigara Sudharaka Sangha Mangalore–575001.
4. Francis D'Souza
   Ullal, Dakshina Kannada District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

MAHAMMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1507—S. O. 1826

Notification No. RDC 66 CPS 78(i)

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, (Amendment) 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1975) Government are pleased to nominate Sri M. C. Subbaiah, Taluk Development Board Member, Kutta Post, South Kodagu, on the Board of Directors of Srimangala Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., Srimangala, as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. & Co opn. Deptt

1546—S. O. 1827

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 38 CAX 79

Bangalore, dated the 23rd July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of Section 12 of Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957) the Government of Karnataka hereby approve, Sangameshwar Coffee Estates Charitable Trust, 18/5, Cambridge Road Cross. Ulsoor, Bangalore-560008," for the purpose of the said section for 1979-80 also, provided that each sum paid to the Trust as donation is not less than two hundred and fifty rupees.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1612—S. O. 1831

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

CORRIGENDUM No. HUD 39 TTP 79

Bangalore, dated 2nd July 1979

Sub :—Re-constitution of the Planning Authority for Davanagere City area.

Delete the words and figures "(ii) Taluk Development Board, Davanagere" appearing in item 4 (ii) of the Government Notification No. HUD 139 TTP 78 dated 30th November 1978, published in *Karnataka Gazzette* (Extraordinary) dated 30th November 1978 under S. O. No. 2945.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1610—S. O. 1832

Notification No. HUD 99 MNL 79

Bangalore, dated the 24th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub section (1) of Section 100 of the Karnataka Municipal Corporation Act 1976 (Karnataka Act 14 of 1977), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Sri A. S. Melkote, I. A. S., Administrator, Tungabhadra Project, Munirabad whose services are placed at the disposal of the Housing and Urban Development Department in Notification No. DPAR 266 SAS 79 dated

19th July 1979, as Administrator to the Corporation of the City of Bangalore, with immediate effect in place of Sri T. J. Ramakrishnan, I. A.S , Divisional Commissioner, Bangalore Division, Bangalore, who is relieved of his additional charge.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. MOHANDAS MOSES.
Commissioner and Secretary to Government.
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1615—S. O. 1833

Notification No. HUD 311 MNS 77
Bangalore, dated 22nd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under section 21 and sub-section (1) of Section 22 of the Karnataka Urban Water Supply and Drainage Board Act 1973 (Karnataka Act No. 25 of 1974) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to approve the scheme prepared by the Karnataka Urban Water Supply and Drainage Board, Bangalore for replacement of 9 inch diameter RCC gravity main, extension, of pipe line and providing additional pumps sets for the water supply scheme to Shahapur Town, Gulbarga District, at a cost of Rs. 4.25 lakhs (Rupees four lakhs twenty five thousand only)

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....,
Under Secretary to Government
Housing and Urban Development Department
(Housing)

1600—S.O. 1834

Notification No. HUD 17 MNT 78
Bangalore, dated 20th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 16(b) of Karnataka Civil Services (General Recruitment) Rules, 1977: the Government of Karnataka is pleased to relax the additional qualification of Diploma in Town Planning stipulated in the Karnataka General Services (Town Planning Department) (Recruitment) Rules, 1966 for a period of two years with effect from 10th May, 1978 to the cadre of Junior Town Planners "for direct recruitment and for promotion".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1596—S. O. 1835

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 73 LAG 79.

Bangalore, dated 20/21st July 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoin s with immediate effect, Sri K. K. Deshpande, B.A. (Hons) LL.B Advocate, Basavakalyan as Assistant Government Pleader, Basavakalyan in Bidar District for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981, subject to the continuance of the post upto that period.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Department of Law and Parliamentary Affairs
(Administration)

1598—S O. 1826

Notification No. LAW 22 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 18/21st July 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri C. Veerabhadra, M A., B.L , as Assistant Government Pleader, Mysore for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981 subject to the continuance of the post up o that period.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,

Under Secretary to Government,
Department of Law & Parly. Affairs.
(Administration)

1597—S.O. 1827

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 64 CLS 79

Bangalore, dated 4th July 1979

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following two persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Sira, Tumkur District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri Chikkanarasimhaiah s/o Sannappa, Sakshihalli, Tuppadakona Post, Sira Taluk.
2. Sri Ranganathappa s/o Rangaswamaiah, Katamala Gopaladevaralli Post, Sira Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.

1506—S. O. 1838

Notification No. RDC 36 CPS 79.

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979

The nominations of the following persons, made in Government Notification No. RDC 37 CPS 76 dated 23rd November 1976 on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd, Krishnarajapet, as Government nominees, are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri Nurullakhan, Vice-President Town Municipal Council K. R. Pet
2. Sri M. Gowdaiah, Vice-President B.C.C.C. Milenahally, Haralahalli Post K. R. Pet Taluk
3. Sri Chaluvaiah alias Amasaiah Yadahally, Kasaba Hobli K. R. Pet Taluk
4. Sri D. Govinda Shetty, Hosohalalu, K. R. Pet Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MOHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Dev. and Co-opn. Department.

1505—S.O. 1839

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Gayathri Roller Flour Mills, No. 242, Old Tharagupet, Bangalore-2A

Award of the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. 354/79-80 dated 21st May 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempe Gowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 27th day of April 1979

PRESENT

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B A., B.L.

Presiding Officer.

Reference No 90 of 1979

I Party :—

The General Secretary, Bangalore Engineering and General Workers Union, 50, A. S. Char Street, Bangalore-2A.

Vs

II Party :—

The Proprietor, Gayathri Roller Flour Mills, No. 242, Old Th:ragupet, Bangalore-2A.

AWARD

1. The first party put forth some demands with the manage- ment of the second party in which they are employed. The second party did not accede to those demands. Consequently the matter was reported to the Gover. ment, who in their order No. SWL 581(2) LLD 75 dated 3rd June 1976 referr.d the dispute formulating the points as below to the Labour Court for adjudication in exercise of the powers under Section 10(1)(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

"I. Are the workmen of Gayathri Roller Flour Mills, Magadi Road, Bangalore, justified in demanding that:

(1) All workmen should be paid dearness allowance of Rs. 50 P.M.

(2) The basic wages of the workers should be revised and paid at Rs. 200 P.M. to male workers and Rs. 150 P M. to female workers.

(3) Each workman should be given an annual increment of Rs. 10.

(4) Each workman should be issued with two sets of uniforms.

1:. If not, to what other relief are the workmen entitled?"

2 In the Labour Court, only the first party appeared and filed claim statement. There after the case came on the file of this court on transfer effected by Government. At first, notices were sent under certificate of posting but neither party appeared on 30th March 1979 when the case was set out for evidence. The court directed to issue of fresh notices to the parties by registered post and adjourned the case for taking evidence on 23rd April 1979. Both the parties were served with the notices by registered post. But they have failed to appear and lead any evidence on 23rd April 1979. In view of this, left with no other alternative, the court closed the proceeding and proceeded to pass this award.

3 The first party workman had to prove that they are entitled to be paid Dearness Allowance at Rs. 50 per month, basic wages of Rs. 200 to male workers and Rs. 150 to female workers per month, annual increment of Rs. 10 and also entitled for two sets of uniform per year. They have produced no evidence in support of these demand in the claim statement. Of course, it is stated that the second party is flourishing concern and has made huge profits and, therefore, the demands put forth by the workers is justified. But in the absence of any proof of this claim made in the statement, this court is unable to hold that they are justified in making those demands. Therefore, I answer the first point referred for adjudication in the negative.

4 Consequently, my finding on the first point, it cannot be held that the first party workmen are entitled to any relief prayed for by them. Hence, I hold that the first party workmen are not entitled to any other relief.

5 Consequently this reference is thus disposed of rejecting the claim made by the first party against the second party.

N. P. Kempe Gowda,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Labour Court,
Bangalore

Order No. SWL 163 LLA 79,

Bangalore, dated 15th/19th June 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 581(2) LLD 75 dated 3rd April 1976 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Gayathri Roller Flour Mills, No. 242 Old Tharagupet, Bangalore-2A was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, for adjudication;

And, Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
(Labour 'A' Section)

1351—S.O. 1840

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute:—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s Sri. Mangal Textiles C/o Mahadev Silk Factory, Bharat Nagra, Belgaum.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli, Published.

Read the following papers :—

1. Letter No. LCH/243/79-80 dated 8th May 1979 from Sri H. L. Narayanagowda, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT HUBLI

Ref. No. 14/79

Present: Sri H. L, Narayanagowda B. A., LL. B. Presiding Officer.

Claimant :

Sri Basappa Krishna Bhaskar, C/o The General Secretary Sharmik Shakti Sanghatana C/o Jokap Printers, Dr. Shama Prasad Mukharjee Road, Belgaum.

Respondent:

M/s Sri Mangal Textiles C/o Mahadev Silk Factory, Bharat Nagar, Belgaum.

G. O. No. SWL 96 LLD 79 dated 28th February 79

Judgement and Award

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the I. D Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) referring the dispute between Sri Basappa workman and the Management of M/s Sri Mangal Textiles, Bharat Nagar, Belgaum on the following points of dipuste.

I. Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri Basappa Krishna Bhaskari, with effect from 21st August 1978?

II. If not, what relief the said workman is entitled to?

2. After the reference was received, notice was issued to the claimant to file his claim statement. The notice was issued to the Resondent to file written statement. At this stage, the parties have filed a joint memo of settlement. Accerding to the settlement the workman Sri Basappa has received Rs. 335/-and the Management has agreed to wave Rs. 330/-due from him, infull and final settlement of the claims of the employee.

In view of the said settlement, the claimant has agreed to withdraw this reference. In view of the settlement the matter does not survive. The reference has got to be rejected-

3. In the result the reference is rejected. No costs.

(Dictated to the stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)
Place Hubli
2nd May 1979

(H. L. NARAYANA GOWDA)
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Hubli.

Order No. SWL 156 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated the 7th June 1979.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 96 LLD 79 dated 28th February 1979 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sri. Mangal Textiles C/o Mahadev Silk Factory, Bharat Nagar, Belgaum, was refered to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication;

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Deprtment,
(Labour 'A')

1255—S. O. 1841

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order—I, No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 23rd July 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 5436 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri. K. V. Govindaraju District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,

1614—S.O. 1854 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II, No. HD 135 TMI 78

Bangalore, dated 23rd July 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYA 36 h.s vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*c*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH
Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.

1614—S.O. 1855

Notification No. HD 2 TRC 79

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

The orders issued in the Notification No. HD 2 TRC 79, dated 2nd July 1979 extending the period of re-appointment of Sri P. G. Prabhu as Chief Accounts Officer of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation for a period of six months from 25th July 1979, are hereby cancelled.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government, Home Depa tment.

1629—S. O. 1856

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 1 5 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 23rd/25th July 1979

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri S. Bharamappa, B.A., LL.B., as Additional Government Pleader, Davanagere for a further period of three years with effect from 11th August 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

....,
For Under Secretary to Government, Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs. (Administration)

1630—S. O. 1857

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 98 LGP 78 (2).

Bangalore, dated 10th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rules (1) and (2) of rule 24 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes Consultative Committees for each of the Taluks in the District of Tumkur, specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, consisting of the official and non-official members for the period ending September 1979 and nominates the official and non-official members specified in column (3) thereof as Members of the said Consultative Committee.

SCHEDULE

Sl.No.	Name of the Taluk	Names of Members
1	2	3
1	Madhugiri taluk	1. Tahsildar, Madhugiri taluk, Madhugiri. 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Madhugiri. 3. Range Forest Officer, Madhugiri taluk, Madhugiri. Shriyuths :— 4. Narasappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Madhugiri taluk. 5. K. Narasimhaiah, B A., Kasapura village, Madhugiri taluk (S.C.) 6. Prasannakumar, Ex-President, Town Municipal Council, Madhugiri taluk 7. Aswathanarayana, Social Worker, Settyhally, Madhugiri taluk.
2	Gubbi taluk	1. Tahsildar, Gubbi taluk, Gubbi. 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Gubbi taluk Gubbi 3. Range Forest Officer, Gubbi taluk. Shrivuths :— 4. H. T. Kariyappa Jatti, Vice-President. Taluk Development Board, Gubbi taluk, 5. K. S. Narasimhaiah, Member, Taluk Development Board, Gubbi taluk 6. B. K. Narasaiah, Badavanapalya, Nittur Hobli, Gubbi taluk. 7. Sanjeevaiah, Ex-Member, Taluk Development Board, Social Worker, Alalaghatta, Gubbi taluk.

1	2	3
3	Tumkur taluk	1. Tahsildar, Tumkur taluk,. Tumkur. 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department. Tumkur taluk. 3. Range Forest Officer, Tumkur taluk. Shriyuths :— 4. Smt. Jayamma, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Tumkur taluk. 5. Doddananjaiah, Member, Taluk Development Board, Tumkur taluk. 6. Muneer Ahmed. Main Road, Tumkur. 7. Kundalagiri Srinivasachar, President, District Congress Committee (I), Sirani Rasthe, Tumkur.
4	Kunigal taluk	1. Tahsildar, Kunigal taluk, Kunigal. 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Kunigal Taluk. 3. Range Forest Officer, Kunigal taluk. Shriyuths :— 4. Mariyappa. Vice-President. Taluk Development Board, Kunigal taluk. 5. H. Boregowda, K. Hanumanahalli, Kunigal taluk. 6. Vaikuntan urthy, Ananthahalli, Huliyurdurga, Kunigal taluk. 7. Siddalingaiah, Kothagere, Kunigal taluk
5	Turuvekere taluk	1. Tahsildar. Turuvekere taluk, Turuvekere 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Turuvekere taluk. 3 Range Forest Officer, Turuvekere taluk. Shriyuths :— 4. K. L. Ramegowda, Vice President. Taluk Development Board, Turuvekere taluk. 5. Hutchaiah, Mayasandra, Turuvekere taluk, 6. M. H, Narasimhaiah, (SC), Mayasandra, Turuvekere taluk. 7, N. Shivanna, Kalluboranahalli, Turuvekere taluk.

1	2	3
6	Chickanayakana-halli taluk.	1. Tahsildar, Chickanayakanahalli taluk, Chickanayakanahalli. 2, Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Chickanayakanahalli taluk. 3. Range Forest Officer, Chickanayakana-halli taluk. Shriyuths :— 4. R. Ramaswamy, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Chickanayakana-halli. 5, C S. Sannasiddaiah, Ex-Municipal Counciller, Chickanayakanahalli. 6. P. Veerabhadrachar s/o Puttachar, Doddamagere Post, Handanakere, Chickanayakanahalli taluk. 7. R. K. Doddaiah, Rajaiahnapalya, Settykere Hobli, Chickanayakanahalli taluk.
7.	Sira taluk.	1. Tahsildar, Sira taluk, Sira. 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Sira taluk. 3. Range Forest Officer, Sira taluk. Shriyuths : 4. M. K. K. Krishnappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Sira taluk. 5. T. Thimmaiah, Korekallahatti Sira taluk (SC) 6. Bhimanaik, Mudugere Kaval, Kasaba Hobli. Sira taluk. 7. D.Balasubramanya, President, Backward Classes, Sira taluk.
8.	Koratagere taluk	1. Taheildar, Koratagere taluk, Koratagere 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Koratagere taluk. 3. Range forest Officer, Koratagere taluk. Shriyuths : 4. B. V. Venkataswamy, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Koratagere taluk.

Notification No. RD 49 MET 79.

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979

In pursuance of sub- rule (4) Section 3 of Mysore Religious and charitable Institutions (Management Rules) 1978, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominates the following persons as members of the Committee of Management of the Institutions formerly known as Mysore Palace Muzarai Institutions, for a period of five years from the date of Publication of this Notification in the *Karnataka Gazette* namely :—

1.	Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore.	...	Chairman
2.	Nominee of Sri Srikanta Datta Narasimharaja Wodeyar, Mysore.	...	Vice-Chairman
3.	Head of Agama Section, Maharaja's Sanskrit College, Mysore.	...	Member
4.	President, Taluk Development Board. Mysore.		do
5.	Gazetted Assistant to Deputy Commissioner, Mysore (Muzarai Matters)	...	Member Secretary
6.	Sri D. Jaya Devaraje Urs, MLA., Mysore Rural Constituency Mysore.	...	Member
7.	Sri B. N. Kempegowda; MLA., Mysore.	...	do
8.	Sri Agaram Rangiah, Editor 'Sadhwi', Mysore.	...	do
9.	Sri Krishnappa. Chairman, Village Panchayat. Chamundi Hills.		do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1628—S. O. 1859

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 504 LLD 79]

Bangalore, dated 16th July 1979.

Whereas, the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the Workmen and the management of Sri Krishna Saw Mills, Wood Works & Timber Depot, Cottonpet Main Road, Bangalore-53 on the points noted below ;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri V. Venkateshaiah, Sales Clerk with effect from 1st July 1977 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ? ".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.
(Labour-A).

1562—S. O. 1860

Order No. SWL 506 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Hear-Well Products, B-31, I. T. I. Ancillary Unit, Mahadevapur, Bangalore-48 on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

"Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri V. Narayana, Assembler ?

II If not, what relief the workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer (Labour 'A' Section),
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

1563—S. O. 1861

Notification No. SWL 116 LLE 79

Bangalore, dated 5th/6th July 1979

In partial modification of Government Notification No. SWL 133 LLE 78 dated 27th October 1977 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 (Central Act 37 of 1970) read with Rules 4 of the Contract Labour (R & A) Karnataka Rules 1974, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Chief Engineer, Karnataka Electricity Board (General), Kaveri Bhavan Bangalore, or his nominee i. e , the Deputy Chief Engineer (Electrical) as Member of the Karnataka State Advisory Contract Labour Board representing Karnataka Electricity Board, with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1538 S.O.—1862

Notification No. SWL 116 LLE 79,
Bangalore, dated 5th/6th July 1979.

In partial modification of Government Notification No. SWL 133 LLE 78 dated 27th October 1977 and in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Contract Labour (Regulation and Abolition) Act, 1970 (Central Act 37 of 1970) read with Rule 4 of the Contract Labour (R & A) Karnataka Rules 1974, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Chief Engineer, Karnataka Electricity Board (General) Kaveri Bhavan Bangalore, or his nominee i.e. the Deputy Chief Engineer (Electrical)as Member of the Karnataka State Advisory Contract Labour Board representing Karnataka Electritcity Board, with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & Labour Department.

1509—S. O. 1863

Order No. SWL 370 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Manohar Khobanna Shahapurkar Powerloom Factory, 2115, Kore Galli, Shahapur, Belgaum on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Narayan Gangaram Kalsgate, represented by the General Secreta y, Shramik Shakti Sanghatana, C/o Jokap Printers, Dr. Shama Prasad Makharjee Road, Belgaum, with effect from 14th October 1978?

II. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1305—S.O 1864

Notification No. SWL 277 LLE 79.

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 7 read with Section 8 of the I. D. Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) and all other Powers enabling it in this behalf the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Sri K. Sanjeeva District and Sessions Judge, Bangalore Rural District, Bangalore as Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore *vice* Sri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore repatriated to his parent Department with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

1512—S O. 1865

Notification No. SWL 272 LLE 79.

Bangalore, dated 6th/9th July 1979.

Whereas in Notification No. SWL 5 LLE 79, dated 20th January 1979 that the Dairy Industry has been declared as Public Utility service in the State of Karnataka for a period of 6 months from 11th January 1979;

And Whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that the period sheuld be extended further, as public utility service.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period and declare the Dairy Industry as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a further period of six months from 11th July 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer.
1531—S. O. 1866 *S. W. & Labour Department. (Lab. A)*

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Harihar Polyfibers, Kumarpatnam P. O. District Dharwar.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. LCH/559/79-80 dated 20th June 1979 from Sri H. L. Narayanagowda, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT, HUBLI.

Reference No. 67/77

Present :

Shri H. L. Narayanagowda, B.A., LL.B.,
Presiding Officer.

Dated 18th June 1979

Claimant :—Sri K. Karisiddappa and 2 others Rept. by the General Secretary, Harihar Polyfibers Employees Union, Kumarpatnam, District : Dharwar.

(By Sri M. C. Narashima).

Respondent :—The Management of Harihar Polyfibers, Kumrpatnam P. O. District : Dharwar.

(By Sri N. M. Hanasi Advocate Hubli).

G. O. No. SWL 1003 LLD 76 dated 10th March 1977.

Judgement & Award.

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the I. D. Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) referring the dispute between Sri K. Karisiddappa and 2 otheers and the Management of Harihar Polyfibers, Kumarpatnam to this Court for adjudication on the following points of dispute.

2 After the reference was received notice wss issued to the claimant to file their claim statement. Afterwards notice was issued to the Respondent to file its written statement. The case was in evidence stage. At this stage Sri N. M. Hanasi learned advocate for the Respondent filed a memo of settlement.

3 In the memo of settlement it is stated that the dispute between the claimants and the Respondent have been amicably settled and all the three claimants are in the employment. In view of this settlement, the reference is rejected. No costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)

H. L. Narayanagowda
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Hubli

Place —Hubli
Date.—18th June 1979

Order No. SWL 220 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated the 20th July 1979.

Where as by State Government Order No. SWL 1003 LLD 76 dated 10th March 1977 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of Harihar Polyfibers, Kumarpatnam P. O. District Dharwar was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
Labour-A.

1589—S. O. 1867

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 40 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 16th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of M/s Empee International Mineral Corporation for a period of1 (one) year for Ruby Corrundum over an area of 420 acres (169.97 Hectares) of land in Kalkunte village, Doddaballapur taluk, Bangalore District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of One year with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government;

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2–50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year;

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957);

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final

map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11 The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Bangalore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

M. G. KRISHNASINGH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department

1074—S. O. 1868

Notification No. CI 179 MPN 79.
Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15 (1) of Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 5 MPN 79, dated 11th January 1979 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Corrundum in respect of the area of 445 acres (180 hectares) of land in Kanakapura village, Pavagada taluk, Tumkur district in favour of M/s Han's Enterprises as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1623—S. O. 1869

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 344 CLS 78

Bangalore dated 4th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of of 1959) the Government of Karnataka her-by nominate Shri Yeswanta Tirakappa, Kattimani of Aremallapur on the Board of directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Ltd., Ranebennur, Dharwar District, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Coopn. Department.

1508—S. O. 1870

Notification No. RDC 351 CLS 78

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Sooieties Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Bhadravathi, Shimoga Ditsrict with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri G. Palaksha s/o Sangappa Arahetholalu via Holehonnur.
2. Sri H. R. Bheemanna s/o Hosamane Rangappa C N Road, Bhadravathi:
3. Sri K L. Lakshmana s/o K. Lakkappa Standard Wine Stores, Bhadravathi.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.

1528—S.O. 1871

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 372 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Graduate Self Employment and Multipurpose Service Co-operative Society, Ltd., Bagalkot on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"1. Whether the management justified in refusing work to Sri C. L. Mantur a Salesman in their branch at Gulgali with effect from 10th August 1978 ?

2. If not, to what relief or reliefs is the workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department

1307—S. O. 1872

Order No SWL 476 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sri M. L. Jagadeesh, Partner, Sri Manjunatha Talkies, Maha Kavi Kalidas Road, Shimoga on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I Is the management justified in not providing work to the following workmen with effect from 27th December 1978 ?

1. Sri K. S. Seetharamasastry, Gate-Keeper
2. Sri Lakkappa, Gate-keeper
3. Sri Nagaraj, Gate-keeper
4. Sri Hemachar, Operator
5. Sri Sheik Ahamad, Supervisor.

II If not, to what other relief(s) the said workmen is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour A).

480—S.O. 1873

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 218 PEG 79.

Bangalore dated 11th July, 1979.

In pursuance of the provision of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946, (25 of 1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering and investigating a Regular Case punishable u/s 161 IPC, 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (a) & (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 and any other offences in relations to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Shri Nagarajaiah, Personnel Branch Clerk, Office of the Inspector of Works, Southern Railway, Mysore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1590—S. O. 1875-A

Notification No. HD 171 TMA 78

Bangalore dated 21st June 1979.

In exercise of powers conferred by Rule 341 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka hereby exempt the tractor-trilor No. MYY 6442-43 belonging to M/s. Thungabhadra Steel Products Limited, P. O. Thungabhadra Dam, from the provisions of Rule 319 of the said rules for purposes of playing it only on the State Highway viz. Hospet-Bellary-Guntakal road for transportation of fabricated materials at various projects in Andhra Pradesh, subject to the following conditions :—

1. The Registered Laden Weight and maximum axle load in laden condition of vehicle should not exceed 22.60 tonnes and 10.163 tonnes respectively.
2. The vehicle will have to be transported by Tungabhadra Steel Products Ltd., at their own risk and responsibility.
3. Government shall not be responsible for any damages to vehicle and its occupents consequent upon movement of the vehicle on the said road.
4. Necessary precautionary measures such as strengthening of culverts and bridges coming along the route and other precautionary measures as directed by Public Works Department authorities should be under taken by Tungabhadra Steel Products Ltd., at their own cost and vehicle should be moved only after the required strengthening is done to the satisfaction of the Executive Engineer in charge.

5. The cost of rectification of damages and reconstructions of any C. D. works and other road structures consequent upon the movement of vehicle will have to be borne by Tungabhadra Steel Products Ltd.

6. The Executive Engineers of Public Works Department in in charge of road shall be informed well in advance the date of movement of vehicle.

7. The maximum speee shall not exceed 30 KMPH on road and 10 KKPH on bridges, C D. works and while negotiating curves, other speed limits and warning should be adhered to wherever stipulated.

8. The permission is valid to the State Highway mentioned above and the vehicle shall not ply on any other State Roads and National Highways in this State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1377—S.O. 1876

Order No. HD 125 PEG 79(i).

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25/1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under Section 120-B r/w 419, 465 and 419, 465 IPC 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (d) of P. C. Act against Shri N. A. Ghouse Mohiddin, Staff No. 506765 and Shri V. Seenappa, Staff No. 507353, who are functioning as sub-staff at the Divisional Office of Life Insurance Corporation of India, Bangalore and any other person/persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. A. SMITH,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

783—S.O. 1877

Order No. HD 125 PEG 79 (ii).

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1979,

In pursuance of the provisions of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25/1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers of jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under Section 409 r/w section 420 IPC and any other offences committed in the course of the same transaction against Sri M. Ramajayam, Personnal Clerk, Works Managers Office, Southern Railway, Ashokapuram, Mysore and any other person/persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. A. SMITH,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

784—S.O. 1878

Order No. HD 125 PEG 79 (iii).

Bangalore, dated 31st March 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25/1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of Offences punishable under section 409 IPC and 5(2) r/w 5(1) (c) of P. C. Act and any other offences committed in the course of the same transaction against Shri Janu P. Karkera while functioning as Head Cashier of the Karkala Branch of State Bank of Mysore during the year 1975 to 1978 and any other person/persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. A. SMITH,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

785—S. O. 1879

Order No. HD 138 PEG 79.

Bangalore, dated 20th April 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25/1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of Delhi Special Police Establishment, Bangalore exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable u/s 420 IPC 468

and 471 IPC and Section 5 (2) r/w 5 (1) (d) of P. C. Act against Shri Pitambaram and Shri P. D. Varghese, Civilian employees of Air Force Station, Jalahalli West, Bangalore-15 and any other person/ persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

892—S. O. .....

Notification No. HD 46 PCR 79.

Bangalore, dated 24th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 6 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants licence to Shri S. Benjamin, Pastor, Grace Church, Kollegal, Mysore District to Solemnise Marriages under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

928—S. O. 1880

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 7 MMD 79.

Bangalore, dated 26th July 1979.

Whereas by Government Notification No. A&F 97 CMD (ii) 60 dated 27th June 1962 issued under sub-section (4) of section 4 of the Bombay Agricultural Produce, Markets Act 1939 (Bombay Act XXII of 1939), the Government of Karnataka directed that Hirekerur Taluk of Dharwar District shall be a market area (hereinafter referred to as the said market area) for the purpose of the said Act in respect of Chillies. Cotton, (Ginned and unginned) Groundnut (shelled and unshelled) Gur, Garlic, Kulthi, Nagali, Castoreseeds, Jower, Paddy (husked and unhusked) Navani and Savi.

And whereas by Government No. DPC 847 CMD 70 dated 12th July 1971 issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act-27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared that the marketing of Cattle, Sheep and Goats, shall be regulated in addition to Chillies, Cotton (Ginned) and Unginned) Groundnut (shelled and unshelled) Gur, Garlic, Kulthi,

Nagali, Catorseeds, Jowar, Paddy (husked and unhusked) Navani and Savi in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Hirekerur.

And whereas by Government Notification No. S. O. 549 (RDC 7 MMD 79 dated 20th February 1979 published in part IV-2C-(ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 1st March 1979 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Prodrce Marketing (Regulation) Act 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared its intention of regulating the marketing of Tobacco (hitherto not regulated) in addition to Agricultural produce already regulated in the said area.

And whereas no objections or suggestions have been received by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in *Karnataka Gazette* the Marketing of Tobacco (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committe, Hirekerur.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
R.D.C. Department.

1637—S.O. 1882

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 107 MMN 79

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. N. G. E. F. Limited for a period of 5 (five) years for silica sand over an area of 1 hectare of land in Talikad village, T. Narasipur Taluk, District Mysore as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions, appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Silica sand only. If other Minerals are found in association with Silica sand they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Silica sand he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the and Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other Lessee as prevalent in Mysore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTAMURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1624—S. O. 1883

Order No. SWL 1118 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 7th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of the Karnataka State Co-operative Land Development Bank Ltd., Bangalore on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in terminating the service of the following employees in its order dated 14th June 1978?

(a) H. T. Puttaswamy Gowda,
(b) N. Rajendra, and
(c) N. Basavaraj.

II. If not, to what relief (s) the said employees are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-'A')

1260—S. O. 1884

Order No. SWL 433 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 19th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Emmiganur Large Sized Co-operative Society, Ltd., Emmiganur, Bellery Taluk and District on the points noted below;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri T. M. Jadaiah S/o Doddaiah, Emmiganur Bellary Taluk and District with effect from 8th January 1979?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1363—S.G. 1885

Order No. SWL 381 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of S. B. Buchanahalli Gadi Karkhane, Shahabazar, Gulbarga on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of the following workers :

(1) Smt. Chowdamma,	with effect from	15-10-1978
(2) Smt. Kamala Bai	do	20-10-1978
(3) Smt. Siddamma	do	25-10-1978
(4) Smt. Subhadra	do	17-12-1978

II. If not, what relief (s) they are entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1308—S.O. 1886

Order No. SWL 459 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 4/5th July 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the Management of Ramesh Hydromach Udyambag, Belgaum on the points noted below;

AND, WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in retrenching Sri Babaj N. Sawant, with effect from 24th January 1979 ?

II. If not, what relief the workman is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1500—S.O. 1887

Order No. SWL 508 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 16th July 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management

of Madapur 'A' Estate, Madapur, Kodagu District,on the points noted below;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I "Are the workmen of Madapur 'A' Estate, Madapur, Kodagu are justified in demanding the bonus at 20 per cent of their wages for the year 1975-76 ?

II. If not, to what quantum of bonus the workers are entitled "?.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department
(Labour 'A')

1565—S. O. 1888

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 17 CHS 79

Bangalore, dated 11th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to nominate Sri Bandappa S/o Sri Bhima Rao, Resident of Chimakhod, Bidar Taluk as Director on the Board of Directors of Karnataka State Co-operative Housing Federation Ltd., Bangalore, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1544—S. O. 1889

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 610 LLD 78

Bangalore, dated 14th/15th May 1979.

Whereas a Dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s. Mysore Press (Private) Limited14/1, North Anjaneya Temple Street, Basavanagudi,, Bangalore-4, was rejected from reference to

Labour Court for adjudication in Government Endorsement No. SWL 273 LLD 78 dated 1st/6th May 1978

Whereas the said workmen have represented on 17th May 1978 to Government, to reconsider its decision and refer the dispute for adjudication ;

And Whereas the parties to the said dispute have been given a detailed hearing on 14th August 1978 and their pleadings have been duly considered and the Government is of the opinion that an Industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the Management above mentioned on the points noted below ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the Services o Sri P. V. Naik with effect from 27th September 1976 ?.

II. If not, to what relief is the said worker is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1072 S. O. 1890

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 112 LCM 79

Bangalore, dated the 21st July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section 8 of section 24 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973 (Central Act. No. 2 of 1974) as amended by the Code of Criminal Procedure (Amendment Act 1978) Sri T. L. Kallaiah, Advocate, Shimoga is hereby appointed as a Spl. Public Prosecutor to conduct prosecution in S. C. No. 6/79 on the file of Session Judge, Shimoga.

Sri. T. L. Kallaiah, Advocate shall be paid his remuneration at Rs. 200/-per day of hearing subject to a maximum of Rs. 2,500-00.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P. SEETHARAMAIAH,
Asst. Solicitor and Ex-Officio
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

1599—S. O. 1891

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 271 LLD 77

Bangalore, dated 31st May/1st June 1979.

Whereas, the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Parvathy Estate, Athur Nullor Post Sunticoppa, Kodagu District on the points noted below ;

And whereas, the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri Ammunni workman with effect from 3rd May 1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief is the said workman entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department
(Labour-'A').

1211—S. O. 1892

Order No. SWL 513 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 6th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of M/s Ashoka Exports,, No. 41, Museum Road, Bangalore, on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication :

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management of M/s Ashoka Exports, No. 41, Museum Road, Bangalore, justified in declaring a lock-out with effect from 14th June 1979 ?

If so, to what relief or reliefs are the affected workmen entitled ?"

II. Are the workmen of the Ashoka Exports, No. 41, Museum Road, Bengalore, justified in demanding wages for the period of lock-out which is in existence from 14th June 1979 ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
(Labour-A).

1514—S.O. 1893

Order No. SWL 428 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 11th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s Quard Hitlow Group of Estates, Koppa-Kadur Post, Chickmagalur District on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the, Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the Management justified in withdrawing the powers of Sri A. T. Muthaiah with effect from January 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
(Labour 'A')

1540—S. O. 1894

AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 325 AML 79.

Bangalore, dated 17th July 1979

Whereas it appears to the Government of Karnataka that the Pests Hairy Caterpillar are injurious to Groundnut and other crops in all the Taluks of Bijapur, Belgaum, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga and Kolar Districts and it is necessary to take measures to eradicate the pests and to prevent its spread and reappearance ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 3 and 17 of the Karnataka Agricultural Pests and Diseases Act, 1968 (Karnataka Act I of 1969) (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), Bijapur, Belgaum, Shimoga, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga, Shimoga and Kolar Districts to be affected area (hereinafter referred to as affected area) for a period of six months from the date of publication of this Notification in the Official Gazette and with reference to the said affected area Government of Karntaka hereby—

(a) declare that the pests Hairy Caterpillars are the pests for the purpose of the said Act ;

(b) direct that the preventive and remedial measures specified in the Schedule 'A' below shall be carried out to eradicate, destroy and prevent the spread and re-appearance of the said pests ; and

(c) appoint the officers specified in column (2) of the Schedule 'B' to be Inspecting Officers for the purpose of exercising the power and discharging the duties of an Inspecting Officer, under the said Act, in the Area specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) thereof.

SCHEDULE 'A'

Using Insecticides as per recommendations of the Departmen of Agriculture.

SCHEDULE 'B'

Sl. No.	Officers	Area
1	2	3
1.	Principal Agricultural Officers/Deputy Directors of Agriculture, Assistant Directors of Agriculture (PP) of Bijapur, Belgaum, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga, Shimoga Districts and Deputy Director of Agriculture and Agricultural Officer (PP) of Kolar District.	Whole of their respective Districts.
2.	Assistant Directors of Agriculture of all the Taluks and Agricultural Officers (PP) of all the cluster taluks of Bijapur, Belgaum, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga, Shimoga Districts and Assistant Directors of Agriculture and Assistant Agricultural Officers (PP) of Chickballapur and Mulbagal Sub-Divisions of Kolar District.	In their respective taluks and sub-Division respectively.

1	2	3
3.	All the Block Development Officers of all the Taluks of Bijapur, Belgaum, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga, Shimoga and Kolar Districts.	In their respective Blocks or Taluks.
4.	Agricultural Extension Officers/Assistant Agricultural Officers of all the Blocks/Taluks of Bijapur Belgaum, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga Shimoga and Kolar Districts.	In their respective Blocks or Taluks.
5.	All the Agricultural Assistants (Gramasevaks) of all the Blocks/Taluks of Bijapur, Belgaum, Bellary, Raichur, Chitradurga, Shimoga and Kolar Districts.	In their respective areas/ circles of respective Districts.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. RAM,

1566—S.O. 1895 *Under Secretary to Government, Agriculture & A. H. Department.*

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 117 FGL 79

Bangalore, dated 29th June 1979:

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in Schedule below, which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 5134-B dated 23rd July 1901 issued by the Former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the 1st day of July 1979 cease to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S.No.	Area		Boundaries
Uttar Kannada	Kumta	Yettinbail*	42A	A.G.A. 1-6-8	North East South	F.S.No. 42A
					West	S.No. 42B

*Also called as Yetinbyle.

Remarks : Area disforested is amalgamated in S. No. 42-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 42-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1450—S. O. 1896

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 106 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 12th July 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby accepts the resignation of Sri A. B. Patil, High Court Government Pleader attached to the State Public Prosecutor, with effect from 1st July 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.
(Administration)

1552—S. O. 1897

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 402 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 20th July 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Ms, Chitralekha Talkies, Bidar on the points noted below :

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act. 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management is justified in refusing employment to Sri Mohd. Khaja Miyan, Painter with effect from 19th October 1978.

II. If, not what other relief the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1602—S. O. 1898

Order No. SWL 521 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 20th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of A.C.C. Vickars Bobcock Ltd., Shahabad Works, Shahabad on the points noted below :

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (d) of sub-section, (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Whether the I.T.I. Trained and General Workers Union (INTUC) (AVB), Shahabad is justified in demanding bonus to the workmen at the rate of 20% and an ad hoc of 10% on the wages earned by the workmen for the year 1977-78 against the Management of AVB Ltd., Shahabad Works, Shahabad ?

II. If not to what other quantum of benefits they are entitled ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & Labour Department.

1604—S. O. 1899

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 37 TRM 78.

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the said villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1977-78 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 27,743-96 payable for the year 1977-78 in respect of the lands in 9 (Nine) villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Sorab taluk of Shimoga district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	ANAVATTI HOBLI	Rs. Ps.
1	Bharangi ...	6,325-03
2	Jogihalli ...	1,381-50
3	Yelavala ...	1,767-98
4	Bennigere ...	2,881-28
5	Koppadalu ...	286-27
6	Gummanalu ...	1,319-85
7	Gangavalli ...	1,605-70
8	Ginivala ...	2,929-27
9	Moodidoddikoppa ...	9,247-08
	Grand Total Rs.	27,743-96

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1621—S. O. 1900

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 99 MNL 79

Bangalore, dated 24th July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 100 of the Karnataka Municipal Corporations Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 14 of 1977), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint Sri A. S. Melkote, I.A.S. Administrator, Tungabhadra Project, Munirabad, whose services are placed at the disposal of the Housing and Urban Development Department in Notification No. DPAR 266 SAS 79 dated 19th July 1979, as Administrator to the Corporation of the City of Bangalore, with immediate effect in place of Sri T. J. Ramakrishnan, I. A S Divisional Commissioner, Bangalore Division, Bangalore, who is relieved of his additional charge.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. MOHANDAS MOSES,
Commissioner and Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1622—S. O. 1901

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 18 BFC 79.

Bangalore, dated 13th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (a) of Section 10 of the State Financial Corporations Act, 1951 (LXIII of 1951), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Shri B. S. Hanuman, Chairman and Managing Director, Karnataka State Industrial Investment and Development Corporation Limited, as a Director on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Financial Corporation, in place of Shri S. B. Muddappa.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. SENGUPTA,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Finance Department (Budget and Resources)

1619—S.O. 1902

Notification No. FD 68 CAX 79

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (g) of Section 12 of Karnataka Agricultural Income Tax Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby approve "St. Aloysius

College, Mangalore" for the purposes of the said section for the year 1979-80, provided that the sum received as donation is more than Rupees Two Hundred and fifty.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. S. JAGIRDAR,
Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1620—S. O. 1903

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 98 LGP 78 (1)
Bangalore dated 2nd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rules (1) and (2) of rule 24 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes Consultative Committees for each of the Taluks in the District of Mysore, specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, consisting of the official and non-official members for the period ending September 1979 and nominates the official and non-official members specified in column (3) thereof as Members of the said Consultative Committee.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of the Taluk	Names of Members
1	2	3
1.	Mysore taluk.	*Shri/uths* : 1 Tahasildar, Mysore Taluk, Mysore. 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Mysore. 3 Range Forest Officer, Mysore. 4 Puttegowda, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Kadakola village, Mysore taluk. 5 Siddaiah, Social Worker, Mandakally, Mysore, taluk. 6 N. Jayaram, S/o Neelegowda, Kullahally, Jayapura Hobli, Mysore taluk. 7 Shivanna, Social Worker, Vajamangala, Mysore taluk.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths:
2.	Nanjangud taluk.	1 Tahsildar, Nanjanagud taluk, Nanjangud. 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Nanjangud. 3 Range Forest Officer, Nanjangud. 4 H. S. Ranganna, No. 11, Jayalakshmipuram, Gokulam Road, Mysore-2. 5 T. S. Nagaraja, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Nanjangud Taluk. 6 Marisaamy, Taluk Development Board Member, Horalavadi, Nanjangud Taluk. 7 K. Shivarudrappa, Village Panchayat Chairman, Kasavanahally Nanjangud Taluk.
3.	K. R. Nagar taluk.	1 Tahsildar, K. R. Nagar taluk, K. R. Nagar 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, K. R Nagar. 3 Range Forest Officer K. R. Nagar 4 G. K. Shivanna Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, K. R. Nagar. 5 Abdulla Shifakhi, Honnenahally, Saligrama Hobli, K. R. Nagar taluk. 6 Shivanna, Social Worker, (SC) Anjaneya Block, K. R Nagar. 7 Gopalakrishna Secretary, Krishi Karmika Samithi, Chandagal, K. R. Nagar taluk.
4.	Chamarajanagar taluk	1. Tahsildar Chamarajanagar taluk, Chamrajanagar. 2. Assistant Executive Engineer Public Works Department Chamarajanagar. 3. Range Forest Officer, Chamarajanagar.

1	2	3
		Sriyuths : 4. H. R. Venkataranga Naik, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Chamarajanagar taluk. 5. Dasa Setty, Naganally, Chamarajanagar taluk. 6. Washid, Ramasamudra, Chamarajanagar. 7. P. Nanjaiah, Honganur, Chamarajanagar taluk.
5. Yelandur taluk.	1 Tahsildar, Yelandur taluk, Yelandur 2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Yelandur. 3. Range Forest Officer. Yelandur. 4. N Hombalaiah, Vice-President Taluk Development Board, Yelandur taluk. 5. Y S. Javaraya Setty, Member, Taluk Development Board, Yeriyur Yelandur taluk. 6. Linganayaka, Ex Chairman, Village Panchayat, Maddur, Yelandur taluk. 7. Puttabasappa, Dugatti, Yelandur taluk
6. T. Narasipur taluk	1 Taheildar, T. Narasipur taluk, T. Narasipur. 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, T. Narasipur. 3 Range Forest Officer, T. Narasipur. 4 C. Ramesh, B.A., LL.B., Gopalapura T. Narsipur taluk. 5 C. Garumalliappa, Vice-President Taluk Development Board, T. Narasipur taluk. 6 M. Gopinath, S/o Marigowda, Kyamballi, T. Narasipur taluk.

1	2	3
		Sriyuths :—
		7 Chandrasekhar, S/o Gurusidda Naika,. Kaliyur, T. Narasipur taluk.
7	Kollegal taluk	1 Tahsildar, Kollegal taluk Kollegal
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Kollegal.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Kollegal.
		4 B. K. Nazir Ahmed, Vice-president Taluk Development Board, Kollogal.
		5 A. Nanjegowda, Member, Taluk Development Board, Lokkanahalli, Kollegal taluk.
		6 C. Nagappa Setty, S/o Parthamade Setty, Ex-Taluk Development Board Member, Kurahatty Hosur, Kollegal taluk.
8.	Heggadadevanakote taluk.	1 Tahsildar, Heggadadevanakote taluk, Heggadadevanakote.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Heggadadevanakote.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Heggadadevanakote.
		4 Chikkanna. Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Heggadadevanakote taluk.
		5 Jagannath, Kyathanahally, Heggadadevanakote taluk.
		6 H. P. Lingaiah, Social Worker, Heggadadevanakote.
		7 Abdul Salam, Saragur Town, Heggadadevanakote taluk.
9.	Gundlupet taluk	1. Tahsildar, Gundlupet taluk, Gundlupet.
		2. Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Gundlupet.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Gundlupet.
		4 H. D. Narayanaswamy, President, Taluk Developmen Board, Gundlupet taluk.

1	2		3
			Sriyuths :—
		5	S. Govindaswamy, Gundlupet.
		6	M. Mada Naika, Ex-Municipal President, Gundlupet.
		7	Siddaraju M. A. Gundlupet.
10.	Periyapatna taluk	... 1	Tahsildar, Periyapatna taluk, Periyapatna.
		2	Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Periyapatna.
		3	Range Forest Officer, Periyapatna.
		4	B. K. Siddegowda, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Periyapatna.
		5	H. Sannappa, B.SC., LL.B., Panchavalli, Periyapatna taluk.
		6	K. M. Subbaraya s/o Motaiah. Doddahosur, Kushalnagar PO, periyapatna taluk.
		7	T. Devaraj Urs, Bettada Junga Periyapatna taluk.
11	Hunsur taluk	1	Tahsildar, Hunsur Taluk, Hunsur.
		2	Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Hunsur.
		3	Range Forest Officer, Hunsur.
		4	K. S. Nagaraj B.A,, Kattemalalvadi, Hunsur taluk.
		5	B. P. Rasaiah, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hunsur taluk.
		6	K. T. Veerabhadrappa, Village Panchayat Chairman, Kattemalaldvadi, Hunsur taluk.
		7	S. Gundu Rao, s/o Subba Rao Nellurpala PO, Hunsur taluk.

The Tahsildars of the Taluks shall be the Chairman and Convenor of the respective Consultative Committee.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. MALLAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1027—S. O. 1904

Notification No RD 355 MVS 79

Bangalore dated 27th July 1979

In exercise of powers conferred by Rule 5 (1) of the Karnataka General Services (Revenue Suboradinate Branch) Village Accountanats (Recruitment) Amendment) Rules, 1979, (as published in Notification No. RD 427 MVS 78 dated 20th February 1979), the Govt. of Karnataka hereby constitute a Selection Committee for recruitment to the posts of Village Accountants in Hassan District with the follwing official and non-official members:

(i) Deputy Commissioner, Hassan District.		Chairman
(ii) Headquarters Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner. Hassan District.	...	Member-Secretary
(iii) Sri C. S. Rajappa, Member, Taluk Development Board, Holenarasipur.	...	Member
(iv) Sri K. Syed Hafeez, Municipal Councillor, Sakaleshpur, Hassan District	...	Member
(v) Sri Chikkarudrappa, Member, Taluk Development Board, Gandsi, Hassan Dist.	...	Member

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1646—S.O. 1908

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Order o. LAW 131 LGR 79

Bangalore, dated 26th July, 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 8 of the Karnataka Legislature Salaries, Pensions and Allowances Act, 1956 (Karnataka Act 2 of 1957), the Governor of Karnataka hereby determines that Sri. S. Shivappa, Chairman, Legislative Council shall, for the period of his foreign tour to Bahamas and visit to other countries enroute including Iran, European countries, United States, Japan and Hong Kong to attend the 250th Anniversary of Parliament between 25th August 1979 and 8th October 1979 be entitled to an advance of Rs. 57,000 (Rupees Fifty Seven Thousand only towards the travelling and daily allowances and other expenses subject to adjustment in the regular travelling allowance bill.

GOVIND NARAIN,

Governor of Karnataka,

By Order and in the name the of Governor of Karnataka,

A. NEELAKANTARYA

Under Secretary to Government,
Deptt. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

1633 S.O. 1909

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 15 TRM 79.

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1972-73 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the Land Revenue of Rs. 59,967-74 payable for the year 1972-73 in respect of the lands in 47 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Kudligi taluk of Bellary district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Kudligi Firka Circle		
1.	Verlahalli	...	2,408-17
2.	Upparagatta	...	942-49
3.	Madur	...	1,163-62
4.	Dasmapur	...	1,941-83
5.	Kalliballi	...	1,058-38
6.	Boppalapur	...	988-10
7.	Moraba	...	1,631-77
8.	Kakkuppi	...	1,067-46
9.	Survanahalli	...	272 94
10.	A. D. Gudda	...	967-05
11.	Gundinahole	...	474-04
	Kottur Firka Circle		
12.	Ulavatthi.	...	1,197-06
13.	Doopadahalli	...	1,980-62
14.	Hirevedderahalli	...	1,569-34
15.	Gajapura	...	1.712-90
16.	Kalapura	...	2,314-71

1	2		3
17.	Hampapura	...	698-27
18.	Chiribi	...	1,299-21
	Hosahalli Firka Circle		
19.	K. M. Halli	...	1,605-61
20.	Kallahalli	...	900-16
21.	B. T. Gudde	...	1,007-51
22.	Lokikere	...	866-20
23.	Nimbalgeri	...	2,064-21
	Gudekota Firka Circle		
24.	Gundumunuga	...	2,045-61
25.	Sidegal	...	640-65
26.	Makanaduku	...	1,692-05
27.	Yerralinganahalli	...	838-07
28.	Halasagara	...	1,217-34
29.	G. B. Halli		1,367-94
30.	Yekkigunda	...	2,627-88
31.	Urdihalli	...	822-70
32.	Gudekota	...	2,270-07
33.	Ramadurga	...	1,855-99
34.	Hurlihal	...	1,829-49
35.	Jermali	...	738-23
36.	Kadekolla	...	1,964-55
37.	M. B. Iyyanahalli	...	770-76
38.	Kombihalli	...	3-19
39.	Chirthagundu	...	740-28
40.	Kasapura	...	2,171-63
41.	Kumathi	...	1,234-97
42.	Meenakeri	...	1,133-17
43.	Appaianahalli	...	1,036-73
44.	Belligatti	...	1,352-72
45.	Haravadi	...	595-72
46.	Hulikunta	...	532-73
47.	Kuderaduru	...	338-62
		Grand Total	Rs. 59,967-74

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

A. S. PATIL,

I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1631—S.O. 1910

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 309 LLE 79
Bangalore, dated 28th July 1979.

Whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requiers that the Sugar Industry in the State of Karnataka be declared as public utility service.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare the Sugar Industry as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from the date of issue of this notification.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
Labour-A.

1647—S. O. 1914

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 110 AQY 78.
Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of Section 3 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 (Central Act 1 of 1894) as amended by the Land Acquisition (Karnataka Extension and Amendment) Act 1961 (Karnataka Act 17 of 1961 the Government of Karnataka hereby Specially appoint the Special Land Acquisition Officer, Mandya to perform the function of the Assistant Commissioner, Mandya with regard to the disposal of pending cases both under general acquisition as well as on Land Acquisition cases under the House Site Act 1972 and fresh cases that are to be takenup.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SIDDAIAH
Desk Officer,
Revenue Departmnet.

867—S. O. 1915

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 25 LWA 78.
Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (f) of sub-section (2) of Section 7 read with section 12 of the Payment of Wages Act, 1936 (Central Act 4 of 1936), as amended by the Payment of Wages

(Karnataka Amendment) Act, 1952, the Government of Karnataka hereby specially authorise the deduction by the management of M/s International Instruments Pvt., Ltd. No. 140, Hosur Road, Bangalore -560034, from the wages of its employees towards recovery of bicycle purchase advance by the said management made to them subject to the condition that the deduction is effected only with the written authorisation of the employees concerned, that the total deductions do not exceed 50 per cent of the gross pay of the employees for any month and that the total deductions in respect of the vehicle advance do not also exceed the amount advanced by the management for the purpose.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. T. SATYANARAYANA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

868—S O. 1916

AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 120 AGC 79.

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

Under Article 123 (i) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka State Seeds Corporation Limited, Goverment of Karnataka are pleased to appoint Sri S. V. Rang nath Deputy Secretary to Government, Agriculture & Animal Husbandry Department, as a Director on the Board of Directors of Karnataka State Seeds Corporation Limited, in place of Sri V. K. Gore, Deputy Secretary to Government, Agriculture & Animal Husbandry Department, since transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

L. NAGARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agri. & Animal Husbandry Deptt.

880—S.O. 1917

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 94 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 28th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicle belonging to Sri K. Balakrishna, Prop: Sri Rama Bus Service, Bangalore-2, described in the schedule below can be used as transport vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicle from the

provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plying it within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicle should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis Number	Engine Number	Wheel Make	Wheel base	Wheel Overhang
1.	ALB 102892	ALI 83157	Leyland Chettah	210"	60%

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

964—S.O. 1918

AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 209 KDC 78
Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979

In supersession of Notification No. AAH 41 KDC (1) 77 dated 15th October 1977 and in accordance with the bye-laws No. 23(a) and 23(e) of the Bye-laws of the Co-operative Milk Producers' Union, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominates the following persons on the First Board of Directors of the Hassan Co-operative Milk Producers Union Limited Hassan:—

(A) **Representatives of 'A' Class Societies, holding office of President:—**

Sriyuths — **PRESIDENT**

1. K H Hanume Gowda, M. L. A., Madenur Milk Producers Co-operative Society, Madenur, Hassan. Taluk.
2. M. Nanje Gowda, M. L. A., Malanahalli Milk Producers Co-operative Society, Malanahalli, Hassan Taluk.
3. Nanje Gowda, Milk Dairy Co-operative Society, Yechanahally, Channarayapatna Taluk.
4. Ningappa, Hariharpur Milk Producers Co-operative Society Hariharpur, Holenarasipur Taluk.
5. Shanthamallappa, Milk Dairy Co-operative Society, Besur Coorg District.
6. B. R. Nanjundegouda, Bellur Milk Producers Co-operative Society, Bellur, Alur Taluk.
7. Kariyappa, Nagarahalli Milk Producer's Co-operative Society, Nagarahalli, Arasikere Taluk.

8. Raje Gowda, Milk Dairy Co-operative Society, Kanchana halli, Hassan Taluk.
9. N. Rangappa, Yeliyur Milk Producer's Co-operative Society Yeliyur, Channarayapatna Taluk.
10. Shivananjegouda, Bogurhalli Koppalu Milk Producers' Co-operative Society, Hassan Taluk.
11. A. B. Nagendrayya, Adagur Milk Producers' Co-operative Society, Adagur, Belur Taluk.
12. A. P. Rame Gowda, Milk Dairy Co-operative Society, Aladahalli, Arakalgud Taluk.

(*B*) *Nominees of Government* :—

1. Managing Director of the Union.
2. Registrar of Co-operative Societies in Karnataka, Bangalore or his nominees
3. Director of Animal Husbandry & Veterinary Services or his nominee.

(*C*) *Representatives of the Karnataka Dairy Development Corporation* :—

Two representatives of the Karnataka Dairy Development Corporation, of whom one shall necessarily be a Technical Expert.

(*D*) *Representative of the Financing Agency* :—

One Representative of the Syndicate Bank, Hassan.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. BHAGWAT,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agriculture & A. H. Department.

1543—S. O. 1919

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 75 MMN 79
Bangalore, dated 28th July 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and as per the directions of the Government of India vide their letter No. 1/199/79 MIV dated 3rd April 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Smt. B. S. Vijayalakshmi w/o Ravindranath for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Fuchsite Quartzite over an area of 139 acres (56.25 hectares) of land in Karadihalli Kaval village, Chickmagalur taluk Chickmagalur district, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Fuchsite, Quartzite only. If other Minerals are found in association with Fuchsite, Quartzite they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Fuchsite, Quartzite she should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rule 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other lessee as prevalent in Chickmagalur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1650—S. O. 1920

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 18 FDP 79

Bangalore, dated 7th March 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 100 of the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of the Mysore Match Co., Ltd., Shimoga, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri R. R. Parvathikar, Chief Conservator of Forests (General), Bangalore as one of the Government Directors on the Board of Directors of the Mysore Match Co., Ltd,. Shimoga.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 100 of the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association, Government also appoints Sri R. R. Parvathikar as Chairman of the Mysore Match Co., Ltd., Shimoga.

Under Article 124 of the aforesaid Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association Sri R. R. Parvathikar shall be the Managing Director of the Mysore Match Co., Ltd., Shimoga.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

593—S.O. 1921

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 250 CLS 78(i)

Bangalore, dated 26th July 1979

The nomination of the following persons made in Notification No. RDC 340 CLS 77 dated 26th December 1977 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Co-operative Land Development Bank, Ltd., Gundlupet Mysore District are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri T. N. Shahul Hameed, Ex-Municipal President, Gundlupet
2. Sri C. K. Nagaiah S/o Kempaiah, President, D.C. Hostel Gundlupet.
3. Shri Honakaranaik S/o Doddasiddanaik, Balachalavadi, Gundlupet.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1651—S. O. 1922

Notification No. RDC 250 CLS 78 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 26th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Gundlupet, Mysore District with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri M. P. Kushegowda, Landlord, Mukahalli Hundi, Gundlupet Taluk
2. Sri H. S. Nanjappa, s/o Subbappa, Hangala Village Gundlupet Taluk
3. Sri Belliseetty, Bannithalapura, Gundlupet Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1652—S. O. 1923

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 134 TMI 78

Bangalore, dated2nd/4th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 16 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Taxation Act 1957) Act No, 35 of 1957) the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts from payment of tax in respect of ten vehicles of Andhra Pradesh Road Transport Corporation operated on the route Tirupati-Bangalore and *Vice Versa* under temporary permits duriug the period from 2nd October 1978 to 12th October 1978 on singls point tax on reciprocal basis in connection with the Brahmot sava Festival held at Triumslai-Tirupati.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

995—S.O. 1924

Notification No. HD 56 PCR 79,

Bangalore, dated the 25th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Indian Christian Marriage Act 1872 (Central Act 15, 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Rev. David Gilmand Lowarance No. 122 Chickbazaar, Road, Shivjinagar, Bangalore authorising him to grant certificate of Marriage to Indian Christians under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1627—S. O. 1925

Notification No. HD 80 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 17th April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the Motor Vehicle belonging to Sri M. K. Moldoo, N. R. Road, Bangalore, 2, described in the scheule below can

be used as transport vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plying it within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicle should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	Wheel base	Overhang
1.	ALA 98145	ALI-74085	Leyland viking.	210"	56%

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

869—S.O. 1926

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publications, at the Govt. Press, B'lore.

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 206 TMA 78

Bangalore, dated 28th April 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicles belonging to Sri P. Narayan Rao, Bangalore-2, described in the Schedule below can be used as transport Vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plying it within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicle should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	Wheel base	Overhang
1	ALB 104362	ALI-84627	Leyland Cheetah	210"	60%

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

965—S.O. 1927

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 169 LLE 78.

Bangalore, dated 4th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 33(c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) and in supersession of all the notifications issued in this behalf, the Government of Karnataka hereby specifies the Labour Courts mentioned in column (1) of the Table below, for purposes of the said

said sub-section and each of them shall exercise jurisdiction over the Revenue Districts mentioned in the corresponding entries in column (2) thereof namely :

TABLE

Labour Court,	Jurisdiction
(1)	(2)
Labour Court, Bangalore	Bangalore
Additional Labour Court, Bangalore	(i) Chitradurga
	(ii) Tumkur
	(iii) Kolar
	(iv) Bellary
Second Additional Labour Court, Bangalore	Bangalore
Labour Court, Hubli	(i) Belgaum
	(ii) Dharwad
	(iii) Uttara Kannada
	(iv) Gulbarga
	(v) Raichur
	(vi) Bidar
	(vii) Bijapur
Labour Court, Mangalore	(i) Dakshina Kannada
	(ii) Chickmagalur
	(iii) Shimoga
Labour Court, Mysore	(i) Mysore
	(ii) Mandya
	(iii) Hassan
	(iv) Kodagu

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,

I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

994—S.O.1928

Order No. SWL 523 LLD 79.

Bangalore, dated 30th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Rotara Seva Sahakara Sangha Niyamita Sanganakal Post, Bellary District on the points noted below :

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication:

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Addl. Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Are the management justified in terminating the services of Shri K. Gundesh with effect from 31st August 1978 ?

II. If not, to what other relief(s) the said workman is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1656—S.O. 1929

Order No. SWL 363 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 22nd/23rd May 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Malavalli Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing and Processing Society Ltd., Malavalli on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mysore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Srl N. Ramaiah, II Division Clerk, and Sri H. M. Krishna, Driver with effect from 20th April 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the above said workers are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour 'A' Section)

1156—S.O. 1980

Notification No. SWL 239 LLE 79
Bangalore dated 14th June 1979.

Whereas in Notification No. SWL 293 LLE 79 dated 12/14th December 1978 Graphite Industry has been declared as Public Utility Service in the State of Karnataka for a period of 6 months from 12th/14th December 1978;

And whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that the period should be extend further as public utility service;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period and declare the Graphite Industry as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a further period of six months from 14th June 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare & Labour Department.
(Labour-A).

1811—S. O. 1931

Order No. SWL 513 LLD 79(I)
Bangalore, dated the 6th July 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) have referred the dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s Ashoka Exports, No. 41 Museum Road, Bangalore to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

AND WHEREAS, a Lockout in connection with the aforesaid dispute was in existence on the date of the said reference and is being continued.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby prohibit the continuance of the Lockout by the Management of M/s Ashoka Exports, No. 14 Museum Road, Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
(Labour 'A')

1515—S. O. 1932

Order No. SWL 198 LLA 79,
Bangalore, dated 2nd/7th July 1979

Whereas before the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore a complaint was made under Section 33-A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), by Sri. G.

Penchalaiah, Sweeper, Krishna Fabrications Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, c/o T. Thimmaiah, D. No. 93, 13th Cross Main Road, Gayathrinagar, Bangalore-560021 ;

And, whereas the said Additional Labour Court, adjudicated upon the said complaint and submitted its award to the State Government as required under Section 15 read with Section 33-A of the said Act.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs the publication of the said award in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,

Desk Officer, (Labour 'A'),
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1529—S.O. 1933

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated 14th day of June 1979

PRESENT

Shri R. S. Nayak, B.A., LL. B.,
Presiding Officer,

Complaint Application No. 3 of 1979

Complainant :—

G. Penchalaiah, Sweeper, Krishna Fabrications Pvt. Ltd., Bangalore, c/o T. Thimmaiah, D. No. 93, 13th Cross Main Road, Gayathrinagar, Bangalore-560021.

Vs.

Respondent :—

The Management of Krishna Fabrications Pvt., Ltd., Peenya, Bangalore-560058.

AWARD

1. This is a complaint application under Section 33 A of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 alleging that the respondent has contravaned the provisions of section 33 of the said Act during the pendency of Reference No. 2 of 1979 on the file of the court. In brief, the complainant worker alleges that during the pendency of a reference No. 2 of 1979, on the file of this court, the opposite party management has unjustifiably and unlawfully terminated his services and as such he has prayed for the relief of his reinstatement with back wages.

2. This case is duly registered in the above noted case number and the case is posted for finding the counter statement of the opposite party management. At this stage, a joint memo has been filed reporting that the opposit party management has offered to reinstate the complainant without back wages being paid and that the complainant has accepted the said officer of the opposite party and further reporting that the complainant willingly and voluntarily gives up claims for back wages. The terms and conditions mentioned in this joint memo are fair and beneficial to both the parties and this court has accepted the same.

3. In the result, the following award is passed in pursuance of the terms of the compromise mentioned in the joint memo referred to above.

AWARD

4. The opposite party management is hereby directed to reinstate the complainant forth with without any back wages being paid. The joint memo be annexed to this award since its terms and conditions form part of this award. There will be no order as to costs.

R. S. NAYAK,
Presiding Officer
Additional Labour Court,
Bangalore

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

C. A. 3 of 1979.

In Ref. No. 2 of 1979

Between :—

1. G. Penchalaiah,
Sweeper, — *Complainant*

and

2. The Management,
Krishna Fabrications Pvt., Ltd.,
Bangalore-58. — *Opposii Party*

MEMO

The complainant after having gone through the memo filed by the opposite party dated 30th March 1979 before this Hon'ble Court regarding the offer for reinstatement of the complainant in service by the Qpposite party, on the conditions that the complainant will not be paid back wages, is agreed to as follows.

The complainant hereby accept the offer of the Opposite party without prejudice to his contentions raised in his complaint under reference No. CA 3 of 1979 and willfully give up the claims for back wages and accept the offer of the opposite party to join duties.

Wherefore an order to the above effect may kindly be passed by this Hon'ble Court in C. A. No. 3 of 1979.

Sd/-.
For Complainant

Bangalore
Dt. 7-4-1979/14-6

No. objection
sd/- for KFP Ltd.

Notification No. SWL 183 LLE 79
Bangalore, dated 22nd/23rd May 1979.

Whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that Passenger Transport Service in the State of Karnataka be declared as public utility service.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby declare the Passenger transport Service as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from the date of issue of this Notification.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1153—S O. 1934

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. Cl 113 MMN 79.
Bangalore, dated 4th July 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka, hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri Bhagwandas Tapadiya for a period of 20 (Twenty) years for Limestone over an area of 43.37 acres (17.77 hectares) of land in Sedam Village, Sedum taluk, Gulbarga district as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Limestone only. If other Minerals are found in association with Limestone they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals alongwith Limestone he should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease ;

1st year of the lease	...	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	...	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of lease	...	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India ;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 ;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rule 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the Ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the leased area covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Mining leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed ;

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Gulbarga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1496—R.O. 1935

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 101 CLS 79

Bangalore, dated 27th July 1979.

The nomination of following persons made in Notification No. RDC 101 CLS 79(ii), dated 28th March 1979, on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Srirangapatna, Mandya District is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri S. Siddegowda Patel, Mahadevapura, Kasaba Hobli, Srirangapatna Taluk.
2. Sri R. P. Nagendra, Rampura, K. Shettihalli Hobli.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devpt. and Co-opn. Department.

1666—S. O. 1936

Notification No. RDC 251 CLS 78 (ii)

Bangalore, dated the 25th July 1979

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following three persons on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Virajapet, Kodagu District, with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri V. Sharanu Pillai, Thithimathi.
2. Sri C. P. Ramachandra, Perambetta Eastate, Pollibetta.
3. Sri T. A. Madurai Shetty, Madikeri.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESHWARAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1665—S. O. 1937

Notification No. RDC 251 CLS 78 (i)

Bangalore, dated the 25th July 1979.

The nomination of the following persons made in Notification No. RDC 233 CLS 77 dated 8th October 1977 and RDC 151 CLS 76 dated 15th April 1977 on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank, Ltd., Virajpet, Coorg District is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri M. K. Devaiah, Kolathodubygodu village, Hathoor Post, S. Kodagu.
2. Sri C. B. Aiyappa, Proprietor, Jayalaksmi Travels, Virajpet.
3. Sri B. S. Satya Murthy, Hudoor village, Ponnampet Post.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. FARAMESHWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1664—S. O. 1938

Notification No. RDC 27 CPS 79
Bangalore dated 10th May, 1979.

The nomination of Sri Venkataramaiah (Harijan) Amruthur, Kunigal-Taluk, Tumkur District made in Government Notification No. RDC 65 CPS 76 dated 7th April 1977 on the Board of Directors of TAPCMS Ltd, Kunigal, as Government nominee, is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-operation Department.

1055—S. O. 1939

Notification No. RDC 27 CPS 79(i)
Bangalore, dated 10th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies (Amendment) Act, 1975 (Karnataka Act No. 39 of 1976) Government are pleased to nominate Sri Yellaiah, Gunnagara Village, Kothagere Hobli, Kunigal Taluk Tumkur District on the Board of Directors of the Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative Marketing Society Ltd., KUNIGAL, Dist, Tumkur, as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MAHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Dett. & Co opn. Deptt.

1042—S. O. 1940

HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HFW.68 PTD 79

Bangalore dated 17th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 16 of the Karnataka Homoeopathic Practitioners Act 1961 (Karnataka Act 35 of 1961), the Government of Karnataka hereby constitute a court of examiners for the purpose of the said section with effect from 8th July 1979 consisting of :—

1.	Director of Indian Systems of Medicine and Homoeopathy, Bangllore	Chairman
2.	Dr. K. E. Peters, No. 11, Infantry Road Bangalore	Member
3.	Dr. A. B. Malaka Reddy, MLC., Behind District Court, Gulbarga–2	
4.	Dr. A. Y. Srinivasan, No. 40, 7th Cross, Swimming Pool Extension, Malleswaram, Bangalore–3	
5.	Dr. V. T. D'Souza, Father Muller's Hospital, Mangalore	,,
6.	Dr. K. Mallikarjuna Rao, No. 3336, Gondal galli, Belgaum–2	,,
7.	Dr. (Mrs.) Adikey Radhika, No 15/6/B, Cambridge Road, Ulsoor, Bangalore–8	

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. H. GOVINDA BHAT,
Under Secretary to Government,
Health and Family Welfare Department.

1592—S.O.1941

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 23 MNI 79.

Bangalore, dated 28th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 14 of the Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act No. 36 of 1964). the Government of Karnataka hereby reconstitute the consultative committee with the following persons as members for a period of two years with immediate effect:

Sriyuths :

1.	K. G. Katwey, Chairman, Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board, Bangalore	Member.
2.	M. K. Venkateshan, Commissioner and Secy. to Government, Finance Department.	

3. J. Alexander, Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore, Bangalore. ,,
4. Chickkamuniswamy, Journalist ,,
5. V. S. Padmanabhan, Ex-Corporator, ,,
6. H. T. Somashekara Reddy. ,,
7. S. N. Agarwal ,,
8. The Chief Engineer, Karnataka Urban Water Supply & Drainage Board. ,,
9. The Engineer-Member, Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore ,,
10. The Housing Commissioner, Karnataka Housing Board, Bangalore ,,
11. The Chief Engineer, Corporation of the City of Bangalore, Bangalore
12. K. Lakshman, MLA., ,,
13. T. R. Shamanna, MLA., ,,
14. B. V. Ramachandra Reddy, MLA., ,,
15. P. K. Ranganathan, MLA., ,,
16. Dr. M. D. Nataraj, MLC., ,,

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1655—S.O. 1942

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 235 FAF 78.

Bangalore dated 21st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in the Schedule-I below shall with effect from 3rd June 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of the rights specified in Schedule II.

SCHEDULE-I

Name of the District	:	Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk	:	Belthangady
Name of the Village	:	Navara and Sulkerimogru villages.
S. Nos.	:	41/1 of Navara village and 64/1 of Sulkerimogru village
Name of the block	:	Naravi R.F. Extension Block II.
Area	:	68-70 acres.

Boundary description

North :—Starting from station No. 56 a point between C. No. 367-368 of Naravi R.F., the demarcation line runs along the R.F. line on south east direction from station No. 56 to 59 over a distance of 3760 links and it turns to east and proceeds from station No. 59 to 62 over a distance of 2130 links and again it turns to north and proceeds from station No 62 to 0 over a distance of 1710 links along the R.F. line. The bearing and distance from station to station are furnished below :

Station Number From	To	Bearings in F.B.	Distance in links
56	57	180	500
57	58	172	760
58	59	145	2500
59	60	94	300
60	61	90	450
61	62	101	1380
62	63	348	600
63	64	348	275
64	0	11	835

East :—Further the demarcation line runs towards south west from station No. 0 to 4 over a distance of 1965 links and turns to south west south east and due south moving from station No. 4 to 14 over a distance of 2765 links. The bearings and distances from station to station are furnished below.

Station Number From	To	Bearings in F.B.	Distance in links
0	1	158	530
1	2	131	320
2	3	148	435
3	4	135	680
4	5	209	800
5	6	168	140
6	7	196	200
7	8	152	220
8	9	145	140
9	10	147	235
10	11	143	145
11	12	168	425
12	13	173	265
13	14	178	195

South :—The line runs in almost western direction to station No. 22 at a distance of 1400 links. The bearings istance from on to station are furnished below.

Station From	Number To	Bearings in F.B.	Distance in links
14	22	279	1400

West :—The line runs due north from station No. 22 to 25 over a distance of 1315 links and it turns to north west direction, and proceeds from station No. 25 to 37 over a distance of 3435 links and again it turns to northernly direction from station No. 37 to 56 over a distance of 4225 links and finishes the traverse. The bearings and distance are furnished below :

Station From	Number To	Bearings in F.B.	Distance in links
22	23	26	380
23	24	5	550
24	25	351	385
25	26	299	580
26	27	293	590
27	28	270	2 5
28	29	303½	160
29	30	295	170
30	31	304	240
31	32	310	400
32	33	287	300
33	34	286	210
34	35	262	200
35	36	240	200
36	37	244	120
37	38	311½	130
38	39	327	390
39	40	288	210
40	41	336	130
41	42	35	135
42	43	35	130
43	44		180
44	45	340	390
45	46	346	170
46	47	315	240
47	48	314	155
48	49	335	160
49	50		160
50	51	336	440
51	52	4	155
52	53	12	230
53	54	11½	320
54	55	347	170
55	56	42	330

Right of ways: A village road coming from Aaladangadi runs outside the block and enters inside the block between C. No. 4–5 and goes to Hokkale.

Foot path: Foot path coming from Navara enters the block between C. No. 27 23 and runs through the block and joins the Halandangadi Kokkala road inside the block between C. No. 3-4 and goes to Hakkala along the road.

Other rights:

1) Free grazing of cattle by villages.
2) Free removal of green manure leaves for bonafide use.
3) Free removal of dry fuel for bonafide use by villagers.
5) Free removal of muligrass for bonafide demostic use by villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1163—S. O. 1946

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 98 LGP 78(4)

Bangalore, dated 5th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rules (1) and (2) of Rule 24 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes Consultative Committees for each of the Taluks in the District of Kolar, specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, consisting of the official and non-official members for the period ending September 1979 and nominates the official and non-official members specified in column (3) thereof as Members of the said Consultative Committee:

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of the Taluk	Names of Members
1	2	3
		Shriyuths:
1	Chintamani Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Chintamani taluk, Chintamani
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Chintamani
		3 Range Forest Officer, Chintamani

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		4. Mohamed Ghouse Mohamodin, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Chintamani Taluk,
		5 Smt. T. Rajamma, W/o. J. Narayanappa, Bangalore Road, Chintamani.
		6 K. Ramarao, S/o. Hanumantharayappa, Chilkal Nerpu, Chintamani Taluk.
		7 M. G. Narayan Reddy, S/o Gopala Reddy, Malapally, Chintamani Taluk.
2	Mulbagal Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Mulbagal taluk, Mulbagal.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Mulbagal.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Mulbagal.
		4 D. Venkataramappa, Vice President, Taluk Development Board, Mulbagal Taluk.
		5 M. Govindappa, (SC) Karviraddihally, Vegamadgu post, Mulbagal Taluk,
		6 Sri K. S. Raghavendra Rao, Kannasandra, Mulbagal taluk.
		7 Enayath Sonnavadi, Mulbagal Post, Mulbagal taluk.
3	Malur Taluk	1 Tahasildar, Malur Taluk, Malur.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Malur.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Malur.
		4 G. Chennappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Malur Taluk.
		5 Nagaraj, President, Town Mnicipal Council, Malur taluk.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		6 M. R. Shamappa, S/o Ramappa, Madivala, Kasaba Hobli, Malur Taluk.
		7 Akbar Sherrif, S/o Ibrahim Sheriff, Seethanahalli, Huladenahalli, Malur Taluk.
4	Bangarpet Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Bangarpet Taluk, Bangarpet.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Bangarpet.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Bangarpet.
		4 B. N. Nagaraj, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Bangarpet taluk.
		5 M. Narayan, M.A., (SC) President, Primary Land Development Bank, Bangarpet taluk.
		6 Kasaba Prabhakar, Pakarhally, Hudukal post, Bangarpet taluk.
		7 N. Hemaraj, Vice-President, Kolar Gold Field City. Congrees Committee (I) Kolar Gold Field.
5	Kolar Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Kolar Taluk, Kolar.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD, Kolar.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Kolar.
		4 K. R. Srinivasaiah, Vice-President T.D.B., Kolar Taluk.
		5 Sri Venkataramaiah, Ex-MLA, Advocate, Kolar.
		6 Muniyappa, Village Panchayat Member, (SC) Kyalnoor, Kolar Taluk.
		7 Shaik Ahmed Alias Bakshu, Ex-Municipal Councillor, Sharada Talkies Road, Kolar.
6	Gowribidnur Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Gowribidanur Ta Gowribidanur.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD, Gowribidanur.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Gowribidanur.
		4 S. L. Chencharedddy, Vice-President, TDB. Gowribidanur Taluk.
		5 K. Chinnarayappa, S/o Keshavadas, D. Palya, Gowribidanur Taluk, Kolar District.
		6 Veeranna S/o Chikkamyalappa, Seegalahalli, Hosur Hobli, Gowribidanur Taluk
		7 Meeraffi Ali, S/o Meerpazalali, Alipur, Thondebhavi Hobli, Gowribidanur Taluk.
7	Sidlaghatta Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Sidlaghatta Taluk, Sidlaghatta.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, PWD. Sidlaghatta.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Sidlaghatta.
		4 K. Fareed S/o. Mohiyuddin Sab, Thimmesandar, Sadali Hobli, Sidlaghatta Taluk.
		5 Narayanaswamy S/o N. Muniyappa, Kadrinaikanahalli, Kasaba Hobli, Sidlaghatta.
		6 K. Lakkappa (S) S/o Pillappa, Melur, Sidlaghatta Taluk.
		7 Aswathanarayana Reddy, S/o Narayanappa, Rayappanahalli, Basetbunalli Hobli, Sidlaghatta.
8	Bagepalli Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Bagepalli Taluk, Bagepalli.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Bagepalli.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Bagepalli.
		4 Venkatachalapathy Setty A. Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Bagepally Taluk.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		5 Narappa, (SC) Village Panchayat Member, Kondareddypalli, Bagepalli Taluk.
		6 S. L. Chandrashekra Reddy, Kallapalli, Bagepally Taluk.
		7 B. N. Ramappa, Taluk Development Board, Member, Beechaganahally, Bagepally Taluk.
9	Gudibanda Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Gudibanda Taluk, Gudibanda.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Deparment, Gubibanda.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Gudibanda.
		4 N. P. Adinarayana Reddy Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Gudibanda Taluk.
		5 G. K. Ramaiah, Social Worker, Gudibanda Taluk.
		6 Venkatarayappa, Halegudibanda, Gudibanda Taluk.
		7 Papanna, Village Panchayat Member, Halegudibanda, Gudibanda Taluk.
10	Chikkaballapura Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Chikkaballapura Taluk, Chikkaballapura.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Chikkaballapura.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Chikkaballapura.
		4 G. Narayanappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Chikkaballapura Taluk.
		5 K. Thirunarayanachar, Gangare Kaluve, Ramadevaragudi Post, Chikkaballapura Taluk.
		6 Sri Haji Kudhus, Manchanbele Post, Chikkaballapura Taluk.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths: 7 K. M. Muniyappa, Journalist, C/o Bhavani Studies. B. V. Road, Chikkaballapura Taluk.
11	Srinivasapur Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Srinivasagur Taluk Srinivasapur. 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Srinivasapur. 3 Range Forest Officer. Srinivasapur. 4 Shri Y. M. Ameer Khan, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Srinivasapur Taluk.

The Tahsildar of the Taluks shall be the Chairman and Convener of the respective Consultative Committee.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. MALLAIAH

Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1029—S.O. 1947

Notification No. RD 98 LGP 78(5)

Bangalore, dated 2nd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rules(1) and (2) of Rules 24 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes Consultative Committees for each of the Taluks in the District of Bangalore, specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, consisting of the official and non-official members for the period ending September 1979 and nominates the official and non-official members specified in column (3) thereof as Members of the said Consultative Committee.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of the Taluk	Name of Members
1	2	3
		Shriyuths:
1.	Kanakapura taluk	1 Tahsildar, Kanakapura taluk, Kanakapura 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Kanakapura.

1	2	3
		Shrlyuths :-
		3 Range Forest Officer, Kanakapura
		4 Shivaramu (SC), Vice-President, Tq. Development Board, Kanakapura taluk.
		5 Kantharaj Urs, Ganalu, Kanakapura taluk.
		6 Krishnoji Rao, Kanakapura
		7 Abdul Nabi, Ex-President, Town Municipal Council, Kanakapura
2. Doddaballapura taluk		1 Tahsidar, Doddaballapur taluk, Doddaballapur
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Doddaballapur
		3 Range Forest Officer, Doddaballapur.
		4 M. Lakshminarayan, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Doddaballapur taluk.
		5 B. Jayaram, Doddaballapur.
		6 Kempahanumaiah, Doddabelahongala village, Hejjaji Post, Doddaballapur
		7 M. Rajagopal, General Secretary, District Congress Committee (I) Doddaballapur
3. Devanahalli taluk		1 Tahsilder, Devanahalli Taluk, Devanahalli.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Devanahalli.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Devanahalli.
		4 C. Narayanaswamy, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Devanahalli taluk
		5 Abdul Hameed, Rajaakalya, Bagalur Post, Devanahalli taluk.
		6. Munivenkatappa s/o Doddavenkatappa, Koramangala, Vijayapura Hobli, Devanahalli taluk.
		7. Aswathnarayana, Yeliyur. Channarayapatna Hobli, Devanahalli taluk.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
4.	Anekal taluk.	1 Tahsildar, Anekal taluk, Anekal.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Anekal.
		3 Range Forest Officer. Anekal
		4 B. Allalappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Anekal taluk.
		5 M. Annappa, Chokkasandra village. Huskur Post, Anekal taluk.
		6 B. M. Reddy, Chandapura, Anekal taluk.
		7 A. Yellappa, Harijan Colony, Anekal taluk.
5.	Bangalore North taluk	1 Tahsildar, Bangalore North taluk Bangalore North.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works D partment, Bangalore North taluk
		3 Range Forest Officer, Bangalore North taluk
		4 K. S. Honnegowda, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Bangalore North taluk.
		5 Smt. Vedavathi, Member, Taluk Development Board. HMT Quarters, Jalahalli Post, Bangalore North taluk.
		6 Mariyappa, Member, Taluk Development Board, Shivashankara Block, Hebbal, Bangalore-560 324
		7 Aswathaiah, s/o Kondappa, Anjaneya Temple Street, Yelahanka, Bangalore North taluk.
6.	Channapatna Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Channapatna taluk, Channapatna.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Channapatna.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Channapatna.
		4 B. Basavaraju, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board. Channapatna taluk.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		5 Azmath Pasha Nizaami, Joint Secy. Taluk Congress Committee (I), Channapatna.
		6 Guruswamy, (SC) Social Worker, Neelakantanahalli, Channapatna taluk.
		7 T. D. Ramu, MLA, Channapatna.
7. Magadi taluk		1 Tahsildar, Magadi Taluk, Magadi
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Magadi taluk
		3 Range Forest Officer, Magadi taluk.
		4 Lakshminarayanaswamy, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Magadi taluk.
		5 H. Javaraiah (SC), Harijan Colony, Hospete Magadi taluk.
		6 Javaraiah, B.Sc., Social Worker, Magadi town.
		7 Bettaswamy Gowda, MLA, Magadi.
8. Hoskote taluk		1 Tahsildar, Hoskote taluk Hoskote
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Hoskote.
		3 Range Forest Officer, Hoskote taluk
		4 B M. Narayanaswamy, Vice-President Taluk Development Board, Hoskote taluk
		5 Jinna Rahim, General Secretary, Taluk Congress Committee (I) Hoskote taluk
		6 S. Chowdaiah, Anupalli village, Bendeganahalli Post, Sulebele Hobli Hoskote.
		7 H. K. Narayana Singh, General Secy. Town Congress Committee (I), Hoskote,
9. Nelamangala taluk		1 Tahsildar, Nelamangala taluk, Nelamangala
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Nelamangala.

1	2	3
		Shriyuths
		3 Range Forest Officer. Nelamangala.
		4 Smt. Lakshminarasamma, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Nelamangala taluk.
		5 B. P. Gangadharan, Ex-Taluk Development Board President, Nelamangala taluk.
10.	Bangalore South taluk	1 Tahsildar, Bangalore South taluk, Bangalore South.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Bangalore South
		3 Range Forest Officer, Bangalore South
		4 Nagappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development, Board, Bangalore South taluk.
		5 Sri Giddaveerappa, Kengeri Town, Bangalore South taluk.
		6 H. V. Narayana Reddy, M.A. B.L., Halanayakanahalli, Varthur Hobli. Bangalore South taluk.
		7 Bushappa (SC) Agara village Bangalore South taluk.
11.	Ramanagaram taluk	1 Tahsildar, Ramanagaram taluk, Ramanagaram.
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Ramanagaram
		3 Range Forest Officer, Ramanagaram.
		4 Byrappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Ramanagaram taluk.
		5 B. A. Ramakrishnaiah (ST) Bumi Kuppe, Ramanagaram taluk.
		6 Bramhadev, Social Worker, Ittamadu Post, Ramanagaram taluk.
		7 Siddappa (Kumbar) Social Worker Ramanagaram taluk.

The Tahsildar of the Taluks shall be the Chairman and Convenor of the respective Consultative Committee.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. MALLAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1030—S.O. 1948

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 39 CIM 79

Bangalore, dated 1st August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Bye-law No.26 (A) (d) of the Gadag Co-operative Textile Mill Ltd., Hulkoti, and in partial modification of Government Notification No. DPC 88 CIM 73 dated 11th October 1973, Government are pleased to nominate the General Manager, District Industries Centre, Dharwad on the Board of Director of the said Mill, in place of the Deputy Director of Industries and Commerce, Dharwad with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1677—S. O. 1949

Notification No. RDC 28 CPS 79 PF

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

The nomination of Sri Subramanya Udupa, Nagar, Hosanagar Taluk, Shimoga District, made in Government Notification No. RDC 28 CPS 79 dated 20th April 79, on the Board of Directors of the Malnad Areca Marketing Co-operative Society Ltd., Shimoga, as Government nominee, is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect and in his place in exercise of the powers conferred under Sec. 29 (1) of the KCS Act 1959 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1959) Government are pleased to nominate Sri B. Ramaiah M.L.A., Sringeri, Chikkamagalur district as Government nominee with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

.....

I/c *Under Secretary to Government.*
Rural Devt. & Co-opn. Department

1548—S. O. 1950

Notification No. RDC 42 CPS. 79

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 29 (1) of the KCS Act 1959 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1959) Government are pleased to nominate Sri Narayanaswamy s/o Muniyappa, Kadiranayakanahalli, Kotnur Post, Sidlaghatta Taluk, Kolar District, on the Board of Directors of Taluk Agricultural Produce Co-operative

Marketing Society Ltd., Sidlaghatta, Kolar District, as Government nominee, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

1547—S. O. 1951 I/c *Under Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department.*

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 69 LAG 79.

Bangalore, dated 18th/19th July 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri D. A. Chandre Gowda, Advocate, as District Government Pleader, Hassan, for the period upto and inclusive of 17th May 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,

Under Secretary to Government, Department of Law & Parly. Affairs.

1571—S.O 1952 (Administration)

Notification No. LAW 204 LAG 78.

Bangalore, dated 7th April 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri S. Ramaswamy, Advocate, as Assistant Government Pleader, Kunigal, for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,

Under Secretary to Government, Dept. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

821—S.O. 1953 (*Administration*)

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 209 PEG 79.

Bangalore, dated 26/28th June 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25/1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police

Establishment, Bangalore exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable under Section 120 B, read with 420, IPC 5 (2) read with 5 (1) (d) of P C. Act, 1947 and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed in the case against Dr. S. Ramamurthy and Sri V. Venkataramamurthy, General Manager and Chief Inspector respectively of Foundry and Forge Department of the Hindustan Aeronautics Limited, Bangalore, any other person/ persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1429—S. O. 1954

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s Raichur Central Co-operative Wholesale Stores Ltd., Raichur.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli, published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. LCH/736/79-80 dated 13th July 79 from Shri H. L. Narayanagowda, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT, HUBLI.

Reference No. 24/79

Present :

Shri H. L. Narayanagowda B.A., LL.B.,
Presiding Officer.

Dated 12th July 1979.

Claimant :—Sri Mohan C/o the General Secretary, Raichur Dist. Industrial and General Workers Union, Raichur.

Respondent :—The Management of Raichur Central Co-op. Wholesale Stores Ltd., Raichur.

G. O. No. SWL 240 LLD 79 dated 24th March 1979.

Judgement & Award

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the I. D, Act 1947

(Central Act 14 of 1947) referring the dispute between Sri Mohan and the Management of Janatha Bazar, Raichur to this Court for adjudication on the following points of dispute.

I. Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri Mohan, Salesman with effect from 31st October 1978.

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?

2. After the reference was received notice was issued to the claimant to file his claim statement. Inspite of registered notice, the claimant did not appear and file his claim statement. So notice was issued to the Respondent to file written statement and to justify the dismisal of the claimant. The Respondent did not file any written statement as wanted a copy of the claim statement. So no useful perpose will be served by prolonging the case. The reference must be rejected.

3. In the result the reference fails and is rejected. No costs.

(Dictated to the Stenegrapher, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)

Place : Hubli

Date : 12-7-79

H. L. NARAYANAGOWDA,
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Hubli.

ORDER NO. SWL 226 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 240 LLD 79 dated 24th March 1979 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Raichur Central Co operative Wholesale Stores Ltd., Raichur was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department. (*Labour A*)

1681—S.O. 1955

Order No. SWL 538 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Tungabhadra Sugar Works (P) Ltd., Shimoga on the points noted below;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. "Is the management justified in discharging Sri Narayana-Swamy from service with effect from 27th April 1976?

2. If not, to what relief is the workman entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
Labour-A.

1688—S. O. 1956

Order No. SWL 529 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 30th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Indo-German Plantation Machinery Company Private Limited, Bangalore-22 on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

I. "Is the management justified in dismissing Sri G. Raju with effect from 14th October 1977 ?

II. If not, what relief the said workman is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
Labour-A.

1657—S. O. 1957

Order No. SWL 530 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 30th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Central Machine Tool Institute, Bangalore-22 on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

I. Are the workmen of Central Machine Tool Institute, Tumkur Road, Bangalore-22, justified in demanding from their management—

(i) Regularisation of absence on leave of certain employees on 10th October 1977 (afternoon) and payment of salary for 10th October 1977 (afternoon);

(ii) Regularisation of the period from 25th July 1977 to 25th August 1977 (both days inclusive) as days spent on duty, and pa ment of salary for that period;

(iii) Payment of Salary for theStrike period from 26th June 1978 to 27th August 1978 (both days inclusive);

II. If not, to what other reliefs the workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer.
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
Labour-A.

1669—S. O. 1958

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 78 MNX 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

In Notification No. HMA 189 MNT 76, dated 21st February 1977, Sri R. M. Malavaiah, Joint Director of Town Planning was appointed as whole-time Town Planning Member in place of Sri N. Govindappa. The term of Sri R. M. Malavaiah expired on 17th January 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 5(1) of the Bangalore Development Authority Act, 1976, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to re-appoint and continue Srl R.M. Malavaiah as Town Planning Member, Bangalore Development Authority, with effect from 17th January 1979 upto 31st July 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,

Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1663—S. O. 1959

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Declaration No. RD 275 LGB 79

Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of section 68 of the K.L.R. Act, 1964, the State Government being satisfied after considering the records of the proceedings and the report of the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, that the part of the public road measuring 16 guntas foot-path running in Sl. No. 78/1, 2, 3 of Bagalgunte village, Bangalore North Taluk as specified in the schedule to the Declaration No. NCR 112/76-77 dated 27th September 1978 issued under sub-section (1) of section 68 and specified in the scheduled below as not required for the use of the public hereby declares that all rights of the public as well as the persons in or over such part of the public road are extinguished.

SCHEDULE

Village in which the part of the road etc., is situated		Bagalgunte
Taluk	...	Bangalore North Taluk
District	...	Bangalore
Description af the part of the land	...	Foot path running in S. No. 78/1,2,3.
Approximate area of the public road	...	0-16 guntas

BOUNDARIES

North	...	S. No. 85
South	...	Doddabidarekallu
East	...	S. No. 77
West	...	S. No. 70

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

M. MALLAIAH,

1487—S. O. 1960 *Under Secretary to Government, R. D.*

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 167 EDC 78.

Bangalore, dated 28th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Section 67 of the Karnataka Excise Act 1965 (Karnataka Act No. 21 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka, hereby exempts the Excise Duty leviable under section 22 of the said Act on the Rectified Spirit of 700 Bulk Litres, and Absolute Alcohol of 650 Bulk litres sold to the Kasturba Medical College, including its Hospital Manipal, Dakshina Kannada District during the years 1977-78, 1978-79, and 1979-80 for use of bonafide Medicinal Purposes.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P. L. N. RAU,

1662—S. O 19 61 *Under Secretary to Government, F. D.* (Excise).

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 159 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 1st August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 36 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939), the Government of Karnataka having regard to the number, nature and size of the tyres attached to the wheels of the Transport Vehicles (other than a Motor Cab) specified in Column (2) of the Schedule below and their make, model and other relevant considerations and with the approval of Central Government hereby specified that the maximum safe laden weight and the maximum safe axle weight of vehicles shall be as specified in the corresponding entries in column (3) and (4) thereof.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Make and model of Transport Vehicle	Maximum laden weight in K. Gs.	Maximum safe axles weight (in K. Gs.)
1	2	3	4
1.	Single axle, 2 Ton Trailer, two wheeler, without springs manufactured by M/s Swamy Engineering Works. 4th Cross, N. R. Road Bangalore-2.	20 6 K Gs	1985 K. Gs.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1678—S. O. 1962

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No FFD 29 FAF 77.

Bangalore, dated 5th May 1979

The Notification under Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 No., FFD 15 FAF 75, dated 20th June 1975 published at pages 3925 to 3933 of part III-4 2c ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 17th July 1975 as S. O. No. 2036 is withdrawn.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government
Food and Forest Department.

1001—S. O. 1963

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 522 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 20th/24th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Belgaum Division, Belgaum, on the points noted below;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute

I "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Sri N. K. Adwani, with effect from 26th July 1975 ?

II If not, what other relief the said workman is entitled to ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
S. W. & Labour Department.

1618—S.O: 1964

AGRICULTUURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 37 AID 79

Bangalore, dated 3rd May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by the provisions of Rule 10 of the Karnataka Civil Services (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules 1957, Government are pleased to suspend with immediate effect, Dr. N. R. Kulkarni, Assistant Director of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Services, Rinderpest Eradication Scheme, Bellary and Shri S. K. Shivappayyanamath, Assistant Agricultural Officer, Red Dane Project Sub-station, Munirabad

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. BHAGWAT,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agriculture and A. H. Department.

1000—S.O. 1965

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 210 PEG 79

Bangalore, dated 26th/28th June 1979

In pursuance of the provisions of section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act 1946 (25/1946) the Government of Karnataka hereby accord consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment, Bangalore exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for investigation of offences punishable u/s 120-B r/w 420 IPC and Section 409 IPC and section 5 (2) r/w 5(1) (d) of P. C. Act 1947, against Sri A. Ramaswamy, Superintendent, Central Excise and Customs Department, and any other person/persons.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1428.—S. O. 1966

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 168 PCR 78

Bangalore, Dated 21st May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Indian Christain Marriage Act, 1872 (Central Act 15 of 1872), the Government of Karnataka hereby grants license to Sri N. Premanandam (Pastor) Bible Mission Church, Bandappa Colony, Yeswanthapura, Bangalore to Solemnise Marriages under the said Act in Karnataka State.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. VENKATASWAMY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1122—S. O. 1968

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 95 ASD 79

Bangalore, dated 15th May 1979

The Government of Karnataka are pleased to Permit Sri Ram Rao Joshi, Special Tahsildar for Land Reforms, Alland, Gulbarga District to retire voluntarily from Government Service with effect from 1st June 1979 under Note-1 below Rule 285 of Karnataka Civil Service Rules.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. P. MABRUKHANI,

Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1066 S. O. 1969

Notification No. RD 270, ASD 79

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka considered that for the purposes of expeditious disposal of work in Belgaum District it is expedient to appoint Special Deputy Commissioner for Belgaum District.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 9 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Special Deputy Commissioner for Belgaum District for the said purpose for the period upto and inclusive of 14th July 1980.

The Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the said Special Deputy Commissioner shall exercise the power and perform the duties as are exercised or performed by the Deputy Commissioner in the said District, under Sections 49,50,56,69,91,92,95 97 and 136 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964. In exercising the said powers and performing the said duties, the said Special Deputy Commissioner shall not be subordinate to the Deputy Commissioner of the District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

M. P. MABRUKHANI,
Under Secretary to Government
Revenue Department.

1635—S O. 1970

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No HUD 178 MNG 79.
Bangalore, dated 19th July 1979.

In the Government Notification No. HMA 106 MNJ 71 dated 28th October 1971 published in the *Karnataka Gazette* dated 25th November 1971.

(a) Add the figure '6' in column number 3 against the entry in serial number 44B ; and
(b) Add the figure '7' in column number 3 against the entry in serial number 45.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Deptt.

1696 - S. O. 1971

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No HD 47 TRC 78
Bangalore, dated 21st July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 14 of the Road Transport Corporations Act 1950 (Central Act 64 of 1950) read with rule 12 of the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation Rules, 1961, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint temporarily with effect from 25th July 1979 Shri S. J. Badaskar, Senior Dupuy Chief Accounts Officer, Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation to Officiate concurrently as the Chief Accounts Officer, Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation until further orders *vice* Sri P. G. Prabhu.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1607.—S.O. 1672

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 533 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 30th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s B. L. Mallaiah and Sons, Silk Weaving Factory No J-29, Ramannapet Bangalore-2 on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in refusing work to Sri Shivappa, Maistry and Weaver with effect from 5th February 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled.?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(*Labour A*)

1659 S. C. 1973

Order No. SWL 532 LLD 79.

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Raja Brick and Tile Industries, P. B. No. 34, Rajanagar, Tumkur-572101 on the points noted below ;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in removing Sri Gangaraju from their services as per their notice dated 14th July 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said Sri Gangaraju is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA.
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A.)

1684—S.O. 1974

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of A P.M.C, Bijapur.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli, Published.

Read the following Papers :

1. Letter No. LCH/733/78-79 dated 13th July 1979 from Sri H. L. Narayanagowda, Presiding Officer, Labour Court. Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT HUBLI

Ref No. 9/79

PRESENT :

Shri H L. Narayanagowda, B.A., LL B.
Presiding Officer.

Dated 13th July 1979

Claimant :— Sri M.J. Biradar Statistician, A.P.M.C. Bijapur

Respondent :— The Management of A.P.M.C. Bijapur.

G.O. No. SWL 19 LLD 79 dated 23rd January 1979

Judgement and Award

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the I.D. Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) referring the dispute between the workman Sri M J. Biradar and the Management of A.P M.C. Bijapur to this Court for adjudication on the following points of dispute.

"1 Is the Management justified in over looking the seniority of Sri M.J .Biradar. Statistician in giving promotion to the post of Superintendent with effect from 29th March 1974 ?

2. If not, what relief, the above said workman is e titled to ?"

2. After the reference was received notice was issued to the claimant. He has filed his claim statement Then notice was issued to the Respondent to file written statement. It filed its written statement. The case was then posted for evidence. At this stage, both parties have filed a joint memo of settlement.

3 In the joint memo of settlement it is stated that the Management of A.P.M.C. Bijapur had not prepared provisional Seniority list prior to 31st November 1977. The procedure followed for promotion is by considering the length of service. Sri Biradar's seniority is overlooked by the A.P.M.C and as per the available records from the office, Sri Biradar should be given promotion from the date of his junior was promoted i.e. 31st March 1974. The claimant Sri M. J Biradar's representative is genuine and he should be given promotion from 31st March 1974.

4. As the Respondent has agreed to give promotion to the claimant with retrospective effect, the reference must be allowed, in full.

5. In the result the reference succeeds and is allowed. I direct the Respondent to promote the claimant Sri M J Biradar with retrospective effect. He is entitled to get consequential benefits. No costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)

Place : Hubli
Date : 13-7-79

H. L. NARAYANAGOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Hubli.

Order No. SWL 225 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

WHEREAS by state Government Order No. SWL 19 LLD 79 dated 23rd January 1979 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of A.P.M.C. Bijapur was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli, for adjudication ;

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department
(Labour-A).

1680 S.O. 1975

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 42 CLM 79 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 19th July 1979

Whereas in Notification No. RDC 3 CLM 76 dated 28th July 1976 the Deputy Commissioner, Raichur was appointed as Special Officer to the Raichur District Central Cooperative Bank Ltd., Raichur for a period of one year ;

Whereas in Notification No. RDC 24 CLM 77 dated 25th July 1977 the said period of the Special Officer was extended for a period of one year ;

Whereas in Notification No. RDC 35 CLM 78 dated 13th July 1978 the said period was further extended for a period of one year ;

Whereas the Government of Karnataka considers it necessary to further extend the said period ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 30A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act II of 1959) as modified by Order No. RDC 42 CLM 79 (i) dated 19th July 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period of the Spacial Officer by a furtter period of one year and the Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Gulbarga Division, Raichur is appointed as Special Officer in place of Deputy Commissioner, Raichur for the said period.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.

1594 -S O. 1676

Notification No. RDC 299 CLS 78
Bangalore, dated 17th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Sri Mohamed Ali, Gurumitkal, Municipal Counciller, Yadagir, on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Ltd., Yadgir, Gulbarga District with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department

1595—S.O. 1977

Notification No. RDC 66 CPS 78.
Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

The nominations of the following persons, made in Government Notification No. RDC 61 CEA 75 dated 12th February 1975, on the Board of Directors of the Srimangala APCMS Ltd., Srimangala, as Government nominees, are hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

1. Sri K. D. Kuttappa Thavalkeri village South Coorg.
2. Sri A. M. Kariappa Nalkeri village, South Coorg.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

MOHAMED BAKHAR,
I/c *Under Secretary to Government,*
Rural Dev. and Co opn. Department.

1545—S.O. 1978

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 45 TRM 1978,
Bangalore, dated 4/14th May 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average crop-wise yield per acre is less than twenty five percent in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C (1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 28,365-87 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 34 villages specified in column (2) of the Schedule below situated in Hospet Taluk of Bellary District.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages	Land Revenue
1	2	3
	HOSPET CIRCLE	
1	Jambunathanahalli ...	3,191-24
2	73-Sankalapura ...	1,836-88
3	Kallahalli ...	795-93
4	Rajapura ...	79-55
	KAMALAPURA CIRCLE	
5	60-Bhoovanahalli ...	272-82
6	61-Gonahal ...	725-72
7	62-Gadiganu ...	1,004-33
8	63-Kotiginahal ...	661-90
9	65-Kakubalu	1,140-57
10	69--Papiayakanahalli ...	1,520-14
11	70-Vaddarahalli ...	880-00
12	71-Ingaligi ...	916-62
13	72-Kariganur ...	735-77
14	Dharmasagar ...	787-65
15	Byluvaddigeri ...	943-55
16	Gundlavaddigeri ...	572-16
	MARIYAMMANAHALLI CIRCLE	
17	Mariyammannhalli ...	248-52
18	Nandibanda ...	1,006-86
19	Ayyanahalli ...	210-43
20	Danayakanakeri ...	1,812-14
21	Chilakanahatti ...	577-16
22	Haravanahalli ...	609-50
23	Thimmalapura ...	771-33
24	Telugubal ...	258-19
25	T. Basapura ...	523-40
26	Vantigodu ...	438-77
27	Gollarahalli ...	390-74
28	Basavanadurga ...	238-00
29	Byalakunci ...	955-47
30	G. Nagalapura ...	1,230-27
31	Garga ...	815-98
32	Danapura ...	1,740-58
33	Venkatapura ...	221-60
34	Vyasanakere ...	252-10
	Grand Total ...	28,365-87

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order No. HD 235 PEG 79

Bangalore, dated 7th July 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of Section 6 of the Delhi Special Police Establishment Act, 1946 (25 of 1946), the Government of Karnataka hereby consent to the members of the Delhi Special Police Establishment exercising powers and jurisdiction in the State of Karnataka for registering and investigating a Regular case punishable under Section 120 B, 380/381 and 420 IPC and Section 5(2) r/w 5(1) (d) of Prevention of Corruption Act, 1947 and any other offences in relation to or in connection with the said offences committed at Reserve Bank of India, Bangalore in the case against Shri M. Nagaraj, Staff Officer, Grade A, K. M. Mathew, Coin/Note Examiner. Richard Pinto, Coin/Note Examiner, C. R. Gururajarao, Coin/Note Examiner (Teller). B. Jayaraj & S. Jayarajan, all employees of Reserve Bank of India, Bangalore and others.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government
Home Department.

1503—S. O. 1980

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 52 EMI 78

Bangalore, dated 3rd August 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of section 5 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/82/78-MV dated 21st July 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of Shri M/s. Sailesh Kumar Agarwala for a period of 20 (twenty) years for Iron ore over an area of acres (67.40 Hectares) of land in Janikunta village Bellary District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Iron ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Iron ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Iron ore he/they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	—	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease		Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the and Mines Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by a conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kolometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other lessee as prevalent in Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1689—S. O. 1981

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 534 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Tip Top Plastic Industries, 64, 3rd Cross, Okalipuram, Bangalore-560021 on the points noted below :

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the workmen of Tip Top plastic Industries, No 64, 3rd Cross, Okalipuram, Bangalore-560021, are entitled to the following :—

1 The following pay scales shall be introduced:

(i) Kokka worker and Tailors: 500—25—625—30 775.

(ii) Suitcase workers and suit case final finishing workers : Rs. 450-20-650-25-675.

(iii) Plastic welding workers and miscellaneous : Re. 475-25-600-30-750.

2 Profit sharing Bonus may be paid as follows :

(a) for the year 1973-74 ... 15%

(b) for the year 1974-75 ... 16%

(c) for the year 1975-76 ... 18%

(d) for the year 1976-77 ... 20%

3 Dearness Allowance : A minimum D. A. of Rs. 100 per month linked with CPI (as applicable in August 1972) at the rate of Re. 1 per point rise or fall.

4 Earned leave at the rate of one day for every 20 days may be given, since for the past 4 years no earned leave as been given to all the employees may be given leave with wages book.

(a) Sick leave at the rate of 15 days per year may be given.

(b) 10 days casual leave per year may be given to all.

II "Are the management of Tip-Top Plastic Industries No. 64 3rd Cross, Okalipuram, Bangalore, justified in dismissing the servicet of the following workers with effect from the dates mentioned against their names :

1	E.P.K. Abdulla	12	P. P. Dasan
2	K. A. Attaulla	13	P. Mohammed
3	P. Aub	14	N, Mammo
4	A. P. Abdulla	15	T. K. Khalil
5	T Haris	16	C. P Mustaffa
6	K. Hamza	17	T. P. Shamsudin
7	P. Murali	18	K. Kasim
8	P. Rafeque	19	C. H. Mammothy
9	S. Rehman	20	P. P. Mossa
10	C. Shaseendan	21	P, Abdulla Rehman
11	P. P Allikutty	22	P. P. Krishnan
		23	P. P. Appukutty
		24	P. P Hamza

and P. K. Sundaran with effect from 4th April 1978, and K. Mohammad Aslam, with effect from 9th August 1978.

II. If not, to what reliefs the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1685—S. O 1982

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 3 SLW 79 I

Bangalore, dated 1st August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Karnataka Silkworm Seed and Cocoon (Regulation of Production, Supply and Distribution) Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1960) and in supersession of Notification II CL 80 RAD 74, dated 31st December 1977 the Government of Karnataka hereby specifies the areas within the limits of revenue taluks/villages mentioned in column (3) of the schedule below, in the districts mentioned in the corresponding entries in column (2) as the sericultural areas to be served by the cocoon markets at the places specified in column (4) thereof, where silkworm cocoons produced within such areas shall be sold namely :—

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	District	Taluks/ Villages	Places (Seed Markets)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
1.	Tumkur	(a) Areas covered by the entire taluk of Kunigal	1. Kunigal 2. Hebbur 3. Santhemavathur 4. Kempanahalli
		(b) Areas covered by the entire Hebbur Hobli of Tumkur taluk	5. Huliyurdurga 6. Kudur 7. Veeregowdanadoddi 8. Magadi

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
2.	Bangalore	Areas covered by the entire taluk of Magadi except the following villages 1. Manchanabele 2. Dabbaguli 3. Anekempanadoddi 4. Hosahallipalya 5, Veerapura 6. Avverahalli 7. Vadahalli 8. Vadahallipalya	

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. LAKSHMANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1670—S.O. 1988

Notification No. CI 3 SLW 79 II
Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Karnataka)k Worm Seed and Cocoon (Regulation of Production, Supply and Distribution) Act, 1959 (Karnataka ct 5 of 1960) and in supersession of notification III No. CI 80 BAD 74 dated 31st December 1977, the overnment of Karnataka hereby specifies the areas within the limits of the revenue taluks/villages

mentioned in column (3) of the Schedule below, in the districts mentioned in the corresponding entries in column (2) as the sericultural areas to be served by the cocoon markets at the places specified in column 4) thereof where silkworm cocoons produced within such areas shall be sold namely :—

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	District	Taluk/Village	Places
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Areas covered by the entire taluks of :	
	Kolar	1. Bagepalli 2. Bangarpet 3. Chikballapur 4. Chintamani 5. Gauribidanur 6. Gudibanda 7. Kolar 8. Malur 9. Sidlaghatta 10. Srinivasapur 11. Mulbagal	1. Kolar 2. Hindignal Cross 3. Kyalanur 4. Chikkaballapura 5. Sidlaghatta 6. Chintamani
		Areas covered by the entire taluks of :	
	Bangalore	1. Channapatna 2. Devanahalli 3. Hoskote 4. Bangalore North 5. Bangalore South 6. Kanakapura 7. Doddaballapura 8. Ramanagaram 9. Nelamangala	7. Vijayapura 8. Bagalur 9. Channapatna 10. Ramanagaram 11. Kanakapura 12. Nelamangala 13. Bidaci

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
		Areas covered by the following villages of Magadi 1. Manchanabele 2. Dabbaguli 3. Anekempanadoddi 4. Hosahallipalya 5. Veerapura 6. Avverahalli 7. Vadahalli 8. Vadahallipalya	
	Mandya	Areas covered by the entire taluks of : 1. Krishnarajpet 2. Maddur 3. Malavalli 4. Mandya 5. Pandavapura 6. Srirangapatna 7. Nagamangala	14. Malavalli
4.	Mysore	Areas covered by the entire taluks of : 1. Chamarajanagar 2 Gundlupet 3. Hegadadevanakote 4. Kollegal 5. Hunsur 6. Krishnarajanagar 7. Mysore 8. Nanjangud 9. Periyapatna 10. Yelandur 11. T. Narasipura	15. T. Narasipur 16. Kollegal 17. Mamballi 18. Hanur 19 Chamarajanagar 20. Santhemarahalli 21. Harave 22. Nanjangud 23. Terakanambi 24. Mysore

5.	Coorg	Areas covered by the entire taluks of : 1. Mercara 2. Somwarpet 3. Virajpet
6.	Tumkur	Areas covered by the entire taluks of : 25. Tumkur 1. Madhugiri 2 Koratagere 3. Pavagada 4. Sira 5. Turuvekere 6. Chikkarayakanahalli 7. Tiptur 8. Gubbi 9. Entire taluk of Tumkur except Hebbur Hobli
7.	Hassan	Areas covered by the entire taluks of : 1. Alur 2. Arakalgud 3. Arasikere 4. Belur 5. Channarayapatna 6 Hassan 7. Holenarasipur 8. Sakaleshpur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. LAKSHMANA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.
(Sericulture)

1671—S.O. 1989

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 75 PRA 79

Bangalore, dated 26th July 1979

Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint under sub-rule (3) of rule 187 of the Karnataka Prisons Rules, 1974 the following persons as non-official visitors to the District Sub-Jail, Chitradurga for a period of two years from 4th July 1979.

1. The President, Town Municipal Council, Chitradurga
2. Sri T. Chowdaiah, MLA, Chitradurga
3. Sri V. Masiyappa, MLA, Chitradurga.
4. Sri Javadevappa, President, Taluk Development Board. Chitradurga
5. Sri H. Hanumanthappa, Press Correspondent and Advocate Chitradurga
6. Smt. Mahalaxmi C. S. N. Murthy, Ranganatha Institute, V. P. Extension, Chitradurga

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1636 - S. O. 1990

Notification No. HD 74 PRA 79.

Bangalore, dated 23rd July 1979

Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint under sub-rule (3) of rule 187 of the Karnataka Prisons Rules 1974, the following persons as non-official visitors to the District sub-jail, Chickmagalur for a period of 2 years with effect from 3rd May 1979.

1. The President, Town Municipal Council, Chickamaglur
2. Sri C. A. Chandre Gowda, MLA, Chickmagalur
3. Smt. Motamma, MLA, Mudigere, Chickamagalur District
4. Sri I. B. Shankar, TDB Member, Chickamagalur
5. Sri Mohd. Mohinuddin, Social Worker, Chickmagalur
6. Smt. Shashikala Ramesh, Ex. Municipal Councillor, Chickmagalur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

SALOME FRANCIS,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1608—S. O. 1991

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

FINANCE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FD 112 EDC 79

Banga'ore, dated 30th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of Section 67 of the Karnataka Excise Act 1965 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby exempts excise duty and litre fee on 1000 cases of Rum (12,000 bottles of 750 ml) and 250 cases of whisky (3 000 bottles of 750 ml.) to be supplied by Messrs. Khoday Distilleries P, L'd., Bangalore, through the Canteen Stores Department of India Godown at Bangalore to the Colonel Commandant, Mukhyalaya Sena Seva Corps Kendra (Dakshin) Headquarters, ASC Centre, (South) Bangalore in connection with the celebration of Third Reunion with Retired Officers between 6th and 12th December 1979 at Bangalore.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

P. L. N. RAU,

Under Secretary to Government,
Finance Department.

1661—S. O. 2006

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 94 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 23rd/25th July 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri Venkatesh Annappa Kanse as Assistant Government Pleader, Bangalore for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

for Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs,
(Administration)

1626—S. O. 2007

Notification No. LAW 30 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 8th May 19 9.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect Shri R. A. Thirtha, B. A B L, as Assistant Government Pleader, Ramanagaram, for a further period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K RAMU,

Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
(Administration)

1047—S. O. 2008

Notification No LAW 55 LAG 79

Bangalore dated the 11th May 1979

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints, with immediate effect, Sri C. A. Srinivasa Murthy, B. Sc., B. L, Advocate, Tarikere as Assistant Government Pleader, Tarikere and Kadur for a further period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,

Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
(Administration)

1057—S. O. 2009

Notification No. LAW 231 LAG 78

Bangalore dated the 3rd July 1979

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri V. G. Tiwari, B. Sc. LL: B., Advocate, Bhalki, as Assistant Government Pleader, Bhalki in Bidar District for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K RAMU,

Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
(Administration)

1488—S. O. 2010

Notification No. LAW 55 LA ‡ 79.

Bangalore, dated the 11th May 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints, with immediate effect, Sri C A Srinivasa Murthy, B.SC., B L., Advocate, Tarikere as Assistant Government Pleader, Tarikere and Kadur for a further period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. K. RAMU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
(Administration)

1083—S. O. 2011

PUBLIC WORKS AND ELECTRICITY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PWD 60 EBS 79 (2).

Bangalore, dated 8th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of section 5 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 (Central Act LIV of 1948), the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri H.G.V Reddy, I A.S., Commissioner and Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department as a member of the Karnataka Electricity Board with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

W. C. SHADAKSHARA DEV,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works and Electricity Department.

1031—S. O. 2012

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. CI 56 MMM 79

Bangalore, dated 19th/25th May 1979.

For the words "Iron ore and Red Oxide" appearing in the Schedule to Notification No. CI 155 EMO 78 dated 28th July 1978, substitute the words "Iron Ore, Red Oxide of Iron and Rex Ochre"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1160—S. O. 201

Notification No. CI 206 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri D. Gowtham Kumar for a period of 1 one) year for Mica over an area of 60 acres (24.28 Hectares) of land in Alpanahalli village, Pandavapura taluk, Mandya District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the following terms and conditions.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of One years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence ;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him ;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically ;.

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage ;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Mandya District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI,
Desk Officer,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1704—S. O. 2014

AGRICULTURE AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AFD 20 FAF 76

Bangalore, dated 13th July, 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby,—

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in the Yellare and Kadthala villages of Karkal Taluk of South Kanara District the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule below, as reserved forest ;

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer, South Kanara Mangalore to be the Forest Settlement Officer, for purposes of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section ;, and

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Coondapur Division, Coondapur to represent the State Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

Name of the District	...	South Kanara
Name of the Tuluk	...	Karkal
Name of the Village	...	Yellare and Kadthala
Name of the Block	...	Yellare Kadthala extension on block I
Field Survey Number		S. No. 52 of Yellare and 125/1,2 6,267, 257/1, 208/2, 224/1, 142/1 and 222/1 of Kadthala village.
Area		46.13 Hectares (114.00 acres)

Boundary Description

North :—Starting from station No. 49, a point near S. No. 184 of Yellare village, Karkal Taluk, the demarcation line runs to nearly Eastern direction to station No. 50 over a distance of 420 links. Thence the line runs to North-East direction to station No 51 over a distance of 470 links. The line further moves to South-East direction to station No. 52, over a distance of 340 links, Thence the line runs to South-West direction to station No. 53 to a distance of 330 links. Then runs in nearly Southern direction to station No. 54, over a distance of 480 links The line further moves to South-East direction to station No. 55 to a distance of 800 links. Thence runs in nearly Eastern direction to station No. 56, over a distance of 440 links. Thence the line turns to South-West direction to station No. 58, passing through middle station No. 57 over distance of 655 links. Thence the line runs to a distance of 1552 links to South-East direction to reach station No. 59. Thence the line moves almost Eastern direction to station No. 0 passing through middle station No. 60 and 61 over a distance of 2666 links.

The bearings and distances from station to Station are as follows :—

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F.B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
49	50	99½	420
50	51	59	470
51	52	127½	340
52	53	205	330

1	2	3	4
53	54	187	480
54	55	149	800
55	56	99½	440
56	57	259	310
57	58	251	305
58	59	133	1552
59	60	91½	959
60	61	93½	784
61	0	89	923

East : From station No 0. the demarcation line is nearly runs in South-West direction to station No. 1 to a distance of 450 links. Then runs in nearly western direction to station No. 2 over a distance of 400 links. Thence the line runs to nearly Southern direction to station No. 11 over a distance of 3830 links, passing through station No 3 to 10 The demarcation line runs in South-East direction. No. 14 over a distance of 815 links passing through station No 12 and 13 Again runs in almost Southern direction to Station No 15 over a distance of 400 links. Then runs in South-East direction to station No. 16 over a distance of 435 links.

The Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :—

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
0	1	224	450
1	2	259	400
2	3	190	600
3	4	87	825
4	5	173	660
5	6	187	330
6	7	179	190
7	8	181	400
8	9	177	220
9	10	168	425
10	11	173	180
11	12	155	200
12	13	144½	290
13	14	137	325
14	15	17½	400
15	16	157	435

South :—From station No. 16 the demarcation line runs to station No. 17 in South-West direction to a distance of 290 links. Thence the line runs to North-West direction to station No. 18 to a distance of 570 links.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows

Station Numbers		Bearings in	Distances in links
From	To	degrees in F. B.	
16	17	223	290
17	18	287	570

West :—From station No. 18, the demarcation line runs to North East direction to station No. 19 over distance of 440 links. Thence the line runs to North-West direction to station No. 21, passing through middle station No. 20 over a distance of 900 links Further the demarcation line moves to North-East direction to a distance of 545 links to reach station No. 2. Thence the line moves to North-West direction to station No. 23 to a distance of 240 links. Thence the line moves to South-West direction to station No. 24 to a distance of 510 links. Thence the line runs in nearly Northern direction to station No. 25 over a distance of 675 links. Then the line moves to north-west direction to station No. 27 passing through intermediate station No. 26, to a distance of 925 links. Then runs in nearly Northern direction to station No. 28 to a distance of 800 links. Thence the line moves to north-east directions to station No. 30, passing through middle station No. 29, over a distance of 1245 links Again the line runs in nearly Northern direction to station No. 31 to a distance of 500 links. Then the line moves to North-West direction to station No. 33 passing through middle station No. 32 to a distance of 960 links. Then the line moves nearly Western direction to station No. 34 to a distance of 460 links. Thence the line moves to south-west direction to station No. 35, over a distance of 580 links. The line moves further to north-west direction over a distance of 300 links to reach station No. 36 Thence to north-east direction to station No. 39, passing through middle station No. 37 and 38, over a distance of 1560 links. Then the line moves to north-west direction to station No. 41, passing through middle station No. 40, over a distance of 1150 links. The line moves further to nearly northern direction to station No. 43, passing through middle station No. 42 over a distance of 800 links. Thence the line further moves to north-west direction to station No. 45, passing through intermediate station No. 44, to a distance of 910 links. Then runs in almost western direction to station No. 47 passing through the middle station No. 46 to a distance of 720 links. Thence the line runs to station No. 49 in north-east disection passing through middle station No. 48, to a distance of 1190 links. This completes the traverse.

The bearings and distance from station to station are as follows:

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degree in F. B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
18	19	23	440
19	20	303	500
20	21	280	410
21	22	26	515
22	23	295	240
23	24	245	510
24	25	351	675
25	26	290	690
26	27	315	235
27	28	352½	800
28	29	61½	325
29	30	57½	9 0
30	31	354	500
31	32	318	260
32	33	286	700
33	34	261	480
34	35	238	580
35	36	349	3 0
36	37	59	550
37	38	41	260
38	39	12	750
39	40	285	525
40	41	285	625
41	42	16	480
42	43	8	320
43	44	331½	400
44	45	293½	510
45	46	279	430
46	47	285	290
47	48	17	830
48	49	13	360

Right of ways

A cart track from Muniyal Yellare road enters the block between cairn Nos. 53 and 52 and exits between cairn No. 1 and 2, re-enters the block between cairn No. 3 and 4 runs inside and exits between cairn No 19 and 20, re-enters again cairn No. 18 and 19 and exits finally between cairn No. 17 and 18 and proceeds to Dandarangady. The road thus is running from North to South more or less all along the block.

2 Another cart track enters the block between cairn Nos. 54 and 55 runs inside the block and exiting between cairn Nos. 37 and 38 and goes to Kadthala.

3 Another cart track from Munival enters the block between cairn No 1 and 2 (Station No. 57 and 58) and runs inside the block and one branch of the same exits between cairn No. 47 and 46 goes to Kadthala and another exits the block between cairn No. 48 and 49 and goes to Yellare and other branch of the cart track exits the block between cairn No. 50 and 51 and goes to Yellare.

Footh path

A foot path from Kadthala enters the block between cairn No. 35 and 36 and runs and joins to the cart track No 2 as mentioned above.

Other Privileges

1 Free grazing of cattle by the villagers.
2 Free removal of Muligrass for *bona fide* use by the villagers.
3 Free removal of green leaves of non-reserved trees for *bona-fide* use by the villagers.
4 Free removal of dry fuel for *bona-fide* use by the villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

419—S. O. 2015

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 11 TRM 79.

Bangalore, dated 3rd August 1979.

Whereas there was failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre was less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the suspended land revenue payable for the year 1971-1972 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-D.2 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the suspended land revenue of Rs. 3,15,003-91 payable for the year 1971-72 in respect of lands in 59 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Navalgund taluk of Dharwad district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No. (1)	Villages (2)		Land Revenue (3)
			Rs. Ps.
1	Ahetti	...	5,534-21
2	Tirlapur	...	5,681-98
3	Gummagol	...	14,386-20
4	Hyalal	...	4,210-67
5	Morab	...	23,686-03
6	Majjigudda	...	3,405 53
7	Paresur	...	6,052-03
8	Nalawadi	...	4,073-69
9	Shirur	...	9,095-76
10	Manakawad	...	2,875-04
11	Bhadrapur	...	5,775-07
12	Budihalgar	...	5,890-10
13	Talimorab	...	4,199 57
14	Navalgund	...	16,388 95
15	Kadadalli	...	1,080-14
16	Sol kanal	...	629-35
17	Naganur	...	1 320-61
18	Yamanur	...	6 052-03
19	Arekuratti	...	10,771-07
20	Halkusugal	...	8 350-66
21	Shanwad	...	3,255-54
22	Gobbargumppi	...	2,23 -77
23	Kalsad	...	8 132-10
24	Datanal	...	6,583-31
25	Shelwadi	...	7,343 47
26	Kurahatti	...	3,293 64
27	Navalli	...	3,499 97
28	Kittur	...	1,562-66
29	Adnur	...	1,235-34
30	Arahatti	...	2,030 30
31	Karlawad	...	4 128-17
32	Kongawad	...	6,911-35
33	Tadahal	...	3,404-27
34	Belhar	...	4 232-65
35	Chilakawad	...	3,955-18
36	Kummaragoppa	...	2,823-14
37	Ballarwad	...	4,757-77
38	Nagarahalli	...	3,267-65
39	Boganur	...	1,807-90
40	Khannur	...	1 748-70

(1)	(2)		(3)
41	Shirkol	...	3,244-13
42	Hanashi	...	9,412-24
43	Belavatagi	...	10,485-28
44	Amaragol	...	7,966-85
45	Hallikeri	...	4,621-36
46	Naikanur	...	2,135-52
47	Ballur	...	3,645-43
48	Annigeri	...	32,600-00
49	Dundur	...	1,196-35
50	Sisvinahalli	...	2,695-50
51	Sasvihalli	...	2,752-15
52	Kondikoppa	...	2,043-22
53	Basapur	...	7,145-25
54	Javoor	...	4,906-16
55	Hebbal	...	3,293 73
56	Ibrahimpur	...	5,270-89
57	Bennur	...	1,829-08
58	Saidapur	...	1,832-32
59	Alagawadi	...	22,033-92
	Grand Total Rs....		3,15,003-91

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

A. S. PATIL,

I/c. *Under Secretary to Government,*
Revenue Department.

1698—S.O. 2016

Notification No. RD 27 TRM 79

Bangalore, dated 3rd August 1979

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than twenty-five per cent in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1972-78 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 23,346-59 payable

for the year 1972-73 in respect of the lands in 33 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Manvi taluk of Raichur district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Kotwal.		
1	Kasandoddi	...	206-00
2	Heer	...	1,974-58
3	Chincharki	...	1,298-46
4	Toppaldoddi	...	1,167-53
5	Timmapur	...	354-64
6	Hussainpur	...	1,241-01
7	Saidapur	...	831-03
8	Huda	...	504-00
9	Donmardi	...	826-79
10	Bomsandoddi	...	131-59
11	Yapalparthi	...	244-50
12	Budginni	...	469-69
13	Guddadinni (U)	...	240-05
	Pamankallur.		
14	Pamankallur	...	702-47
15	Kotekal	...	451-03
16	Yatgal	...	449-46
17	Benchmardi	...	508-73
18	Harvapur	...	281-87
19	Eklaspur	...	426 37
20	Nelkola	...	257-89
21	Kachapur	...	196-03
22	Ameengadh	...	92-98
23	Tupdoor	...	518-72
	Mallat.		
24	Mallat	...	1,270-43
25	Murkigudda	...	664-06
26	Narbanda	...	1,493 33
27	Hunched	...	658-96
28	Marat	...	451-60
29	Kurkunda	...	1,142-49
30	Patkamdoddi	...	1,453-24

31	Wadwatti	...	1,186-65
32	Kalamgera	...	764-81
33	Bullapur	...	990-61
		Grand Total ...	23,346-59

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1699—S.O. 2017

Notification No RD 80 MET 79.

Bangalore, dated 16th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 3 of the Bombay Public Trust Act, 1950 (Bombay Act XXIX of 1950) as in force in Bombay area, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Shri G. Vasudev, Additional District and Sessions Judge Bidar, whose services are placed at the dioposal of Government by the High Court of Karnataka, as Charity Commissioner, Belgaum, until further orders *vice* Shri S. A. Patil transferred,

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1560—S. O. 2018

Notification No. RD 93 GHR 76-I

Bangalore, Dated 11th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (5) of Section 2 of the Karnataka Rent Control Act 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1961), the Government of Karnataka hereby applies the provisions of Parts II and III of the said Act to the area within the limits of the Ramdurg Town Municipality in Belgaum District with effect from 11th May 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1051—S. O. 2019

Notification No. RD 93 GHR 76 II

Bangalore, dated 11th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of Section 3 of the Karnataka Rent Control Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 22 of 1961) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the Assistant Commissioner, Bailhongal Sub-Division to perform the functions of the Controller under the said Act in respect of the local area within the limits of the Ramadurga Town Municipal Area.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1057-S.O. 2020

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 65 MMD 79.

Bangalore, dated 26th July 1979.

Whereas by Government Notification No. A & F 57 CMD 61 (1) dated 28th February 1962 (hereinafter referred to as the said notification) issued under Section 4 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Markets Act, 1939 (Mysore Act XVI of 1939), the Government of Mysore declared that Chickanayakanahalli Town shall be market established under the said Act for the Agricultural Produce specified in Scheduled 'A' and define that the limits of the said market shall consists of the area specified in Schedule 'B'.

SCHEDULE 'A'

1. Coconut
2. Copra
3. Seegu
4. Arecanut
5. Tamarind and
6. Soapnut

SCHEDULE 'B'

The whole of Chickanayakanahalli Taluk and Hagalwadi Hobli of Gubbi Taluk.

And whereas, by Government Notification No. DPC 480 CMD 68 dated the 26th March 1969 issued under section 5 of the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Mysore Act 27 of 1966) read with the Mysore Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Removal of difficulties) Order 1968, the Government of Mysore declared that with effect from 15th April 1969 the areas of Huliyar Hobli in Chickanayakanahalli and Hagalvadi Hobli of Gubbi Taluk shall be excluded from the market area specified in Schedule 'B' of the said notification.

And whereas by Government Notification No. S.O. 10 9 (RDC 68 MMD 79 dated 21st April 1979) published in Part IV-2-C (ii) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 26th April 1979 issued under section 5 read with section 3 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka declared its intention of regulating the marketing of Ragi Jowar, Paddy, Rice, Sheep and Goats, (hitherto not regulated) in addition to agricultural produce already regulated in the said area.

And whereas no objections or suggestions have been received by the State Government in respect of the said proposal.

Now therefore in exercise of the powers conferred under section 5 read with section 4 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that with effect from the date of publication of this Notification in *Karnataka Gazette* the Marketing of Ragi, Jowar, Paddy. Rice, Sheep and Goats, (hitherto not regulated) shall be regulated in addition to the agricultural produce already regulated in the market area of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee, Chickanayakanahalli.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
R.D.C. Department.

1638—S.O. 2021

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 535 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Superintending Engineer, Karnataka Electricity Board, Shimoga on the points noted below;

And Whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the following workmen justified in demanding that they should have been promoted before the dates mentioned against their names.

	Sri/Smt.	
1	P. Prabhakara	On or before 30-9-1975
2	B. H. Dhananjaya	
3	G. Onkarappa	
4	Ratna	
5	D. L. Rukkanna Moolky	
6	Sumitra R Shetty	
7	G. Ramappa, Chickmagalur	
8	C. Basavanthnppa Sangrebennur	
9	T H. Chandrashekara, Chickmagalur	
10	H. Padmavathamma, Chickmagalur	
11	P. C. Rajashekara Varma	
12	B Bhalendar Sab	
13	B. Sheshamma	
14	Erathaiah	
15	M. V Ganesh	In b tween the peri d of 8-10-1975 to 20-10-1975
16	T H. Anjaney chari	
17	C. Satyanarayana	
18	M. S. Ramakrishna	
19	P. Gopinatha Pillai	
20	Vittala Shetty	
21	J. Vaman Mangalore	Before 3 -10-1975
22	B. Arun Kumar	
23	S. V. Rangaswamy, Chickmagalur	
24	Baburaya Kamath	
25	H. B. Dakappa, Bhadravath.	
26	M. D. Nagaraja Rao, Bhadravathi	
27	Velavathi	
28	K. Divakara	
29	Janie Bai	
30	K. Rangappa	
31	Lakshmana Naik	
32	K. Nagendra Rao	On or before 31-12-1975
33	A. V. Savithramma	
34	Gowramma	
35	B. N. Hiriyanna	

Sri/Smt.

36 Boregowda
37 Tulasiram
38 N. K. Vanamala
39 B. G. Srinivasa
40 S. M. Ganesh Rao
41 Hilda A. Pinto
42 I. M. Venkatagiri
43 R. Srikantaiah
44 H. Parameswara
45 Sanjida Begum
46 A. Muniswarachar

II. If not, to what relief if any, are they entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
(Labour 'A')

1686—S.O 2022

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s. Sikandar Resulbai Avati, Sikandar Oil Mills, Jamakhandi, District Bijapur.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli, published. Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. LCH/734/79-80 dated 13th July 1979 from Sri H. L. Narayanagowda, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT, AT HUBLI.

Reference No. 88/78.

Present :

Shri H. L. Narayanagowda, B.A., LL.B.,
Presiding Officer.

Dated 13th July 1979

Claimant :—Sri Rajaram Maruti Shindhe, Jambagi Road, Near Khandoba Temple, Jamkhandi, District Bijapur.

Respondent :—The M/s Sri Sikandar Rasulsai Avati, Sikandar Oil Mills, Jamakhandi, District Bijapur.

G. O. No. SWL 798 LLD 78 dated 26th September 1978.

Judgement & Award.

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) referring the dispute between the workman Sri R. M. Shinde and the Management of Sri Sikandar Oil Mills, Jamakhandi, District Bijapur to this Court for adjudication on the following points of dispute.

"I. Is the Management justified in terminating the services of Sri R. M. Sindhe with effect from 20th April 1978?

II. If not, to what relief or reliefs, the said workman is entitled?

2. After the reference was received, notice was issued to the claimant to file his claim statement. The claimant has filed his claim statement. Notice was then issued to the Respondent to file written statement. It has not appeared and contested the claim of the claimant.

3. In support of his case the claiment has examined himself and closed. No documentary evidence is adduced on his behalf.

4. I said the Respondent has remained absent and it has not adduced any evidence.

5. I have perused the records and heard Sri Holingalli learned advocate for the claimant.

6. The claimant as WW-1 has stated that he was working in the Respondent Oil Mills since one or 1½ years. He was getting a salary of Rs. 150 though it was agreed that he should be paid a salary of Rs 200. On 20th April 1978 they terminated his services. No notice was issued to him before his services were terminated. No domestic enquiry was held against him before his services were terminated. He prays that he may be reinstated in service with full back wages.

7 The claimant has sworn that no domestic enquiry was held against him before his services were terminated. I must accept his evidence and hold that the termination of the claimant is illegal because no domestic enquiry has been held against him before his services were terminated. He must be reinstated in service with full back wages and continuity of service.

8. In the result the reference succeeds and is allowed. I direct the Respondent to reinstate the claimant immediately into service with full back wages. Continuity of service is allowed. The Respondent must pay costs Advocate's fee is Rs 50.

(Dictated to the Stenographer transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me)

H. L. Narayanagowda
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Hubli.

Place : Hubli
Date : 13th July 1979

Order No. SWL 224 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated the 31st July 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No SWL 798 LLD 78 dated 26th September 1978 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Sikandar Resulbai Avati, Sikandar Oil Mills, Jamakhandi District Bijapur was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli, for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Hubli has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
Labour-A.

1679 S.U 2023

Order No. SWL 592 LLD 78.

Bangalore dated 30th July 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exist between the workmen and the management of Tchnopak Engineering Company, C-105, Industrial Estate. Rajajinagar, Bangalore 10 on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub section ( ) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Additional Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Are the management of Technopak Engineering Company, C-105 Industrial Estate, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-10 justified in laying-off the following 16 workmen with effect from 27th April 1978 ?

1 Kochappa
2 Gangappa
3 Ramachandra
4 Arjun
5 P. J. George
6 Narasaiah
7 Thangaraj
8 C. Srinivasan
9 P. T. Phillip
10 Babu Rao
11 Kanikaraj
12 A. K. Mani
13 Poojappa
14 Gunasekhar
15 Elumalai and
16 Venugopal

If not, what other reliefs the said workmen are entitled to?

II Are the management of Technopak Engineering Company, C-105, Industrial Estate, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-10, justified in retrenching the following workmen with effect from 27th April 1978 ?

1 Raveendran Pillai
2 Baba Rao D. N.
3 Muniswamy
4 Murali
5 Hanumaiah
6 Jagannathsingh
7 Balakrishna
8 Govindaswamy
9 Rajansetty
10 Venkatesh
11 Srinivasulu
12 Manohar
13 Chakravarthy
14 Ramaswamy
15 Thomas
16 Gupta
17 Kanikaraj
18 Huchappa
19 Venkatesh
20 Raghavan
21 Vasudevan
22 Babu
23 Murthy
24 Manigandan
25 Rajan
26 Kuttan
27 Mathew
28 Chakho
29 Ganeshan
30 Gopalakrishna
31 Venugopal and
32 Mahadevan.

if not, to what other reliefs the said workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, (Labour-A)
Social Welfare & Labour Department.

1660—S. O. 2024

Corrigendum No. SWL 1060 LLD 78

Bangalore dated 21st/22nd March 1979.

For the words and Figures 'are the workmen justified in golng on strike with effect from 20th July 1978' appearing under item 3(a) of the Points of Disputes of Government Order No. SWL 804 LLD 78 dated 29th September 1978, the words and Figures "Are the workmen justified in going on strike with effect from 3rd July 1978 and continued the said strike on 20th July 1978" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

H. V. SOMASEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

724 -S. O. 2025

HOME SECRETARIAT

Corrigendum No. HD 106 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 15th May 1979.

For the words 'the Bharat 25 Ton Dumpers" occuring at condition No. 3 in the Notification No. HD 106 TMA 79 dated 10th May 1979 the words "Bharat Haulpak Trucks Rear Dump Model 25" shall be substitued,

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

077 —S 2026

Notification No. HD 102 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 7th May 1979.

In exercise of the power conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963 the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicle belonging to the Department of Space Government of India, ISRO Satellite Centre Bangalore-560 058 described in the Schedule below can be used as transport vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plying it within the limits of Karnataka State subject to the condition that the said vehicle shoul not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

OMNI BUS

Sl No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	Wheel base	Overhang
1	ALB 103074	ALI 83090	Leyland Viking	210''	53%

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. N. SUBBIAH.
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1023—S.O. 2027

Notification No. HD 1' 5 TMA 79
Bangalore, dated 7th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicles belonging to the University of Agricultural Sciences, Hebbal, Bangalore described in the Schedule below can be used as transport vehicles in public, place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicles from the the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of said rules for the purpose of plying them within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicles should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

OMNI BUS

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	WB	Overhang
1	ALB 102249	ALI 82441	Leyland	210''	50.48%
2	ALB 102299	ALI 82579	Viking	210''	50.48%

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1024—S.O. 2028

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT
Notification No. HUD 337 MNY 77
Bangalore, dated 6th August 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Karnataka Cattle Trespass Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act 19 of 1966) and in supersession of Notification No. HMA 140 MNY 70 dated

30th November 1970, the Government of Karnataka hereby fixes for the City of Bangalore, the scale of fine specified hereunder which shall be levied by the pondkeeper for every head of cattle impounded in the said area.

Name of the cattle	*Scale of fine*
(i) Horse, Mare gelding Pony, Colt, Filly, Mule, Bull, Bullock, Cow, Heifer or Buffalow.	Rs. 30 (Rs. Thirty only) per head for the first three days or portion thereof and Rs. 15 (Rs Fifteen only) per head per day after three days,
(ii) Calf, Ass or Pig.	Rs. 10 (Rs. Ten only) per head for the first three days or portion thereof and Rs 5 (Rs, Five) per head per day after three days.
(iii) Ram, Ewe. Sheep, Lamb, Goad or kid.	Rs. 6 (Rs. Six only) per head for the first three days or portion thereof

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1697—S.O. 2029

Erratum No. HUD 28 TTP 79
Bangalore, dated 10th May 1979

For the name "Sri E. V. Pudakalakatti" appearing in Notification No. HUD 3 TTP 77, dated 17th January 1979, the name "Sri C V. Pudakalakatti" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

N. SHANTHA RAJU,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1050—S. O. 2030

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 10 CCL 79
Bangalore, dated 25th April 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 8 (b) read with Article 17 of the Articles of Association of Karnataka Handloom Development Corporation Limited, the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to appoint Shri H. G.-V. Reddy, Commissioner for Industries and Secretary to Government, Commerce and Industries Department, as a Director on the Board of Directors of Karnataka Handloom

Development Corporation Limited., and also as Chairman of the said Corporation with immediate effect *vice* Shri B. S. Hanuman transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. EGBERT DHANANJAYA,
Under Secretary to Government
Commerce and Industries Department

929 S.O. 2031

Not fication No. CI 114 EMI 78.
Bangalore, dated 15th March 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 37 of MCR 1960, as amended from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions the transfer of the M. L. specified in the schedule below by Shri M. Mohamed Ismail in favour of M/s Costal Minerals, subject to the following conditions :

1 that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferror and the transferees wherein transferees shall agree to bind themselves by the terms of the original leases for the remaining period of the leases, and
2 that the mining dues if any, shall be paid by the transferors before the execution of the transfer deeds in respect of the lease.

No. & Date of Notification sanctioning the M L.	Ore	Area
CI 129 EMI 75 dated 15-5-1976	Limeshell	132.53 hectares of land in Kadwad, Einnur and other villages Karwar Taluk, N. K. District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

632—S. O. 2032

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 33 CLM 79
Bangalore, dated 16th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (C-1) of sub-section (1) of section 16 of Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959, Government of Karnataka hereby approve the Karnataka Handloom Development Corporation Ltd., Syndicate Bank Building, Bangalore-9 for being admitted as a Member of a Co-operative Society.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Dev. and Co-opn. Department

1134—S. O. 2033

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notifieation No CI 29 MMM 79

Bangalore dated 17th February 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 37 of the Mineral Concession Rule, 1960, as amended from time to time, the Gove:nment of Karnataka hereby sanction the transfer of the Mining Lease specified in the schedule below by Shri. M. K. Narayana Char in favour of M/s.Hothur Trader, Bellary, subject to the following conditions :—

(i) that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferor and the transferees wherein the transfers shall agree to bind themselves by the terms of the orignal lease for the remaining period of the lease,

(ii) that the Mining and dues, if any, shall be paid by the transferor before the excution of the transfer deeds in respect of this lease.

No. and date of Notification sanctioning the M. L.	Ore	Area
Government Notification No. CI 397 MML 62, dated 3rd May 1963 M. L. No. 137	Manganese and Iron	Malgolla village, Sadur taluk, Bellary district over 80 acres.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. P. RAMAKRISHNA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

446—S. O. 2036

PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. DPAR 359 ASR 78

Bangalore dated 20th July 1979.

In pursuance of Sub-Clause (ii) of Clause (n) of sub-rule (1) of rule 2 of the Karnataka Civil Services (General Recruitment) Rules 1977 and in supersession of the Notification No. GAD 135 ASA 73 dated 10th April 1975, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes the Selection Committee consisting of the following Members

for the purpose of selection of the Drafting Assistants by promotion in the Karnataka Government Secretariat Services :—

1.	Secretary to Government Department of Law and Parliamentary Affairs ...	Chairman
2.	The Special Secretary to Government Department of Law and Parliamentary Affairs	Member
3.	Draftsman and Ex-officio Additional Secretary to Government, Department, of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.	Member

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. S. RAJAGOPAL,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
D. P. and A. R. (Administration).

1611—S. O. 2037

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 42 TRM 78.

Bangalore, dated 4/14th May 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1977-78 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of Section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964), read with Rule 147-C (i) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka, hereby remits the whole of the land revenue of Rs. 4,540-40 payable for the year 1977-78 in respect of the lands in 21 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Gudibanda taluk of Kolar district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	KASABA HOBLI		
1	Vaddumaravehalli	...	59-56
2	Kondavalahalli	...	138-18
3	Pallaiahgarahalli	...	123-38

			3
4	Kataigarahalli	...	284-96
5	Vabasandra	...	484-44
6	Bathalahalli	...	224-17
7	Thanagadikunte	...	108-14
8	Oochalahalli	...	141-93
9	Myakalahally	...	121-28
10	Dapparthy	...	254-93
11	Pasapalodu	...	413-35
12	Machavalahally	...	156-21
13	Pulavamakalahalli	...	226-06
14	Gerumaradahally	...	129-26
15	Bramharahally	...	300-89
16	Halegudibande	...	281-83
17	Nichanabandahally	...	167-42
18	Egenahally	...	292-18
19	Aligadrahally	...	80-96
20	Chadumanahally	...	456-59
21	Somalapura	...	94-68
		Grand Total Rs.	4,540-40

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1058—S. O. 2038

Notification No. RD 2 ETC 79
Bangalore dated 26th July 1979.

For the words "Census Officers" appearing in the 4th line of the Notification indicated in the margin* the words "Principal. Census Officers" shall be substituted.

*Notification No. RD 2 ETC 79, dated 15th June 1979 regarding appointment of Census Officers.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. A. NAIK,
Secretary to Government,
Revene Department

1634—S. O 2039

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 111 MMD 79

Bangalore dated 14th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (2) of Section 9 of the Karnataka Agricultural Produce Marketing (Regulation) Act, 1966 (Karnataka Act No. 27 of 1966) the Government of Karnataka, hereby specifies that the name of the market committee, Doddaballapur shall be the Agricultural, Produce Market Committee Doddaballapur.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government
Rural Development & Coopn. Department.

1053—S. O. 2040

PUBLIC WORKS AND ELECTRICITY SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PWD 75 EEB 79

Bangalore, dated 11th July 1979.

In exereise of the powers conferred by rule 133 of the Indian Electricity Rules, 1956, the Government of Karnataka hereby orders relexing the provisions sub-rule (2) of rule 92 of said rules in case of the Linganamakki outdoor equipments belonging to the Mysore Power Corporation Limited and permitting the interconnection between the lightning arrestor Earth electrode and the general Earth mat.

By order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. N. NANJUNDAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government I/c.,
Public Works and Electricity, Department.

1651—S. O. 2041

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 108 EMI 78.

Bangalore dated 28/29th June 1979.

In pursuance of Sub-Section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act, vide their letter No. 5/10/79 MV dated 25th May 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions the inclusion of Manganese ore in the Mining lease held by

Shri. Ningappa Chikkappa Belthur for Red Oxide and ochre for a period coterminus with the original lease ending on 14th February 1995 on usual terms and conditions over an area of 5 acres of land in Kadathi village, Harapanahalli taluk Bellary district as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1434—S. O. 2042

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order—II No. HD 45 TMI 79.

Bangalore, dated 21st May 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing Registration No. MYM 6138 has vested in the State Government.

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Kanataka Act 21 of 1976) and in supersession of Order-II No. HD 135 TMI 78 dated 22nd January 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1121—S. O. 2043

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 98 LGP 78(3)

Bangalore, dated 2nd May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-rules (1) and (2) of rule 24 of the Karnataka Land Grant Rules, 1969, the Government of Karnataka hereby constitutes Consultative Committee for each of the Taluks in the District of Bellary, specified in column (2) of the Schedule below, consisting of the official and non-official members for the period ending September 1979 and nominates the official and non-official members specified in column (3) thereof as Members of the said Consultative Committee.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Name of the Taluk	Names of Members
1	2	3
1	Hospet Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Hospet Taluk, Hospet 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Hospet 3 Range Forest Officer, Hospet Shriyuths : 4 M. Bhaamappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hospet Taluk 5 G. Mahaboob Sab, 5th Ward, Hospet 6 K. R. Honnappa B.A. (SC) Hospet 7 A. Badakajjappa, Agriculturist, 14th Ward, Hospet.
2	Harapanahalli Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Harapanahalli Taluk, Harapanahalli 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Harapanahalli. 3 Range Forest Officer, Harapanahalli Shriyuths : 4 E. Yamanappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Harapanahalli 5. Koravara Hanumanthappa, Chigauru Village, Harapanahalli Taluk 6 N. Kotrappa (SC) Hostel Secretary, Harapanahalli 7 Dr. A. Viswanatha Shastry, Nandebevour village, Harapanahalli

1	2	3
3	Sandur Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Sandur Taluk, Sandur 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Sandur 3 Range Forest Officer, Sandur Shriyuths : 4 S. A. Mallappa Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Sandur Taluk 5 Y. Thimmappa, Agriculturist, Sandur 6 Rudroji Rao, Sandur 7 Harijan Tayappa (SC) Bhyanganagar, Sandur Taluk.
4	Hadagali Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Hadagali taluk, Hadagali 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Hadagali 3 Range Forest Officer, Hadagali Shriyuths : 4 Rahim Saheb, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hadagali Taluk 5 H. Dasappa, Here-Hadagali, Hadagali Taluk 6 Kuruvateppa Budunur, Hadagali Taluk 7 H Basappa (SC) Tulahalli, Hadagali Taluk
5	Siruguppa Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Siruguppa Taluk, Siruguppa 2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department Siruguppa 3 Range Forest Officer, Siruguppa Shriyuths : 4 B. L. Pampapathy, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Siruguppa Taluk 5 M. Marappa, (SC) Ex-Taluk Development Board; Member, Tekkalakote Siruguppa Taluk

1	2	3
		6 B. Virupanna, Ex-Village Panchayat Chairman, Naadugu, Siruguppa Taluk
		7 Narayanaswamy, Sirugappa
6	Bellary Taluk	1 Tahsildar, Bellary taluk, Bellary,
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Wor s Department, Bellary
		3 Range Forest Officer, Bellary
		Shriyuths :
		4 M. Jayanna, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Bellary taluk
		5 Channal Neelakantappa, Kurugodu, Bellary Taluk
		6 Dharmakarta Hanumanthappa (Scheduled Caste) Babujinagar, Bellary
		7 M. Chinnaramappa, Mariswamy Math, Bellary
7	Hagaribommanahalli taluk	1 Tahsildar, Hagaribommanahalli taluk, Hagaribommanahalli
		2, Assistant Executive Engineer, Public Works Department, Hagaribommanahalli
		3 Range Forest Officer, Hagaribommanahalli
		Shriyuths :
		4 M. S. Venkatesh, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Hagari bommanahalli taluk
		5 Harijan Ramappa, (Scheduled Caste) Pinjarhegdal, Hagaribommanahalli taluk
		6 Pampanna, Seeganahalli, Hagaribommanahalli taluk
		7 Shambulingangowda Sonna, Hagaribommanahalli taluk
8	Kudligi taluk	1 Tahsildar, Kudligi taluk Kudligi
		2 Assistant Executive Engineer. Public Works Deoartment, Kudligi
		3 Range Forest Officer, Kudligi

1	2	3
		Shriyuths :
		4 V. Muttappa, Vice-President, Taluk Development Board, Kudligi taluk
		5 M. Thippendrappa, Agriculturist, Kottur, Kudligi taluk
		6 Kariyal Das (Scheduled Caste), Ex Member, Town Panchayat Board, Kudligi Taluk.
		7 B. Kothlappa, Agriculturist, Kudligi taluk

The Tahsildar of Taluks shall be the Chairman and Convener of the respective Consultative Committee.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. MALLAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1028—S.O. 2044

Notification No. RD 46 TRM 78.
Bangalore, dated 30th April 1979.

Whereas there is failure of crops in the villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below, owing to inadequate rainfall and the average cropwise yield per acre is less than 25% in the said villages ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it necessary to remit the whole of the land revenue payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of lands in the said villages ;

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by item (ii) of sub-section (1) of section 194 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) read with Rule 147-C (1) of the Karnataka Land Revenue Rules, 1966, the Government of Karnataka hereby remits the whole of the land revenue amounting to Rs. 1,16,770-27 payable for the year 1976-77 in respect of the lands in 85 villages specified in column (2) of the schedule below situated in Kudligi taluk of Bellary district.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Villages		Land Revenue
1	2		3
	Kudligi Hobli :		
1	Kudligi	...	3,134 24
2	Shivapur	...	2,786-99
3	Hirebeggadal	...	2 317 58
4	Boppalapur	...	938-74
5	Moraba	...	1,536 47
6	Ammanakeri	...	1,633-60
7	Bhoothinal	...	1,308-32
8	Nagalapur	...	794-83
9	Kuppanakeri		1,473-03
10	Sarvvanaballi	...	280-84
11	Kakkuppi	...	896-74
12	A. D. Gudda	...	880-31
13	Gundinahole	...	426-68
	Kottur Hobli :		
14	Kottur	...	6,298-31
15	Ujjini	...	3.682-49
16	J. Kudligi	...	3 665-14
17	Kalapura	...	1,998-15
18	Chinibi	...	1,012-80
19	Rampura	...	2 892 30
20	Doopadahalli	...	1,765-30
21	Gajapura	...	1,214-05
22	H. V. Halli	...	1,244-34
23	Kevoor	...	1,316-35
24	Thimmalapura	...	1,017-24
25	Hyaya	...	3,672-62
26	Hampapura	...	683-34
27	Toolahalli	...	3,763-23
28	Kodihalli		640-15
29	S. N. Halli	...	422-01
30	K. Ayanahalli	...	3,487-73
31	Chapparadahalli	...	1,218-94
32	Kondagal	...	851-86
33	Kanyakarakatti	...	2,291-34
34	Nagarakatti	...	4,488 70
	Hosahalli Hobli :		
35	Banvikal	...	1,330-64
36	K. M. Halli	...	1,219-98
37	Sooldahalli	...	1.928-91

1	2		3
38	Amalapura	...	758-08
39	Naganakatti	...	1,794-83
40	Pujar-halli	...	810-12
41	P Kota	...	190 45
42	Sunkadakallu	...	888-54
43	Lokikeri	...	735-60
44	J. B. Halli	...	644-69
45	Bellakatti	...	1,239-66
46	Herakbhavi		1,562-91
47	Kyasankeri	...	244-43
48	Mangapura	...	940-09
49	H. K. Kunta	...	1,221-36
50	B. T. Gudda	...	908-49
51	Chowdapura	...	1,363-12
52	Hosahalli	...	1,610-91
53	Halikeri	...	1,271-12
54	Alur	...	1,408-92
55	R. Halli	...	266-04
56	Imadapura	...	32-94
57	C. Imadapura	...	24-26
58	Kallahalli	...	813 96
59	Hudem		1,777-74
60	Thippehalli	...	786-16
61	Nimbalgeri	...	1,818-13
	Gudekota Firka Hobli :		
62	Gudekota	...	1,845-54
63	Kaespura	...	1,847-31
64	Halasagara	...	1,495-59
65	Appenahalli	...	753-17
66	Ramdaurga	...	1,287-89
67	Belligatta	...	1,152-45
68	Hulikunta	...	487-10
69	Kuderadura	...	210-37
70	Jormali	...	623-32
71	Harudi	...	577-09
72	Kadekola	...	1,648-97
73	Mukanaduku	...	1,313-29
74	M..B Iyyanahalli	...	661-15
75	Gundamunugu	...	1,751-73
76	Hurlihal	...	1,636-63
77	Chirtagundu	...	674-48
78	Kombihalli	...	3-19
79	Meenakeri	...	1,036-60
80	Kumati	...	1,111-90

1	2		3
81	G. B. Halli	...	947-88
82	Sidegal	...	584-82
83	Hekkigadi	...	657-71
84	Hundihalli	...	528-85
85	Yeralinganahalli	...	716-85

Grand Total Rs. 1,16,770-27

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

966—S.O. 2045

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 171 LCE 79
Bangalore dated 9/13th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Karnataka Civil Courts Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act No. 21 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka in consultation with the High Court of Karnataka, hereby makes the following amendment to the Government Notification No. LAW 71 LCE 78 dated 15th September 1978 regarding the appointment of Additional District and Sessions Judge, Mysore published as S. O. 2349 in Part-IV-Section 2-C (ii) of the Gazette Extraordinary dated 16th September 1978.

AMENDMENT

In the Notification No. LAW 71 LCE 78 dated 15th September 1978 for the words "one year" the words "two years" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. NAIK,
Under Secretary to Government,
Department of Law and Parliamentary Affairs
(Administration)

17.0—S. O. 2047

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 71 LRA 78 (P) I.

Bangalore, dated 15th May 1979.

In exercsie of the powers conferred under sub-section (3) of Section 48 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 10 of 1962) as amended and in partial modification of Government Notification No. RD 71 LRA 78 dated 19th September 1978 the Government of Karnataka hereby reconstitute the Tribunal of Hasanagar Taluk, Shimoga District as under :

Chairman

1. Special Assistant Commissioner for Land Reforms, Sagar.

Members

2 Sri Sheernaly Chandrasekhar, MLA, Hosanagar
3. Sri A. Ganapathy Rao
4. Sri A. K. Kothanna, Ripponpet Hosanagar Taluk.
5. Sri Narayanappa, Kodur, Hosanagar Taluk.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

P. S. NAGARAJAN,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1065—S O. 2048

Notification No. RD 129 EST 79
Bangalore, dated 19th June 1979.

In exercise of the Powers conferred by Clause (d) of Sub-section (1) of Sec ion 88 of the Registration Act 1908 (Central Act 16 of 1908) the Government of Karnataka hereby specifies the Chairman, Improvement Board, Davanagere, for the purpose of the said sub-section.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

Y. B. CHINNAPPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1325—S. O. 2049

Notification No. RD 338 LRM 77
Bangalore, dated 6th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 77 of the Karnataka Land Reforms Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1962), the Government of Karnataka hereby authorises the Assistant Commissioners incharge of the revenue administration of the Revenue Sub-Divisions to grant under the said section surplus land within their respective revenue jurisdiction.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. N. RANGANATHAN,
Asst. Spl. Officer for Land Reforms and Ex-
Officio Under Secy. to Govt. Rev. Department.

1044—S. O. 2050

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC. 164. COF. 79
Bangalore, dated 27th July 1979.

In partial modification of Orders issued in Notification No. DPC 6 COF 73 (ii) dated 13th April 73, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint the Deputy Commissioner, Mysore District, Mysore to be the Chairman-Sri Mahadeshwara Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane Limited, Kollegal in place of Divisional Commissioner, Mysore Division Mysore, with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1654—S. O. 2051

GOVERNER'S SECRETARIAT

Order No. GS 42 BUM 79
Bangalore, dated 9th August 1979.

Whereas a number of representations have been made to me by some members of the various Students' Unions and Associations of the Bangalore University Colleges, alleging that large scale mistakes and errors have been committed in the tabulation and preparation of marks lists and also that there has been erratic evaluation of many answer scrips in respect of BA/BSc/B.com examinations conducted by the Bangalore University during 1979 and a number of news items and reports have also appeared in certain newspapers recently, alleging dissatisfaction in certain quarters against the working of the examination wing of the Bangalore University ;

And whereas the State Government has suggested an enquiry into the said allegations as also the working of the Examination Wing of the Bangalore University ;

And whereas I am also of the opinion that it is necessary to get them inquired into ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers vested in me under sub-section (1) of Section 8 of the Karnataka State Universities Act, 1976, I, GOVIND NARAIN, CHANCELLOR OF THE BANGALORE UNIVERSITY do hereby appoint Shri. Syed Basheer Ahmed, Commissioner for Public Instruction in Karnataka, Bangalore, for the purpose of making an enquiry into the allegations specified in the Annexure and submit a report thereon to me within a period of three months.

For the purposes of this enquiry, Shri Syed Basheer Ahmed will have access to and also the right to inspect all the relevant records, registers and other documents of the University and the concerned

authorities and officers of the University shall render all facilities to Shri Syed Basheer Ahmed, including accommodation, staff and equipment.

ANNEXURE

(i) To inquire into the allegations regarding the commission of the reported large-scale irregularities/mistakes/errors in the examination work connected with the BA/BSc/BCom examinations of the Bangalore University during 1979, including the alleged (a) erratic evaluation of many answer scripts pertaining to these examinations; (b) large scale mistakes/errors in the work relating to tabulation and preparation of marks lists, etc.;

(ii) To investigate and report the reasons due to which and the circumstances under which such irregularities/mistakes/errors, if found, occurred;

(iii) To identify the persons who were responsible for such irregularities/mistakes/errors and also to assess the extent of their respective responsibilities therefor;

(iv) To suggest how the wrongs done to the students, if any, could be set right;

(v) To make suggestions for improving the working of the Examination Wing of the Bangalore University with a view to avoiding recurrence of similar complaints in future;

(vi) Any other matter incidental or ancillary to the above.

(Sd.) GOVIND NARAIN,
Governor of Karnataka & Chancellor,
Bangalore University.

By Order,
N. P. SINGH,
Secretary to Governor.

1713—S. O. 2052

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of K. S. R. T. C. Bangalore-27.

Award of the Presiding Officer Additional Labour, Court Bangalore, Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. 358/79-80 dated 21st May 1979 from Sri N. P. Kempegowda, Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, ADDITIONAL LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE.

Dated : 25th day of April 1979.

Present :

Shri N. P. Kempe Gowda, B A., B. L,,

Presiding Officer.

Reference No : 37 of 1978.

First Party :— Shri J. V. Thimme Gowda, Conductor, Badge No. 2998, Pargalore Division, K. S. R T. C. Jaladigere Village, Yediyur post, Kunigal Taluk Tumkur District.

Vs

Second Party : The Management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore–27

Appearances :—Sri A. V. Srinivas and Sri M. S. Ramachandra Rao, Advocates for the first party.
Sri G P Shivaprakash, Advocate, for the second party.

AWARD

1. An industrial dispute between the parties involving the following points has been referred to this court by the Government of Karnataka for adjudication in their Order No SWL 366 LLD 78 dated 18th May 1978 made under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

I Is the Management of K. S R T. C. Bangalore–27 justified in terminating the services of Shri J. V. Thimme Gowda, Conductor, No 2998 Bangalore Division w. e. f. 8th January 1975 ?

II If not, to what relief the said workman entitled ?''

2. In this court, the first party filed his claim statement and the second party filed their counter statement. The court treated the points of dispute formulated by the Government and referred to this court, as the issues for determination. Evidence led has been recorded and argument is heard.

3. The case of the first party is, in substance, this :

The first party was employed in the year 1969 by the second party as a temporary Conductor. On 8th December 1971, he was appointed as a probationery conductor against a clear vacancy, for a period of two years. That period was extented by six months after it expired on 7th December 1973. The first party continued to serve the second party honestly and deligently. On 20th December

1974, he was given three charge sheets for the alleged misconduct of absence from duty on 11th March 1974, 12th March 1974 and also non-collection of fares and non-issues of tickets to some passengers on 14th March 1974, 19th March 1974. The first party denied the charges Without holding any enquiry into those charges, his services came to be terminated on 8th January 1975 by an order. At that point of time, certain industrial dispute raised by the Labour Union of which the first party was a member, was pending before the Conciliation Officer. When the first party complained to that Officer about the termination of his services during the pendency of the conciliation, the second party made an order keeping in abeyance the order of termination of his services and simultaneously on order was made keeping him under suspension. That conciliation proceedings ended in failure. There upon, the second party made an order dated 12th February 1977 giving effect to the earlier order of 8th January 1975 terminating the services of the first party workmen. The termination order is, in effect, to punish him for the alleged misconduct without holding any enquiry and it is illegal and violative of the principles of natural justice, this has been an instance of virtimisation and unfair labour practice. It is not an order of discharge simplicitor but, is an order of dismissal made without any bonafides. Even if it is presumed that the order is one of discharge simpliciter, it is violative of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act. as it amounts to retrenchment and, therefore, it is liable to be set aside. After the termination of his services, the first party is unemployed and is undergoing great hardship.

4. The case put forth by the second party is in substance, this ;

The first party was appointed as a probationery conductor on 21st December 1972 for two years as per the Cadre and Recruitment Regulations of the second party. His appointment was purely tentative. During the period of probation, it was incumbent for the first party to prove to the reasonable satisfaction of the second party that he was fit and proper person to perform the duties for which he had offered the services. But the first party proved himself most unfit and unsuitable for service as a Conductor. The second party was dissatisfied and so discharged him with no malafides and without any stigma on the workman His conduct and character were also not found to be satisfactory. Though opportunities were offered to him to improve his conduct and efficiency he did not show any inclination to rectify his behaviour. Consequently, therefore, as per the terms of the appointment his services were terminated, and, this would not amount to dismissal for any misconduct. The discharge is perfectly in accordance with law and the first party has no legal right to compel the competent authority to hold an enquiry. The discharge would not also amount to retrenchment. Therefore, the first party is not entitled for any relief sought for by him.

5 I shall now proceed to record my findings on the points referred for adjudication and also my reasons therefor.

6. Point No. 1 :

It is an admitted fact that the first party was appointed as a probationary conductor with effect from 8th December 1971 and the period of his probation was two years. Ex. W-7 is the order of appointment issued to him. Ex M-2 is a copy of this order. It is now well settled that the Labour Court or the Industrial Tribunal has jurisdiction and power to go into question of justifiability or otherwise of the termination of the services even of a probationer if a dispute concerning such question is referred. The Supreme Court in the case of the Management of Broke Bond India (P) Ltd., Vs. Y. K. Cautam 1973 LAB. I.C. 1587, has laid down that even in the case of a probationer whose services have been terminated before the expiry of the probationary period without assigning any reasons in accordance with the terms of contract, an Industrial Tribunal can go into the question of the validity of the order of termination. It has been further pointed out that what has to be seen in such a case is whether the action of the employer is malafide or whether it amounts to victimisation of the employee or is an unfair lab ur practice, or is so capricious or unreasonable as it would lead to the inference that it has been passed for ulterior motives and is not in bonafide exercise of the power arising out of the contract. So, the second party had to place on record sufficient material to show that the order of discharge is one made bona fide after a fair assessment of the work of the first party as probationer and it is not intended to be punishment for any misconduct. They felt shy of producing any document to show the assessment of the work, character and conduct of the first party workman after he was appointed as a probationer, to point out to the court that the order is one of discharge simpliciter based on his performance during the period of probation. In fact, MW-1 Sri S. Linge Gowda, the then Deputy General Manager and Divisional Controller, Bangalore Division of the second party who made the order of discharge stated that there was a default file relating to the first party workman and after securing that file wherein there were also copies of the three charge sheets as per Ex W-2 to W-4 filed, he made the order of discharge considering that the first party workman was unfit for the job. That default file is not produced and it is not shown to the court that there was any assessment of his work by his superior and to show that there were any adverse remarks or orders passed against him. No explanation is also offered for having not produced that file. Under the circumstances, the mere assertion of MW-1 that, after examining the file, he made discharge order considering the first party workman as unfit for the job, cannot be a reasonable and sufficient material for me to hold that the order is *bona fide* and does not smell of victimisation or arbitrariness.

7 On the other hand, the way in which the charge sheets Ex. M-2 to M-4 came to be issued to the first party on one and the same day and the hurryed with which the order of discharge came to be made in about 20 days after issue of such charge sheets, indicate that the second party intended to make some ground to make an order of discharge. It is seen from Ex. WW-2 that, that charge sheet was issued in respect of his absence on two days in March 1974. The first party workman stated that he denied that charge. No other document is produced to show that he was absent on those two days with ut any permission No explanation is also offered for having not issued the charge sheet immediately after those alleged dates of absence. The charge sheet was actually issued nine months later. So also, the charge sheet Ex. W-3 was issued in relation to non-collection of fares and non-issue of tickets to some passengers on 19th July 1974. Like-wise, Ex. W-4 was issued on similar ground in respect of the date 11th September 1974. There is also considerable delay of more than three months In issuing those charge sheets. The Depot Manager who is stated to have issued those charge sheets, is not examin d to say that he actually detected the non-issue of tickets or the absence of the first party workman from duty or that he received any report from another official regarding the same. Under these circumstances, the very fact of issuing these charge sheets just two days before the order of discharge tend to show that the second party with a view to make some ground for discharge of the first party workman, issued the charge sheets. It may also be that because the first party workman was a probationer and as per the term of appointment he was liable to be discharged without assigning any reason the second party thought of discharging him by way of penalty for the offences complained of in the charge sheets without holding any enquiry. At any rate, the order of discharge is so arbitrary and capricious that it gives rise to a suspension that it might have been made with oblique motive of getting rid of the first party on some ground. The circumstance of issue of charge sheets just before the termination of his services, and the non-production of any evidence by the second party regarding the alleged unsatisfactory work and conduct of the first party workman, would in my opinion, give an impression that the discharge is by way of penalty or, at any rate, it is arbitrary. It is also pertinant to note here that the two years period of probation for the first party had come to a close on 7th December 1973 and thereafter it had been extended by another six months. That order extending probation period is at Ex. M 3. It is seen from this letter that the first party workman had been appointed on probation for two years from 8th December 1971 and his probation period was extended from 22nd April 1974 to 21st October 1976. It means that on the date when the order of discharge was made even the extended probationery period had come to a close, we have also the circumstance that the first party workman had been

discharged even when a labour dispute raised before the Conciliation by their union involving him also, was pending and when the first party workman had complained about it, the order of discharge was kept in abeyance and he was taken to duty, but soon the order as per Ex. W-5 was issued keeping him under suspension without at the same time ordering any enquiry against him. This again shows that the second party was bent upon some how getting rid of the first party workman. Under the circumstances, therefore, I am unable to hold that the discharge of the first party workman is discharge simpliciter and is justified. I hold that the management of the K S R.T.C. is not justified in terminating the services of the first party workman with effect from 8th January 1975.

8. As rightly contended for the first party even if the discharge of the first party workman is considered as discharge simpliciter, it nevertheless amounts to retrenchment within the meaning of Section 2 (oo) of the Industrial Disputes Act and as the retrechment is in contravention of Section 25F of that Act, it is liable to be set aside. There is considerable force in this contention. According to Section 2 (oo) of the Industrial Disputes Act, retrenchment means :

> The termination by the employer of the service of a workman for any reason whatsoever, otherwise than as a punishment inflicted by way of disciplinary action, but does not include—
>
> (a) voluntary retirement of the workman; or
>
> (b) retirement of the workman on reaching the age of superannuation if the contract of employment between the employer and the workman concerned contains a stipulation in that behalf ; or
>
> (c) termination of the service of a workman on the ground of conditioned ill-health.

The discharge of the first party workman would not come under the three exception mentioned above. It is undoubtedly the termination by the employer of the service of the first party for the supposed reason that his work was unsatisfactory. The termination for any reason amounts to retrenchment. It has been so hold in the case of State Bank of India Vs. N. Sundara Money 1976 LAB.I.C. 209. Therefore looked at from this angle, the termination of the services of the first party amounts to retrenchment. Since the second party has not complied with the provisions of Section 25F of the Industrial Disputes Act, the termination is invalid and is liable to be set aside. In any case, therefore, my finding on the first point is that the management of K.S.R.T.C. Bangalore-27, is not justified in terminating the services of Sri J.V. Thimme Gowda, Conductor, Badge No. 2998, Bangalore, Dn. with effect from 8th January 1975.

Point No. 2

9. In view of my finding on the first point as above, it must be held that the first party workmen is entitled for reinstatement. He is also entitled for back wages which in the circumstances of this case, I restrict to 30% of the wages he had last drawn. No. doubt, the first party workman has stated that he is not working any where after the termination of his services, but he has not stated that he is put to any hardship or that he has got any dependents who are also put to hardship. It may be that, he has some source of unearned income, and therefore, he is able to pull on without working any where Therefore, I think that the ends of justice would met if he is allowed back wages at thirty percent of his wages last drawn. On the second point, I hold that the first party workman is entitled to be reinstated under the second party as probationary Conductor and to recover back wages at thirty percent of his salary last drawn from 8th January 1975 till the date of his reinstatement, less the amount, if any, paid to him for this period as wages. The first party is also entitled to get his costs of this proceedings from the second party. The Reference is, thus awared and a copy of the Award will be submitted to the Government as per law.

Sd.

N. P. KEMPE GOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore.

"True Copy"

Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 161 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 15/19th June 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 336 LLD 78, dated 18th May 1978 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of K. S. R. T C Bangalore 27 was referred to the Additional Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication ;

And whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
(Labour A)

1705 S. O. 2053

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 112 FGL 79

Bangalore, dated 27th July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 6907 dated 2nd August 1910 issued by the Former Government of Bombay shall with effect from 1st day of August 1979 ceases to be part of the said Reserved Forest..

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F. S. No.	Area		Boundaries
Uttar Kannada	Sirsi	Kalli	8	A.G.A. 1-0-0	North East South West	F.S. No. 8-A

Remarks :

Formed into a separate S. No. viz 8-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F.S. No. 8-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1639—S. O. 2054

Notification No. FFD 138 FGL 79

Bangalore, dated 27th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the land specified in the schedule below, which forms a portion of the protected forest constituted in Government Notification No. S. 36/9/1764 (a) dated 24th April 1923 issued by the erstwhile Bombay Government shall with effect from the date of issue of this notification ceases to be a part of the said protected forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	Betta S No.	Area in Acre	Boundaries	
North Kanara	Sirsi	Idagundi	67	0-20-0	North West	Betta S. No. 67A.
					South East	Betta S. No. 67A and F.S. No. 14-A

Remarks :—Formed into a separate S. No. viz 67-B. The area still remaining in the protected forest being assigned B.S. No. 67-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1640—S.O. 2055

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of A.C.C. Vickers-Babcock Limited Shahabad Works, Shahabad Heavy Engineering, Shahabad, Gulbarga District.

Award of the Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore, Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. IT/737/78-79 dated 5-12-1978 from Sri F. L. F. Alvares, Presiding Officer Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL IN KARNATAKA, BANGALORE.

Dated 30th November, 1978

Present :

Shri F. L. F. Alvares, B.A., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

I.D. No. 30 of 1976.

I PARTY		*II PARTY*
The Workmen of A.C.C. Vickers-Babcock Ltd., Shahabad Works, Shahabad Heavy Engineering, Shahabad, Gulbarga District.	*Vs.*	The Management of A.C.C. Vickers-Babcock Ltd., Shahabad Works, Shahabad Heavy Engineering, Shahabad Gulbarga District.

APPEARANCES

For the I Party : Sri K. Ramadasan, Advocate, Bangalore.
For the II Party : Sri G. S. Ullal, Advocate, Bangalore.

ORDER OF REFERENCE

(Order No. SWL 773 LLD 76 dated 25th October 1976).

Orders on I.A. No. 1 and I.A. No. 2.

This is a Reference by the State Government issued in exercise of its powers under Section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947. The points of dispute referred for adjudication to this Tribunal is the following :—

"1. Are the Management of A.C.C. Vickers-Babcock Ltd., Shahabad Works, Shahabad/A.V.B. Shahabad Works Employees' Consumers Co-operative Society Ltd., Shahabad, justified in demoting Sri K. Chakrapani, Supervisor of the canteen with effect from 15-4-1976 from 'C' Grade Supervisor to 'E' Grade Vendor ?

2. If not, to what relief the abovesaid workman entitled ?"

2. Notice in respect of the above reference was taken out on the I Party *viz.*, the workman represented by the Joint Secretary, I.T.I. Trained and General Workers Union, Shahabad, Gulbarga District, and the II Party represented by the Personnel and the Administration Manager, A.C.C. Vickers-Babcock Ltd., Shahabad. Though the I Party was served with the notice of the Reference for appearance before the Tribunal on 24-11-1976, the I Party sent a telegram praying for an adjournment. The II Party entered appearance through Sri G. S. Ullal, Vice-President of the Karnataka Employers' Association. However, on the very same day (24-11-1976) a statement was received by post from K. Chakrapani, the workman mentioned in the points of dispute, in which, calling himself as the aggrieved workman, the said Chakrapani alleges as follows :—

He joined service in the Canteen as the Supervisor in 1966. Since he could not oblige the Directors of the Co-operative Society by indulging in malpractices, they started to harass him. The Joint Secretary of the Society asked him to make correction in the Ledger Book. Since he refused, a charge-sheet was fabricated and issued by the Society and later, he was demoted. The other alternative open to him was resignation. But, being a poorman, having a big family depending on him, he preferred to retain the job. When he informed the highest Authority

about the malpractices, thinking that he will get justice, the General Manager wrote on his application even without asking him anything that his removal from service will be recommended if he makes such reports. Then, finally, he approached the Trade Union to which he belongs. When the Trade Union took up his case, the Society fabricated a new charge-sheet and suspended him from duty with effect from 1-5-1976. No enquiry was held for about 5 months and so, he came from Shahabad to Bangalore by Padayatra and reached Bangalore on 6-9-1976. In the meanwhile, on 28-7-1976, the Society illegally dismissed him from service. He prays that a detailed enquiry in person may be held. Along with the above statement, K. Chakrapani also enclosed a statement showing the service conditions of the workers.

3. The statement of the II Party was filed on 6-9-1977. The II Party contended that the I Party workman was at no time an employee of the II Party and that the dispute raised in the Reference is not an Industrial Dispute. The I Party should be called upon to take a definite stand as to whether he was an employee of the II Party or of the A.V.B. Shahabad Works Employees' Consumers' Co-operative Society, Limited. Sometimes, the I Party-workman states that he is an employee of the said Society and at other times, that he was an employee of the II Party. Adopting the former stand, he resorted to Section 72 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act and sought relief from the Assistant Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Gulbarga. The Assistant Registrar recorded the finding that he was an employee of the Society and directed reinstatement. But, in the appeal filed by the Society before the Karnataka Appellate Tribunal, the said order of reinstatement was set aside and the I Party has been directed to bring a dispute in proper form under Section 72 of the Co-operative Societies Act. The Issue as to whether the disputes is an Industrial Dispute should be heard as a Preliminary Issue. As the II Party was at no time the employer of the I Party-workman, the averments and allegations made in his statement against the Society and its Directors are wholly irrelevant in so far as the II Party is concerned and all the said allegations in so far as they pertain to or involve the II Party are denied. The Reference may be rejected.

4. It may be stated here that the statement received on 24-11-1976 as indicated above was not from the I Party. As there was no response from the I Party, subsequent to the telegram referred to earlier for a long length of time, a notice was sent by Certificate of Posting to the I Party saying that the proceedings stand adjourned to 2-11-1977 for filing of the I Party's Rejoinder, if any, and also for document and witness lists of both the parties. The I Party remained absent on 2-11-1977 and on the subsequent hearing dates on 23-11-1977, 9-12-1977, 5-1-1978 and 4-2-78. However, on 4-2-1978, the I Party-workman, *viz.* K. Chakrapani, entered appearance through Counsel and filed an application under Section 11(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act read with Order 1 Rule 10(2) of the Code of Civil Procedure to permit the said Chakrapani to be substituted for the I Party in the proceedings. In the affidavit filed in support of the statement, the said K. Chakrapani stated that he is the workman involved in the Reference, that the question that is to be decided is whether his demotion from supervisor to vendor is legal or not, that since the dispute was raised by the Union of which he was a Member, the reference has been made in the name of the Union and that since the Union, *viz.*, the I Party, does not appear to be interested in the proceedings, he should be allowed to contest the case, by substituting him for the I Party and that, if he is not so represented in the proceedings, he will be at great disadvantage.

5. The II Party filed its. objections contending that the application filed by K. Chakrapani (which has been numbered as I.A. No. 1) is not maintainable because he has no locus standi. The present reference is not a matter regarding termination of service and hence, outside the purview of Section 2(a) of the Industrial Disputes Act. The II Party also made mention of the fact that the Union, which is stated to have sponsored the dispute, as no time, attended the Tribunal's hearings for the last 15 months and also has not filed even a claim statement and that, under the circumstances, this is a fit case where the reference should be rejected for non prosecution. The decision in Ram Prasad Vishwakarma *vs.* Industrial Tribunal and others (1961 I LLJ 504) was also cited and relied upon in the objections.

6. On the same day on which the II Party filed the above objections, another Application, numbered as I.A. No. 2, was filed by the said K. Chakrapani. requesting that he may be impleaded as the necessary Party in the proceedings in the interest of justice. The averments made by the said K. Chakrapani in the affidavit enclosed to this petition are more or less the same as what he had made in the affidavit filed earlier by him along with I.A. No. 1.

6A. This fresh application was also opposed by the II Party by filing objections raising more or less the same grounds. The II Party contended that Sri K. Chakrapani is neither a necessary nor a proper party and that the Tribunal has no jurisdiction under Section 11 of the Industrial Disputes Act to direct that additional parties be impleaded.

7. The proceedings, thereafter, underwent several adjournments, mostly at the instances of K. Chakrapani and his Counsel on the ground of Councel's absence/inconvenience and inability to secure the full text of the decision of the Andhra Pradesh High Court reported in 1977(1) A.P.L.J. 426 as seen from certain digest of reported cases. On another occasion, K. Chakrapani represented that the very same decision has been reported in the short notes in 1977 Lab. I.C. (N.O.C.) 55. The II Party's Counsel relied solely upon the Supreme Court's decision in Ram Prasad Vishwakarma's case and concluded the argument.

8. During his arguments addressed subsequently, the Counsel for the Applicant, Chakrapani, has also relied upon Gambhirji *vs.*-Bind Basni Prasad (S) AIR 1955 369.

9. The point that arises for consideration is whether K. Chakrapani is entitled to be substituted for the I Party brought on record as an Additional necessary party as prayed for by him in I.A. Nos. 1 and 2 respectively.

10. As clearly admitted by K. Chakrapani, the dispute was sponsored by the I Party *viz.*, the Union to which K. Chakrapani is a Member.

11. It is clearly laid down by the Supreme Court in Ram Prasad Vishwakarma's case that a dispute between an individual workman and the employer cannot be an industrial dispute as defined under Section 2(k) of the Industrial Disputes Act unless

it is taken up by an Union of the workmen or by a considerable number of workmen and that further, the necessary corollary to this is that individual workman is at no stage a party to the industrial dispute independently of the Union. The Supreme Court has further observed that the Union of those workmen, who have by their sponsoring turned the individual dispute into an industrial dispute, can, therefore, claim to have a say in the conduct of the proceedings before the Tribunal. This was a case where the workman involved in the dispute made an application before the Industrial Tribunal praying that two of his co-workers, who were named by him, might be allowed to represent his case before the Tribunal instead of the Secretary of the Union, Fateh Singh, and that he did not want Fateh Singh to help him in the case as he had no faith in him. By this application, the workman in question sought to overcome the compromise petition which was entered into by the Management and the Union and filed before the Court. When this application filed by him was dismissed by the Tribunal, the workman in question filed a fresh petition stating that he had not authorised Fateh Singh to enter into any agreement in his case and requesting that the compromise filed be not accepted. This application was also rejected and the Tribunal passed an Award in terms of the compromise petition. After posing the question as to whether the workman in question was entitled to separate representation in spite of the fact that the Union which had espoused his cause was being represented by its Secretary, Fateh Singh, the Supreme Court observed as indicated earlier and rejected the Appeal which was filed by the workman in question.

12. The counsel appearing for the Applicant K. Chakrapani, however, tried to distinguish the Supreme Court's decision by pointing out that in that case, the Union was actively on record and had actually taken part in the negotiations pending before the Tribunal and finally entered into a compromise which was challenged by the workman concerned as not binding on him, that, in the present case, the I party Union has forwarded a memo saying that since the concerned workman, *viz.*, K. Chakrapani, has made his own arrangements to conduct his case in the proceedings, the I Party has no objection and fully supports the stand taken by the workman.

13. This memo purports to have been signed by one Wahid and is dated as 1-7-1978 at Shahabad. It was filed before the Tribunal on the very same day 1-7-1978. In that way, Wahid is connected with the I Party Union, it is not clear. Even if the memo is treated as genuine, the case of Chakrapani to get himself substituted/impleaded does not improve for independently of the I Party Union, he has no locus standi. When the memo states that the I Party Union has no objection, obviously, to the separate arrangements made by K. Chakrapani to conduct this case, it does not follow that the I Party Union has withdrawn itself from the dispute. Therefore, the memo dated 1-7-1978 is of no legal consequence in favour of K. Chakrapani's plea that he should be allowed to be impleaded/substituted so that he can represent himself.

14. The counsel appearing for the parties have been heard further on the full context of the decision in 1977 Lab. I.C. (N.O.C.) 55 which has been reported subsequently at 1978 (53) F.J.R. 238 (Minerals & Metals Trading Corporation of India *vs.* D. V. Lingam). Following the observations of the Supreme Court in the Bombay Union of Working Journalists *vs.* The Hindu (1961 (21) F.J.R. 207), it was held that if there is no industrial dispute at all and the Labour Court has no Jurisdiction to proceed with the dispute, it is not possible to conceive of a situation where the Tribunal can be justified in exceptional circumstances to permit the workman to be represented by other persons as observed by the Supreme Court and that, further, the very fact that this exception was made by the Supreme Court will indicate that the Supreme Court was not of the view that the dispute ceased to be an industrial dispute when the Union or the workmen chose to withdraw from the contest. The question that falls for consideration is whether there is such withdrawal by the Union and there are exceptional circumstances to permit the workman, namely, the Applicant Chakrapani, to be represented by other persons. In the present case, the workman—the Applicant Chakrapani, is not a party to the reference. Before the question of permitting the workman—Applicant Chakrapani, to be represented by other persons, the workman Applicant Chakrapani should himself be a party to the proceedings. A change in his representation by the I Party Union

as per the reference would not therefore arise. As noticed earlier, apart from the memo dated 1-7-1978 filed by Wahid not being established as emanating from the I Party Union, there is no withdrawal from the contest by the I Party Union as per the said memo. All that is stated in the said memo is that the Applicant Chakrapani having made his own arrangements to conduct his case, the I Party has no objection and fully supports his stand. Further, exceptional circumstances are required to be made out by the Applicant Chakrapani. One of the ways in which exceptional circumstances to permit the Applicant Chakrapani to come on record by himself can arise would be the withdrawal from the contest by the I Party Union. When the memo dated 1-7-1978, the I Party Union has not specifically stated that it is no more interested in conducting the case any further and intends to withdraw from the contest and has also not sought any order permitting its withdrawal from the contest, this Tribunal would not be competent to treat the memo as withdrawal by the I Party Union and grant permission in that behalf. Such being the state of affairs, it is difficult to hold that there are exceptional circumstances to permit the Applicant Chakrapani to be represented by himself by allowing him to come on record as a party to these proceedings, or to be represented by others as substitutes for the I.Party Union after being so brought on record as a party to the proceedings.

15. In the result, the applications at I.A. Nos, 1 and 2 are rejected.

AWARD

16. The reference relates to the year 1976 and nearly 2 years have elapsed since the notice of the receipt of the reference, was served on the I Party. Except a telegram received on 23-11-1976 from the I Party Union's Secretary requesting for adjournment to file the claim statement, the I Party has not chosen to file any statement even though a copy of the II Party's statement was forwarded to it as long back as on 11-10-1977 with a direction to file its Rejoinder, if any, on the adjourned date of hearing on 2-11-1977. It is clear that the I Party is not interested in the dispute any longer though it has not clearly indicated its intention to withdraw from the contest so as to enable further steps to be taken.

17. The Reference is therefore rejected for want of prosecution by the I Party.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed by him and corrected by me).

(Sd.)
(F. L. F. ALVARES),
30-11-78.
Presiding Officer,
Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 293 LLA, 78

Bangalore, dated the 25-10-1976—1-1-1979.

Whereas by State Govt. Order No. SWL 773 LLD 76 dated 25-10-76 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of A.C.C. Vickers-Babcock Ltd., Shahabad Works, Shahabad Heavy Engineering, Shahabad, Gulbarga District, was referred to the Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication.

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

(M. VASUDEVAIAH)
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

P. R. No. 4
Part IV 2C(ii)

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of Pallakarai Estate, Siddápur Post, Kodagu Dsitrict.

Award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Mysore, published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. L. C. MYS/AWARD/1125/78-79, dated 30th December 1978 from Sri P. B. Chaugule, Presiding Officer Labour Court, Mysore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, MYSORE

Dated 29th December, 1978.

Present :
Shri P. B. Chaugule, B.A. (Hons) LL.B.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 112/1978.

I Party : Workmen represented by the General Secretary, The Coorg District Plantation and General Labour Union, Madappa Buildings, Siddapur.

Vs.

II Party : The Management of Pallakarai Estate, Siddapur Post, Coorg District.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka by their Order No. SWL 554(2) LLD 75 dated 14th November, 1975 have referred the following points of dispute to this court for adjudication :—

POINTS OF DISPUTE

" Whether the management of Pallakarai Estate, Siddapur Post, Coorg District is justified in refusing to provide employment to Sri Sidda, Maistry of their Estate with effect from 15-4-1975 ?

If not, is the workman entitled for reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service or to any other relief ? "

The claim-statement filed by the I party workman is as follows :—The I party workman was appointed as a labourer in the Coffee Estate of the II party management in the year 1961 on daily wages. In the year 1968, he was promoted as Maistry and in that capacity, he worked till 15-4-1975 on which date, the II party management stopped him from work without assigning any reasons. At the time of stopping his work, he was paid Rs. 4-70 paise per day as wages. Since the date of termination he is unemployed. The II party management has not paid the worker the bonus for the year 1974-75 which he is entitled to get at the rate of 20% per annum. The action of the management is *primafacie* unsustainable because they have not conducted any enquiry before stopping him from work or have given him any opportunity to explain himself. It is submitted that the stappage of work of the first party workman from 15-4-1975 amounts to illegal termination which has to be set aside and the second party management may be directed to reinstate the first party workman with back wages, bonus and leave with wages and continuity of service as Maistry.

The second party management has filed the counterstatement to the following effect :—The first party workman was working as a daily wage workman from 1-10-1965 till 13-4-1975. On 13-4-1975, the first party workman expressed a desire to leave the service voluntarily and to go elsewhere. Thereupon his account was looked into and settled at Rs. 800 for which he has passed a receipt on the same day. It is not true that the first party workman was working under the second party management from 1961. Whatever was due to the first party workman was paid to him and he has accepted the same in full settlement of all his claims against the second party management. In the circumstances stated above, the second party

*

management denies that any other further sum is due to the first party workman. The rate of bonus claimed is not correct. The bonus has been paid at 13% of the wages. It is denied that the termination of the service of the first party workman is illegal. There was no termination as such. It was a case of resignation voluntarily. As per the desire of the first party workman, he was allowed to leave the service under the second party management on the receipt of a sum of Rs. 800. For these reasons, the reference may be rejected.....

On the above pleadings; the following issues were framed :—

1. Whether Sidda was appointed as labour in the coffee estate of the II party in the year 1961 on daily wages and in that capacity worked there till 1968 ?
2. Whether Sidda was promoted as Maistry in the year 1968 by the II party and in that capacity worked till 15-4-1975 ?
3. Whether the II party stopped Sidda from work on 15-4-1975 and terminated his services without assigning any reasons and at the time of stopping his work Sidda was paid Rs. 4.70 as daily wages by the II party ?
4. Whether Sidda was working as daily wage workman from 1-10-1965 till 13-4-1975 ?
5. Whether Sidda expressed to leave voluntarily the service under the II party, thereupon his account was looked into and he was paid Rs. 800 for which he has passed a receipt in full settlement of all his claims against the II party and it was a case of voluntary resignation ?
6. Whether it was a case of illegal termination and Sidda is entitled for reinstatement with back wages ?

It is the case of the first party workman that he was appointed as a labourer in the Coffee Estate of the second party management on daily wages in the year 1961 in which capacity he worked there till the year 1968. In that year, he was promoted as maistry and in that capacity, he worked till 15-4-1975 on which date the second party management stopped

him from work *i.e.*, terminated his services without assigning any reasons. As against this, it is the case of the second party management that the first party workman was appointed as a labourer on 1-10-1965 and in that capacity, he was working till 13-4-1975. On that date *i.e.*, 13-4-1975, the workman expressed a desire to leave the services. Accordingly his accounts were settled at Rs. 800 and he was paid Rs. 800 for which he has passed a receipt and there was no termination of services, but it was a case of voluntarily resignation.

The first party workman examined himself as W.W. 1 and closed his case. While the second party management examined three witnesses M.W. 1 to M.W. 3 and closed his evidence. The first party workman got marked the copy of the receipt dated 13-4-1975 as Ex. P. 1. While the second party management got marked the original receipt dated 13-4-1975 as Ex. R. 1. Neither the first party workman nor the second party management has adduced any evidence to show in which year the first party workman was appointed as a labourer. Therefore we are not in a position to know whether the first party workman was appointed as a labourer in 1961 as contended by the first party workman or he was appointed in the year 1965 as stated by the second party management. M.W. 3 Gangamma who is the power of attorney holder of the second party management has no doubt denied that the first party workman was promoted as a labour supervisor in the year 1968. According to her, there is no post of labour supervisor in their estate. However, her witness M.W. 1 Bheemaiah who was working as a writer in the Estate has clearly admitted that the first party workman was working as a maistry *i.e.*, Labour Supervisor. The second party management could have produced documents to show that the first party workman was working as a labourer till 13-4-1975. Therefore it has to be held that the first party workman was promoted as a Labour Supervisor and during the year 1975, he was working as a Labour Supervisor. In the absence of evidence, we are not in a position to know whether the first party workman was promoted as Labour Supervisor in the year 1968. However, it is clear from the evidence of the M.W. 1 Bheemaiah that the first party workman was working as a Labour Supervisor in the year 1975. According to the first party

workman, he was paid Rs. 4-75 paise as wages per day when he was stopped from work. The said fact has not been disputed by M. W. 3 Gangamma. Therefore it cannot be taken that the first party workman was paid Rs.4-75 paise as daily wages in the year 1975. The next and crucial question for our consideration is whether on 13-4-1975, the first party workman expressed a desire to leave the services under the second party management voluntarily and accordingly accounts were settled at Rs. 800 and he was paid the said amount under the Receipt Ex. R. 1 dated 13-4-1975. No doubt the receipt Ex. R. 1 mentions that the first party workman was voluntarily resigning his job and he had received Rs. 800 from the second party management in full settlement of his claims. The first party workman W.W. 1 Sidda has not only denied the execution of Ex. R. 1, but he has also denied the Receipt of Rs. 800. The second party management has examined M.W. 1 Bheemaiah and M.W. 2 Basavaraj to prove the execution of the receipt Ex. R. 1 and the payment of Rs. 800 to the first party workman. They are the attesting witnesses to Ex. R. 1. M.W. 1 Bheemaiah in chief examination itself has stated that the proprietor brought the receipt Ex. R. 1 to him and told him that he had paid Rs. 800 to the first party workman in final settlement of his claims. N .W. 1 has clearly stated that he was not present when Rs. 800 was paid to the first party workman and that he did not see the first party workman signing on the Ex. R. 1. It is thus clear that the evidence of M.W. 1 does not support the second party management. In his cross-examination, he also admitted that M. W. 2 Basavaraj did not sign on Ex. R. 1 in his presence. He made it clear that he and M.W. 2 Basavaraj did not attest Ex.R. 1 at the same time.

M.W. 2 Basavaraj has no doubt supported the case of the second party management. But it is to borne in mind that formerly he was working as a domestic servant in the household of the second party management and now he has been working as a cooly in the estate of the second party management. Therefore he is an interested witness. In his cross-examination, he has stated that M.W. 1 Bheemaiah and one Kariyappa were present at the time of payment to the first party workman. But M. W. 1 Bheemaiah has denied about his present, when the

amount was paid to the first party workman. The other witness Kariyappa has not been examined by the second party management. Apart from these inconsistencise in the evidence of M.W. 1 and M.W. 2, I find that the ink of the thumb impression of the I party workman and the ink of thumb impression of M.W. 2 Basavaraj on Ex. R. 1 are different. The said inconsistencises of materail aspect of the case have not at all been explained by M.W. 3 Gangamma. M.W. 3 Gangamma argued that M.W. 1 Bheemaiah had not supported her case, as he was won over by the first party workman. If that was the fact, then the second party management could have treated him as hostile witness and could have cross-examined him. Therefore, I am unable to accept the said argument. The difference in the ink of the thumb impressions of the first party workman Sidda and M.W. 2 Basavaraj on Ex. R. 1 are also not explained by M.W. 3 Gangamma in her evidence. For the foregoing reasons, I hold that the second party management has not proved the execution of the receipt Ex. R. 1 and the payment of Rs. 800 to the first party workman by cogent and satisfactory evidence. The first party workman has stoutly denied the execution of the receipt Ex. R. 1 as well as the payment of Rs. 800/- to him. It is seen from the evidence that the management had lodged a criminal case against the first party workman alleging that he had assaulted M.W. 2 Basavaraj who was working in their house as domestic servant. But no criminal case was filed by the police against the first party workman. Being aggrieved by the same, it appears that the management stopped the first party workman from work and got up the receipt Ex. R. 1 without making any payment to the first party workman. No accounts are produced by the second party management to prove the payment of Rs. 800/- to the first party workman. Therefore the case of the second party management that the first party workman voluntarily resigned the job and the accounts were settled at Rs. 800/- and the same was paid to the first party workman under Ex. R. 1 cannot be accepted. It is therefore clear that the second party management had illegally terminated the service of the first party workman and the same is liable to be set aside. In the result, I pass an award directing the second party management to reinstate the first party workman in service in

his original post *i.e.*, Labour Supervisor with 25% of back wages and continuity of service. The second party management shall pay cost of Rs. 50 to the first party workman.

(Sd.)
P. B. CHAUGULE,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mysore.

Order No. SWL/18/LLA/79, Bangalore Dated the 15th Jan. 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 554(2) LLD 78 dated 14-11-1975 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Pallakarai Estate, Siddapur Post, Kodagu District was referred to the Labour Court, Mysore for adjudication ;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mysore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, Therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. C. GANGADHARA GUPTA,
I/c Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

P. R. No. 220

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA
SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the Workman and the Management of M/S. Padival Bros., Bunder, Mangalore-1

Award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Mangalore-Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. A/ID/3/77 dated 28-10-1978 received from Sri C. N. Rajan, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore.

IN THE LABOUR COURT AT MANGALORE

Present :

Sri C. N. Rajan, B.Sc., B.L.,
Presiding Officer.

Saturday, the 21st day of October, 1978.

Reference (ID) (LCM) No. : 3 of 1977.

Between :—

I Party : Sri B. Sundar Bhat, Near Subramannya Sadan, Mangalore-3.

Vs

II *Party :* The Management of M/s. Padival Brothers Bundur, Mangalore-1.

ORDER ON PRELIMINARY ISSUES

1. In this reference made under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, the Government of Karnataka have referred for the determination of this court the following points of dispute arising between the workman—Sri B. Sundar Bhat and the Management of M/s. Padival Brothers, Bunder, Mangalore-1.

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. " Are the Management of M/s. Padival Brothers; Bunder, Mangalore, justified in refusing employment to Sri B. Sundar Bhat, with effect from 1-6-1976, *i.e.*, from the date of proceeding on leave from 1-6-1976 ?

II. If not, what relief the said employer entitled to ?"

2. The claim of the workman in regard to the above dispute is that he had been writing the accounts of II Party Firm since the year 1970 and continued doing so till and inclusive of 31-5-1976 ; that his last drawn salary was Rs. 325 per month ; that he could not attend to his duty with effect from 1-6-1976 as he was suffering from asthama and chest pain ; that since he was advised to take rest while under treatment, the I Party addressed a leave application dated 3-6-1976 to the II Party and entered on leave with effect from 1-6-1976 to 15-7-1976 ; that the said application was sent by post to the II Party ; that as he had not recovered from his ailment by 15-7-1976, the I Party sought extension of leave on the Doctor's advice and sent an application on 19-7-1976 accompanied by a Medical Certificate and also sought the remittance of his salary for May and June 1976 by Money Order at his expense ; that in reply the II Party denied his liability to pay and made several allegations against the I Party ; that the I Party reported for duty on 12-8-1976 with a fitness certificate from the Assistant Surgeon, Welnock Hospital, Mangalore, but the II Party abused him and refused work to him saying that there was no work for him in his establishment; that the I Party continuously presented himself for works from 12-8-1976 to 26-8-1976 but was refused work and finally the II Party by his letter dated 26-8-1976, informed the I Party that it had been considered by him that the I Party had abandoned his services from 15-5-1976 since no leave was sanctioned since that date. He has prayed for (a) holding the refusal of emplomcnt to the I Party by the II Party with effect from 1-6-1976 is not justified ; (b) reinstating the I Party in employment with effect from 1-6-1976 with continuity of service therefrom and consequential benefits ; (c) payment of earned salary and back wages with effect from 15-5-1976 ; and (d) award of Costs.

3. The contentions of the II Party are that the dispute now raised is false, vexations and unsustainable under law or on facts ; that the II Party establishment is only a "Shop." as defined under the Karnataka Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1961 and not an "industry" the dispute. The facts

that the I Party was writing accounts of the II Party since 1970 and was drawing a salary of Rs. 325/- per month while in service are admitted. It is also alleged that the I Party was taking handloans off and on during his service and that as on 15-5-76, a total sum of Rs. 3100 was due from the I Party to the II Party that suddenly, the I Party absented himself from duty from the afternoon of 15-5-1976 without leave or permission and even without leaving his adress ; that the II Party treated the I Party as having left and abandoned his service under the II Party of his own accord, that at that stage, the II Party was surpresed to receive a letter dated 19-7-1976 from the I Party along with a certificate purpoting to have been issued by a doctor ; that the II Party replied to the I Party as per letter dated 27-7-1976 asking him report for duty within 30 days of the receipt of the notice of the letter and produce a medical certificate from the District Medical Officer, Mangalore ; that the I Party wrote letter dated 12-8-1976 making false allegations against the II Party ; that the II Party promptly replied as per his letter dated 26-8-1976 ; that as the I Party had past the age of 60 years in 1976 itself the relief of reinstatment cannot be granted and that the I Party is not entitled to any relief. It is prayed that the reference may be rejected with costs.

4. On the basis of the pleadings, in addition to the Points of dispute refered, the following additional issues have been framed for determination, namely,—

1. Whether the II Patry proves that the establishment is a 'Shop' defined under the Karnataka Shops and Establishments Act ?

2. Whether the I Party proves that the II Party establishment is an industry and that this court has got jurisdiction to entertain the dispute ?

3. Whether the II Party refused employment to the I Party workman from 1-6-1976 ?

4. Whether the I Party abandoned the services of the II Party as alleged ?

5. Whether the II Party proves that the I Party workman was working in some other establishments in Mangalore during his period of absence ?

6. What relief ?

5. The additional issues 1 and 2 have been treated and heard as perliminary issues as the have a bearing on the jurisdiction of this Court. Only M.W. 1 has been examined and Exhibit M. 1 has been marked in evidence in respect of issues 1 & 2. No evidence has been adduced on behalf of the workman. Arguments addressed have been duly heard.

6. My findings on additional issues 1 and 2 are as follows for the reasons hereinafter set out :—

Additional issue No. 1—Yes.

Additional issue No. 2.

7. Additional issue No. 1 is whether the establishment concern is a 'Shop' as defined under the Karnataka Shops and Commercial Establishments Act. The expression 'Shop' as defined in Section 2(u) of the Mysore (now Karnataka) Shops and Commercial Establishment Act, 1951, "means any premises where any trade or business is carried on or where services are rendered to customers, and includes offices, store rooms, godowns or warehouses, whether in the same premises or otherwise, used in connection with such trade or business, but does not include Commercial Establishment or a shop attached to a factory where the persons employed in the shop fall within the scope of the Factories Act, 1948." Thus in order that the II Party's establishment is a 'Shop' it must be proved that it is a premises where any trade or business is carried on or where services are rendered to customers and that it is not attached to any factory.

8. The evidence of MW-1 Sri M. R. Padival is that he is the Proprietor of M/s. Padival Brothers, 2nd Party ; that the Management is registered under the Karnataka Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1961, with the Labour Inspector, Mangalore ; that the registration certificate is at Exhibit M 1 ; that the concern is a 'Shop' establishment under that Act ; that during the month of April, 1976, there were 6 employees in his establishment ; that during May, 1976, there were 5 employees

the business of his establishment is mainly in arecanuts ; that he does money-lending business also ; that he deals in arecanuts only as a commission agent ; that he has a go-down for storing arecanuts and 'Supari', and that he hardly requires 2 to 3 people to handle the goods. The evidence of this witness discloses that the premises of the II Party is being used for the business of a Commission agency in arecanuts, that it has also a godown attached to it, that money lending business also is carried on in it and that it is a commercial establishment. It is not shown that the member of employees has been such as to make the establishment come within the definition of 'factory' under the Factories Act, Hence I hold that additional issue No. 1 is proved and record my finding in the affirmative on additional issue No. 1.

9. Issue No. 2 is whether the establishment of the II Party is an 'industry' within the meaning of the definition contained in the Industrial Disputes, Act, 1947, "Industry" as defined in Section 2(j) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, means any business, trade, undertaking manufacture or calling of employers and includes any calling service, employment, handicraft or industrial occupation or avocation of workmen". This definition has been considered by the several High Courts and the Supereme Court of India. The latest authoritative pronouncement in this regard is that of the Supreme Court in the case of Bangalore Water Supply and Sewage Board to *V.s* A. Rajappa and others reported in 1978 LLJ 349. In the said ruling three tests have been laid down to determine whether an enterprise is an industry. The tests are : (1) Continuous organised activity ; (2) Co-operative endeavour between the employer and the employee (3) production and/or distribution of goods calculated to satisfy human wants and wishes. These could be applied to the facts of the present case as disclosed by the evidence of M. W. 1--Sri M. R. Padival. He has stated in his evidence that there were 6 employees in his establihment in April 1976, 5 in May and 6 in June 1976. In his cross-examination the witness has admitted that 's fi was established in 1958 and continuing ; that he

but not on commission basis ; that his employees assist him in his Supari Commission business and also his money-lending business and he is paying them because he is employing them. The evidence discloses, that there is a continuous organised activity connected with the business of the II Party Firm though on a commission basis, that there is also a Co-operative endeavour between the employer and the employees and that there is a collection and sale or distribution of arecanuts which is an article of human want or wishes. Thus it is seen that all the tests laid down by the Supreme Court are satisfied by the facts proved by the evidence on record.

10. In view of the evidence on record it has been contended by the learned Advocate for the I Party that it is amply proved that the II Party establishment is an industry. However, on behalf of the II Party it has been contended that the II Party establishment is not an industry in view of the ruling of the erstwhile High Court of Mysore in the case of Murugappa, Mudaliar *Vs.* Raju Mudaliar reported in 1965 (2) Mysore L. J. 73 wherein it has been held that "every form of employment does not make the trade or business of the employer an industry". Having regard to the facts of that case wherein two partners of a firm carried on the business of a cloth shop in the town of Robertsonpet employed only one shop assistant as a salesman, it was held that the said business did not come within the definition of 'industry'. This ruling has been relied upon in the unreported case of S. K. Sud *Vs.* State of Karnataka and others bearing W.P. No. 1138 of 1974. But these cases could not serve as authorities in view of the ruling of the Supreme Court in Bangalore Water Supply and Sewage Board case. Thus, I hold that the contentions urged on behalf of the Management in this case fail and that the establishment of the II Party is an industry.

11. The 2nd part of the additional issue No. 2 is in regard to the jurisdiction of this court. On behalf of the workman it is contended that this court has jurisdiction as the II Party establishment is an "industry" and the dispute is raised by a workman whose services are terminated in which case section 2A of the I. D. Act is attracted. As against this it has been contended by the learned Advocate for the II Party that as the

dispute in this case is raised by worker in his individual capacity it is an individual dispute and not an industrial dispute as it is not raised by a Union and that this court has no jurisdiction. The contention urged on behalf of the 2nd Party is unacceptable in view of the wording of Section 2A of the I. D. Act which declares that : "where any employer discharges, dismisses, retrenches, or otherwise terminates the services of an individual workman, any dispute of difference between the workman and his employer connected with, or arising out of, such discharge, dismissal, retrenchment or termination shall be deemed to be an industrial dispute not withstanding that no other workman "or any union of workmen is a party to the dispute" The dispute of an individual workman is thus deemed to be an industrial dispute provided it relates to discharge, dismissal or termination as alleged in the present case.

12. The next contention urged by the learned Advocate for the II Party is that this court's jurisdiction is ousted impliedly by the provisions of the Karnataka Shops and Establishment Act which provides a special machinery for enquiry into disputes in the present case as the said State enactment takes preceduce over the Industrial Disputes Act which is a Central enactment in view of Art. 254 of the constitution. This contention has been refused by the learned Advocate for the I Party.

13. 'Industrial and Labour Disputes' is entry 22 in the concurrent list in the seventh schedule to the constitution. Hence, both the parliament and a state Legislature have authority to enact legislation on this subject. In view of this, Art. 254 of the Constitution has provided thus :

(1) x x x

(2) Where a law made by the Legislature of a State with respect to one of the matters enumerated in the Concurrent List contains any provision repugnant to the provisions of an earlier law made by Parliament or an existing law with respect to that matter, then, the law so made by the Legislature of such State shall, if it has been reserved for the consideration of the President and has received his assert, prevail in that State ".

14. In the Second Schedule to the Industrial Disputes Act which is in the nature of an "existing law" as on the date on which the constitution came to have effect, Matters within the jurisdiction of Labour Courts", are enumerated. Item 3 of the said schedule is "Discharge or dismissal of workmen including reinstatement of, or grant of relief to, workmen wrongfully dismissed." Refusal of employment as in the present case could amount to discharge or dismissal from employment and so the Labour Court would have jurisdiction to decide such a case.

15. Section 39 of the Karnataka Shops and Commercial Establishments Act, 1962, has enacted remedies by way of an appeal to the competent authority and revision to the District Judge to a workman who is discharged or dismissed. This provision does not in anyway interfere with the remedies provided by the Industrial Disputes Act. No conflict is found to exist between the provisions of the Industrial Disputes Act and the Karnataka Act. Consequently it follows that the remedies under both the enactments would be open to a workman who is aggrieved by his discharge, dismissal from employment or termination of his services in any manner. The election of either of the remedies available to him would debar him from seeking the other. In the present case, it is nobody's case that the workman has prefered an appeal under the provisions of the Karnataka Shops Commercial Establishment Act. Hence, the remedy pursued by him by way of securing his dispute refered to this court could not be said to be improper and it could not be said that this court has no jurisdiction to entertain this reference. Two Full Bench Rulings of the Andhra Pradesh High Court have been cited by the learned Advocate for the II Party and one Full Bench Ruling of the Madras High Court has been relied upon by the learned Advocate for the I Party. The ruling of the Madras High Court in the case of Safire Theatre, Madras *Vs.* Additional Commissioner for Workmen's Compensation, Madras, reported in (1977) 51 F.J.R. 109 is that in a case such as the present one the remedies under both the Industrial Disputes Act and the State Shops Act would be available to the aggrieved workman and that when a reference is made under Section 10 of the I.D. Act, the apeal or revision prefered under the State Act could not be pursued. The Rulings

of the Andhra Pradesh High Court would not be applicable as they proceed upon the basis that there is a conflict between the Industrial Disputes Act as amended by the enactment of Section 2A and the Andhra Pradesh Shops and Establishment Act, 1966 enacted subsequent to the enactment of S. 2A of the Industrial Disputes Act.

16. Thus in view of the above said discussion I hold that it is amply proved by the I Party that the dispute in this case is an Industrial Dispute and that this court has jurisdiction to determine the same. Hence my finding on the Additional issue No. 2 is the affirmative.

17. In view of my findings on the two preliminary issues, I direct the case to be heard and disposed of on its merits with reference to the other points and issues in this case.

Typed to my dictation, then corrected, signed and pronounced by me, this the 21st day of October, 1978.

C. N. RAJAN,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Order No. SWL 206, LLA 78 Bangalore dated the 6th Feb. 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 318/LLD 77 dated 7-6-77 the Industrial Dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Padival Bros., Bunder, Mangalore-1 was referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore, for adjudication ;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,
B. T. SATHYANARAYANA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

P. R. No. 887.

A.T.I.—M.B.

4

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management of M/s. Kapeel Cinema, 1660, Ramling Khind, Belgaum.

Award of the Presiding Officer Labour Court, Hubli, Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. LCH-1192/78-79 dated 6-1-79 from Sri H. L. Narayana Gowda, Presiding Officer Labour Court, Hubli.

BEFORE THE LABOUR COURT AT HUBLI

Ref. No. 250/77

Present : Sri H. L. Narayanagowda, B.A., LL.B. Presiding Officer.
Date : 6-1-1979.

Claimant : Sri Mohammed Sadiq Khan, Booking Clerk, 337, Smashan Road, Anandwadi, Belgaum.
(By Sri M. D. Shaik Advocate)

Respondent : The Management of M/s. Kapeel Cinema, 1660, Ramling Khind, Belgaum.
(By Sri R. R. Telcherikar)

G.O. No. SWL 698(ii) LLD 77 dated 15-10-1977

Judgement and Award

The Government of Karnataka have made this reference under clause (c) of Sub-section (1) of Sec. 10 of the I.D. Act 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) referring the dispute between Sri Mohammad Sadiq Khan, and the Management of M/s. Kapeel Cinema, Belgaum, to this Court for adjudication on the following points.

"I. Is the Management of M/s. Kapeel Cinema, 1660, Ramaling Khind, Belgaum is justified in refusing employment to Sri Mohammad Sadiq Khan with effect from 14-12-1976 ?

II. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled to?"

2. The claimant in his claim statement states as follows :

The claimant is the *bonafide* permanent employee of the opponent and he has been serving with the Management as a booking clerk since 20-9-74 on the salary of Rs. 174/- p.m. The services of the claimant has been abruptly terminated by publishing it in the Tarun Bharat daily news paper dated 14-12-1976 without any reasons whatsoever. No notice has been sent to the claimant. The termination of the claimant is illegal and against the principles of natural justice. The claimant took the matter to the Labour and conciliation Officer, Belgaum where the management has admitted for the reinstatement of the service of the claimant but did not act upon it and refused to reinstate him. Hence the matter is taken to this Court. He claims that he is a *bonafide* employee of the Management and his services are illegally terminated. Hence, the claimant is entitled for reinstatement in the said post and back wages from the date of termination of the service with continuity of service, overtime pay and other consequential benefits.

2. In the written statement the Respondent pleads as follows :

The claimant has not submitted his claim statement before this Court and respondent is not in a position to file his objections to the claim statement. Hence the Respondent kindly be permitted to file his further objections after submission of the claim statement by the claimant. The above dispute which has been referred to this Court by the Government of Karnataka is bad in law since there is no dispute existing between the claimant and respondent, and as such the above referred matter will not fall in the ambit of the Management and workman correspondence, there being no demand on the Respondent by the workman. Hence there is no dispute existing between the claimant and the Respondent. The Respondent further respectfully beg to submit that the claimant without approaching the Respondent, approached the Concilation Officer Belgaum through his petition date 3-1-1977, demanding his reinstatement or retrechment compensation, etc. Hence there was no dispute existing between the claimant and the Respondent. Therefore, the matter referred to this Court

by the Government is not maintainable. The claimant has not been terminated from service as alleged in the petition date 3-1-1977. The Respondent has requested the claimant before the Concilation Officer, to report for duties immediately. Despite of this offer by the Respondent, the claimant has failed to attend the duties. The, Respondent has not terminated the services of the claimant as alleged in his petition referred to above. He himself has failed to attend his duties with effect from 1-10-1976. Therefore above act of the claimant is amounts to abandonment of service. The Respondent respectfully beg to submit that the claimant, has taken a sum of Rs. 1,254-60 (Rs. One thousand two hundred fifty four and sixty paise only) as an advance from the respondent on the condition that same will be recovered from his monthly salary in easy instalments as required by the Payment of Wages Act 1936, and Rules thereunder. The above advance can be recovered only if the claimant is continues to be in service. But the respondent is unable to recover the such a huge amount of advance of Rs. 1,254-60 from the claimant due to his abandonment of service. The claimant was having some credit dealings with the business Magnates at Belgaum and he had taken some materials from them on credit basis. The parties started approaching the claimant and also started coming to the thereafter demanding their dues. Just to escape from the clutches of the creditors, the claimant voluntarily remained absent from work without any valid and legal reasons w.e.f. 1-10-1976.' It is true that the respondent have given an advertisement in the local Tarun Bharat daily which was published on 14-12-1976 and informed therein to the general public that, their employee (claimant) Shri Mohammad Sadiq Khan is not attending his duties in their theatre and the Management (Respondent) is no way responsible for the business dealings with the claimant, since he was transacting on behalf of the Respondent without any authorisation to that effect. When the Respondent came to know this fact, they were forced to issue an warning to the public as well as business magnates in the interest of theatre and as well as in the interest of general public. The claimant has neither terminated from service nor retrenched at any time and as such he is not entitled any relief. He himself abandoned

the service without any due notice. In the circumstances as explained above the Respondent humbly prays this Court that he above reference may kindly be rejected with cost since the matter is not maintainable in law and necessary compensation may kindly be awarded.

3. In support of his case the claimant has examined himself and has closed. Ex. W-1 to W-5 are the documents filed for him. In support of its case the Respondent has examined its two partners' MW-1 and MW-6 and 4 witnesses. Ex. M-1 to M-6 are the documents filed for it.

4. I have perused the records and heard Sri M. D. Shaik learned advocate for the claimant and Sri R. R. Telecherkar learned advocate for the Respondent.

5. The claimant as WW-1 has stated that from 20-9-1974 he was working as Booking clerk in the Respondent cinema. His salary was Rs. 174 p.m. He worked for 2 years. His services were terminated from 14-12-1976. His name was declared in the newspaper and his services were terminated as per Ex. W-1(a). He was not issued any notice before his services were terminated. Even after the publication of Ex. W-1(a) he had gone to the Respondent. But the Respondent did not take him into service. He issued Ex. W-2 to the Respondent. He issued the notice Ex. W-2 calling upon the Respondent to allow him to resume his duties. But the Respondent did not take him into service. It is not true that he had contracted debts from various persons. It is not true that he is indebted to others and that is why he has not gone to the Respondent. Later on he made an application to the Labour Officer, Belgaum. Conciliation proceedings ended in failure. He prays that he may be reinstated in service with full back wages and continuity of service.

6. MW-1 one of the partner of the Respondent Cinema has stated that he is the partner of Kapeel Talkies. The claimant was working as booking clerk in their Cinema. They were paying him Rs. 174 as salary. He worked till the end of September 1976. Afterwards he did not turn up for duty. He had taken advance of Rs. 1,000 approximately from them. Ex. M-1 is the payment Register. Ex. M-1(a) is the relevant entry No. 9 relating to the claimant. Ex. M-1(b) is the entry

for the month of September 1976. On first of every month they disburse salary. Subsequently also he did not attend duties. He did not attend to his duties because he had taken advance from them and he had taken loan from others who are harassing him. They are not knowing his address. The attendance register maintained by them for the year 1976 is in the Labour Office. They have not received back the Registers.

7. MW-2 is the Labour Officer He has started that he is the Conciliation Officer at Belgaum. He held the Conciliation in this dispute on 10-6-77. Ex. M-2 is the conciliation file Ex. M-2(a) is the statement of partner of the Respondent before him. Ex. M-2 (b) is the statement of the claimant. Ex. M-2 (c) is his factual report.

8. MW-3 is one Suresh Ginde. He has stated that they have got Ginde Electricals and Ginde Radio Sales and service. He know the claimant. The claimant purchased some goods from them on 22-3-1976. He purchased the goods on credit. They used to send for collecting the amount of the bill to the Kapeel Cinema as well as to the Address given by the claimant in Mujawar Galli. He was available in Kapeel Cinema. He was not generally available in Mujawar Galli. Rs. 230 are still due from the claimant. Their man was going to the Kapeel Cinema for collecting the balance very often. On 4-3-1977 he sent the letter Ex. M-3 to the claimant. After sending Ex. M-3 he came to know that the claimant was no longer in the service of the Respondent.

9. MW-4 is one Shankar Hawal. He has stated that he know the claimant. The claimant had purchased goods from them and their sister concern known as Rajan Cloth Centre. Ex. M-4 is the copy of the bill sent to the claimant. He had gone to Kapeel Cinema to collect the dues from the claimant 5 or 6 times. Once or twice he could meet the claimant. He said that he would pay. But he did not pay. Later on he came to know that the claimant has left the service of the Respondent Cinema.

10. MW-5 is one Subhas Shahapurkar. He has stated that they have got shop under the name and style Subas Electronics. He know the claimant. He purchased a sewing

machine for Rs. 700 from them. First the claimant did not pay anything. After 6 months he paid Rs. 150. He has not paid the balance. He used to go to his house and to the Cinema for collection. He has gone to the Cinema not less than 25 times. He was unable to pay the amount. So he took back the sewing machine.

11. MW-6 is another partner of the Respondent Cinema. He has stated that on 1-10-1976 the claimant abandoned service. They have produced the attendance Register in the Labour Office. It is missing. Ex. M-6 is the pay register starting from 1-10-1976. Before the Conciliation Officer he agreed to take the claimant back in service. But he did not turn up for duty. Even now they are prepared to take into service. But they are not prepared to pay him backwages. They cannot payback wages because they have never asked him to leave the service. He has himself left service.

12. MW-6 one of the Partners of the Respondent Cinema has categorically stated that the Respondent is prepared to take back the claimant back in service. But the Respondent is vehement that it is not prepared to pay him back wages. So I can safely order reinstatement of the claimant. The only question I have got to decide is with regard to the payment of back wages.

13. The case of the Respondent is that the claimant abandoned the service from 1-10-1976 and therefore he is to be blamed for abandoning the service and so he is not entitled for back wages. The case of the claimant is that the Respondent terminated his services by issuing a publication in Tarun Bharati daily newspaper Ex. W-1 as per Ex. W-1(a). He in fact went to the Respondent and demanded that he should be taken back on duty. But the Respondent refused. The point for consideration is which version is correct.

14. The claimant who was regularily receiving his pay on 1st of every month on signing the Register Ex. M-1, has not received pay for the month of September 1976. This is clear from the Payment Register Ex. M-1 as per Ex. M-1(b). I do not know why the claimant has not signed the register Ex. M-1 at Ex. M-1(b). Ex. M-1 cannot be seriously challanged. In fact the claimant has not chalbanged the evidence of MW-1

with regard to Ex. M-1. So I can safely rely upon Ex. M-1. The evidence of the claimant in crossexamination goes to show that he does not challange the genuineness of Ex. M-1. He admits in crossexamination that he has not taken pay for the month of September 1976. He admits in crossexamination that he has not taken his pay for the months of October and November 1976. I am at loss to know why the claimant who was receiving his salary regularily on 1st of every month and signing Ex. M-1 did not chose to receive his salary under Ex. M-1(b) and sign it. I do not know why he did not go to the Respondent and receive pay for the month of October and November. This circumstance goes to show that the claimant was in financial difficulties and as the creditors were pressing him for their dues, he ceased going to the Respondent Cinema.

15. Three creditors have given evidence. They are MW-3. MW-4 and MW-5. Ex MW-3 Suresh Ginde is crossexamined. He is suggested that he has not issued any notice to the claimant claiming the dues. He admits that he has not issued any notice. Merely because the witness has not issued any notice to the claimant, his evidence cannot be rejected and it cannot be said that the claimant is not due under the sum of Rs. 230/- to the witness. Ex. W-5(a) goes to show that the claimant had dealings with MW-3. Ex. W-5 is a bill book maintained in the book regular course of business. There is no reason why Ex. W-5(a) should be fabricated. It is true that the claimant has not signed Ex. W-5(a). But on that ground it cannot be rejected. It is suggested to MW-3 that the claimant has already paid the bill. He has denied it. He is suggested that he is having dealings with the Respondent Cinema. He has denied it. He has sworn in crossexamination that one Mahadev Siddannavar is his man whom he sent to the claimant to collect the dues. He has denied the suggestion that Ex. W-5(a) is a get up one.

16. It is then suggested in crossexamination of MW-2 that the concern is registered in the name of borther's wife. He admits it. But he has stated that he is looking after the shop of the Sister-in-law. He admits in crossexamination that his brother's wife has not authorised him in writing to collect dues. May be there is no writing authorising MW-3 to collect dues on behalf of his Sister-in-law. But he has stated that he is looking

after the shop of his sister-in-law and there is no reason why I should reject his evidence. Then it is suggested that in Ex. W-5(a) it is not mentioned that it is credit memo. The witness admits it. The dealers issue bills. They are not expected to issue credit memo separately. On reading the evidence of MW-3 as a whole, I am satisfied that the claimant is due to the witness MW-3 in a sum of Rs. 230/- and when MW-3 was sending his servant Mahadev Siddannavar for collection. So it is established that MW-3 was one of the creditor of the claimant.

17. Coming to the evidence of MW-4 another creditor he admits in crossexamination that the claimant had purchased one screw driver whose cost of Rs. 5/- and he had purchased 2 tubelights costing Rs. 30/- and one Choke costing Rs. 20/- Ex. M-4 is produced and it goes to show that the claimant was due in the sum of Rs. 55/- towards Electrical goods and Rs. 78- towards cloth bill. It is suggested in crossexamination of MW-4 that he has not taken signature of the claimant to Ex. M-4 or to his Accouts books. May be MW-4 has not taken signature of the claimant to his accounts books, but on that ground I cannot reject the evidence of MW-4. In my opinion, MW-4 is not fabricated. If it was fabricated he would not have mentioned Rs. 55/- towards one item and Rs. 78/- towards another item and would not have given evidence about the one item only. In my opinion the evidence of MW-4 must be accepted. That goes to show that MW-4 was another creditor of the claimant who was pressing for his dues.

18. The another creditor of the claimant is MW-5. He has stated that the claimant has purchased a sewing machine for Rs. 700/- from them and the claimant has paid Rs. 150-. He also admits that as the claimant did not pay the balance, he took away the sewing machine. But any how it goes to show that the claimant in indebeted to MW-5 also.

19. The evidence of MW-3, MW-4 and MW-5 establishes that these creditors were pressing the claimant for their dues. It seems to me that because the creditors were pressing, the claimant ceased to going to the Respondent Cinema. That is why he has not received his salary for the month of September, October and November 1976. It is to be noted that the claimant in his evidence in crossexamination admits that the Respondent

was satisfied with his work for 2 years. So the Respondent who was satisfied with the work of the claimant should become vendective all of a sudden. It is not explained by the claimant. The claimant in crossexamination states that it is true that he cannot say why he did not take his salary for the month of September, October and November 1976.

20. Sri M. D. Shaik for the claimant relied upon Ex. W-1(a) the publication in Tarun Bharat by the Respondent and submits that under Ex. W-1(a) the Respondent has terminated the services of the claimant. I cannot agree. Under Ex. W-1(a) it is stated that all public are informed that Sri Mohammed Sadiq Khan is not in their service. Therefore nobody should have any transaction with him. Inspite of this if any transaction is taken, the Management will not be held responsible. The Management have issued Ex. W-1(a) a publication only to safeguard their interest. It is not issued for the purpose of terminating his services. Ex. W-1(a) does not say that the services of the claimant are terminated by the Respondent. It cannot be interpreted that it amounts to termination simpliciter. So Sri Shaikh cannot rely on Ex. W-1(a) and cannot urge successfully that under Ex. W-1(a) the Respondent has terminated the services of the claimant. So this is a clear case of abandonment of service on the part of the claimant and not a case of termination. The claimant has to thank himself for not attending to his duties regularly and not receiving his pay regularly. Under these circumstances I cannot direct the Respondent to pay back wages to the claimant.

21. In the result, the reference succeeds and is allowed in part. I order that the Respondent should reinstate the claimant immediately. With regards to the back wages, for the reasons stated by me above, the back wages are not granted. Continuity of service is allowed. No costs.

(Dictated to the Stenographer, transcribed and typed by him and corrected by me).

H. L. NARAYANA GOWDA,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Hubli.

Place : Hubli
Date : 6-1-1979

Order No. SWL 39 LLA, 79 Bangalore dated the 14-2-1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 698(ii) LLD 77 dated 15-10-77 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of M/s. Kapeel Cinema, 1660, Ramling Khind, Belgaum, was referred to the Labour Court, Hubli, for adjudication ;

And Whereas the Presiding Office, Labour Court, Hubli, has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publiction of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. T. SATYANARAYANA
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

P. R. No. 387.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT.

Labour Dispute : Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Managements of Elgin, Everest, Palace, New Empire, Rajeshwari and Gajaniana, Bangalore.

Award of the Presiding Officer Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore Published.

Read the following papers :

1. Letter No. A.I.T./414/78-79 dated 31-1-1978 from Sri H. Shanmukhappa, Presiding Officer Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE

Dated this 30th day of January, 1979.

Present :

Sri H. Shanmukhappa, B.A., B.L.,

Presiding Officer.

A.I.D. No. 12 of 1976.

I Party : Workmen of Elgin, Everest, Palace, New Empire, Rajeswari and Gajanana, Bangalore, represented by the Secretary, Mysore, State Cinema Employees' Association, Bangalore-9.

Vs.

II Party : The Managements of Elgin, Everest, Palace, New Empire, Rajeswari and Gajanana, Bangalore.

APPEARANCES

For I Party : By Sri C. S. Nagabhushanam, General Secretary, Mysore State, Cinema Employees Association, Bangalore.

For II Party : (1) By Sri A. Gopal, Film Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore.

(2) By Sri B. C. Prabhakar, General Secretary, Mysore State Employer's Association, Bangalore.

AWARD

This is a reference made by Government of Karnataka in their Order No. FCL 956 LLD 71 dated 14th February, 1972 to this Tribunal to adjudicate the disputes between workmen and the management of 45 theatres including the present 6 theatres under section 10(1) of the Industrial Disputes Act.

The points of dispute are as follows :—

POINTS OF DISPUTE

I. Are the workmen of Cinema Theatres/Exhibitors in Bangalore listed in the annexure are justified in demanding the

following. All the employees should be given the following scales with effect from Ist January 1969.

1. Wage Scales :

1.	Manager	Rs. 250-15-325-20-425-25-500
2.	Asst. Manager	Rs. 200-10-300-12½-450
3.	Chief Operators	Rs. 150-7½-190-10-245
4.	1st Operator	Rs. 125-7½-190-10-250
5.	2nd Operator	Rs. 100-5-140-7½-200
6.	3rd Operator	Rs. 80-3-95-5-135
7.	Booking Clerks	Rs. 100-10-150-15-225
8.	Gate Keepers	Rs. 75-5-100-7-135
9.	Carpenter	Rs. 75-5-100-7-135
10.	Electrician	Rs. 100-10-150-15-225
11.	Watchman	Rs. 60-4-80-6-122
12.	Board Boys and Sweepers	Rs. 40-2-60-5-75

2. Adjustment :

(a) If any employee is drawing wages below the minimum, he should be brought to the minimum in the above scales.

(b) If any employee is drawing wages in between two slabs, he should be stepped up.

(c) If any employee is drawing maximum in the above scales, he should not be stagnated but allowed to continue to draw yearly increment in his scale.

(d) All employees should be given one increment for every two years of service in the above scales with effect from 1-1-1969.

3. Dearness Allowance :

All employees should be paid Rs. 100 per month at the All India cost of living index of 100 for Bangalore City for every rise of 10 point over 100, Rs. 5 should be paid to all employees with effect from 1-1-1969.

4. Working Hours :

No employer should take work for more than 8 hours a day. Recess should not exceed for more than One hour a day. In case any worker is called to work beyond 9 hours including lunch time, he should be paid overtime wages at double the normal rate.

5. *Bonus :*

All employees should be paid BONUS per the Payment of Bonus Act, 1965, with effect from 1964.

6. *National and Festival Holidays :*

All employees should be given 10 National and Festival Holidays with full wages with effect from 1964. In case any employee is called upon to work on paid National Festival Holidays and Weekly off, he should be paid overtime at double normal rate in addition to compensatory off.

7. *Gratuity :*

All employees should be paid Gratuity as follows :

(1) In case any employee resigns/retires after 5 years of service or discharged or dismissed or of retrenched by the employer.	One month's total wages for each year of service
(2) When an emyloyee becomes Physically unfit to continue in service or dies while in service.	

II. If not, to what relief are the workmen entitled ?

2. The averments of the I Party workmen in A.I.D. 10 of 1972 as well as in this reference as mentioned in their claim statement which was filed on 20-4-1972 are that the wages which were being paid to the workers in the theatre industry were very poor. The Association therefore submitted a charter of demands on individual theatres, as well as on the Mysore Film Chamber of Commerce on 24-4-1970. The matter was taken up for conciliation before the Deputy Labour Commissioner. During this period the President of the Film Chamber had a series of meetings and he prepared a draft statement approved by the members of the Film Chamber. The IInd Party theatres however did not accept the proposed settlement. The Deputy Labour Commissioner had therefore to record a failure of conciliation proceedings. Therefore this reference is made.

3. Cost of living index since 1939 has increased 7 times, whereas there has been no increase in the wages. There has been no decent standard of living. Minimum wage calculated on the basis of the norms accepted at the 15th Labour Conference for the city comes to Rs. 310 per month at the cost of living index of 660 in May 1966. It is submitted that Rs. 413 is

barest minimum wage for a family of three consumption units at the present cost of living index of 880. The employees are paid hardly Rs. 55 as wages. Their wages were fixed in the year 1962 when the cost of living index was 461. Some of the Association members supplied to their workers a bare two sets of uniforms for entire year. They are not being provided with free-quarters and benefits like tea, lunch and medical treatments. The enumeration of the demands regarding classification of employees, scales of pay, adjustment Dearness Allowance, working hours, bonus, National and Festival Holidays, and gratuity which are the subject matter of the Points of Dispute are made, and justification sought for them.

4. The II Party theatres and the other Cinema Theatres Which have been included as II Party in A.I.D. 10 of 1972 have filed their objections. The objections in a nutshell are the following :

5. The Mysore State Cinema Employees Association does not represent the Ist Party Cinema Employees. It has no authorisation to represent them. There was no demand by the Ist Party workmen on their respective employers. There is no industrial dispute and the reference is incompetent. It is not correct that the financial position of the theatre is extremely sound. The return on capital is meagre. The employees are being paid fair and reasonable wages. The demands are speculative and fanciful. The wages paid to the employees compared favourably with the payments elsewhere. The Government of Mysore has constituted the Minimum Wages Committee for the Film Industry. Therefore the demands are pre-mature. It is not correct to say that there are substantial profits to the theatres and they are due to the efforts of the workers. Even the marginal profits earned by the cinemas are mainly due to the quality and nature of the films and location and type of the theatres. All the theatres are not similarly situated, in the matter of box office collection, paying capacity, return on the investment and overheads. There cannot be identical wages in the different units of the industry. It is not correct to say that the proposal by Film chamber of commerce fell through on account of the Second Party. But the employers were not quite sure of the representative character of the

employees association. The talks therefore had to break-off. There is no warrant for adopting 1968 as the base year. The employees are getting Dearness allowance commensurate with the capacity of the employers to pay. The fortunes of the Film industry in general and the exhibition sector thereof in particular is very fluctuating depending upon public response to movies. The Film Industry caters only to the entertainment of the patrons and there is nothing like supply of goods to the public at large. The wage scales in most of the industries in our country are necessarily in the lower level of fair wage. The recommendations of the National Committee of labour are on the high side and the norms for the wage policy laid down by the said Committee itself negatives the claim. It is further contended that apart from the reasonable wages that are being paid the employees are also paid dearness allowance commensurate with the capacity of the employers. In otherwords the main contention of the II-Party is that the Cinema employees are paid quite reasonable wages in commensurate with the capacity of each of the employers in addition to the payment of dearness allowance and so on. However the II-Party theatres and the other theatres have categorically pleaded their inability to provide for free-quarters, free-lunch and free medical aid. They have also contended that the wage scale proposed by the Film Chamber is reasonable and just. The II-Party have also stated that they are always willing to pay bonus as per the provisions of the payment of bonus act., and also willing to grant National and Festival holidays as per the provisions of the said act.

6. In A.I.D. 10 of 1972 Sri C. S. Nagabhushanam has submitted a memo to this Tribunal to the effect that A.I.D. 10 of 1972 might be disposed of in respect of those theatres between whom the settlement had been arrived covering the concerned workmen. He further submitted that the dispute in respect of these six theatres *i.e.*, workmen of the I-Party theatres in this reference and the management of these theatres might be separated from the reference A.I.D. 10 of 1972. Accordingly an Award has been passed by this Tribunal in A.I.D. 10 of 1972 and registered a separate case in A.I.D. 12 of 1976 covering the workmen and the management of six

theatres namely, Elgin, Everest, Palace, New Empire, Rajeshwari and Gajanana to adjudicate the disputes between them.

7. Both the parties have not lead oral evidence in this reference. On 9-8-1978 the workmen and the management of Elgin talkies have filed a joint memo of settlement signed by both the parties. As the terms of the settlement are fair and proper they have been accepted by this Tribunal. On the same day the workmen and the management of Everest talkies have also filed a joint memo of settlement. The terms of the said settlement have been accepted by this Tribunal as they are found to be fair and proper. On 9-8-1978 itself Sri C. S. Nagabhushanam, General Secretary of the I-Party and Sri A. Gopal representing the II-Party on behalf of the Karnataka Film chamber of commerce have filed a joint memo to the effect that the three Cinema theatres namely Gajanana, New Empire and Palace had been closed and further they have also reported that there was no dispute between the workmen and the management of these three theatres.

8. There upon the case was posted for evidence in respect of the workmen of Rajashwari. The parties took several adjournments on the pretext of settling the dispute between them. Ultimately the parties remained absent and have not adduced any evidence in support of the demand made by the workmen in this reference.

9. In view of the above proceedings that have taken place in this reference the following award is passed.

AWARD

10. An award is passed in respect of Elgin and Everest Talkies in terms of the settlement filed by both the parties on 9-8-1978. A copy of the settlement shall form part and parcel of this award.

11. With regard to the three theatres namely Gajanana, New Empire, and Palace the reference is hereby rejected, in view of the joint memo filed by both the parties on 9-8-1978 reporting the closure of those three theatres and also non existence of any industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of those three theatres.

12. The reference in respect of Rajeshwari theatre is concerned is also liable for rejection as neither the workmen nor the management have lead any evidence. In otherwords the workmen of Rajeshwari theatre have not substantiated any of the demands made in this reference and accordingly they are not liable for any relief. Therefore in the result the reference in respect of the workmen and the management of Rajeshwari theatre is concerned is hereby rejected. Parties to bear their own cost.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA

Presiding Officer,
Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE

A.I.D. No. 12 of 1976

BETWEEN :

Workmen of Elgin, Everest, Palace,

New Empire, Rajeswari and Gajanana Talkies, Bangalore, represented by the Secretary Karnataka State Cinema Employees Association, Bangalore, ... Ist Party.

AND :

The Management of Elgin, Everest, Palace,

New Empire, Rajeswari and Gajanana Talkies, Bangalore

...2nd Party.

JOINT MEMO

.....Whereas. certain Industrial dispute pertaining to Wage scales, Dearness Allowance, Working Hours etc., arose between the workmen and Management of 6 Cinema Theatres in B'lore and whereas the said disputes are still pending on the file of the said Additional Industrial Tribunal.....

.....Whereas to day we are filing settlement in the two Cinema Theatres ofM/s. Elgin and the M/s. Everest Talkies, Bangalore and other Three Cinema Theatres of M/s. Gajanana, New Empire and Palace Talkies was closed there is no dispute against the

three cinemas and M/s Rajeswari Talkies will be filed by next date. .....

Sd/- C. S. N. BHUSHANAM,
General Secretary, Ist Party.
Representing Karnataka State Cinema Employees Association, Bangalore-9.

Sd/- A. GOPAL, 2nd Party,
Representing Karnataka Film Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore-9. Dated : 9-8-1978.

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL
BANGALORE

In the matter of Reference in A.I.D. 12 of 1976.
Memorandum of Settlement dated 9th August 1978.

I Party-Workmen.	*vs.*	*2nd Party-Management*
Sri C. S. N. Bhushanam, General Secretary, Represented State Cinema Employees' Association, Bangalore-9.		M/s. Everest Talkies, Frazer Town, Bangalore-5.

A SETTLEMENT IS ARRIVED AT BETWEEN THE ABOVE REFERRED PARTIES AND REDUCED TO WRITING AS UNDER—

TERMS AND SETTLEMENT

I. It is agreed by the employers to grant the following consolidated pay scales/wage scales, with effect from 1st June, 1978.

Designation/Classification of employees :	Pay Scales/Wage Scales (Consolidated)
1. Asst. Manager/ Supervisor/Accountant /Chief Operator. All employees doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under this category by whatever name and designation they are called.	Rs. 375-8-455-10-555

2.	Sr. Office Asst/Steno-clerk-Typist Maintenance Supervisor/Booking Clerk, 1st Asst. Operator (with licence) Electrician (W-ith Licence) Air Condition Operator and all employees who are doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under the category by whatever name or designation they may be called.	Rs. 264-6-324-8-404
3.	Gate-keeper/Door-keeper/Watcman/carpenter, II Asst. Operator (with licence) Painter/Vehicle Driver/Mechanic/Jr. Office Asst/Clerk/Typist Asst. Maintenance S-upervisor and all employees doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under this category by whatever name or designation they may be called.	Rs. 227-5-277-347
4.	Sweeper/Sanitary Helper/Board Boy/Usherer/Battery boy/Attender/Office Boy/Gardener/Cycle/Stand Boy / Car Stand boy / Rewinder/Cabin Assistant (without licence) and all other employees doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under this category by whatever name or designation they are called.	Rs. 180-4-220—280

That any employee, if get stagnated at the maximum of the pay scales/wage scales, such an employee will be granted the last drawn increment every year irrespective of the fact, he has reached the maximum.

It is further agreed that the annual increment that falls due in the revised pay scales/wage scales will be granted as and when it falls due.

2. *Dearness Allowance* :—It is agreed that all classes of employees will not be granted a separate Dearness Allowance or variable Dearness Allowance, as the consolidated wage scales as agreed above include Rs. 100 as merged Dearness Allowance.

3. *Bonus* :—It is agreed that payment of bonus will be made in accordance with the payment of Bonus Act.

4. *Provident Fund and Family Pension* :—It is agreed that the same will be governed under the Employees Provident Fund Act.

5. *Payment of Gratuity* :—It is agreed that the payment of gratuity will be made in accordance with the payment of Gratuity Act.

6. *National and Festival Holidays* :—It is agreed that the grant of number of Holidays and Payment thereof will be made in accordance with Karnataka National Holidays Act.

7. It is agreed further that this settlement is signed in supersession of all settlements in force and/or any form of wage-scales which are all lower than the terms of the settlement. All other benefits or privileges hitherto enjoyed if any higher than the terms of this settlement, will not be produced.

8. It is mutually agreed that in order to obviate any difficulties between the parties in the implementation of this settlement, to form a Standing Committee consisting of two representatives, representing Karnataka Film Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore and Sri D. Venkatesh, the President of Karnataka State Cinema Employees'' Association or any of his nominee, Bangalore decide such issues.

9. It is agreed that this settlement shall be in force for a period of five years commencing from the date of Award by the Tribunal.

10. The Workmen agree that for a period of five years commencing from the date of award will not raise any demand or dispute on the terms covered by the said reference and/or this settlement ; provided that the employers do not unilaterally effect changes on the conditions of working.

11. The workmen further agree not to press all other demands pending before the Industrial Tribunal under the said references.

12. Notwithstanding anything contained in this agreement, in the event of any benefit accruing by an act of either Central or State Government for cinema employees, the Standing Committee mentioned in clauses 8 may settle such issues after negotiating with the Managements.

13. The parties to the disputes agree to file the terms of this settlements before the Hon'ble Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore and submit that the Hon'ble Additional Industrial Tribunal be pleased to accept the above terms, as fair and reasonable and justified and pass an award in the matter of references pending in A.I.D. 12 of 1976, before the Tribunal.

14. It is specifically agreed that clause 10 in any manner does not effect the rights of an employer to proceed against the employee for any misconduct.

15. The parties further agree to bear their own costs. This agreements is signed by the parties on the Nineth day of August, 1978, at Bangalore.

Employers :

For Everest Talkies,
(Sd.) L. K. Madanlal, Proprietor.

Workmen :

(Sd.) C. S. N. Bhushanam, General Secretary,
Karnataka State Cinema Employees' Association,
Bangalore-9.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

BEFORE THE ADDITIONAL INDUSTRIAL TRIBUNAL, BANGALORE.

In the matter of Reference in A.I.D. No. 12 of 1976

Memorandum of Settlement dated 9th August, 1978.

I Party—Workmen :

Sri C. S. N. Bhushanam, General Secretary,
Represented by
The Karnataka State Cinema Employees' Association,
Bangalore-9.

II Party—Management :

M/s. Elgin Talkies, Shivaji Nagar,
Bangalore

A settlement is arrived at between the above referred parties and reduced to writing as under.

TERMS AND SETTLEMENT

1. It is agreed by the employers to grant the following consolidated pay scales/wage scales; with effect from 1st June, 1978 :

Designation/Classification of employees :	Pay Scales/wage-scales (consolidated)
1. Asst. Manager/Supervisor/Accountant/Chief Operator. All employees doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under this category by whatever name and designation they are called.	Rs.375-8-455-10-555
2. Sr. Office Asst/Steno-clerk-typist/Maintenance Supervisor/Booking Clerk 1st Asst. Operator (with licence) Electrician (with licence) Air condition Operator and all employees who are doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under the category by whatever name or designation they may be called.	Rs. 264-60-324-8-404
3. Gate-keeper/Door-keeper/Watchman Capenter, II Asst. Operator (with licence) Painter/Vehicle Driver/Mechanic/Jr. Office Asst Clerk/typist, Asst. Maintenance Supervisor and all employees doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under this category by whatever name or designation they may be called.	Rs. 227-5-277-7-347

4.	Sweeper/Sanitary helper/Board boy Usherer/battery boy/Attender/ Office boy/Gardener/Cycle Stand boy/Car Stand Boy/Rewinder/ Cabin Ast. (without licence) and all other-employees doing the work of the nature and responsibility done by persons falling under this category by whatever name or designation they are called.	Rs. 180-4-220-6-280

That any employee, if get stagnated at the maximum of the pay scales/wage scales, such an employee will be granted the last drawn increment every year irrespective of the fact, he has reached the maximum.

It is further agreed that the annual increment that falls due in the revised pay scales/ wage scales will be granted as and when it falls due.

2. *Dearness Allowance* :—It is agreed that all classes of employees will not be granted a separate Dearness Allowance of variable Dearness Allowance, as the consolidated wage scales as agreed above includes Rs. 100 as merged Dearness Allowance.

3. *Bonus* :—It is agreed that payment of Bonus will be made in accordance with the Payment of Bonus Act.

4. *Provident Fund and Family Pension* :—It is agreed that the same will be governed under the Employees Provident Fund Act.

5. *Payment of Gratuity* :—It is agreed that the payment of gratuity will be made in accordance with the payment of Gratuity Act.

6. *National and Festival Holidays* :—It is agreed that the grant of Number of Holidays and Payment thereof will be made in accordance with Karnataka National Holidays Act.

7. It is agreed further that this settlement is signed in supersession of all settlements in force and/or any form of wage-scales which are all lower than the terms of this settlement. All other benefits or privileges hitherto enjoyed if any higher than the terms of this settlement, will not be reduced.

8. It is mutually agreed that in order to obviate any difficulties between the parties in the implementation of this settlement, to form a Standing Committee consisting of two representatives, representing Karnataka Film Chamber of Commerce, Bangalore and Sri D. Venkatesh, the President of Karnataka State Cinema Employees' Association or any of his nominee, Bangalore decide such issues.

9. It is agreed that this settlement shall be in force for a period of five years commencing from the date of award by the Tribunal.

10. The workmen agree that for a period of five years commencing from the date of award will not raise any demand or dispute on the terms covered by the said reference and/or this settlement ; provided that the employers do not unilaterally effect changes on the conditions of working.

11. The workmen further agree not to press all other demands pending before the Industrial Tribunal under the said references.

12. Notwithstanding anything contained in this agreement, in the event of any benefit accruing by an act of either Central or State Government for cinema employees, the Standing Committee mentioned in clause 8 may settle such issues after negotiating with the Management.

13. The parties to the disputes agree to file the terms of this settlements before the Hon'ble Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore and submit that the Hon'ble Additional Industrial Tribunal be pleased to accept the above terms, as fair and reasonable and justified and pass an award in the matter of reference pending in A.I.D. No. 12 of 1976, before the Tribunal.

14. It is specifically agreed that clause 10 in any manner does not effect the rights of an employer to proceed against the employee for any misconduct.

15. The parties further agree to bear their own costs. This agreement is signed by the parties on the Nineth day of August, 1978, at Bangalore.

Employers :

For ELGIN TALKIES,
Sd/-A. N. SRINIVASAN, Proprietor.

Workmen :

Sd/-C. S. N. BHUSHANAM,
General Secretary, Karnataka State Cinema Employees' Association, Bangalore-9.

H. SHANMUKHAPPA,
Presiding Officer,
Additional Industrial Tribunal,
Bangalore.

Order No. SWL 49 LLA 79 Bangalore dated the 20-2-1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. FCL956 LLD 71 dated 14-2-72 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Elgin, Everest, Palace, New Empire, Rajeswari and Gajanana, Bangalore was referred to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore for adjudication ;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASEKARAIAH
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Dept.

P. R. No. 460

WD 57—GTBPM—6000

CORRIGENDUM

In the fourth line of para 3 of the Notification No. HUD 02 MEL 79, dated 17th May 1979 issued by the Housing and Urban Development Secretariat and published in Part IV 2C-(ii of the Gazette Extraordinary No. 478 dated 17th May 1979, the number printed as "HUD 05 MEX 78" may be read as "HUD 05 MEL 78".

Compiler,
Karnataka Gazette.

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Pubns. at the Govt. Press B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order—I No. HD 135 TMI 78 (93)

Bangalore dated 16th August 1979

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Contract Carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6219 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriage (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints the District Judge, Mysore District, Mysore as the Aribitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1738—S.O. 2061 A *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

Order—II No. HD 135 TMI 78 (93)

Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979

WHEREAS under section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6219 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage

Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator ;

NOW, THEREFORE in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,

1739—S.O. 2062 *Under Secretary to Government, Home Department.*

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 255 FAF 79,

Bangalore, dated 23rd March 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in Hanumanakatte village, Holalkere Taluk, Chitradurga District the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule as Reserve Forest..

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer *i. e.*, Assistant Commissioner, Davangere to the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of Sub-section (1) of the said section.

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Chitradurga to represent the State Government in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	S. No.	Extent
Chitradurga	Holalkere	Hanumanakatte	40	131.31 Acres or 52.50 Hectares

Boundaries

North :—Starting from the North-West corner of S. No. 40 of Hanumanakatte village then the line runs east for 20.

80, 580 Metres in between the common boundary of S. No. 40 and 41 of Hanumanakatte and meets the trijunction bani of S. No. 40, 41, 42 and 43 and 39 of Hanumanakatte village.

East :—Then the line runs South-West for 380 Metres and South-East for 1100 Metres and South-West for 100 Metres and South-East for 320 Metres in between the common boundary of S. No. 40 Hanumanakatte and Kondapura, Arallikoddi village.

South :—Then the line runs west for 670 Metres in between the common boundary of S. No. 40 of Hanumanakatte and Arallikaddi and meets the trijunction band of S. No. 40 of Hanumanakatte, Arallikaddi and Arappanagatta.

West :—Then the line runs for 360 Metres, 840 Metres and 700 Metres in between the common boundary of Hanumanakatte village and Arappanagatta and meets the trijunction gadi of S No. 40 and 41 of Hanumanakatte village and Arappanagatta and meets the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

725—S.O. 2063

Notification No. FFD 260 FAF 78.

Bangalore dated 21st April, 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute, the land in Bhavihal village, Davanagere Taluk, Chitradurga District, the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedules as Reserve Forest.

(2) appointing the Forest Settlement Officer i. e. Assistant Commissioner, Davanagere to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section.

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Chitradurga to represent the state Government in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	Survey Nos.	Extent
Chitradurga	Davanagere	Bhavihal	79 & 64	57.32 acres

Boundaries

North :—Starting from North-East corner of Survey No. 78 of Bhavihal village the line runs east along the northern side of Survey No. 79 to the North-East corner of Survey No. 79 of the same village.

East :—Then the line runs South—East and runs along the western side of Survey No 80 and 83 to the South East corner of Survey No. 79 of the same village.

South :—Then the line turns west and runs along the southern side of survey No 79 of the same village to the South—west corner of the same survey number.

West :—Then the line turns north and runs along the western side of survey No. 79 and meet the starting point. For Survey No. 64 of the same village 24 acres or 9.71 Hectares.

North :—Starting from the north-eastern most corner of survey No. 63 and runs along the northern side of S.No. 64 of Bhavihal village to the North-East corner of survey No. 66 of the same village

East :—Then the line turns south and runs along the eastern side of Survey No. 64 to its South-East corner.

South :—Then the line turns west and runs along the southern side of Survey No. 64 of the south-west corner of survey Nos 64 of the same village.

West :—Then the line turns north and runs along the eastern side of survey No. 41 southern and eastern side of 63 and eastern side of survey No. 63 and meets the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Deptt.,

108—S. O. 2064

Notification No. FFD 153 FGL 79

Bangalore, Dated 29th June 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by/sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the land specified below which was declared to be reserved forest in Government Notification A and FD No. 5/35/4/27682-J. dated 22nd May 1953 under the relevant section of the Indian Forest Act, shall cease to be reserved forest from the date of this notification.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F. S. No.	Area.
Bijapur	Jamkhandi	Ramatirth	Part of F. S. No. 12	A. G. 12-00

Boundaries:

North:—Part of F. S. No. 12 of Ramatirth village granted to the Arts and Science College, Jamkhandi.

East:—Revenue Sy. No. 16 of Ramatirth Village.

South:- Part of F. S. No. 12 do

West:—Road from Ramatirth to Jamkhandi.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1446—S. O. 2065

PLANNING SECRETARIAT

Notification No. PD 152 PST 79.

Bangalore, dated 6th/19th August 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (iii) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Registration of Births and Deaths Act 1969 (Central Act 18 of 1969) and in supersession of Notification No. PD 204 PST 76 dated 2/3rd November. 1976, the Government of Karnataka hereby specify the Auxiliary Nurses-*cum*-Midwives (ANMS), Basic Health Workers, Dais, Nurses and other staff attending at the Maternity and Child Centres for the purpose of the said section.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

ABHIJIT DASGUPTA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Planning Department (P&FU-I).

1739—S. O. 2066

AGRICULTURE AND ANIMAL HUSBANDRY DEPARTMENT

Notification No. AAH 84 AMS 79

Bangalore, dated 10th July 1979.

The draft of the foilowing Notification which the Government of Karnataka propose to make in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of Section 3 of the Karnataka Cotton Control Act. 1964 (Karnataka Act 43 of 1964) and in supersession of Notification No. S. O. 689 (AF 7 ATN 65) dated 7th April 1972 published in *Karnataka Gazette* dated 20th April 1972, is hereby published as required by clause (a) and (c) of sub-section (1) of section (3) of the said Act for the information of the persons likely to be affected thereby and notice is hereby given that the said draft will be taken into consideration on or after 31st October 1979.

Any objection or suggestion which may be received with respect to the aforesaid draft from any person by the State Government before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections or suggestions may be addressed to the Secretary to Government, Agriculture & Animal Husbandry Department, Post Bag 345, Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-1.

DRAFT NOTIFICATION

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (a) of sub-section (1) of section 3 of the Karnataka Cotton Control Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 43 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby declares its intention —

(1) fixing the variety of cotton seed or seedling specified in columns (3) of the Table below as the varieties cultivation of which shall be permitted in the local areas specified in corresponding entries in colum (2) thereof ;

(2) prohibiting—

(i) cultivation of any variety of cotton, cotton seed or seedling other than those specified in column (3) of the Table below in the local areas specified in corresponding entries in column (2) thereof:

(ii) mixing of any one variety of cotton, cotton seed or seedling specified in column (3) of the table below with another variety specified therein in the local areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) thereof.

(iii) possession, use or Trade in any variety of cotton seed or seedling specified in column (3) of the table below mixed with another variety specified therein or any variety other than those specified therein in the areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) thereof.

TABLE

Sl. No.	Local area	Cotton varieties
1	2	3
1	Dharwar area consisting of Dharwar, Belgaum, North Kanara and Bijapur Districts.	1 Jayadhar (2-3-68) 2 Lakshmi (9-3) 3 170-Co 2 4 Andrews cotton (Sea Island Cotton) 5 Hampi cotton (5110) 6 Hybrid-4 7 Mysore Vijaya and 8 Varalakshmi
2	Raichur, Chitradurga Area consisting of Raichur, Bellary, Gulbarga and Chitradurga Districts.	1 Jayadhar (2-3-68) 2 Lakshmi (9-3) 3 A. K. 235 4 Western-I 5 Andrews Cotton (Sea Island Cotton) 6 Hampi Cotton (5110) 7 Raichur-51 8 Hybrid-4 and 9 Varalakshmi
3	Gaorani Area consisting of Bidar District.	1 Gaorani-22 2. Andrews cotton (Sea Island cotton) 3 Hampi Cotton (5.10) 4 Hybrid-4 and 5 Varalakshmi.

NOTE : In addition to the above cultivation of Virnar (197-3) variety and Suyodhar variety shall be permitted in entire Bijapur District.

NOTE : In addition to the above cultivation of Gaorani-22 variet in Gulbarga District shall be permitted.

NOTE : In addition to the above cultivation of Lakshmi (9-3) variety shall be permitted in Bidar and Humnabad Taluks of Bidar District.

1	2	3
4	Mysore Area consisting of Mysore, Shimoga, Hassan, Mandya, Chickmagalur and Tumkur Districts.	1 Mysore-14. 2 Lakshmi (9-3) 3 Andrews Cotton (Sea Island cotton) 4 Hampi Cotton (5110) 5 Hybrid-4 and 6 Varalakshmi.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. RAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agri. & Animal Husbandry Department.

1542—S.O. 2067

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 99 EST 77

Bangalore, dated 27th April 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (dd) of sub-section (1) of Section 2 of the Karnataka Stamp Act 1957 (Karnataka Act No. 34 of 1957), the Government of Karnataka hereby specifies the Officers mentioned in column (2) of the table below to be the Deputy Commissioners for the purpose of section 45-A of the said Act and the rules framed thereunder and they shall exercise the powers within the area mentioned in the corresponding entry in column (2) thereof :—

TABLE

Sl. No.	Officers	Area
	HUBLI-DHARWAR	
1	Special Deputy Commissioner, Dharwad	Hubli-Dharwad Corporation Limits
2	Special Deputy Commissioner, Mysore	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Mysore
3	Special Deputy Commissioner Dakshina Kannada (Mangalore)	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Mangalore
4	Special Deputy Commissioner, Belgaum	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Belgaum

1	2	3
5	Special Deputy Commissioner, Bellary	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Bellary
6	Special Deputy Commissioner, Chitradurga	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Davanagere
7	Special Deputy Commissioner, Bijapur	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Bijapur
8	Special Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga.	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Shimoga and limits of Town Municipality of Bhadravathi.
9	Special Deputy Commissioner, Gulbarga	Municipal Limits of the City Municipality of Gulbarga

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. S. PATIL,
I/c. Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

962.—S. O. 2068

Notification No. RD 2 ETC 78.

Bangalore, dated 9th July 1979

For the words "Chairman, Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore" appearing at Sl. No. 12 of Notifications indicated in the margin* the words "Secretary, Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore" shall be substituted.

*1) Notification No. RD 2 ETC 78, dated 15th June 1979 regarding appointment of Census Officers';

2) Notification No. RD 2 ETC 78, dated 15th June 1979 regarding delegating the powers of Census Officers and to sign the declarations in writing.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

KEMPA REDDY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1719—S. O. 2069

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 252 CLS 78(i)

Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979.

The nomination of Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies of Co-operative Societies, Dharwad District, Dharwad, to the Committee of Management of Karnataka Central Co-operative Bank, Dharwad, vide Notification No. RDC 49 CCB 66, dated 10th November 1966, is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
1731—S. O. 2070 *Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.*

Notification No. RDC 252 CLS 78 (ii)

Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979.

Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Deputy Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Dharwar to the Committee of Management of Karnataka Central Co-operative Bank Ltd, Dharwad as per Bye-law No. 20 (a) (v) of the bye-laws of the Karnataka Central Cooperative Bank with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
1732—S. O. 2071 *Rural Development and Co-operation Department.*

Notification No. RDC 252 CLS 78 (iii)

Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the KCS Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 1959) Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Sri Devendrappa Veerappa Katagi of Pashupathihal Kundagal Taluk on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka Co-operative Central Bank, Dharwad with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
1733—S. O. 2072 *Rural Development & Co-opn. Department.*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 156 MMN 79

Bangalore, dated 14th August 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Ltd. for Kyanite for a period of (20) Twenty years over an area of 95 acres (38.45 hectares) of land in Kavadi village, Sringer, taluk, Chickmagalur district, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Kyanite only. If other Minerals are found in association with Kyanite they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Kyanite they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12 50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25 00
11th year and onwards of the leare	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rule 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometers ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence effective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed ;

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Chickmagalur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. V SRINIVASA CHARI,
Desk Officer,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1726—S.O. 2073

Notification No. CI 38 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 16th May 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri N. Venkatesappa, for colur quartz for a period of 2 (Two) years for over an area of 145.08 acres (58.76 Hectares) of land in Suvanahalli village, Taluk Kudligi, Bellary District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

1. The licence shall be operative for a period of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2-50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (ii) and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed in Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licensee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other 'substance prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act. 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey ; and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

M. G. KRISHNA SINGH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1076—S. O. 2074

Notification No. CI 165 EMO 78

Bangalore, dated 30th July/1st August 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of section 5 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/56/78-MV, dated 6th April 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Limited for a period of 20 (twenty) years for Chromite over an area of 640 acres (258.99 Hectares) of land in Bhaktharahalli village, Channarayapatna, taluk Hassan District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Chromite only. If other Minerals are found in association with Chromite they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Chromite they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	—	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	—	Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India;

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in chapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957;

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the leate in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kolometres;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed;

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Hassan District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1727—S. O. 2075

Corrigendum No 172CI MMN 89.

Bangalore dated 28th July 1979.

For the words "Area of 300 acres (14·41 hectares)" appearing in lines 5 and 6 of para 1 of the Government Notification No. CI 28

MMN 78 dated 23rd December 1978, the words "area of 300 acres (121.41 hectares)" shall be substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka:

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI,
Desk Officer,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1649—S.O. 2076

Notification No CI 66 MPN 79.

Bangalore dated 21st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of th Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI. 23 EPI 78 dated 29th November 1978 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Quartzite in respect of the area of 88 acres (35 61 hectares) of land in Armanakevi, village Kudligi Taluk. Bellary, District in favour of Shri. B. R. Hanumantha Reddy as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1128—S.O. 2077

Notification No. CI 202 MPN 79

Bangalore dated 3rd August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 9 MPN 78 dated 1st December 1978 sanctioning a Prospecting Licence for Asbestos in respect of the area of 70 acres (28.33 hectares) of land in Mojahosaholalu village, K R Pet taluk. Mandya District in favour of M/s C. Mariyappa Asbestos Cement Products as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit, and execute the deed within the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI,
Desk Officer
Commerce and Industries Department.

1690—S.O. 2078

PERSONNEL AND ADMINISTRATIVE REFORMS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. DPAR 18 SSC 79

Bangalore, dated 9th May 1979.

Under Regulation 6 (1) (a) of the Karnataka Public Service Commission (Condition of Service) Regulations 1957, the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to sanction Earned Leave for 90 days to Shri H. Maharudraiah, Member, Karnataka Public Service Commission, from 24th May 1979 or from the date of availment.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. B. BAJENTRI,
Under Secretary to Government,
D. P. A. R. (Service Rules)

1064—S. O. 2079

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 41 TMA 79

Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the Motor Vehicles belonging to the Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bangalore described in the Schedule below can be used as transport vehicles in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicles from the provisions of Sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plying them within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vihicles should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No	Chassis No	Engine No.	Make	WB	Overhang
1.	ALB 105466	ALI 85649	Leyland viking	210"	60%
2.	ALB 105363	ALI 85501	Leyland viking	do	do
3.	ALB 105472	ALI 85791	do	do	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1491—S. O. 2080

Order—I, No. HD 45 TMI 79.
Bangalore, dated 21st May 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. MYM 6138 has vested in the State Government ;

AND WHEREAS no agreement could be reached with regard to the amount of compensation payable under the said Act in respect of the said vehicle ;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (*b*) of sub-section (1) of Section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), and in supersession of Order I No. HD 135 TMI 78 dated 22nd January 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri. K. V. Govindaraju, District Judge, as the Arbitrator for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage ;

The Arbitrator shall submit his award to the State Government within four months from the date of this Order.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government, Home Department

1120—S.O. 2081

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No, SWL 341 LLE 79
Bangalore, dated 14th August 1979.

Whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that the Indian Telephone Industries in the State of Karnataka be declared as public utility service.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of Section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby declare the Indian Telephone Industry as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a period of six months from the date of issue of this Notification.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
Labour-A.

1725—S. O. 2082

HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HFW 86 PTD 79
Bangalore dated 15th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause 26 of the Drugs (Prices Control) Order 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby authorises the Officers specified in column (1) of the table below to exercise the powers conferred under the said clauses within the areas specified in the corresponding entries in column (2) thereof.

TABLE

	Officers 1	Areas 2
1	Drugs Controller, For the State of Karnataka, Bangalore	The whole of the State of Karnataka
2	Deputy Drugs Controller, For the State of Karnataka, Bangalore	do
3	Assistant Drugs Controller, For the State of Karnataka, (Head Quarters) Bangalore	do
4	Drugs Inspectors (Head Quarters) Bangalore	do
5	Drugs Inspector (Intelligence) Bangalore	do
6	Assistant Drugs Controller, and Drugs Inspectors, Bangalore Division, Bangalore	Districts of Bangalore and Kolar
7	Assistant Drugs Controller, and Drugs Inspectors, Bellary Division, Bellary	District of Bellary, Raichur and Chitradurga
8	Assistant Drugs Controller, and Drugs Inspectors, Belgaum Division, Belgaum	Districts of Belgaum, Dharwar and North Kanara.
9	Assistant Drugs Controller, and Drugs Inspectors, Gulbarga, Division Gulbarga	Districts of Bidar, Bijapur and Gulbarga
10	Assistant Drugs Controller, and Drugs Inspectors, Mangalore Division, Mangalore	Districts of Hasan, Chikmagalur, Shimoga and South Kanara
11	Assistant Drugs Controller, and Drugs Inspectors, Mysore Division, Mysore	Districts of Coorg, Mandya, Mysore and Tumkur

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

C. H. GOVINDA BHAT,
Under Secretary to Government.
Health and Family Welfare Department.

1130 S. O 2083

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 112 TMA 79

Bangalore, dated the 24th May 1979.

In exercise of the Powers conferred by Rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the motor vehicles Leyland Cheeta Buses belonging to H. M. T. Ltd., Bangalore described in the schedule below can be used as transport vehicles in public places without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicles from the Provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rule for the purposes of plying them within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicles should not be plied on ghat road.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	WB	overhang
1	2	3	4	5	6
1	ALL 8978	ALA 100919	Layland	210"	60%
2	80936	100918	Cheetah	"	"
3	80751	100939	"	"	"
4	81037	100948	"	"	"
5	81015	100981	"	"	"
6	81040	100943	"	"	"
7	84038	104110	"	"	"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH

Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department

1168—S.O. 2084

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 181 FGL 79

Bangalore, dated 26th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnatak Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 8891 dated 3rd October 1910 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the date of this notification ceases to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluka	Village	F.S. No.	Area	Boundaries.
Uttar Kannada	Ankola	*Melinbeu *Also called as Varilben	3A3—A	A.G.A 0-20-0	North/ S. No. 3A-2. East } F.S.No South } 3A3A-1 West: S. No. 2.

Remarks: Formed into a separations No. *viz* 3A3A-2. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 3A3A-1.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department

1632 S.O. 2085

Notification No. FFD 247 FAF 78.
Bangalore, dated 7th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby.—

(1) Declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in Bhavihal village, Davangere Taluk, Chitradurga District, the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule as Reserve Forest.

(2) appoints the forest Settlement Officer *i.e.* Assistant Commissioner, Davangere, to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said Section.

(3) Appoints the Divisional Forest Officer Chitradurga to respresent the State Government in enquiry by the said forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	S.Nos.	Extent
Chitradurga	Devangere	Bhavihal	145,147 149&165	257.16 Acres or 104.16 Hectt.

Boundaries

North.—Starting form the South-East corner of S. No. 167 of Bhavihal Village, the line runs east along the Southern side of of S No. 166 to the South-West corner of S. No. 181 of the same village.

East.—Then the line turns South and runs along the Western saide S. No. 185, 186, 187, 125 126, 128, 129, 146 and 144 of the me village.

South.—Then the line turns West and runs along the Southern side of S. No. 145 to the South-East Corner of S No. 202 and runs North along with Eastern side of S. No. 149 to its South-West Corner.

West.—Then the line turns North and runs along the Western and Northern side of S. No. 149 and Western saide of 147 and 165 and meets the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,

Under Secretary to Governmen,
Food and Forest Department.

1015 -S. O. 2086

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 2 ETC 78.

Bangalore, dated 15th June 1979.

It is hereby notified for general information, the Governmen of India have notified their intention (*vide* Annexure) to take a Census during 1981, with sunrise 1st of March 1981 as the reference date and that accordingly a Census of the Population of Karnataka State will be taken between 10th February and 1st March 1981.

" The Census " should be regarded as of paramount importance while it lasts, and it will be the duty of all officers of Government and of non-officials who have been or who may hereafter be, entrusted with Census work, to ensure that the instructions issued by the Director of Census Operotions in Karnataka, for the canduct and guidance of Census Officers in the taking of the Census are carefully studied and carried out promptly, efficiently and correctly.

It is further directed that the service of the staff of all Department and Educational Institutions excepting the police, Medical and Transport Departments be made availble for the purpose of the ensuring Census without prejudice to their normal duties, on a requisition, of Deputy Commissioners of Districts. the Commissioners

of Bangalore Corporation, Hubli, Dharwad, Corporation, Mysore City Corporation, Mangalor City Municipality, Belgaum City Corporation, Bellary City Municipality, Bijapur City Municipality, Davanrgere City Municipality, Shimoga City Municipality, Gulbarga City Municipality and chairman, Bangalore Development Authority, Bangalore. To supplement the efforts of official agencies in carring out this important work. Government desire from all classes of the Public their ready and willing co-operation to render service in various capacities whenever it is required. It is expected that all persons will co-operate in furnishing accurate and unambigous information in respect of the questions that may be put to them in the course of enumeration. Any person who intentionally gives a false answer to, or refuses to answer to the best of his knowledge or belief any question that may be asked for him by a Census Officer and which he is legally bound to answer will be punished with fine upto a maximum of one thousand rupees.

The questions authorised to be asked of every person will be published in the *Karnataka Gazette* for general information, at the appropriate time.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

R. A. NAIK,
Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1718 S.O. 2087

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA

OFFICE OF THE REGISTRAR GENERAL, INDIA

16th April 1979.

Notification

S. O..... ....In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 3 of the Census Act. 1948 (37 of 1948), the Central Government hereby declare that a Census of the population of India shall be taken during the year 1981. The reference date for the Census shall be sunrise on 1st March 1981.

F. No. 9/11/79-CD (CEN)

P. PADMANABHA,

Registrar General, India.

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 404 MVS 79
Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979

In exercise of powers conferred by rule 5(1) of the Karnataka General Services (Revenue Subordinate Branch) Village Accountants (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 1979 (as published in Notification No. RD 427 MVS 78 dated 20th February 1979), the Government of Karnataka hereby constitute a Selection Committee for Recruitment to the posts of Village Accountants in Bidar District with the following official and non-official members :

(i)	Deputy Commissioner, Bidar District	...	Chairman
(ii)	Headquarters Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Bidar District	....	Member—Secretary
(iii)	Sri Maruti Page of Bhalki		Member
(iv)	Sri Zahurali Khan, Radio Mechanic, Bidar,		do
(v)	Sri Sripatarao Tandle, Tailor.	...	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department

1709—S.O. 2088

Notification No. RD. 405 MVS. 79
Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979

In exercise of powers conferred by Rule 5 (1) of the Karnataka General Services (Revenue Subordinate Branch) Village Accountants (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 1979, (as published in Notification No RD 427 MVS 78 dated 20th February 1979), the Government of Karnataka hereby constitute a Selection Committee for recruitment to the posts of village Accountants in Dharwad District with the following official and non-official members.

(i)	Deputy Commissioner, Dharwad District	...	Chairman
(ii)	Headquarters Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Dharwad District.		Member—Secretary
(iii)	Sri Mannur R. D. Gadag	...	Member
(iv)	Sri K. B. Siraguppi, Gardenpeth, Hubli	...	do
(v)	Sri Shivappa N. Doddamani Mantagani village, Savanur Taluk.	...	do

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government.,
Revenue Department.

1710—S.O. 2089

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Order No. SWL 206 LSI 79

Bangalore, dated 13th August 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Regulation 76 of the Employees State Insurance (General) Regulation 1950, the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the Medical Appeal Tribunal constituted in Mangalore in Order No. FCL 56 LSI 68 (I) dated 31st January 1970 published in part-IV section 2-C(ii) of the *Mysore Gazette* dated 19th March 1970 shall consists of Sri V.H. Upadhyaya, District Judge *vice* Sri C. N. Rajan

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

VEENA SRIRAM RAO,
Director of Employment (SEP) & Ex-officio
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1721—S.O. 2090

Order No. SWL 631 LLD 79 (1)

Bangalore, dated 30th July 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Sarvodaya Engineering Works, 34/23, Industrial Town, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-560044 on the points noted below ;

And, whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bangalore.

Points of Dispute

"Are the Workmen of the Sarvodaya Engineering Works No. 34/23, Industrial Town, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-44 entitled to the following :—

1. **Pay Scale** :— The scales of Pay of the employees fixed as follows :—

Unskilled	:	Rs. 260-8-300
Semiskilled	:	Rs. 300-12-360
Skilled	:	Rs. 450-15-525

2. **Weightage** :—The following services weightages may kindly be given to all the employees.

(a) One increment to those who have completed one year of service and not completed 3 years of service.

(b) Two increments to these who have completed 3 years of service and not completed 5 years of service.

(c) Three increments to those who have completed 5 years of service.

(d) The rate of Increment will be as that of shown in respective time scale given (1) above :

Dearness Allowance :—A minimum irreductable Dearness Allowance of 100/- per month linked with consumer price index number (as it stood in January 1970) at Rs. 0-75 per point of rise or fall.

4. **Festival Advance** :—Festivai Advance of one months salary recoverable in 10 equal monthly instalments to all the employees for one of following festival in 15 days advance at request of the employees:—

1. Ugadi
2. Deepavali
3. Ramzan

5. **Attendance Bonus** :— An attendence Bonus of Rs. 10/- per month to those who works continuously in three months without absenting unauthorisedly.

6. **Bonus**:—Profit sharing Bonus of 20% per Annum and exgratia of One months salary to the employees.

7. **Leave Benefits**,:—

(a) 15 days Casual Leave, 22 days Privilege leave and 30 days sick leave to all the employees.

(b) At the request of the employees concerned the above leaves which are not availed off to be carried forward or encashed.

8. 2 pairs of uniforms including shoes to all the employees every year.

If not, to what reliefs the said workmen are entitled ?

II. Are the management of Sarvodaya Engineering Works, No. 34/23, Industrial Town, Rajajinagar, Bangalore-44. Justified in terminating the services of Sriyuths : L. Ethirajan, G. Raju and R. Babu with effect from 6th April 1979 ?

If not, to what reliefs the said workmen are entitled to ?

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1658—S. O. 2091

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bola, Vyavasaya Seva Sahakari Sangha Niyamita, Bola, Karkala Taluk, Dakshina Kannda

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore published.

Read the following papers :—

(1) Letter No. A/ID-167/78 dated 7/9th July 1979 from Shri V. H. Upadhyaya, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore.

IN THE LABOUR COURT AT MANGALORE

Present :

Shri V. H. Upadhyaya, B.A., LL.B.,
Presiding Officer

Saturday, the 7th day of July 1979.

Reference No. (ID) (LCM) No. 167 of 1978.

Between :—

First Party :

Sri Mohandas Hegde, Adlbettu House, Hebri P.O., Karkala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada.

AND

Second Party :

M/s. Bola Vyavasaya Seva Sahakari Sangha, Niyamitha, Bola, Karkala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada.

AWARD

The Government of Karnataka have made a reference of the dispute between the parties for adjudication :

The points of dispute are :

1. Is Sri Mohandas Hegde, former Secretary of M/s. Nitte Service Co-operative Society Ltd., Nitte, D. K., now merged with M/s. Bola Vyasaya Seva Sahakari Sangha, Niyamitha, Bola Karkala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada justified in demanding reinstatement with back wages and continuity of service with effect from 29th November 1975 on the plea that he was forced by the then President and Directors of the Society to tender resignation ?

2. If so, what relief(s) that the workman is entitled to ?

The case of the I Party workman is that he was working as a Secretary of the Nitte Service Co-operative Society since 1st January

1975 and on 29th November 1975 by threat and coercion the President and some of the Directors took a letter of resignation from him and relieved him from service as from 4th December 1975. According to him this would amount to constructive dismissal and as the said society is now merged with the II Party the latter is bound to reinstate him and give all benefits.

2. The II Party filed a statement that the I Party had given a voluntary resignation and even before the said society was taken over the I Party was not in service and hence the II Party is not liable for the claims of the I Party. It also added that there was much defalcation by the I Party and the society was run at a loss by him.

Decision and reasons

Point No. 1 :—Apart from filing a statement the II Party did not take part in the proceedings. Its contention that the I Party was not in service when it took over the Society and so is not liable for the claim is not acceptable as the Industrial Law regards the rights and obligations of the old concern as continuing and capable of being enforced as against the new management (*vide* commentary at page 51 in the book on the Law of Industrial Disputes by Malhotra 1968 edition).

3. The I Party workman has examined himself and sworn to the contents of his statement explaining the circumstances under which his resignation was tendered and accepted. He was not cross-examined nor his evidence was contraverted. Hence, his statement that under threat of getting him arrested under Maintainance of Internal Security Act (MISA) during the period of Emergency he was forced to submit resignation has to be accepted. It cannot be said that it is a belated thought of his as he had given petitions to the then employer Society and to the President of South Kanara District Co-operative Union on 5th December 1975 itself complaining of such coercion. There was no reply from his employer for such an accusation by the I Party. There is no evidence on behalf of the II Party in support of the contention that there was defalcation by the I Party. On the contrary, the I Party has produced a no due Certificate from the President of the said Society dated 29th November 1975 itself.

4. It has been held in the decision in Gilbert V/s. Goldstone 1978 Lab. IC 975 that if a person is forced to tender resignation it would amount to dismissal. In the decision in M/s. Southern Roadways V/s. K. Padmanabhan 1978 Lab. IC 234 it has been observed that if a termination of service is brought about by a resignation obtained by threat or coercion it would amount to an industrial dispute. Under the above circumstances I hold that the termination of service was brougt about by a resignation obtained by

threat and coercion as claimed by the I Party and he is justified in demanding reinstatement and all consequential benefits and this point is answered accordingly.

Point No. 2 :- Reinstatement of a workman wrongfully dismissed is the ordinary relief. The long break in service or change of the Management are not the grounds that militate against giving that benefit. The I Party claims that he is not in any employment eversince the termination of his past service. Hence, I hold that the I Party is entitled to be reinstated in the same grade in the same post with continuity of service and back wages as from 4th December 1975 when he was relieved of his post.

5. Award passed accordingly. No Cost.

Typed to my dictation, then corrected, signed and pronounced by me in Open Court. this the 7th day of July, 1979.

Sd-/ .....
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Mangalore.

Mangalore,
Dated :—7th July 1979.

Order No. SWL 227 LLA 79
Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 885 LLD 78, dated 8th November 1978 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s. Bola Vyavasaya Seva Sahakari Sangha Niyamitha, Bola, Karkala Taluk, Dakshina Kannada, was referred to the Labour Court, Mangalore for adjudication ;

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Mangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and In the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour A).

1682—S.O. 2: 92

Order No. SWL 537 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workman and the management of Mangalore Port Trust, Mangalore on the points noted below;

AND WHEREAS the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

1. "Is the management justified in dismissing Sri N. Bhojakumar, Seaman with effect from 3rd June 1978 ?
2. If not, to what relief the said Sri N. Bhojakumar is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A Section.)

1744—S. O. 2093

Order No. SWL 546 LLD 79
Bangalore, dated 17th August 1979

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of Hindustan Petroleum Corporation at Gulbarga Branch, Gulbarga on the points noted below ;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Hubli.

Points of Dispute.

I. "Is the management justified in not confirming the services of the following 7 workers :

1.	Sriyuths	A. S. Mulgi,	Depot Clerk.
2.	,,	Abdul Hameed	Workman
3.	,,	Syed Ameeruddin	do
4.	,,	Chotnmiyan	do
5.	,,	M. Rukmuddin	do
6.	,,	Ramalulingappa	do
7.	,,	Hanumantappa Dhatargi	Watchman

II. If not, to what other relief(s) the workmen are entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. V. SOMASHEKHARAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1745—S. O. 2094

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No, CI 26 PUD 79

Bangalore dated 18th May 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 66 of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Small Industries Development Corporation Ltd. the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to appoint the following persons as non-official Directors on the Board of Karnataka Small Industries Development Corporation Ltd,

1. Shri V. G. Joshi, President, Karnataka Small Scale Industries Association, J. C. Road, Bangalore.
2. Shri Abdul Nabi Gola, Social worker, Chitapur, Gulbarga District.
3. Shri L. Basri, Chairman and Managing Director, Karnataka Bank, Bangalore.
4. Shri N. A. Hanumannanavar, Chairman City Improvement Trust Board, Belgaum.
5. Shri Kumara Gowda Patil, At and Post: Salvadgi, Taluk: Bagewadi, Bijapur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H.A. GOPALA RAO
Director,
Public Undertakings Cell, and ex-officio
Deputy Secretary to Government
Commerce & Industries Department.

1124 S. O. 2095

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 84 CLS 79 (ii)

Bangalore dated 4th July 1979

In exercise of the powers vested under sub-section (1) of Section 29 of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 59), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the following on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Develop-

ment Bank Limited Bangarpet Kolar District, with immediate effect and until further orders :—

Sri N. Viswanatha Gowda, General Secretary, Taluk Congress Committee (I), Bangarpet.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

A. PARAMESWARAPPA
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt & Coopn. Department.

1498 S.O. 2096

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 23 TMU 79
Bangalore, dated 8th May 1979.

The Government of Karnataka is of the opinion that the ancient monument specified in the schedule below should be declared as a protected monument under sub-section (1) of Section 4 of the Karnataka Ancient and Historical Monuments and Archaeological sites and Remains Act, 1961 (Karnataka Act 7 of 1962) and notice is hereby given that the said ancient monument shall be declared as a protected monument on or after 20th July 1979.

Any objections to the declaration of the said monument to be a protected monument from any person interested in the said ancient monument received by the State Government before the date specified above will be considered by the Government. Objections in this behalf may be addressed to the Commissioner for Education and Secretary to Government, Education and Youth Services Department Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore-560 001.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	District	Taluk	Locality	Name of the monuments	Revenue Plot to be included under protection	Area
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
1.	Tumkur	Tumkur	Sibi	Sri Narasimha Swamy Temple	Survey No. 10	20 Acres and 30 Guntas

Boundaries	Ownership	Remarks
8	9	10
East : S.No. 14, 15 & 19 West : N.H. No. 4 North : S.No. 11 South : S.No 9 & National Highway No. 4	Karnik Nallappa Dharmakartha as per Sannad granted by Krishnaraja Wadiyar Bahadur III, to the ancestors of the present Dharmakartha.	

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

D. G. NAYAK.

Under Secretary to Government,
Education & Youth Service Department

1062 - S.O. 2097

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 406 MVS 79

Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Rules 5(1) of the Karnataka General Service (Revenue Subordinate Branch) Village Accountants (Recruitment) (Amendment) Rules, 1979. (as published in Notification No. RD 427 MVS 78 dated 20th February 1979), the Government of Karnataka hereby constitute a Selection Committee for recruitment to the posts of Village Accountants in Tumkur Dist. with the following official and non-official members :

1.	Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur District ...	Chairman
2.	Headquarters Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner, Tumkur Dist. Tumkur ...	Member Secretary
3.	Sri K. Narasimhaiah, B.A. Midigesi, Madhugiri taluk, Tumkur District	Member
4.	Sri Sannappaiah s/o Narasimaiah, C. N. Halli, Tumkur District	Member
5.	Sri Chowdary M. Yousuff, Sira Town, Tumkur District.	Member

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1711—S O 2098

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 110 TMA 79.

Bangalore, dated 14th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 316 of the Karnataka Motor Vehicles Rules, 1963, the Government of Karnataka being satisfied that the Motor Vehicle belonging to Sri D. T. Sundar, Proprietor, Sundar Transport, Visveswarapuram, Bangalore-4, described in the schedule below can be used as transport vehicle in public place without any danger to the public safety, hereby exempts the said vehicle from the provisions of sub-rule (2) of rule 203 of the said rules for the purposes of plying it within the limits of Karnataka State, subject to the condition that the said vehicle should not be plied on ghat roads.

SCHEDULE

Sl. No.	Chassis No.	Engine No.	Make	WB	Over hang
1	ALB 102772	ALI 82995	Leyland Cheetah	210"	60%

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1061—S. O. 2099

Order-II No. HD 135 TMI 78.

Bangalore, dated 9th August 1979.

WHEREAS under Section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing Registration No. MYM 6156 has vested in the State Government;

And WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

Now, therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act 1976(Karnataka Act 21 of 1976), the Government of

Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer, Mysore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBAIAH,
Under Secretary to Government.
Home Department.

1715(2)— S.O. 2100

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 47 CCL 79
Bangalore, dated 24th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article-66 of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Small Industries Development Corporation Limited, the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to appoint Smt. Vatsala Raghavan, Deputy Secretary, Finance Department, as a Director on the Board of the said Company in place of Sri Brahm Dutt.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

K. EGBERT DHANANJAYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1625—S. O. 2101

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 70 LMP 78
Bangalore, dated 7/8th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by rule 2 (1) (n) (ii) of the Karnataka State Civil Services (General Recruitment) Rules, 1977, the Government of Karnataka hereby appoints a selection Committee consisting of the following members to make recruitment to all direct recruitment vacancies in Class I, Class II and Class III Posts, except I Division and II Division Clerks, in the Directorates of Translations :—

1.	Secretary to Government, Department of Law and Paliamentary Affairs...	Chairman
2.	Director of Translations ...	Member
3.	Director of Social Welfare or his representative	Member
4.	The Administrative Assistant of the Directorate of Translations ...	Secretary

By Order and in the name the of Governor of Karnataka,

A. NEELAKANTARYA
Under Secretary to Government,
Deptt. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

1707 S.O. 2102

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the Workmen and the Management the of K S.R.T.C. Bellar, Division, Bellary.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore Published

Read the following papers :—

1. Letter No. 462/79-80, dated 30th June 1979 from Sri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated this 30thday of June 1979.

Present :

Shri M. R. Mujumdar, B.A. (HONS), LL.B.,
Presiding Officer,

Reference No. 207 of 1978

First Party.—

K. Doddabasappa, Ex-Conductor, Post No. 10, Muddapura Taluk, Hospet, Bellary District.

—*Vs*—

Second Party.—

The Management of the K. S. R. T. C., Bellary Division, Bellary (By Deputy General Manager & Divisional Controller, Bellary Division)

Reference :—Government Order No. SWL 925 LLD 77, dated 17th December 77.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka by order No. SWL 925 LLD 77 dated 17th December 1977, have referred the following Points of Dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Bellary.—

"1. Whether the management of the KSRTC Bellary Division, are justified in dismissing the services of Sri K. Doddabasappa, Ex-conductor, with effect from 29th October 1976 ?

2. If not, to what relief the said workman is entitled ?

2. The Reference was registered at Labour Court, Bellary as I.D. No. 1 of 1978. The I Party filed his claim statement on 6th February 1978 contending that he was appointed as a Conductor in the II Party corporation in 1965 and had been dismissed from service

in January, 1969 and on an appeal of his, he was reappointed from 5th March 1969. His services were again terminated in August, 1972, for misconduct and unauthorised absence but he was reinstated by the appellate authority from 13th December 1973. As a result of this the management was harassing him and when he was on duty in the bus from Hospet to Havanur on 24th November 1974, a charge memo was issued to him by the checking staff and he was kept under suspension from 2nd December 1975. An enquiry was held after issuing charge-sheet to him. At the time of personal hearing the enquiry officer assured him that no serious action would be taken against him if he pleads guilty and only a minor punishment would be imposed and being influenced by this the I Party signed the enquiry proceedings on 17th March 1976. Thereafter the suspension order was revoked from 2nd April 1976 and he resumed duty thinking that the disciplinary proceedings were over. After 6 months, the II Party issued an order on 2nd November 1976 discharging him from service with effect from 29th October 1976. His demand to the management and the conciliation proceedings ended in failure. The enquiry is vitiated as no second show cause notice proposing the punishment, was served upon him; the Depot Manager was not competent to hold the enquiry and the action of the management is against the rules of natural justice. On these grounds the I Party has claimed that he be reinstated with full back wages and other benefits.

3. The II Party-K. S. R. T. C., filed objections on 17th March 1978 giving therein the service history of the I party and contending that as he was found indulging in pilferage, disciplinary action was taken against him, and an enquiry observing all formalities according to regulations and the principles of natural rusties, was held and the I Party was found guilty of the charge. He was therefore, terminated from service with effect from 29th October 1976. During the enquiry, the I Party voluntarily pleaded guilty and the enquiry officer accepted the same. The Depot Manager was quite competent to hold the enquiry. There are no merits in the claim and the same deserves to be dismissed.

4. In the Labour Court at Bellary, the fairness and propriety of the domestic enquiry. was heard as a preliminary issue and it has been held to be fair and proper by order dated 29th May 1978. This order has not been challenged by the I Party.

5. Thereafter the Government vide Notification No. SWL 73(2) LLE 78 dated 20th June 1978 the proceedings of this case were transferred to this Court and on receipt of records, the same was registered as Reference No. 207 of 1978 and notices were issued to the parties for further hearing.

6. Though the I Party, thereafter, wanted to lead evidence he did not adduce any evidence. On 23rd March 1979 the I Party with

his representative was present and none for the II Party was present. The learned representative for the I Party workman addressed arguments and also requested time to produce service regulations and authorities. On 13th April 1979, he produced written arguments, service rules of K. S. R. T. C. and one authority. The II Party or ats representative was not present.

7. The misconduct alleged against the I Party Conductor in this ɔoase, was that he was conductor in Bus No. 2471 on 24th November 1975 and when the bus was travelling from Guttal to Havanur, was Checked near Havanur and it was found that though the Conductor had collected fare from 9 passengers he had not issued them requisite tickets of 0 70 ps each at boarding point and further 12 persons were travelling from Tuttal to Havanur without ticket and the I Party-conductor had neither collected the fare nor issued the tickets of 0.70 ps. (Ex. R. 2). The checking staff recorded the statements of some of the passengers as per Ex. R. 4 in the presence of the I Party conductor and also obtained his signature on Ex. R. 4. The checking staff got tickets issued to the above said passengers which are produced at Ex. R. 3. During the enquiry the I party Conductor, while being questioned by the Enquiry Officer on 17th March 1976, admitted that he had committed misconduct and prayed for mercy. He did not raise any objection regarding the enquiry nor did he desire to produce in evidence on his behalf. Relying on his admission of guilt the enquiry officer, found him guilty of the charge (Ex. R. 13). The Enquiry Officer (Depot Manager-A, Hospet) felt that the misconduct warranted action beyond his competency and therefore, the case was referred to the Deputy General Manager and Divisional Controller, K. S. R. T. C., Bellary Division, who passed the order of discharge Ex. R. 13. As noted above the domestic enquiry has been held to be fair and proper.

8. The main contentions urged for the I Party are that the standing Orders of the erstwhile M. G. R. T. D. are still applicable to the I Party workman, whereas the enquiry was conducted as per the M.S.R.T.C. Servants (Conduct and Discipline) Regulations, 1971, and therefore, the enquiry and the findings and the action taken thereon are all illegal and void, and further that even according to the said regulations the procedure followed in the enquiry was not proper, especially Regulation No. 26 was not followed in as much as the orders made by the disciplinary authority together with copy of finding, was not communicated to the I Patty. It is also contended that the punishment imposed is too harsh.

9. The K. S. R. T. C. Servants (Conduct and Discipline) Regulations, 1979, are framed by the Corporation in exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 14 and 45 of the Road Transport Corporation Act, 1950, and the same was approved by the Government of Karnataka under Sec. 45 of the Road Transport Corporation Act,

1950, by Order No. HD 109 TRE 71 dated 15th March, 1972. The domestic enquiry against the I Party was conducted in accordance with these Rules and at that time was not at all contended by the I Party that the said Regulations are not at all applicable to him or that the Standing Orders of the erstwhile M. G. R. T. D. still hold good. Further the Labour Court at Bellary considered the domestic enquiry, which is held according to the said Regulations, and has held that the enquiry so help is fair and proper and valid. The I Party, cannot, therefore, now contend that the said regulations are not applicable to him and that therefore, the enquiry is vitiated.

10. During the enquiry 1 Party himself admitted his mistake and prayed for mercy and the enquiry officer accepted the plea of guilt and based his findings upon the same. Regulation 23rd deal with the procedure for imposing major penalties and clause (9) of Regulation 23 lays down that if the deliquent pleads guilty of any of the charges, the inquiring authority shall record the plea, sign the record and obtain the signature of the delinquent thereon. Clause (10) of the said Regulation 23 states that the inquiring authority shall return a finding of guilt in respect of those charges in respect of which the Corporation servant pleads guilty. In this, case, as seen above, the I Party workman pleaded guilty of to the charge on 17th March 1976 and prayed for mercy. The same is recorded by the Enquiry Officer and it is signed by the Enquiry Officer as well as the I Party workman K. Doddabasappa. The finding of the Enquiry Officer is bassed on this plea of guilt made by the I Party workman. His grievance is not that he did not plead guilty but he contends that the Enquiry Officer assured him that only a minor penalty would be imposed on him and therefore, he pleaded guilty. The I Party workman did not adduce any evidence in support of this contention. He did not even examine himself to say so. This contention is therefore, simply an after thought and an untenable one at that.

11. The learned representative for the I Party sought support from the ruling of the Gujarat High Court in Navinchandra Shakerchand Shah Vs Ahmedabad Co-operative Department Stores Ltd. (reported in 1978 I LLN 242). The facts of that case are quite difference. The werker therein was a helper in a cooperative stores and was found to have weighted to a customer more quantity of wheat than was billed for and it was held therein that it did not amount to labitual negligent of work or gross neguigence: The facts of this case are quite different and the said authority does not, therefore, help the 1 party workman.

12. It view of the above facts, it cannot be said that the finding recorded by the Enquiry Officer is in any way not based on evidence or is perverse. I do not, therefore, see any reason to interfere with the same under Sec. 11A of the Industrial Disputes Act. The charge against the I Party workman being pilferage of the

Corporation funds by collecting fare from the passengers and not issuing them necessary tickets. I do not feel that there is any ground to disturb the punishment of discrarge from service imposed on the I party workman.

13. For all these reasons, I hold that the II Party Corporation was justified in terminating the services of the I Party with effect from 29th October 1976 The I Party workman Sri K. Doddabasappa is not entitled to any relief in this Reference. In the circumstances of the case, parties are to bear their own costs.

M. R. MUJUMDAR,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Bangalore

Order No. SWL 221 LLA 79.

Bangalore, dated 24th/25th July 1979

Whereas by State Government Order No. SWL 925 LLD 77, dated 17th December 1977 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the Management of Karnataka State Road Transport Corporation, Bellary Division, Bellary, was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication.

And Whereas the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its Award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute.

Now, Therefore in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said Award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette.*

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A)

1677—S.O. 2103

Order No. SWL 579 LLD 79

Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

Whereas the management of Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board, Bangalore and the workmen of the said management have applied to the Government Jointly in the prescribed manner for reference of the Industrial Dispute existing between them on the points noted below to the Industrial Tribunal Bangalore for adjudication;

And whereas the State Government is satisfied that the Applicants for reference of the said dispute to the Industrial Tribunal represent the Majority of each party;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by of sub-section 2 of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal Bangalore.

Points of Dispute.

I. "Are the workmen justified in demanding bonus from the management of Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board"
II. If not, to what relief the workmen are entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department,
(Labour-A.)

1746—S. O. 2104

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 151 FGL 79
Bangalore, dated 2nd August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 11813-A, dated 14th December 1906 issued by the Former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the date of issue of this notification cases to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S.No.	Area		Boundaries
Uttar Kannada	Sirsi	Kangod	143	A.G A. 1-0-0	North East South West	F.S. No. 143-A

Remarks : Formed into a separate S.No. Viz. 143-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F.S. No. 143-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1693 S O. 2105

Notification No. FFD 222 FGL 79.

Bangalore, dated 2nd August 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 8608, dated 5th November 1895 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the date of issue of this notification cases to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S. No.	Area	Boundaries	
				A.G.A.		
Uttar Kannada	Karwar	Wailwoda	298 A1A	5-0-0	North East South West	F.S. No. 298 A1A-1

Remarks : Formed into a separate S.No. viz. 298 A1A-2 The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 295 A1A-1.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1694—S O. 2106

Notification No. FFD 425 FGL 78.

Bangalore, dated 2nd August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. 5569-B, dated 20th October 1880 issued by the Former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the date of issue of this Notification ceases to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S. No.	Area		Boundaries
				A.	G A.	
Uttar Kannada	Mundgod	Shanwalli	8	6-27	0 North East South West	F.S. No. 21

Remarks : The entire F S. No. 8 has been disaforested.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,

Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1695—S.O. 2107

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 25 MRC 79

Bangalore. dated 28th July 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 18 of the K.M.M.C. Rules 1969 as amendment from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanction the transfer of the Quarry lease specified in the Schedule below by Shri S. C. Mehta in favour of M/s Homa Quarry Works, subject to the following conditions :—

(1) that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferor and the transferees wherein the transferees shall agree to bind themselves by the terms of the original lease for the remaining period of the lease and,

(2) that the dues if any, shall be paid by the transferor before the execution of the transfer deeds in respect of this lease

Quarry lease No. 1516	Building Stone	Horamavu, Agrahara, village, Bangalore South Taluk, Bangalore District over an area of 2.32 acres in Survey No. 99

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department

1648—S.O. 2108

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 47 COF 79
Bangalore, dated 3rd July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Section 53-A of the Karnataka Co-operative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act No. 11 of 1959), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to nominate the following persons as Directors on the Board of Directors of Vani Vilas Co-operative Sugar Factory Limited, Hiriyur, with immediate effect and until further orders.

1. Sri B. R. Rangaswamy, Village Panchayat Chairman, Yelanadu village, Hiriyur.
2. Sri H Hanumanthappa, B.A., B.L., Advocate, Chitradurga

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Co-opn. Department

1492—S. O. 2109

Notification No. RDC 110 CLS 79.
Bangalore, dated 2nd August 1979.

The nomination of Sri G. Siddappa s/o Basava, Purappemane, Hosanagar, Notification No. RDC 317 CLS 77(ii) dated 13th October 1977, on the Board of Directors of the Primary Land Development Bank Limited, Bhimanakone, Shimoga District is hereby withdrawn with immediate effect.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development & Co-opn Dept

1701—S. O. 2110

Prin by the Director of Printing Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press B'lore

PART IV

Section—2-C(ii)

STATUTORY ORDERS ISSUED BY THE STATE GOVERNMENT UNDER THE CENTRAL ACTS AND STATE ACTS.

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 258 FAF 78.

Bangalore, Dated 3rd April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnatrka Act 5 of 1964) Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in Holalkere village Holalkere Taluk, Chitradurga District the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule as Reserve Forest;

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer *i.e.*, Assistant Commissioner Davangere to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section.,

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Chitradurga to represent the State Government in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	Sl. No.	Extent
Chitradurga	Holalkere	Holalkere	404 & 405	779.00 Acres or 315.5 Hectares.

BOUNDARIES

North :—Starting from the 230 M. North-west of Western most corner of S. No. 404 of Holalkere village. Then the line runs for 42 chains) 840 M. in between the common boundary of Kujaneragatta Holalkere. Then the line straighlly turns towards South-West through S.No. 404 for (10 chains) 200 M. Then the line runs for 240 M. towards South-East through he same survey number, again the line runs towards South-West for 220 M. through the same survey number and the line straightly runs towards South-East for 140 M. in the said survey number. Then the line runs straighly towards north from 410 M. through the said survey number and meet the common boundary of Kujaner gatta and Holalkere. Then the line runs the same direction 250 M. and meet the tri-junction band of Kujaneragatta, Holalkere and Hunsepante.

East :—Then the line runs for 2140 M. in between the common boundary of Hunsepente Malasinganaballi and Holalkere.

South :—Then the line runs for 1475 M. in between the common boundary of Lokadal and Holalkere and meet the tri-junction band of Lokadal Jaibommanahalli and Holalkere.

West :—Then the line runs for 300 M. and 600 M. 180 M and 545 M. in between the common boundary of Jaibommanahalli and Holalkere. Then the line runs for 1180 M. in between the common boundary of S.No. 404 and 405. Then the line runs for 220 M. towards North and meet the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

792 S. O.—2131

Notification No FFD 1 FAF 78.

Bangalore, dated 30th July 1979.

In notification No. AFD 50 FAF 67 dated 23rd January 1968 published as S.O. 1605 of pages 1-16 of part IV of Section 2C (ii) of the *Karnataka Gazette* dated 18th June 1968 and as amended by notification No. AFD 72 FAF 70 dated 1st March 1972 published as S. O. 605 at pages 1208 of part IV of Section 2C (ii) of the *Karnataka Gazette* dated 6th April 1972.

Against the column survey field No.

(a) Under the heading Haluvalli village
(i) add "124/3 and 103/2".
(b) Under the heading Hosur village
(i) add "224/2, 232/2 and 233"
(c) Also read the village name as "Haluvalli" against the name 'Maluvalli' in line of No.3 of last para.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1691—S O. 2132

Addendum No. FFD 46 FAF 79.

Bangalore, dated 2nd August 1979.

In the Government Notification No. AFD 103 FAF 68 dated 14th October 1968 published in *Karnataka Gazette* dated 31st October 1968 as S. O. 2286 in part IV Section 2C-II at pages 4925 to 4929 and the following particulars in the Schedule.

(1) Against item Name of the village :—

After the word Belvi add 'Irvathur' village.

(2) Against item No. survey field No :—

After the figure 184 add the following figures "388/2B1, 388/1, 550, 551, 552, 553 and 640 of Belvi village and Sy. No. 169 and 211/3 of Irvathur village".

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1692—S.O. 2133

Notification No. FFD 257 FAF 78
Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) declare that it has been decided to constitute, the land in Sadarahalli village, Holalkere Taluk, Chitradrga District the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule as Reserve Forest :

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer *i.e.* Assistant Commissioner, Devangere to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of Sub-Section (1) of said Section ;

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer Chitradurga to represent the State Government in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	S.No.	Extent
Chitradurga	Holakere	Sadarahalli	69	303.01 Acres or 121.20 Hectares.

BOUNDARIES

North :-Starting from trijunction bandh of S. No. 77 and 67 and 68 of Sadarahalli village. the line runs towards east for 480 and 290 metres along with Southern boundary of S. No. 68 of Sadarahalli village and meets the trijunction band of S. No. 68 and S. No. 69 of Sadarahalli

East :-Then the line runs for 160,700,200,400 110 40 and 20 Metres in between the common boundary of Kesarpura and Sadarahalli and meet the trijunction of band of Kesarpura, Lakevanagatta and Sadarahalli.

South:-Then the line runs for 100,80,100, 40 metres in between the common boundary of Lakvanagatta and Sadarahalli. Then the line runs North-West for 460,400,240 Metres and runs eastern side of S.No. 92,91 90 respectivelv and meets the North-West corner of S.No. 90 of the same village. Then the line runs south for 300 Metres and 190 Metres and runs western side of S.No. 90 and 89 and north side of S.No. 88 and 87 and 70 Metres South and western side of S.No 87 of Sadarahalli.

West:—Then the line runs towards North-west and north for 30,60,90,80,120.560 Metres and runs eastern side of S.No. 86,85 and 84. Then the line runs towards east for 80 Metres passing through S.No. 69 of said village. Then the line runs slightly North-West for 220 Metres passing through S.No. 69 of the said village. Then the line runs slightly towards North-East for 70, 90 and 740 Metres along with eastern boundary of S No. 77 of Sadarahalli and meets the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

791—S.O. 2134

Notification No. FFD 268 FAF 78
Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) Declare that it has been decided to constitute that the land in Gulihatti Village, Hosadurga taluk, Chitradurga District the situation and limits of which are specified in the Schedule as Reserve Forest.

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer i.e., Assistant Commissioner, Davangere to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (a) of sub-section (1) of the said section.

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Chitradurga to represent the State Government in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE.

District	*Taluk*	*Village*	*S. Nos.*	*Extent*
Chitradurga	Hosadurga	Gulihatti	26	200.00 Acres or 80.94 Hectares.

Boundaries.

North :—Starting from the trijunction bandhs of Devaragudda State Forest Mallapur and Gulihatti village to apoint about 361 Metres slightly North-East from the trijunction bandhs

of Doddagatta village, Devaragudda State Forest and Mallapur Village, the line runs North-East for about 1363 Metres and meets the Bandes between Devaragudda State Forest and Gulihatti villages to a point about 383 Metres north from the South-West corner of S. No. 15 of the same village.

East :—Starting from North-East corner of S. No. 26 of the Gulihatti village then the line runs along the western boundary of S. No. 4, 5, and 3 of the same village to a point 80 meters north from the South-West corner of S. No. 3 of the same village.

South :—Then the line turns South-West through S. No. 26 for 884 Metres and where it meets the centres of western boundary of S. No. 26 of the same village to a point 657 metres from North-West corner of S. No. 26.

West :—Then the line turns north and North-West for 657 Metres along the western boundary of S. No. 26 and reaches the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food & Forest Department.

796—S. O. 2135

Notifcation No. AFD 3 FAF 79
Bangalore, dated 7th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 4 of the Karnataka Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby,—

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in the Ujire, Kannady and Nada villages of Belthangady Taluk of Dakshina Kannada District the situation and limits of which are specified in the Schedule below, as reserved forest;

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer. Dakshina Kannada, Mangalore to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purposes of clause (c) of Sub-Section (i) of the said Section; and

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest officer, Mangalore to represent the State Government in the inquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

Name of the District:—	South Kanara
Name of the Taluk:—	Belthangady Taluk
Name of the villages:—	Ujire Kannady and Nada
Survey Field Numbers:—	S. No. 1,22,23,24 of Ujire, 69 of Kannady and 98/1 of Nada villages.

Area	:	128. 00 acres or 51. 80 hectares.
Name of the Block	:	Ujire Block II

Boundary Description

North:—The Northern boundary line of the block starts from station No 48 runs in almost eastern direction to a distance of 215 links to reach station No. 49. Again it runs in the almost Eastern direction to a distance of 455 links to reach station No. 50. Thence it runs in the North-East direction to a distance of 459 links to reach station No. 52 passing through middle station No. 51. Then again it runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 146 links to reach station No. 53. Then again it runs in the North-East direction to a distance of 1750 links to station No. 58 passing through intermediate station from 54 to 57. Thence it runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 981 links to reach station No. 61 passing through intermediate station from 59 and 60. And from that point the boundary line runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 520 links to reach station No 63 passing through the middle station No. 62. Thence it runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 900 links to reach station No. 65 passing through the middle station No. 64 Thence it runs in the North-East direction to a distance of 700 links to reach station No. 67, passing through the middle station No. 66. Thence it runs in the Eastern direction to a distance of 820 links to reach station No. 68. Thence it runs in the North-East direction to a distance 450 of links to reach station No. 70 passing through the middle station No. 69. From there it runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 745 links to reach station No. 73, passing through intermediate station No. 71 and 72 Thence it runs in the North-East direction to a distance of 550 links to reach station No. 75 passing through the middle station No 74. Again it runs in the North-West direcrion to a distance of 255 links to reach station No. 76. Thence it runs in the North-East direction to a distance of 725 links to station No. 78 passing through the middle station No. 77. Further the boundary line runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 420 links to reach station No. 79.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F. B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
48	49	89°30′	215
49	50	97	455
50	51	63	354
51	52	48°30′	105
52	53	354°30′	146

1	2	3	1
53	54	36°30′	325
54	55	76°30′	390
55	56	52°30′	350
56	57	64	240
57	58	76°	395
58	59	128°30′	416
59	60	138°30′	350
60	61	179	215
61	62	214°30′	225
62	63	186°30′	295
63	64	114°30′	550
64	65	95	350
65	66	32°30′	350
66	67	41	350
67	68	91°30′	820
68	69	35	190
69	70	71	260
70	71	135	130
71	72	143	315
72	73	101	301
73	74	68	280
74	75	49°30′	270
75	76	353	255
76	77	52°30′	253
77	78	51	472
78	79	105	420

East :—The Eastern boundary line runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 250 links to reac station No. 80 Thence it runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 510 links to reach station No. 82 passing through middle station No. 81. Then again it runs in the Southern direction to a distance of 230 links to reach station No. 83. Thence it runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 1065 links to reach station No. 87. passing through intermediate station from 84 to 86. And from there it runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 625 links to reach station No. 8 passing through the middle station No. 88 Thence the boundary line runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 2338 links to reach station No 99. passing through intermediate station from 90 to 98. Thence it runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 715 links to reach Station No. 1 passing through the middle station No. 100.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station From	Numbers to	Bearings in degrees in F.B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
79	80	215	250
80	81	162°30′	340

1	2	3	4
81	82	138	170
82	83	181	230
83	84	121	275
84	85	130	280
85	86	171	260
86	87	158	250
87	88	224	350
88	89	240	275
89	90	170	250
90	91	159°30′	280
91	92	135°30′	200
92	93	146°30′	185
93	94	145°30′	290
94	95	149°30′	225
95	96	147°30′	277
96	97	112	225
97	98	125	306
98	99	101°30′	100
99	100	217	375
100	1	183	340

South : The Southern boundary line of the block runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 3411 links to reach station No 11 passing through intermediate station from 2 to 10 Then again it runs in the North-East direction to a distance of 185 links to reach station No. 12. Then it runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 1542 links to reach station No. 18 passing through intermediate station from 13 to 17. Then again it runs in the South West direction to a distance of 326 links to reach station No. 19. And from that point it runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 575 links to reach station No. 21 passing through the middle station No. 20. Thence it runs in the Southern direction to a distance of 230 links to reach station No. 22. Then runs-in-South East direction to station. Thence it runs in the South-West direction to a distence of 987 links to reach station No. 27 passing through intermediate station from 24 to 26. From there It runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 285 links to reach station No. 28. Again the boundary line runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 190 links to reach station No. 29. Further it runs in the South-East direction to a distance of 150 links to reach station No. 30 Thence the boundary line runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 385 links to reach station No. 32 passing through middle station No. 31. From there it runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 903 links to reach station No. 36 passing through intermediate station from 33 to 35. Thence it runs in the Western direction to a distance of 190 links to reach No. 37. From there it runs in the South-West direction to a distance of 1992 links to reach the station No. 44 passing through the intermediate stations No. 38 to 43.

The bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station From	Numbers To	Bearings in degrees in F.B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
1	2	301	434
2	3	305	293
3	4	281°30′	270
4	5	308°30′	317
5	6	295°30′	525
6	7	276°30′	430
7	8	348	326
8	9	359	334
9	10	306°30′	270
10	11	312°30′	210
11	12	5°30′	185
12	13	347°30′	216
13	14	335	201
14	15	302°30′	227
15	16	334	360
16	17	314°30′	348
17	18	294°30′	190
18	19	260°30′	326
19	20	329	275
20	21	288	300
21	22	182°30′	230
22	23	119°30′	157
23	24	244°00	310
24	25	243°30	273
25	26	197	132
26	27	197	264
27	28	27?°30′	285
28	29	245°30	190
29	30	175	150
30	31	204°30′	205
31	32	263	180
32	33	300	245
33	34	275°30′	180
34	35	289°30′	230
35	36	290°30′	248
36	37	267°30′	190
37	38	252°	190
38	39	242°30′	410
39	40	241°30′	220
40	41	245	340
41	42	252°30′	162
4	43	252°30′	350
4	44	261°30′	320

West:—The Western boundary line of the block runs in the North-West direction to a distance of 1509 links to reach station No. 47 passing through intermediate station No. 45 and 46. Finally it runs in the almost eastern direction to a distance of 254 links to reach No. 48.

The bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearings in degrees in F.B.	Distances in links
1	2	3	4
44	45	319	175
45	46	357	998
46	47	320	336
47	48	3°30′	254

—Traverse closes.

Other Privileges.

1. Free grazing of cattle by the villagers.
2. Free removal of green manure leaves for the *bonafide* use by the ryots.
3. Free removal of dry fuel for *bonafide* use of the villagers.
4. Free removal of Muligrass for the *bonafide* use of the villagers.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

.....

Under Secretary to Government
Food and Forest Department.

1014—S. O. 2136

AGRICULTURE AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. AAH 70 KDC 79
Bangalore, dated 19th May 1979.

Under Article 74(5) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Dairy Development Corporation Ltd., the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to approve the appointment of Sri R. Narayan, as consultant (Marketing) in the Karnataka Dairy Development Corporation in the pay scale of Rs. 1,525-2,000 with effect from 27th January 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. BHAGWAT,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agriculture & A. H. Department.

1131—S. O. 2137

Notification No. AAH 59 AUM 79

Bangalore, dated 13/14/16th August 1979.

Ref :—Notification No. AAH 20 AUM 77, dated 1st June 1977.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Clause (ii) of Part (B) f Section 25 of the University of Agricultural Sciences Act 1963 (Karnataka Act No. 22 of 1963), the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Shri H.M. Mallikarjunappa, MLA., Tarikere and a person interested in Agricultural Education to serve on the Board of Regents of the University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore in the vacancy caused by the demise of Shri B. Parameshwarappa, M.L.A. with immediate effect. The term of office will be upto 26th April 1980.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka

L. NAGARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Agriculture & A. H. Department.

1735—S.O. 2138

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Notification No. SWL 316 LLE 79

Bangalore, dated 31st July 1979.

Whereas in Notification No. SWL 25 LLE 79, dated 3rd February 1979 Oxygen & Acetylene Industry has been declared as Public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a period of 6 months from 5th February 1979 ;

And whereas in the opinion of the Government of Karnataka public interest requires that the period should be extended further, as public utility service ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by the proviso to sub-clause (vi) of clause (n) of section 2 of the Industrial Disputes Act 1947, (Central Act No. 14 of 1947) the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the period and declare the Oxygen & Acetylene industry as public utility service in the State of Karnataka for a further period of six months from 5th August 1979.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department.
(Labour-A.)

1683—S.O. 2139

COMMERCE INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 42 MRC 79.
Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 18 of K.M.M.C. Rules 1969, as amended from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions the transfer of the Quarry lease specified in the Schedule below by M/s Kanara Minerals in favour of M/s Hajrunessha Everest Enterprises (P) Ltd., subject to the following conditions :—

1. that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferor and the transferees wherein the transferees shall agree to bind themselves by the terms of the original lease for the remaining periods of the leases, and

2. that the dues, if any shall be paid by the transferors before the execution of the transfer deed in respect of the lease.

Ore	Area
Black Dyke	1.32 acres in S.No. 235 of Punajnur village, Chamarajanagar taluk. Mysore District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI,
Desk Officer.
1742—S. O. 2140 *Commerce and Industries Department*

Notification No, CI 190 MMN 79
Bangalore, dated 21st August 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 31 (1) of the Mineral Concession Rules 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 39 MMN 79 dated 31st March 1979 sanctioning a Mining Lease for Corundum over an area of 51 acres (20. 64 hectares) of land in Jagand Kote village, H. D. Kote taluk. Mysore district in favour of Shri N. B. Raju as the grantee in his letter dated 19th July 1979 has stated that the area sanctioned is not worth entertaining for the purpose and it does not posses for economic mineral resource and requested to treat the application as with drawn.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI.
Desk Officer
1762 S O. 2141 *Com. & Inds. Department.*

Notification No. CI 28 MRC 79.

Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 18 of K. M. M. C. Rules 1969, as amended from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanction the transfer of the Quarry Lease specified in the Scheduled below by Shri Venkat Rao in favour of Shri Yanka Reddy subject to the following conditions :-

1. that the transfer deeds are executed and registered between the transferor and the transferees wherein the transferees shall agree to bind themselves to all the terms of the original leases for the remaining periods of the leases, and,

2 that the dues, if any, shall be paid by the transferors before the execution of the transfer deeds in respect of these leases.

Quarry lease	Shahabad stone.	S. No. 481/1 of Handarki village, Sedam taluk, Gulbarga district over an area of 2 (two) acres.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI,
Desk Officer,
Com. & Inds. Department.

1737—S. O. 2142

EDUCATION AND YOUTH SERVICES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. ED 260 DPI 79

Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 10 of the Karnataka Civil Service (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957, Shri C. J. Nagaraja Rao, Assistant Educational Officer. City South Range-III is placed under suspension with immediate effect, pending enquiry against him, under Karnataka Civil Service (Classification, Control and Appeal) Rules, 1957.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

B. JANAKI RAMAN,
Under Secretary to Government,
Education and Youth Services Department.

1734.—S.O. 2143

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 129 FTS 79.

Bangalore, dated 24th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) read with clause (b) of sub- ection (2) of Section 3 of the Karnataka preservation of Trees Act. 1976 (Karnataka Act 76 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby amends the Notification No. FFD 100 FDP 76(ii) dated 17th October, 1977, namely :

In the schedule to the said Notification.

(i) in column 3 Corresponding to Sl. No. 7.

for	*substitute*
Superintending Engineer, C & B Shimoga	Superintending Engineer C & B, Hassan

(ii) in Column 3 Corresponding to Sl No. 11.

for	*substitute*
Superintending Engineer Communication & Building Shimoga.	Superintending Engineer Communication & Building Hassan.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Deptt.

1617—S. O. 2144

Notification No. FFD 290-FDP78 (iii)

Bangalore, dated 3rd August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 23 (ii) of the Articles of Association of Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd , the Government of Karnataka are pleased to appoint Sri B. S. Patil, Joint Secretary to Government, Finance Department, as a Director on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka State Forest Industries Corporation Ltd., *vice* Sri R. K. Kelavadi.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1700—S. O. 2145

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Order No. LAW 157 LGR 79

Bangalore, dated 16th August 1979.

In pursuance of the provisions of clause (a) of sub-section (3) of section 8 of the Karnataka Legislature Salaries, Pensions and Allowances Act, 1956 (Karnataka Act No. 2 of 1957), the Governor of Karnataka hereby determines that Sri. P Venkataramana, Speaker Legislative Assembly shall, for the period of his foreign tour to Bahamas and visit to other countries en-route including Denmark, United Kingdom, United States of America and Canada to attend the 250th Anniversary Celebrations of Bahamas Parliament between 2nd September, 1979 and 6th October, 1979 be entitled to an advance of Rs 51,000/- (Rupees Fifty One Thousand) only towards the travelling and daily allowances and other expenses, subject to adjustment in the regular travelling allowance bill.

GOVIND NARAIN
GOVERNOR OF KARNATAKA,

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. NEELAKANTARYA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Deptt. of Law and Parly. Affairs.

1736—S.O. 2146

Notification No. RDC 28 LAY 79

Bangalore, dated 19th May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Article 75 (1) of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Land Army Corporation, the Governor of Karnataka is pleased to appoint Sri Mani Narayanswami, Commissioner SEP and Ex-Officio Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department as one of the Directors of the Board of Karnataka Land Army Corporation Ltd., with effect from 2nd April 1979 in place of Sri Y. Rupla Naik, the then Commissioner SEP and Secretary to Government, Rural Development and Co-operation Department transferred.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

S. KADAMBA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. & Co-opn. Deptt

1144—S.O. 2147

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 159 COF 79.

Bangalore, dated 24th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under byelaw No. 21(1) (b) of the Byelaws of the Bhadra Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane Niyamit, Davangere, Government of Karnataka are pleased to nominate Sri M. N. Suryanarayanappa, Secretary of the above Karkhane, as Director on the Board of Bhadra Sahakara Sakkare Karkhane Niyamit, with effect from 13th June 1979 for 30 days, vice Sri M. C. Swamy, Managing Director, proceeded on leave.

Government are also pleased to appoint Sri M.N. Suryanarayanappa Secretary, as Managing Director, of the Bhadra SSK Niyamit, Davangere, under its bvelaw No. 31 (a) in addition to his own duties as Secretary, *vice* Sri M. C. Swamy, Managing Director of the above said Karkhane, during the above leave period.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government,

Rural Development and Co-opn. Department.

1613—S.O. 2148

Notification No. RDC 42 CLM 79 (i)

Bangalore, dated 19th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Section 121 of Karnataka Cooperative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959), the Government of Karnataka hereby directs, that section 30A of the said Act shall apply to the Raichur District Central Cooperative Bank Limited, Raichur with the following modification, namely:—

In the proviso to sub-section (1) of section 30A of the Karnataka Cooperative Societies Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959) for the words 'one Year' the words "two years" shall be substituted.

Note:—The said modification to section 30A shall remain in force upto 29th July 1980 and upon its expiry the provisions of the said section 30A which were in force prior to the modification by this notification shall stand revived but shall not affect the previous operation of the said section as modified by this notification.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,

Under Secretary to Government,

Rural Devt. and Coopn. Department.

GOVERNMENT OF KARNATAKA

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR SECRETARIAT

Labour Dispute :—Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board, M.G.I.D. Building (Annex), Bangalore-1.

Award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore Published.

Read the following papers:

1. Letter No. 464/79-80, dated 27th June 1979 from Shri M. R. Mujumdar, Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore.

BEFORE THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, BANGALORE

Dated this 27th day of June 1979.

PRESENT:

Shri M. R. Mujumdar, B.A., (Hons) LL. B.,
Presiding Officer.

Reference No. 105 of 1978.

First Party :—

Sreeranga, Peon, C/o The General Secretary, Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Employees Association, No. 6, Vinayaka Buildings, Sampige Road, Bangalore 3.

Vs.

II Party :—

The Management of Bangalore Water Snpply & Sewerage Board M.G.I.D. Building (Annexe), Bangalore-1.

Reference :—Government order No. SWL 244 LLD 73, dated 5th July 1973.

AWARD

1. The Government of Karnataka by their Order No. SWL 244 LLD 73 dated 5th July, 1973. have referred the following Points of Dispute for adjudication to this Court under Section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947:—

"I. Is the management of Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board, MGID Building, (Annex), Bangalore-1 are justified in terminating the services of Sri Sreeranga, peon with effect from 8th July 1972?

II. If not, to what relief the workman Sri Sreeranga is entitled?"

2. On receipt, the reference was registered as Reference No. 76 of 1973 and notices were issued to the parties. Subsequently the case was transferred to the Labour Court, Hubli and was again retransferred to this Court and there upon it stands numbered as Reference No. 105 of 1978.

3. The I Party by his claim statement dated 31st July 1973 contended that he was appointed as a Peon in the II Party on 1st September 1970 and served the II Party continuously till 8th July 1972 when his services were dispensed with saying that they were no longer required. This termination amounts to retrenchment and the same being not in confermity with the provisions of S. 25F (a) and (b) of the Industrial Disputes Act, it is illegal and void. He was given no notice or pay in lieu of notice or any retrenchment compensation. He was an active member of the Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board Employees, Asscciation and was therefore, victimised.

4. The II Party-management by their objections dated 1st November 1973 on 23rd November 1973, mainly contending that the II Party is not an 'Industry' within the meaning of S. 2 (j) of the Industrial Disputes Act and the I Party is not a worker and therefore, there is no industrial dispute and this Court has no jurisdiction to conduct any enquiry. It is further contended that the I party was oppointed as peon purely on temporary basis with a condition that his services would be terminated at any time without any notice. His service records were not clear and he was once fined Rs. 5. His services were terminated according to the terms of employment and he cannot question the same.

5. On these pleadings, Issues were framed as follows on 23rd November 1973.

1. Whether II Party proves that it is not industry within the definition of industry in the I:D. Act?

2. Whether II Party proves that there is no industrial dispute and hence this Court has no jurisdiction?

3. Whether II Party proves that the services of I Party was purely temporary and was terminable without any notice?

4. Whether II Party proves that it was justified in terminating the services of I Party from 8th July 1972?

6. In support of their contentions, the II Party management examined Sri H. K Sathyanarayana, Manager, and produced documents Ex.M. 1 to M.6. The I Party workmen examined one H. Neelakanta Rao, General Secretary of the I Party Association as W.W.1 in addition to himself (W.W 2). Ex. W. 1 a gazette copy of an Award of the Industrial Tribunal in I.D.No. 13 of 1972 is marked for him. Arguments are heard.

7. Issues Nos. 1 and 2 which pertain to the question whether the II Party is or not an industry within the meaning of Industrial Disputes Act and whether there is or there is no industrial dispute in this case, is settled by the decision of the Supreme Court in Bangalore Water Supply and Sewerage Board; etc.,-*vs*-A. Rajappa and others, etc,, (1978 I LLJ 349). These Issues are therefore answered in the negative and against the II Party.

8. **Issues Nos. 3 and 4**:—It is contended on the basis of Ex. M. 1 appointment order copy, that the I Party was appointed as Peon purely on temporary basis on 1st February 1970 with a condition that his services could be terminated without notice at any time and, therefore, the termination order Ex. M. 6 is quite valid and proper. It is not disputed that the I Party workman reported for duty in the II Party-management on 2nd September 1970 and was continuously working till 8th July 1972 when his services were terminated under Ex. M. 6. He had by then, therefore, put in continuous service of nearly 2 years in the II Party-management. Even termination of services of an employee on the ground that they are no longer required, is retrenchment (State Bank of India-vs-N. Sundaramony, 1975 I LLJ 453). Therefore, the mandatory Provisions of Sec. 25. F (a) and (b) are applicable to the termination of the I Party workman. What is required under S. 25F of the Act is that workman who were retrenched should have put in continuous service for not less than one year and if that condition is fulfilled they are entitled for retrenchment benefit as therein provided. The workman should not be denied compensation on the ground that they are temporary casual labourers. (Workers of KNSPR Weaving Factory-Vs-KNSPR Weaving Factory, 10 FJR 442).

9. WW-1 has stated on oath that all the employees of the II Party-Board are employed first on temporary basis and this practice was obtaining till 1975. MW. 1 also has admitted that all employees in the II Party-Board are initially appointed on temporary basis and till 1972 most of the employees of the II Party-board, except those who are on deputation were on temporary basis and their services had not been regularised. Therefore, merely because appointment order Ex-M. 1 mentions that I Party workman was employed on temporary basis, his services could not be terminated in violation of mandatory provisions of Sec. 25F(a) and (b). Admittedly in this case, no notice or wages for one month in lieu of notice or any compensation, was paid to the I party workman before or at the time his services were terminated. The said mandatory provisions are, therefore, clearly violated in this case and consequence thereof is that the termination order itself, is illegal and void and the relationship of employer and employee still subsists and continues between the II Party-management and the I party workman Following the decision of the Supreme Court in Hospital Mazdoor Sabha case (1960 I LLJ 251), the

Calcutta High Court in B. M. Gupta vs. State of West Bengal and others (1979 I LLJ 168) that if the condition precedent for an order of retranchment under S 25F are not fulfilled, the order of retrenchment is not effect at all; and the same is void ab-initio and the relationship between the employer and the employee is not affected by such void retrenchment order and employee continues to be in service despite the purported order of retrenchment.

10. In this case, as noted above, no notice or wages in lieu of notice nor any compensation as required by the mandatory provisions of sec 25F(a) and (b) having not been given by the II party-management to the I party workman before or at the time of termination the termination itself is void, illegal and abinitio and the relationship of employer and employee between them still subsists and continues. Therefore, the I Party workman, has to be deemed to be still in the continuous service of the II Party-Board. He is entitled to all the benefits flowing from this continuous service.

11. However, as regards the back wages it may be seen that the Reference was made in 1973 and is being disposed of in 1979. This long delay is due to the fact that the Reference was kept pending awaiting the decision of the Supreme Court on the question as to whether the II Party-Board is or is not an industry and to the fact that the reference was once transferred to Labour Court, Hubli and again transferred back to this Court. The delay therefore cannot be wholly attributed to the II Party-board. Considering all these aspects, I feel that the back wages to which the I Party is entitled to should be restricted to 75% only.

12. In the result, I hold that the II Party-Board was not justified in terminating the services of Sri Sreeranga, Peon with effect from 8th July 1972. The I Party workman, Sri Sreeranga is entitled to the relief of reinstatement with back wages at 75% and continuity of service and consequential benefits. An Award is passed accordingly. The parties are to bear their own costs.

Sd/-
M. R. Mujumdar,
Presiding Officer
Labour Court, Bangalore

Order No. SWL 222 LLA 79

Bangalore, dated 25th July 1979.

WHEREAS by State Government Order No. SWL 214 LLD 73 dated 5th July 1973 the Industrial dispute between the workmen and the management of Bangalore Water Supply & Sewerage Board M.G.I.D. Building (Annex), Bangalore-1 was referred to the Labour Court, Bangalore for adjudication;

AND WHEREAS the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Bangalore has submitted to the State Government its award in respect of the said Industrial Dispute;

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka are pleased to direct the publication of the said award as above, in the *Karnataka Gazette*.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer,
Social Welfare and Labour Department
(Labour-A).

1668-S.O 2150

Order No. SWL 549 LLD 79.

Bangalore, dated 17th/21st August 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. GECO Engineering Company (Private) Ltd, Government Industrial Estate, Baikhampadi, Mangalore-10 on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication.

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

"I. Is the management justified in refusing employment to Sri S. H. Krishnappa, Mechanic, with effect from 28th July 1978?

II. If not, to what relief(s) the said workman is entitled?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

J. PHLAPPA,
Desk Officer (Labour 'A' Section),
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1770 S.O 2151

Order No. SWL 590 LLD 79.
Bangalore, dated 21st/23rd August 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka are of opinion that an Industrial Dispute exists between the workmen and the management of M/s. Mangalore Catholic Co-operative Bank Ltd., Light House, Hill Road, Mangalore-1 on the points noted below;

And whereas the Government of Karnataka consider it desirable to refer the dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of Section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. 14 of 1947), the Government of Karnataka hereby refer the said dispute for adjudication to the Labour Court at Mangalore.

Points of Dispute

I. "Is the management justified in terminating the services of Mrs. Dorothy D' Souza with effect from 16th November 1978 ?

II. If not, to what relief Mrs. Dorothy D' Souza is entitled ?"

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

J. PILLAPPA,
Desk Officer, (Labour 'A' Section)
Social Welfare and Labour Department.

1787—S.O. 2152

HOME SECRETARIAT

Order II No. HD 45 TMI 79
Bangalore, dated 21st May 1979.

WHEREAS under section 4 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act, 21 of 1976), the contract carriage bearing registration No. APA 4525 (MYJ 5544) has vested in the State Government.

AND WHEREAS for the purpose of determining the amount of compensation payable in respect of the above said contract carriage an Arbitrator was appointed and it is necessary to nominate a person having expert knowledge as to the nature of the acquired property to assist the said Arbitrator.

NOW, THEREFORE, in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 6 of the Karnataka Contract Carriages (Acquisition) Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 21 of 1976) and in supersession of order-II No. HD 135 TMI 78 dated 22nd January 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate the Regional Transport Officer; Bangalore District, to assist the Arbitrator in respect of the above said contract carriage.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka.

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to vern
Home Department.

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 61 MPN 79

Bangalore, dated 19th/21st May 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Rule 15(1) of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960, the Government of Karnataka hereby revoke the orders issued in Government Notification No. CI 191 EPO 78 dated 26th July 1978 sanctioning a Prospecting licence for Asbestos in respect of the area of 170 acres 68.30 Hectares) of land in Mavinakere Village, Krishnarajapet, Taluk, Mandya District, in favour of Shri K. Lakshme Gowda, as the grantee failed to pay the security deposit and execute the deed whithin the prescribed time limit.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1127—S. O. 2154

Corrigendum No. CI 69 MMM 79

Bangalare, dated 20th August 1979.

After the words 'Gulihosahalli' appearing in Line 9 of Para 1 of Government Notification No. CI 131 EMO 78 dated 30th January 1979 the words 'and Lingadevarahalli' is substituted.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1753—S. O. 2156

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 9 FAF 77

Bangalore, dated 10th August 1979.

In the schedule to the notification No. AFD 182 FAF 67 dated 21th September 1967 published as S. O. 1966 at pages 4679 to 4699 of Part IV section 2C II) of *Karnataka Gazette* dated 26t October 1967 in respect of Aletty Block-II, agaist the item "Survey Field Number" after the figure 63/2 add the figures 425, 712/3, 712/4, 452/2, 711/2 and 450/1.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretay to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1747—S. O. 2157

Notification No. FFD 264 FAF 78.

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) declare that it has been decided to constitute, the land in Siddnur village, Davanagere Taluk, Chitradurga District the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule as Reserve Forest.

(2) appoints the Forest Settlement Officer *i.e.* Assistant Commssioner, Davanagere to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section.

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer, Chitradurga to represent the State Government in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	*Taiuk*	*Village*	*S.Nos.*	*Extent*
Chitradurga	Davangere	Siddanur	3, 4, 7 & 36	187.31 acres or
			Both 'A' & 'B'	75.98 hectares

Boundaries

Block 'A' (S. No. 3 & 4 Extent : 42.09 acres or 17.09 hects.'

North :—Starting from the North-East corner of S. No. 46 of Siddanur village the line runs along the Western Southern and Eastern boundary of S.No. 2 and Northern side of S.No. 3 & 4 to the North-East corner of S.No. 4.

East :—Then the line runs south for 80 metres along the Eastern side of S. No. 4 and meets the South-East corner.

South : Then the line turns west and runs along the Southern side of S. No. 4 and 3 to the South-East corner of S.No. 46.

West :—Then the line turns and runs slightly North-East along the eastern side of S.No. 46 and meets the starting point.

Block 'B' (S. Nos. 7 & 36 extent : 145.22 acres or 58.73 hects.

North :—Starting from a point on the eastern side of S. No. 63 for about 130 metres South from the South-East corner of S. No. 62 to a point 302 metres north from the South-East corner of S.No. 63, then the line runs east through S. No. 36 for 315 metres to a point 165 metres south from the South-West corner of S.No. 32, then the line turns North along the Western side of S.No. 7 to the South-West corner of S.No. 7 to its North-East corner.

East :—Then the line turns and runs along the Eastern side of S.No. 7 and meets South-East corner of S.No. 7.

South :—Then the line turns and runs along the Southern side of S.No. 7 & 36 to the South-West corner of S.No. 36 of the same village.

West :—Then the line turns North and runs along the Western side of S.No. 36 and meets the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

795—S.O. 2158

Notification No. FFD 133 FAF 78

Bangalore, dated 1st August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 17 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964), the Government of Karnataka hereby declare that the lands specified in the Schedule 1 below shall with effect from 15th October 1979 be a Reserved Forest subject to the exercise of the rights specified in Schedule II.

SCHEDULE-I

Name of the District :	Dakshina Kannada
Name of the Taluk :	Puttur
Name of the village :	Balnad
S. No.	218/1, 279/1A, 264/1, 206/2D1, 208/1A
Name of the Block :	Balnad Block I (bit I and II)
Area of the Block :	71-50 acres

Boundary Description

BIT-I

North :—Starting from the station No. 21 a point situated at the newly constructed cairn No. 22. the demarcation line of the proposed block runs in almost north-east direction a distance of 1737 links to reach the station No. 28 passing through the intermediat stations 22 to 27. Thence it runs in almost south west direction a distance of 464 links to reach the station No. 30, passing through the intermediate station 29. From there it runs in southern direction to station No. 31 a distance of 130 links. From there it runs in south east direction to station No. 32, a distance of 440 links. Therefrom the line runs near 'Nelliyadka' in almost north-east direction a distance of 2367 links to reach the station No. 41, passing through the intermediate stations 33 to 40. Thence it runs southern direction to station No. 42, distance of 258 links. From there it run

in eastern direction to station No. 43 a distance of 208 links. Again it runs in north east direction to station No. 44, a distance of 290 links. From there it runs in eastern direction to station No. 45, a distance of 196 links. Thence it runs in south east direction a distance of 549 links to reach the station No. 47, passing through the intermediate station No. 46.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Numbers From	To	Bearing in F. B.	Distance in links
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
21	22	30	290
22	23	65	250
23	24	88	265
24	25	81	340
25	26	70½	140
26	27	60½	308
27	28	85	144
28	29	199½	224
29	30	207	240
30	31	180	130
31	32	147½	440
32	33	42½	274
33	34	29	364
34	35	28	282
35	36	14	160
36	37	10	300
37	38	27½	244
38	39	69	180
39	40	72½	248
40	41	81	315
41	42	181	258
42	43	97	208
43	44	31½	290
44	45	91½	196
45	46	144	264
46	47	133	285

East :—Thence the demarcation line runs first in almost southern direction to station No. 0, a distance of 193 links. From there it runs in almost south west direction a distance of 597 links to reach the station No. 3, passing through the intermidiate station 1 and 2. Thence it runs in north west direction to station No. 4, a distance of 225 links. From there it runs in almost south west direction a distance of 420 links to reach the station No. 6, passing through the intermediate station 5. Again it runs in almost north-west direction a distance of 578 links to reach the station No. 8, passing through the intermediate station 7 from there, it runs in south west direction to station No. 9. a distance of 274 links. Again it runs in south-east direction to station No. 10, a distance of 421 links. Therefrom the line runs in almost south-west direction a distance of 1262 links to to reach the station No. 14 passing through the intermediate stations 11 to 13.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :—

Stations Number From	To	Bearing in F.B.	Distance in links
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
47	0	176½	193
0	1	224	237
1	2	217	168
2	3	232	192
3	4	325½	225
4	5	259½	180
5	6	216	240
6	7	286½	206
7	8	288½	372
8	9	242	274
9	10	159	421
10	11	219½	224
11	12	227½	358
12	13	234	198
13	14	196	482

South :—Thence the demarcation line runs in almost western direction a distance of 983 links to reach the station No. 16, passing through the intermediate station 15

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Stations Number From	To	Bearing in F.B.	Distance in links
(1)	(2	(3)	(4)
14	15	278	605
15	16	250½	378

West :—Thence the boundary line runs in almost north-west direction a distance of 1296 links to reach the station No. 21 which is the starting point, passing through the intermediate stations 17 to 20.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station Number From	To	Bearing in F.B.	Distance in links
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
16	17	314	228
17	18	341	222
18	19	306	243
19	20	311	288
20	21	328½	315

BIT II

North :—Starting from the station No. 0, a point situated north east of station No. 0 of the Bit I and at a distance of 618 links the demarcation line runs in north-east direction to station No. 1 a distance of 169 links. Then it runs in eastern direction to station No. 2 a distance of 172 links. From there it runs in almost south east direction a distance of 1767 links to reach the station No. 8 passing through the intermediate stations 3 to 7. from there it runs in south west direction a distance of 499 links to reach the station No. 10 passing through the intermediat station 9. Thence it runs almost south east direction a distance of 672 links to reach the station No. 12, passing through the intermediate station 11. Thence it runs in north-east direction to station No. 13, a distance of 325 links. Again it runs in almost northern direction to station No. 14, a distance of 227 links, Therefrom the line runs in almost north east direction a distance of 560 links to station No. 16 passing through the intermediate station No. 15.

Bearings and distances from station to station are as follows :

Station Number From	To	Bearing in F.B.	Distance in links
1	2	3	4
0	1	43½	169
1	2	93	172
2	3	141½	165
3	4	167½	220
4	5	151	215

1	2	3	4
5	6	96	454
6	7	109½	376
7	8	135	337
8	9	200½	220
9	10	196	279
10	11	165	380
11	12	121	292
12	13	37	325
13	14	343	227
14	15	17	460
15	16	35	100

East : Thence the demarcation line runs in almost south east direction a distance of 780 links to reach the station No. 86.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

16	86	120	780

South : From station No. 86 the demarcation line runs in almost south—west direction near "Saja Byle" a distance of 3831 links to reach the station No. 96 passing through the intermediate stations 87 to 95.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station Number From	To	Bearings in F.B.	Distance in links
86	87	216½	530
87	88	194½	490
88	89	212½	530
89	90	177½	415
90	91	196½	733
91	92	189	300
92	93	203½	163
93	94	213	300
94	95	210	200
95	96	210	170

West : Thence the demarcation line runs in north-west direction a distance of 1219 links to reach the station No. 100 passing through the intermediate stations 97 to 99. From there it runs in north-east direction a distance of 407 links to reach the station No. 102 passing through the intermediate station 101. Thence it runs in almost eastern direction to station No. 103 a distance of 490 links. There from the line runs in almost northern direction a distance of 1161

links to reach the station No. 107 passing through the intermediate stations 104 to 106. Therefrom it runs in almost north—west direction a distance of 2299 links to reach the station No. 116 passing through the intermediate stations 108 to 115. From there the line finally runs in northern direction a distance of 527 links to reach the station 0 which is the starting point passing through the intermediate station 117.

Bearings and distance from station to station are as follows :

Station From	Number To	Bearings in F.B.	Distance in links
96	97	302	356
97	98	316	263
98	99	325	455
99	100	325	145
100	101	44½	256
101	102	47	151
102	103	79	490
103	104	21½	334
104	105	3½	184
105	106	3½	395
106	107	354	248
107	108	330	247
108	109	327	360
109	110	314	243
110	111	331	433
111	112	327½	175
112	113	329	270
113	114	301½	223
114	115	273	192
115	116	316	165
116	117	358	345
117	0	355½	182
			Starting point

SCHEDULE II

Rights Reserved :

Other Privileges :

1. Free grazing of cattle by villagers.
2. Free removal of green manure leaves for *bonafide* use be ryots.
3. Free removal of dry fuel for *bonafide* use by villagers.
4. Free removal of multigrass for *bonafide* use by villagers

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R SANTHURAMA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food & Forest Department.

1703—S. O. 2159

Notification No. FFD 261 FAV 78.

Bangalore, dated 3rd April 1979

In exercise of the powers conferred by the Section 4 of Karnataka Forest Act 1963 (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby :—

(1) declares that it has been decided to constitute the land in Honnaikanahalli village, Davanagere Taluk, Chitradurga District the situation and limits of which are specified in the schedule as Reserve Forest.

(2) Appoints the Forest Settlement Officer, *i.e.*, Assistant Commissioner, Davanagere to be the Forest Settlement Officer for purpose of clause (c) of sub-section (1) of the said section.

(3) appoints the Divisional Forest Officer Chitradurga to represent the State Government, in enquiry by the said Forest Settlement Officer.

SCHEDULE

District	*Taluk.*	*Village*	*S.Nos.*	*Extent*
Chitradurga	Davanagere	Honnaikanahalli	23,29,38 and 39	150 Acres or 60 70 Hects

Boundaries

North :—Starting from a point on the North-West corner of S.No. 23 of Honnaikanahalli the line runs east along the north and east side of S.No. 23 to the South-West corner of S.No. 25, then the line turns east and runs along the northern side of S. Nos, 39, 38 to the North-East corner of S. No. 38 of the same village, then the line turns north and runs along the western and northern side of S.No. 29 to the North-East side of last named survey number.

East :—Then the line turns south and runs along with western side of S.Nos. 30, 31 and 37 and meets the South-West corner of S.No. 37.

South :—Then the line turns west and runs along the southern side of S No. 38 and 39 and meets the South-East Corner of S. No 40.

West :—Then the line runs north along the western side of S.No. 39 southern and western side of S.No. 23 and meets the starting point.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

R. SELVARAJ,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

794—S. O. 2160

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 73 BAD 78.

Bangalore, dated 13th August 1979.

In exercise of powers conferred by Sub-Section (1) of Section 136 of the Karnataka Village Panchayat and Local Boards Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act No. 10 of 1959) the Government of Karnataka hereby place Kavalabaganakunte tank situated in Sy. No. 21 of Kasavaganahalli Village, Thayalur hobli, Mulbagal under the control of Taluk Development Board, Mulbagal to effect the repairs and maintenances of the said tank under Section 130(1) (iii) of the said Act.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. A. KAMAPALA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Dev. and Co-opn. Department.

1723—S.O. 2161

Notification No. RDC 205 CLS 79.

Bangalore, dated 10th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers vested under Section 53A of KCS Act, 1959 (Karnataka Act 11 of 1959), Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Sri A. Ramesh Rao, Chairman, Karnataka State Financial Corporation as Director on the Board of Karnataka State Co-operative Apex Bank Ltd. Bangalore with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

A. PARAMESWARAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Devt. and Co-opn. Department.

1724—S.O. 2162

LAW AND PARLIAMENTARY AFFAIRS SECRETARIAT

Notification No. LAW 96 LAG 79

Bangalore, dated 8th August 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri M. Raghava, B.A., B.L., as Additional Government Pleader, Puttur until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. NAIK.
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
(Administration)

1716—S. O. 2168

Notification No. LAW 76 LAG 79.

Bangalore, dated 21st/23rd August 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints with immediate effect, Sri Kishan Rao Deshpande as Assistant Government Pleader, Sedam for the period upto and inclusive of 31st December 1981.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. NAIK,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs
(Administration)

1793—S. O. 2164

Notification No. LAW 33 LAG 79.

Bangalore, dated 21st/23rd August 1979.

The Government of Karnataka hereby appoints Sri Ramachandra Narayanappa Pujar as Additional Government Pleader, Dharwad for a period of three years with effect from the date he assumes charge.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. NAIK,
Under Secretary to Government,
Dept. of Law and Parliamentary Affairs.
(Administration).

1794—S. O. 2165

REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RD 10 LRD 77

Bangalore, dated 7th August 1979.

Whereas the Government of Karnataka taking into consideration the population area, the damand under Land Revenue and other Heads of Accounts of Kambarganvi Village in Dharwad Taluka, Dharwad District and having regard to the administrative convenience proposes to issue a notification in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 5 of Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964) altering the limits of Kambarganvi village and constituting a new village called "Honnapur" for the purposes of said Act,

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by Section 6 of the Karnataka 12 of 1964. notice of the proposals specified in the Schedule below is hereby published for the information of the persons likely to be effected thereby and notice is hereby given that the proposals will be taken into consideration on or after 9th September 1979.

Any objection which may be received from any person with respect to the said proposals before the date specified above will be considered by the State Government. Objections may be addressed to the Deputy Commissioner, Dharwad District, Dharwad.

SCHEDULE

1 Land bearing the Survey Nos. specified shall be excluded from the limits of the Kumbarganvi village, Dharwad Taluk, Dharwad District.

Sl. No.	Survey No.	Area A. G.	Assessment
1	2	3	4
1	177	6-9	Forest
2	178	23-10	,,
3	179	18-15	,,
4	180	12-14	,,
5	181	23-28	,,
6	182	17-27	,,
7	183	20-15	,,
8	184	17-35	,,
9	185	4-25	,,
10	186	26-30	,,
11	187	12-37	,,
12	188	2-38	,,
13	189	27-13	,,
14	190	20-25	,,
15	191	15-33	,,
16	192	25-05	,,
17	193	11-00	,,
18	194	8-36	,,
19	195	25-05	,,
20	196	11-00	,,
21	197	8-36	,,
22	198	381-09	,,
23	199	12-21	,,
24	200	6-03	12-00
25	201	26-20	Forest
26	202	27-04	,,
27	203	11-34	,,
28	204	7-28	,,
29	205	16-24	,,
30	206	18-04	,,
31	207	28-00	,,
32	208	21-06	,,
33	209	24-19	,,
34	210	13-36	,,
35	211	26-10	,,
36	212	22-01	,,

1	2	3	4
37	213	17-32	,,
38	214	24-.8	,,
39	215	14-35	,,
40	216	4-07	,,
41	217	23-10	,,
42	218	0-25	,,
43	219	0-37	,,
44	220	0-21	,,
45	221	0-21	,,
46	222	0-24	,,
47	223	2-04	,,
48	224	0-33	,,
49	225	0-33	,,
50	226	0-21	,,
51	227	1-07	,,
52	228	0-35	,,
53	229	0-26	,,
	Total Area	1079A-04G	

There shall be constituted a new village called "Honnur" in Dharwar Taluk, Dharwad District and the said new village shall comprise of the land bearing survey numbers specified below which are excluded from the limits of Kambarganvi village.

Sl. No.	Survey No.	Area A—G	Assessment Rs. Ps.
1	2	3	4
1	177	6-09	Forest
2	178	23-10	,,
3	179	18-15	,,
4	180	12-14	,,
5	181	23-28	,,
6	182	17-27	,,
7	183	20-15	,,
8	234	17-35	,,
9	285	4-25	,,
10	286	26-30	,,
11	187	12-37	,,
12	188	2-38	,,
13	189	27-13	,,
14	190	20-25	,,

1	2	3	4
15	191	15-33	,,
16	192	25-05	,,
17	293	11-00	,,
18	194	8-36	,,
19	195	25-05	,,
20	196	11-00	,,
21	197	8-36	,,
22	198	381-09	,,
23	199	12-21	,,
24	200	6-03	12-00
25	201	26-20	Forest
26	202	27-04	,,
27	203	11-34	,,
28	204	7-28	,,
29	205	16-24	,,
30	206	18-04	,,
31	207	28-00	,,
32	208	21-06	,,
33	209	24-19	,,
34	210	13-36	,,
35	211	26-10	,,
36	212	22-01	,,
37	313	17-32	,,
38	214	24-18	,,
39	215	14-35	,,
40	216	4-07	,,
41	217	23-10	,,
42	218	0-25	,,
43	219	0-37	,,
44	220	0-21	,,
45	221	0-21	,,
46	222	0-24	,,
47	223	2-04	,,
48	224	0-33	,,
49	225	0-33	,,
50	226	0-21	,,
51	227	1-07	,,
52	228	0-35	,,
53	229	0-26	,,
		Total Area: 1079 A-04G	

East : Village boundaries of Niralgi.
West : Village boundaries of Aravatgi and Basavapur.
North : Village boundaries of Kambaraganvi.
South : Village boundaries of Kalkeri.

The proposals specified above shall come into effect from such date as the Government may specify in the notification proposed to be issued under section 5 of the Karnataka Land Revenue Act, 1964 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1964).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. BASALINGAPPA,
Under Secretary to Government,
Revenue Department.

1706—S. O. 2167

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 166 PEG 79.

Bangalore, dated 17th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by section 55 of the Karnataka Police Act 1963, (Karnataka Act 4 of 1961) the Government of Karnataka hereby extends the provisions of the said section to the Taluks of Manvi, Devdurg, Kushtagi and Yelburga in Raichur District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. SANJEEVA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1741—S. O. 2168

HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HUD 184 MNX 78.

Bangalore, dated 17th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section of the 51 of the BDA Act, 1976 (Karnataka Act 12 of 1976) the Government of Karnataka hereby appoint the Commissioner, Corporation of the City of Bangalore as *Ex-officio* member of the Bangalore Urban Art Commission.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. S. KADAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Housing and Urban Development Department.

1755—S. O. 2169

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Addendum No. FFD 82 FAF 77.

Bangalore, dated 8th August 1979.

Add the following particulars in the Government notification No. FFD 5 FAF 75 dated 17th May 1975 published as S.O. 2094 at pages 4125 to 4132 of Part IV section 2c(ii) of the *Karnataka Gazette* dated 24th July 1975.

Add the following figure in the schedule to the notification against the item survey field number before the words-Muregur-69/7.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

N. R. SANTHURAM RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1717—S.O. 2170

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 153 COF 79.
Bangalore, dated 21st August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under Bye-law Nos. 24 (1) and 24 (2) of the Bye-laws of Karnataka Sahakari Sakkare Karkhane Ltd., Haveri and in supersession of the Notifications No. RDC 77 COF 74 dated 23rd August 1974 and RDC 77 COF 74 dated 5th September 1974, the Government of Karnataka are pleased to reconstitute the Board of Directors of Karnataka Sahakari Sakkare Karkhane Ltd., Haveri with the following persons with immediate effect and until further orders.

(a) *Producer Share Holders.*

Sriyuths :

1. F. S. Tavare of Negalur.
2. S. S. Hosamani of Karjagi.
3. M. D. Shivapur of Hommaradi.
4. M. N. Waggannavar of Haleritti.
5. M. M. Nadaf of Tevarmellihalli.
6. M. N. Aladakatti of Bankapur.
7. M. S. Katagi of Kundgol.
8. V. R. Ranganagoudar of Gudageri.
9. N. B. Kuragund of Koganur.
10. D. V. Kulkarni of Motebennur.
11. V. C. Basavanal of Haveri.
12. V. G. Shettar of Savanur.

(b) (i) *Non-Producers Individual Members.*

1. S. H. Nelavagil of Ekalaspur.
2. C. M. Udasi of Hanagal.
3. G. B. Shankar Rao, M.L.A., Hirekerur.

(ii) *Representatives of Co-operative Societies.*

1. B. G. Banakar of Chikkodatti.
2. M. M. Malagi of Byadagi
3. P. C. Shettar, Ex. MLA., Hanagal.

(c) *Nominees of Government.*

1. The Deputy Commissioner, Dharwar District.
2. The Managing Director.
3. The Deputy Registrar of Co-op. Societies, Dharwar.

(d) *Representative of Central Financing Agency.*

1. S. C. Chowda Shetti of Haveri.

The Government of Karnataka are also pleased to appoint Shri F. S. Tavare of Negalur as Chairman and Shri M. M. Nadaf of Tevarmellihalli as Vice-Chairman of the abovesaid Karkhana with immediate effect and until further orders.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. S. JOSHI,

Under Secretary to Government,

1758—S. O. 2171 *Rural Development and Co-operation Department.*

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 152 EPO 78.

Bangalore dated 28th June 1979.

In pursuance of Sub-Section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act, vide their letter No. 5/53/78 MV dated 7th March 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Prospecting Licence in favour of Shri B. P. Ramanna for a period of (2) two years for Manganese, Iron Ore & Yellow oxide over an area of sixty four acres (25.90 hectares) of land in Lakkihalli village, taluk Hosadurga, Chitradurga District, as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Prospecting Licence is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

1. The licence shall be operative for aperiod of two years with effect from the date of execution. The option to renew the licence for a further period shall be reserved to Government.

2. The licensee shall pay to Government a Prospecting fee of Rs. 2.50 per hectare of the land covered by the licence for each year or portion of a year.

3. The quantity of ore to be removed under this licence both on payment of royalty and free of royalty, shall be in accordance with the limits prescribed under rule 14 (i), and (iii) and under schedule III respectively of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960. "The royalty payable on the Minerals shall be in accordance with the rates prescribed

In Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957 and as amended from time to time.

4. The licencee shall make available to the Government of India beryl or any other substance prescribed under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 if they occur in the property under licence;

5. The licensee shall be subject to the rules issued under Sections 13 and 16 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 (No. 67 of 1957).

6. If any other mineral is traced during the course of prospecting, the licensee shall report about the same to the Director of Mines and Geology and shall not work such minerals without obtaining prior permission of Government;

7. The licensee shall furnish an accurate final map of areas covered by the licence together with a description, giving the situation and boundaries of the areas duly attested by the licensee. The Director of Mines and Geology is authorised to get the accurate final map with survey numbers etc., prepared and demarcation done before executing the licence if the licensee so desired and to recover the cost of the same from the licensee;

8. The licensee shall, before the deposit is returned to him, submit confidentially to the Director of Mines and Geology from time to time or wherever required a full report of the work done by him and disclose all information acquired in the course of the operations carried on under the licence regarding the geology and mineral resources of the area covered by the licence;

9. The licensee shall allow any officer authorised by the Central or the State Government to inspect at any time the area covered by the Prospecting licence as also any accounts, plans and records maintained by him;

10. The licensee shall before the licence is issued to him deposit as security a sum of Rs. 200 in respect of every square kilometer or part thereof for which the licence is granted for the due observance of the terms and conditions of the licence.

11. The licensee shall engage a qualified geologist or a mining engineer to conduct the prospecting methodically;

12. The licensee shall not give any ore-raising contract during the prospecting stage;

13. The above mentioned area is subject to verification after actual survey and

14. Local and other Cesses as prevalent in Chitradurga District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

4166—S. O. 2172

Notification No. CI 123 EMO 78

Bangalore, dated 20th August 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 and after obtaining the approval of Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of Section 5 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/3/79-M5 dated 21st July 1979, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Limited for a period of Twenty years for Iron ore over an area of 250 acres of land in Jambunathanahalli Village, Bellary District as per sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Iron Ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Iron Ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Iron Ore they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease.	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease	Rs. 12.50
6th to 10th year of the lease	Rs. 25.00
11th year and onwards of the lease	Rs. 37.50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in Chapter IV of the Mineral Concession Rules, 1960;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with rule 32 of lease is issued to him;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the Ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed ;

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Karnataka State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land ;

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee falls to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cessee as prevalent in Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,
Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department,

1750 S.O. 2173

Notification No. CI 32 EMO 78

Bangalore, dated 23rd August 1979.

In pursuance of sub-section (1) of Section 5 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957, and after obtaining the approval of the Government of India, accorded under sub-section (2) of section 5 of the said Act *vide* their letter No. 5/19/78-M5 dated 21st July 1979 the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions a Mining Lease in favour of M/s. Mysore Minerals Limited, Bangalore for a period of twenty (20) years for Iron Ore over an area of 60 acres (sixty acres) of land in Sankalapuram Village, Bellary District, as per the sketch furnished by the Director of Mines and Geology, Bangalore.

The grant of the above Mining Lease is subject to the terms and conditions appended hereunto.

Terms and Conditions

(a) Mining Lease should be in respect of Iron ore only. If other Minerals are found in association with Iron ore they should be brought to the notice of Government and if the lessee desires to mine these minerals along with Iron ore they should do so only after the consent of Government is obtained in writing ;

(b) Royalty at the rates prescribed in the Second Schedule to the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act, 1957 or the

dead rent at the following rate in respect of each mineral per hectare per annum, whichever is greater, shall be charged provided that dead rent shall not be payable for the first year of the lease.

1st year of the lease	..	Nil
2nd to 5th year of the lease		Rs. 12-50
6th to 10th year of the lease	...	Rs. 25-00
11th year and onwards of the lease	...	Rs. 37-50

(c) Surface rent at the rate of Rs. 2.50 per hectare per annum on the actual area used by the lessee for mining purposes shall be charged ;

(d) If beryl or any other 'Substance Prescribed' under Section 3 of the Atomic Energy Act, No. XXIX of 1948 is found to occur in the property under the lease, the lessee shall make available such mineral to the Government of India.

(e) The lease shall be subject to the provisions of Rules in shapter IV of the Mineral concession Rules, 1960 ;

(f) The lease shall also be subject to the rules issued under Section 18 of the Mines and Minerals (Regulation and Development) Act 1957.

(g) The lessee shall pay to the Director of Mines and Geology in Karnataka, Bangalore, necessary security deposit for due observance of the terms and conditions of the lease in accordance with Rules 32 of lease is issued to him ;

(h) The lessee shall submit confidentially from time to time or when required, progress reports to the Director of Mines and Geology along with analysis and representative samples of the ores collected during the mining operations ;

(i) The lessee shall be governed by all conditions that may be incorporated in the lease deed to be executed.

(j) The lessee shall abide by the Rules contained in the Mysore State Forest Manual, if the lease area covers any forest land.

(k) The total area held by the lessee under Minings leases including the present shall not exceed 25.9 Sq. Kilometres ;

(l) Government shall have the right of purchasing the ore at current market rates ;

(m) The lease would be determined if the lessee fails to commence affective mining operations within one year from the date of execution of lease deed.

(n) The area mentioned above is subject to verification after actual survey ;

(o) Local and other cesses as prevalent in Bellary District.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. ASHTA MURTHY,

Under Secretary to Government,
Commerce and Industries Department.

178\2—S. O. 2174

HOME SECRETARIAT

Notification No. HD 66 TMI 78

Bangalore dated 23rd August 1979.

Whereas a reciprocal agreement was entered into between the States of Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Tamilnadu and Karnataks for the operation of 20 tourist taxis from each State on single point taxation ;

And whereas, all the Southern States including the States of Maharashtra and Union Territories of Goa and Pondicherry have agreed for alloting a quota of 100 tourist taxis to each of the States on single point taxation without counter-signature :

And whereas, the draft of the agreement which the Government of Karnataka propose : to enter into with the States of Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Tamilnadu, Maharashtra, Kerala and Union Territories of Goa and Pondicherry, in supersession of the Government Order No. HD 63 TMI 69, dated 8th April 1971, in regard to the operation of 100 tourist taxis was published as required by sub-section (3A) of section 63 of the Motor vehicles Act, 1939 (Central Act 4 of 1939) in Notificatiou No. HD 66 TMI 78 (S. O. 2378) dated 13th September 1978, in part IV-Sec. 2-C (ii) of the Karnataka Gazette dated 21st September 1978, inviting representations from persons, associations and authorities who have a right to make representations on or before 26th October 1978 ;

And whereas, the said Gazette was made available to the persons, associations and authorities on 21st September, 1978 ;

And whereas, no representations on the said draft have been received by the Government ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (3B) of section 63 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1939), the Government of Karnataka hereby publish the following agreement arrived at between the States of Karnataka Andhra Pradesh, Tamilnadu, Maharashtra, Kerala and Union Territories of Goa and Pondicherry.

AGREEMENT

The South Zone permits on single point tax granted by the Transport Authority concerned of any one State for Contract Carriages (Tourist Taxis) to ply in the State of Karnataka, Tamilnadu: Andhra Pradesh, Kerala, Maharashtra, and Union Territories of Goa and Pondieherry upto 100 vehicles for each State shall be without countersignature.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

V. N. SUBBIAH,
Under Secretary to Government,
Home Department.

1799—S, O: 2175

SOCIAL WELFARE AND LABOUR DEPARTMENT

Notification No. SWL 219 SFE 79

Bangalore, dated 27th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by Article 23 and 24 of the Articles of Association of the Karnataka Fisheries Development Corporation Limited, Mangalore, the Government of Karnataka hereby remove Sri K. Venkatesh as a Director on the Board of Directors of the Karnataka Fisheries Development Corporation Limited, Mangalore with effect from 1st September 1979 Forenoon and appoints Sri H. N. Chandrashekariah, Deputy Director of Fisheries, in his place, as a Director and also as the Managing Director of the said Corporation with effect from 1st September 1979 (Forenoon).

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. D. SRINIVAS,
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Social Welfare and Labour Deptt.

1813—S. O. 2176

FOOD AND FOREST SECRETARIAT

Notification No. FFD 192 FGL 79.

Bangalore, dated 27th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby directs that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of the Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No. S-36/9/2658 dated 12th March 1923 issued by the Former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the date of this Notification ceases to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S. No.	Area	Boundaries	
				A.G.A.		
Uttara Kanara	Yellapur	Tatgar	14	0-20-0	North East South West	Betta S. No. 14-A

Remarks : Formed into a separate S. No. viz. 14-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 14-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1641—S.O. 2177

Notification No. FFD 180 FGL 79.

Bangalore, dated 27th July 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sub-section (1) of Section 28 of the Karnataka Forest Act, 1963, (Karnataka Act 5 of 1964) the Government of Karnataka hereby direct that the lands specified in schedule below which forms portion of Minor Reserved Forest constituted in Government Notification No, 3068; dated 3rd May 1899 issued by the former Government of Bombay shall with effect from the date of issue of this notification, ceases to be part of the said Reserved Forest.

SCHEDULE

District	Taluk	Village	F.S. No.	Area	Boundaries	
				A.G.A.		
North Kanara	Sirsi	Kotgihalli	47	1-00-00	North :	S.No. 34
					East	F.S. No. 47-A
					South West	F.S. No. 47-A & S.No. 34

Remarks : Formed into a separate S. No. viz. 47-B. The area still remaining in the Reserved Forest being assigned F. S. No. 47-A.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

H. MUNIRAM,
Under Secretary to Government,
Food and Forest Department.

1642—S.O. 2178

COMMERCE AND INDUSTRIES SECRETARIAT

Notification No. CI 35 MRC 79.

Bangalore, dated 20th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred under rule 18 of K. M. M. C. Rules 1969, as amended from time to time, the Government of Karnataka hereby sanctions the transfer of the Quarry lease specified in the schedule below by M/s. Nityananda Mines and Minerals in favour of Shri Appa Saheb, subject to the following conditions :

1 that the transfer deed is executed and registered between the transferror and the transferees wherein the transferees shall agree to bind themselves by the terms of the original lease for the remaining periods of the lease, and,

2 that the dues if any, shall be paid by the transferors before the execution of the transfer deed in respect of the lease.

Ore	Area
Ordinary sand	100 acres along Hirehalla Nalabed of Atharga, Benakanahalli and Kengal Villages, Indi taluk, Bijapur district.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

T. V. SRINIVASACHARI,
Desk Officer,
Commerce and Industries Department.

1754—S.O. 2179

RURAL DEVELOPMENT AND CO-OPERATION SECRETARIAT

Notification No. RDC 97 BAD 79

Bangalore, dated 6th August 1979.

In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (vi) and (vii) of sub-section (2) of section 187 of the Karnataka Village Panchayats and Local Boards Act, 1959 (Mysore Act No. 10 of 59) the Government of Karnataka hereby nominate Sri Manjappa Ulla, Ex-MLA, Mangalore as representative member of Scheduled Castes and Smt. Kalyani D. Shetty, Kadialguttu, Mangalore-3 as woman representative, as members of the District Development Council, Dakshina Kannada for a further period of two years.

By Order and in the name of the Governor of Karnataka,

G. A. KAMAPALA RAO,
Under Secretary to Government,
Rural Development and Coopn. Department.

1712—S. O. 2180

Printed by the Director of Printing, Sty. & Publns. at the Govt. Press, B'lore